

Mathematical concepts for computer science

So far...

1. Propositional Logic
2. Applications of Propositional Logic
3. Propositional Equivalences

Predicates and Quantifiers

Predicates

“ $x > 3$ ”

“ $x = y + 3$ ”

“ $x + y = z$ ”

“computer x is under attack by an intruder”

“computer x is functioning properly”

These statements are **neither true nor false** when the values of the variables are not specified.

Predicates

Predicates

“ $x > 3$ ”

- **Variable x** , is the **subject** of the statement.
- The **predicate**, “**is greater than 3**”—refers to a **property that the subject of the statement can have.**

Predicates

Predicates

$P(x) : "x > 3"$

- Once a value has been assigned to the variable x , the statement $P(x)$ becomes a proposition and has a truth value.
- $P(4)=\text{true}$ and $P(2)=\text{False}$.

Predicates

Predicates

$P(x, y) : "x = y + 3"$

- Once a value has been assigned to the variable **x** and **y**, the statement **$P(x,y)$** becomes a proposition and has a truth value.
- **$P(4,1)=\text{true}$** and **$P(2,3)=\text{False}$** .

Predicates

Predicates

$A(x)$: “Computer x is under attack by an intruder.”

- Suppose that of the computers on campus, only **CS2** and **MATH1** are currently under attack by intruders.
- What are truth values of **$A(\text{CS1})$** , **$A(\text{CS2})$** , and **$A(\text{MATH1})$** ?

Predicates

Predicates

$A(x)$: “Computer x is under attack by an intruder.”

- Suppose that of the computers on campus, only **CS2** and **MATH1** are currently under attack by intruders.
- What are truth values of **$A(\text{CS1})$** , **$A(\text{CS2})$** , and **$A(\text{MATH1})$** ?
- **$A(\text{CS1})=\text{false}$, $A(\text{CS2})=\text{True}$, $A(\text{MATH1})=\text{True}$.**

Predicates

Predicates

$A(c, n)$: “Computer c is connected to network n ,”

- where c is a variable representing a **computer** and n is a variable representing a **network**.
- Suppose that the computer **MATH1** is connected to network **CAMPUS2**, but **not to** network **CAMPUS1**.
- What are the values of **$A(\text{MATH1}, \text{CAMPUS1})$** and **$A(\text{MATH1}, \text{CAMPUS2})$** ?

Predicates

Predicates

$A(c, n)$: “Computer c is connected to network n ,”

- where c is a variable representing a **computer** and n is a variable representing a **network**.
- Suppose that the computer **MATH1** is connected to network **CAMPUS2**, but **not to** network **CAMPUS1**.
- What are the values of $A(\text{MATH1}, \text{CAMPUS1})$ and $A(\text{MATH1}, \text{CAMPUS2})$?
- $A(\text{MATH1}, \text{CAMPUS1})$ is false.
- $A(\text{MATH1}, \text{CAMPUS2})$ is true

n -place predicate or a n -ary predicate

- In general, a statement involving the n variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n can be denoted by **$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$** .
- A statement of the form **$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$** is the value of the **propositional function P** at the **n -tuple (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)** , and **P is also called an n -place predicate or a n -ary predicate.**

$R(x, y, z)$: “ $x + y = z$.”

$R(1, 2, 3)$ is true

$R(0, 0, 1)$ is false

Predicates

- Consider the statement

if $x > 0$ then $x := x + 1$.

$P(x): x > 0$

- If **$P(x)$ is true** for this value of x , the assignment statement $x := x + 1$ is executed, so the value of **x is increased by 1.**
- If **$P(x)$ is false** for this value of x , the assignment statement is not executed, so the value of **x is not changed.**

PRECONDITIONS AND POSTCONDITIONS

- Predicates are also used to establish the **correctness of computer programs**, that is, to show that **computer programs always produce the desired output when given valid input**.
- The statements that describe valid input are known as **preconditions**.
- The conditions that the output should satisfy when the program has run are known as **post-conditions**.

