# Searching and Sorting

in Python

November 28, 2022

### **Administrative Notes**

A reminder that the final exam is Monday, December 19, 2022 from 3:30 - 5:30 pm in the classroom

#### Project 3 is out.

- It involves the use of Jupyter Notebooks to solve an Artificial Intelligence problem
- It's due Wednesday, December 14 before midnight
- We'll be talking about it during every remaining lecture
- Please do not wait until December 12 to start.
  - If you come to me on December 12 and say that you cannot get Jupyter Notebooks to run on your computer, that will not be a good sign

# Searching and sorting

Why do we care about them so much?

- They're common problems that happen a lot when you're dealing with giant datasets - which is happening more and more
- They've been studied a lot, for decades, and we now know that there are good solutions and not-so-good solutions

\_

## First, Searching

This happens \*a lot\* in computer science - in a way, it's how Google got rich We'll simplify the process to illustrate the principles:

- We're going to search a Python list for a specified value
- All lists are going to contain only integers
  - But the principles apply regardless of your data

### A long list of integers

```
import random
Code: nums = []
    length = int(input("how many numbers in the list?"))
    for i in range(length):
        nums.append(random.randint(1,1000000))
The List: print(nums)
```

[63235, 909657, 213738, 524781, 782837, 538957, 826029, 569339, 787505, 607449, 323143, 950157, 364441, 5508, 683898, 821711, 850789, 123268, 86392, 893582, 514801, 901787, 516386, 291951, 621488, 84650, 192842, 555013, 88127, 860928, 445408, 486647, 266054, 265450, 937358, 159121, 477167, 260612, 521104, 512932, 113106, 399472, 810371, 983559, 970939, 771883, 188522, 322171, 46881, 555802, 456408, 485306, 241569, 213156, 892385, 199540, 593192, 187611, 295321, 216583, 613342, 186072, 759204, 592838, 20678, 586948, 183504, 329238, 617203, 448421, 191400, 39777, 525165, 536724, 10812, 208995, 983209, 361426, 13724, 686053, 263874, 54074, 124680, 936770, 237193, 217521, 696018, 683375, 864473, 517445, 926260, 994578, 90109, 653833, 993103, 293910, 621710, 193828, 696601, 864474, 632079, 342640, 282573, 71425, 488586, 347545, 332389, 553196, 865441, 879996, 116220, 808194, 374743, 872214, 45740, 905528, 178274, 135424, 540837, 440221, 415130, 765922, 268481, 230925, 300634, 867538, 426981, 219531, 158665, 425201, 320926, 624786, 14771, 343009, 598511, 777464, 31521, 909069, 229042, 724522, 267154, 300803, 533873, 527568, 120905, 536946, 665060, 871403, 105264, 881816, 815959, 359837, 585848, 844930, 937431, 721043, 86641, 929996, 90942, 553128, 428913, 197676, 299399, 881245, 329788, 295315, 426409, 201905, 736769, 179395, 774971, 952509, 491852, 540079, 912848, 634250, 992521, 495394, 295