PRECONDITIONS AND POSTCONDITIONS

- Consider the following program, designed to **interchange** the values of two variables x and y .

temp := x

x := y

y := temp

- Find predicates that we can use as the precondition and the post-condition to verify the correctness of this program.

PRECONDITIONS AND POSTCONDITIONS

- Consider the following program, designed to **interchange** the values of two variables x and y .

temp := x

x := y

y := temp

$P(x, y)$: “ $x = a$ and $y = b$,”

$Q(x, y)$: “ $x = b$ and $y = a$.”

PRECONDITIONS AND POSTCONDITIONS

P(x, y): “ $x = a$ and $y = b$,”

temp := x *// $x = a$, $temp = a$, and $y = b$.*

x := y *// $x = b$, $temp = a$, and $y = b$.*

y := temp *// $x = b$, $temp = a$, and $y = a$.*

Q(x, y): “ $x = b$ and $y = a$.”

Quantifiers

- **Quantification** expresses the **extent to which** a predicate is true over a range of elements.
- **all, some, many, none, and few** are used in quantifications.
- The area of logic that deals with predicates and quantifiers is called the **predicate calculus**.

THE UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

- Many mathematical statements assert that a **property is true for all values of a variable in a particular domain**, called the **domain of discourse** (or the **universe of discourse**), often just referred to as the **domain**. Such a statement is expressed using **universal quantification**.
- The **universal quantification of $P(x)$ for a particular domain** is the proposition that asserts that **$P(x)$ is true for all values of x in this domain**.
- **Domain specifies the possible values of the variable x .**

THE UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

- The **universal quantification of $P(x)$** is the statement “ **$P(x)$ for all values of x in the domain.**”
- The notation **$\forall xP(x)$** denotes the universal quantification of $P(x)$. Here **\forall** is called the universal quantifier.
- We read $\forall xP(x)$ as “**for all $xP(x)$** ” or “**for every $xP(x)$** .”
- An element for which **$P(x)$ is false** is called **a counter example of $\forall xP(x)$** .

THE UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

- A statement $\forall xP(x)$ is false, where $P(x)$ is a propositional function, if and only if $P(x)$ is not always true when x is in the domain.

Suppose $Q(x) : "x < 2."$

What is the **truth value of the quantification $\forall xQ(x)$** , where the domain consists of all **real numbers**?

THE UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

- A statement $\forall xP(x)$ is false, where $P(x)$ is a propositional function, if and only if $P(x)$ is not always true when x is in the domain.

Suppose $Q(x) : "x < 2."$

What is the **truth value of the quantification $\forall xQ(x)$** , where the domain consists of all **real numbers**?

- **$Q(x)$ is not true** for every real number x , because, for instance, **$Q(3)$ is false**. That is, $x = 3$ is a counterexample for the statement $\forall xQ(x)$.

THE UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

- $\forall xP(x)$ is the same as the conjunction $P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(x_n)$, because this conjunction is true if and only if $P(x_1), P(x_2), \dots, P(x_n)$ are all true.

What is the **truth value of $\forall xP(x)$** , where **$P(x)$** is the statement “ $x^2 < 10$ ” and the domain consists of the **positive integers not exceeding 4**?

THE UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

- $\forall xP(x)$ is the same as the conjunction $P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(x_n)$, because this conjunction is true if and only if $P(x_1), P(x_2), \dots, P(x_n)$ are all true.

What is the **truth value of $\forall xP(x)$** , where **$P(x)$** is the statement “ $x^2 < 10$ ” and the domain consists of the **positive integers not exceeding 4**?

- $P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge P(4)$, because the domain consists of the integers 1, 2, 3, and 4.
- Because $P(4)$, which is the statement “ $16 < 10$,” is false, it follows that **$\forall xP(x)$ is false**.

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- Many mathematical statements assert that **there is an element with a certain property**. Such statements are expressed using existential quantification.
- With existential quantification, we form a proposition that is **true if and only if $P(x)$ is true for at least one value of x in the domain**.

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- The existential quantification of $P(x)$ is the proposition **“There exists an element x in the domain such that $P(x)$.”**
- We use the notation $\exists xP(x)$ for the existential quantification of $P(x)$. Here \exists is called the **existential quantifier**.

“There is an x such that $P(x)$,”

“There is at least one x such that $P(x)$,”

or

“For some $xP(x)$.”

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- The existential quantification of $P(x)$ is the proposition “**There exists an element x in the domain such that $P(x)$.**”
- We use the notation $\exists xP(x)$ for the existential quantification of $P(x)$. Here \exists is called the **existential quantifier**.

TABLE 1 Quantifiers.

<i>Statement</i>	<i>When True?</i>	<i>When False?</i>
$\forall x P(x)$	$P(x)$ is true for every x .	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.
$\exists x P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.	$P(x)$ is false for every x .

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- Let $P(x)$ denote the statement “ $x > 3$.” What is the truth value of the quantification $\exists x P(x)$, where the domain consists of all real numbers?

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- Let $P(x)$ denote the statement “ $x > 3$.” What is the truth value of the quantification $\exists xP(x)$, where the domain consists of all real numbers?
- Because “ $x > 3$ ” is **sometimes true**—for instance, when $x = 4$ - the existential quantification of $P(x)$, which is $\exists xP(x)$, is true.

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- Let $Q(x)$ denote the statement “ $x = x + 1$.” What is the truth value of the quantification $\exists x Q(x)$, where the domain consists of all real numbers?

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- Let $Q(x)$ denote the statement “ $x = x + 1$.” What is the truth value of the quantification $\exists x Q(x)$, where the domain consists of all real numbers?
- Because **$Q(x)$ is false** for every real number x , the existential quantification of $Q(x)$, which is $\exists x Q(x)$, is false.

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- When all elements in the domain can be listed—say, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n —the existential quantification $\exists x P(x)$ is the same as the **disjunction** $P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \vee \dots \vee P(x_n)$, because this **disjunction is true if and only if at least one of $P(x_1), P(x_2), \dots, P(x_n)$ is true.**
- What is the truth value of $\exists x P(x)$, where $P(x)$ is the statement “ $x^2 > 10$ ” and the universe of discourse consists of the positive integers not exceeding 4?

THE EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

- What is the truth value of $\exists xP(x)$, where $P(x)$ is the statement “ $x^2 > 10$ ” and the universe of discourse consists of the positive integers not exceeding 4?
- Because the domain is $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the proposition $\exists xP(x)$ is the same as the disjunction $P(1) \vee P(2) \vee P(3) \vee P(4)$.
- Because **$P(4)$** , which is the statement “ **$4 \times 4 > 10$** ,” is true, it follows that **$\exists xP(x)$ is true**.

THE UNIQUENESS QUANTIFIER

- Uniqueness quantifier, denoted by $\exists!$ or \exists_1 .
- The notation $\exists!xP(x)$ [or $\exists_1xP(x)$] states “**There exists a unique x such that $P(x)$ is true.**” (Other phrases for uniqueness quantification include “**there is exactly one**” and “**there is one and only one.**”)
- For instance, $\exists!x(x - 1 = 0)$, where the domain is the set of real numbers, states that **there is a unique real number x such that $x - 1 = 0$.**

THE UNIQUENESS QUANTIFIER

- Uniqueness quantifier, denoted by $\exists!$ or \exists_1 .
- The notation $\exists!xP(x)$ [or $\exists_1xP(x)$] states “**There exists a unique x such that $P(x)$ is true.**” (Other phrases for uniqueness quantification include “**there is exactly one**” and “**there is one and only one.**”)
- For instance, $\exists!x(x - 1 = 0)$, where the domain is the set of real numbers, states that **there is a unique real number x such that $x - 1 = 0$.**
- This is a **true** statement, as **$x = 1$ is the unique real number such that $x - 1 = 0$.**

Quantifiers with Restricted Domains

- An abbreviated notation is often used to restrict the domain of a quantifier.

$$\forall x < 0 (x^2 > 0), \forall y \neq 0 (y^3 \neq 0), \text{ and } \exists z > 0 (z^2 = 2)$$

- The domain in each case consists of the real numbers

$$\forall x < 0 (x^2 > 0)$$

- The square of a negative real number is positive

$$\forall x (x < 0 \rightarrow x^2 > 0)$$

Quantifiers with Restricted Domains

- An abbreviated notation is often used to restrict the domain of a quantifier.

$$\forall x < 0 (x^2 > 0), \forall y \neq 0 (y^3 \neq 0), \text{ and } \exists z > 0 (z^2 = 2)$$

- The domain in each case consists of the real numbers

$$\forall y \neq 0 (y^3 \neq 0)$$

- The cube of every nonzero real number is nonzero.

$$\forall y (y \neq 0 \rightarrow y^3 \neq 0).$$

Quantifiers with Restricted Domains

- An abbreviated notation is often used to restrict the domain of a quantifier.

$\forall x < 0 (x^2 > 0)$, $\forall y \neq 0 (y^3 \neq 0)$, and $\exists z > 0 (z^2 = 2)$

- The domain in each case consists of the real numbers

$\exists z > 0 (z^2 = 2)$

- There is a positive square root of 2.

$\exists z (z > 0 \wedge z^2 = 2)$

Precedence of Quantifiers

- The quantifiers \forall and \exists have **higher precedence than all logical operators** from propositional calculus.
- $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x)$ means ?

Precedence of Quantifiers

- The quantifiers \forall and \exists have **higher precedence than all logical operators** from propositional calculus.
- $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x)$ means $(\forall x P(x)) \vee Q(x)$

Binding Variables

- When a **quantifier is used on the variable x** , we say that this occurrence of the variable is **bound**.
- An occurrence of a variable that is not bound by a quantifier is said to be **free**.
- The part of a logical expression to which a quantifier is applied is called the **scope of this** quantifier.
- $\exists x(x + y = 1)$ identify the bound and free variable?

Binding Variables

- When a **quantifier is used on the variable x** , we say that this occurrence of the variable is **bound**.
- An occurrence of a variable that is not bound by a quantifier is said to be **free**.
- The part of a logical expression to which a quantifier is applied is called the **scope of this** quantifier.
- $\exists x(x + y = 1)$ identify the bound and free variable?
 x is bound, but y is free.

Logical Equivalences Involving Quantifiers

- Definition: Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are logically equivalent **if and only if they have the same truth value no matter which predicates are substituted into these statements and which domain of discourse is used** for the variables in these propositional functions.
- We use the notation $S \equiv T$ to indicate that two statements S and T involving predicates and quantifiers are logically equivalent.

Logical Equivalences Involving Quantifiers

- Show that $\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ and $\forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)$ are logically equivalent.

Logical Equivalences Involving Quantifiers

- Suppose we have **particular predicates P and Q**, with a **common domain**.
- We can show that $\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ and $\forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)$ are logically equivalent by **doing two things**.
- First, we show that **if $\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true**, then **$\forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)$ is true**.
- Second, we show that **if $\forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)$ is true**, then **$\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true**.

Logical Equivalences Involving Quantifiers

- First, we show that **if $\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true**, then $\forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)$ is true.
- Suppose that $\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true.
- This means that **if a is in the domain, then $P(a) \wedge Q(a)$ is true**. Hence, **$P(a)$ is true and $Q(a)$ is true**. Because $P(a)$ is true and $Q(a)$ is true **for every element in the domain**, we can conclude that **$\forall xP(x)$ and $\forall xQ(x)$ are both true**.
- This means that $\forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)$ is true.

Logical Equivalences Involving Quantifiers

- Second, we show that **if $\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$ is true, then $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true.**
- Suppose that $\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$ is true.
- It follows that $\forall x P(x)$ is true and $\forall x Q(x)$ is true. Hence, if a is in the domain, then $P(a)$ is true and $Q(a)$ is true.
- It follows that **for all a , $P(a) \wedge Q(a)$ is true.**

$$\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$$

Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider the negation of the statement “**Every student in your class has taken a course in calculus.**”
- This statement is a universal quantification, namely,
$$\forall x P(x),$$
- where **P(x)** is the statement “**x has taken a course in calculus**” and **the domain** consists of **the students in your class**.
- What is the negation of this statement ?

Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider the negation of the statement “**Every student in your class has taken a course in calculus.**”
- This statement is a universal quantification, namely,
 $\forall x P(x)$,
- where $P(x)$ is the statement “**x has taken a course in calculus**” and **the domain** consists of **the students in your class**.
- It is not the case that every student in your class has taken a course in calculus
“**There is a student in your class who has not taken a course in calculus.**”

$$\exists x \neg P(x)$$

Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider the negation of the statement “**Every student in your class has taken a course in calculus.**”
- This statement is a universal quantification, namely,
 $\forall x P(x)$,
- where $P(x)$ is the statement “**x has taken a course in calculus**” and **the domain** consists of **the students in your class**.
- It is not the case that every student in your class has taken a course in calculus
“**There is a student in your class who has not taken a course in calculus.**”

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

Negating Quantified Expressions

Prove that $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$.

Negating Quantified Expressions

Prove that $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$.

- $\neg \forall x P(x)$ is true if and only if $\forall x P(x)$ is false.
- $\forall x P(x)$ is false if and only if there is an element x in the domain for which $P(x)$ is false.
- This holds if and only if there is an element x in the domain for which $\neg P(x)$ is true.
- Finally, note that there is an element x in the domain for which $\neg P(x)$ is true if and only if $\exists x \neg P(x)$ is true.
- Putting these steps together, we can conclude that $\neg \forall x P(x)$ is true if and only if $\exists x \neg P(x)$ is true.
- It follows that $\neg \forall x P(x)$ and $\exists x \neg P(x)$ are logically equivalent.

Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider the negation of the statement **“There is a student in this class who has taken a course in calculus.”**
- This statement is a existential quantification, namely,

$$\exists x Q(x)$$

where **$Q(x)$** is the statement **“ x has taken a course in calculus”** and **the domain** consists of **the students in your class**.

- What is the negation of this statement ?

Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider the negation of the statement **“There is a student in this class who has taken a course in calculus.”**
- This statement is a existential quantification, namely,

$$\exists x Q(x)$$

where **$Q(x)$** is the statement **“ x has taken a course in calculus”** and **the domain** consists of **the students in your class.**

- The negation of this statement is the proposition **“It is not the case that there is a student in this class who has taken a course in calculus. ”**

“Every student in this class has not taken calculus”

Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider the negation of the statement **“There is a student in this class who has taken a course in calculus.”**
- This statement is a existential quantification, namely,

$$\exists x Q(x)$$

where **$Q(x)$** is the statement **“ x has taken a course in calculus”** and **the domain** consists of **the students in your class**.

- The negation of this statement is the proposition **“It is not the case that there is a student in this class who has taken a course in calculus.”**

“Every student in this class has not taken calculus”

$$\forall x \neg Q(x)$$

Negating Quantified Expressions

Prove that $\neg \exists x Q(x) \equiv \forall x \neg Q(x)$.

Negating Quantified Expressions

Prove that $\neg \exists x Q(x) \equiv \forall x \neg Q(x)$.

$\neg \exists x Q(x)$ is true if and only if $\exists x Q(x)$ is false.

No x exists in the domain for which $Q(x)$ is true if and only if $Q(x)$ is false for every x in the domain.

$Q(x)$ is false for every x in the domain if and only if $\neg Q(x)$ is true for all x in the domain, which holds if and only if $\forall x \neg Q(x)$ is true.

Negating Quantified Expressions

TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers.

<i>Negation</i>	<i>Equivalent Statement</i>	<i>When Is Negation True?</i>	<i>When False?</i>
$\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every x , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.	$P(x)$ is true for every x .

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What is the negation of the statement “**There is an honest politician**”?

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What is the negation of the statement “**There is an honest politician**”?
- $H(x)$: “ x is honest.”
- $\exists x H(x)$: There is an honest politician, where the domain consists of all politicians.
- $\neg \exists x H(x)$ equal to $\forall x \neg H(x)$
- “**Every politician is dishonest.**”

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What is the negation of the statement “**All Americans eat cheeseburgers**”?

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What is the negation of the statement “**All Americans eat cheeseburgers**”?
- $C(x)$: “x eats cheeseburgers.”
- $\forall x C(x)$: “All Americans eat cheeseburgers”
- $\neg \forall x C(x)$ equivalent to $\exists x \neg C(x)$
- “**Some American does not eat cheeseburgers.**”

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What are the negations of the statements

$$\forall x(x^2 > x)$$

$$\exists x(x^2 = 2)$$

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What are the negations of the statements

$$\forall x(x^2 > x)$$

$$\neg \forall x(x^2 > x)$$

$$\exists x \neg (x^2 > x)$$

$$\exists x(x^2 \leq x)$$

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What are the negations of the statements

$$\exists x(x^2 = 2)$$

$$\neg \exists x(x^2 = 2)$$

$$\forall x \neg (x^2 = 2)$$

$$\forall x(x^2 \neq 2)$$

Negating Quantified Expressions

- What are the negations of the statements

$$\exists x(x^2 = 2)$$

$$\neg \exists x(x^2 = 2)$$

$$\forall x \neg (x^2 = 2)$$

$$\forall x(x^2 \neq 2)$$

Translating from English into Logical Expressions

- Express the statement “**Every student in this class has studied calculus**” using predicates and quantifiers.
- “**For every student x in this class, x has studied calculus.**”
- $C(x)$: “ x has studied calculus.”
- The **domain** for x consists of **the students in the class**

$$\forall x C(x)$$

Translating from English into Logical Expressions

- Express the statement “**Every student in this class has studied calculus**” using predicates and quantifiers.
- “**For every person x , if person x is a student in this class then x has studied calculus.**”
- The **domain** for x consists of **the students in the class**
- **$S(x)$** : “Person x is in this class”
- **$C(x)$** : “ x has studied calculus.”

Translating from English into Logical Expressions

- Express the statement “**Every student in this class has studied calculus**” using predicates and quantifiers.
- “**For every person x , if person x is a student in this class then x has studied calculus.**”
- The **domain** for x consists of **the students in the class**
- $S(x)$: “Person x is in this class”
- $C(x)$: “ x has studied calculus.”

$$\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow C(x))$$

Nested Quantifiers

- One quantifier is within the scope of another.

$$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$$

says that for every real number x there is a real number y such that $x + y = 0$. (additive inverse)

$$\forall x \forall y (x + y = y + x)$$

says that $x + y = y + x$ for all real numbers x and y .
(commutative law for addition)

The Order of Quantifiers

- $P(x, y)$: “ $x + y = y + x$.” What are the truth values of the quantifications $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ and $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$ where the domain for all variables consists of all real numbers?
- **Both are true.**
- The order of nested universal quantifiers in a statement without other quantifiers **can be changed without changing the meaning** of the quantified statement.

The Order of Quantifiers

- Let $Q(x, y)$ denote “ $x + y = 0$.” What are the truth values of the quantifications $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ and $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$, where the domain for all variables consists of all **real numbers**?
- $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ denotes the proposition “**There is a real number y such that for every real number x , $Q(x, y)$.**”

The Order of Quantifiers

- Let $Q(x, y)$ denote “ $x + y = 0$.” What are the truth values of the quantifications $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ and $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$, where the domain for all variables consists of all real numbers?
- $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ denotes the proposition “There is a real number y such that for every real number x , $Q(x, y)$.”
- No matter what value of y is chosen, there is only one value of x for which $x + y = 0$. Because there is no real number y such that $x + y = 0$ for all real numbers x , the statement $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ is false.

The Order of Quantifiers

- Let $Q(x, y)$ denote “ $x + y = 0$.” What are the truth values of the quantifications $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ and $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$, where the domain for all variables consists of all **real numbers**?
- $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$ denotes the proposition “**For every real number x there is a real number y such that $Q(x, y)$.**”

The Order of Quantifiers

- Let $Q(x, y)$ denote “ $x + y = 0$.” What are the truth values of the quantifications $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ and $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$, where the domain for all variables consists of all **real numbers**?
- $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$ denotes the proposition “**For every real number x there is a real number y such that $Q(x, y)$.**”
- Given a real number x , there is a real number y such that $x + y = 0$; namely, **$y = -x$** . Hence, the statement $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$ is **true**.

The Order of Quantifiers

- Let $Q(x, y, z)$ be the statement “ $x + y = z$.” What are the truth values of the statements $\forall x \forall y \exists z Q(x, y, z)$ and $\exists z \forall x \forall y Q(x, y, z)$, where the domain of all variables consists of **all real numbers**?

The Order of Quantifiers

- Let $Q(x, y, z)$ be the statement “ $x + y = z$.” What are the truth values of the statements $\forall x \forall y \exists z Q(x, y, z)$ and $\exists z \forall x \forall y Q(x, y, z)$, where the domain of all variables consists of **all real numbers**?
- $\forall x \forall y \exists z Q(x, y, z)$: “For all real numbers x and for all real numbers y there is a real number z such that $x + y = z$,” - **True**

The Order of Quantifiers

- Let $Q(x, y, z)$ be the statement “ $x + y = z$.” What are the truth values of the statements $\forall x \forall y \exists z Q(x, y, z)$ and $\exists z \forall x \forall y Q(x, y, z)$, where the domain of all variables consists of **all real numbers**?
- $\exists z \forall x \forall y Q(x, y, z)$: “There is a real number z such that for all real numbers x and for all real numbers y it is true that $x + y = z$,” - **False**

The Order of Quantifiers

TABLE 1 Quantifications of Two Variables.

<i>Statement</i>	<i>When True?</i>	<i>When False?</i>
$\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$	$P(x, y)$ is true for every pair x, y .	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	For every x there is a y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	There is an x such that $P(x, y)$ is false for every y .
$\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$	There is an x for which $P(x, y)$ is true for every y .	For every x there is a y for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\exists y \exists x P(x, y)$	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	$P(x, y)$ is false for every pair x, y .

Translating Mathematical Statements into Statements Involving Nested Quantifiers

- Translate the statement **“The sum of two positive integers is always positive”** into a logical expression.

Translating Mathematical Statements into Statements Involving Nested Quantifiers

- Translate the statement “**The sum of two positive integers is always positive**” into a logical expression.
- “For every two integers, if these integers are both positive, then the sum of these integers is positive.”
- “For all positive integers x and y , $x + y$ is positive.”
- $\forall x \forall y ((x > 0) \wedge (y > 0) \rightarrow (x + y > 0))$, where the **domain** for both variables consists of all **integers**.
- $\forall x \forall y (x + y > 0)$, where the **domain** for both variables consists of all **positive integers**.

Translating Mathematical Statements into Statements Involving Nested Quantifiers

- Translate the statement “**Every real number except zero has a multiplicative inverse.**” (A multiplicative inverse of a real number x is a real number y such that $xy = 1$.)

Translating Mathematical Statements into Statements Involving Nested Quantifiers

- Translate the statement “**Every real number except zero has a multiplicative inverse.**” (A multiplicative inverse of a real number x is a real number y such that $xy = 1$.)
- “For every real number x except zero, x has a multiplicative inverse.”
- “For every real number x , if $x \neq 0$, then there exists a real number y such that $xy = 1$.”
- $\forall x((x \neq 0) \rightarrow \exists y(xy = 1))$

Translating from Nested Quantifiers into English

- Translate the statement $\forall x(C(x) \vee \exists y(C(y) \wedge F(x, y)))$ into English,
- where **$C(x)$** is “ **x has a computer**”
- **$F(x, y)$** is “ **x and y are friends**”
- **The domain** for both x and y consists of **all students in your school**.

Translating from Nested Quantifiers into English

- Translate the statement $\forall x(C(x) \vee \exists y(C(y) \wedge F(x, y)))$ into English,
- where $C(x)$ is “**x has a computer**”
- $F(x, y)$ is “**x and y are friends**”
- **The domain** for both x and y consists of **all students in your school**.
- For every student x in your school, x has a computer or there is a student y such that y has a computer and x and y are friends.
- **Every student in your school has a computer or has a friend who has a computer.**

Translating from Nested Quantifiers into English

- Translate the statement into English

$$\exists x \forall y \forall z ((F(x, y) \wedge F(x, z) \wedge (y = z)) \rightarrow \neg F(y, z))$$

- **F(a,b)** means **a and b are friends** and the **domain** for **x, y, and z** consists of **all students in your school**.

Translating from Nested Quantifiers into English

- Translate the statement into English

$$\exists x \forall y \forall z ((F(x, y) \wedge F(x, z) \wedge (y \neq z)) \rightarrow \neg F(y, z))$$

- **F(a,b)** means **a and b are friends** and the **domain** for x, y, and z consists of **all students in your school**.
- If students x and y are friends, and students x and z are friends, and furthermore, if y and z are not the same student, then y and z are not friends.
- There is a student x *such that for all* students y and all students z *other than y, if x and y are friends and x and z are friends, then y and z are not friends*.
- There is a case such that, if two students have a common friend then the students are not mutual friends.

Translating English Sentences into Logical Expressions

- Express the statement “**If a person is female and is a parent, then this person is someone’s mother**” as a logical expression involving predicates, quantifiers with a domain consisting of all people, and logical connectives.
- **$F(x)$** to represent “ **x is female,” $P(x)$ to represent “ **x is a parent,” and $M(x, y)$ to represent “ **x is the mother of y .**”****

Translating English Sentences into Logical Expressions

- Express the statement “If a person is female and is a parent, then this person is someone’s mother” as a logical expression involving predicates, quantifiers with a domain consisting of all people, and logical connectives.
- **F(x)** to represent “x is female,” **P(x)** to represent “x is a parent,” and **M(x, y)** to represent “x is the mother of y.”
- $\forall x((F(x) \wedge P(x)) \rightarrow \exists y M(x, y))$

Negating Nested Quantifiers

- Statements involving nested quantifiers can be negated by successively applying the rules for negating statements involving a single quantifier.
- Express the negation of the statement $\forall x \exists y (xy = 1)$ so that no negation precedes a quantifier.

TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers.

<i>Negation</i>	<i>Equivalent Statement</i>	<i>When Is Negation True?</i>	<i>When False?</i>
$\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every x , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.	$P(x)$ is true for every x .

Negating Nested Quantifiers

- Statements involving nested quantifiers can be negated by successively applying the rules for negating statements involving a single quantifier.
- Express the negation of the statement $\forall x \exists y (xy = 1)$ so that no negation precedes a quantifier.
- $\neg \forall x \exists y (xy = 1) = \exists x \neg \exists y (xy = 1) = \exists x \forall y \neg (xy = 1)$
- $\exists x \forall y (xy \neq 1)$

Negating Nested Quantifiers

- Use quantifiers to express the statement that **“There does not exist a woman who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.”**
- $P(w, f)$ is “ w has taken f ” and $Q(f, a)$ is “ f is a flight on a .”

Negating Nested Quantifiers

- Use quantifiers to express the statement that **“There does not exist a woman who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.”**
- $\neg \exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$, where $P(w, f)$ is “w has taken f” and $Q(f, a)$ is “f is a flight on a.”

Negating Nested Quantifiers

- $\neg \exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$, where $P(w, f)$ is “w has taken f” and $Q(f, a)$ is “f is a flight on a.”

$$\neg \exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$$

$$\equiv \forall w \neg \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$$

$$\equiv \forall w \exists a \neg \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$$

$$\equiv \forall w \exists a \forall f \neg (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$$

$$\equiv \forall w \exists a \forall f (\neg P(w, f) \vee \neg Q(f, a)).$$

- “For every woman there is an airline such that for all flights, this woman has not taken that flight or that flight is not on this airline.”

Reference

- **Rosen, Kenneth H., and Kamala Krithivasan. Discrete mathematics and its applications: with combinatorics and graph theory. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 2016.**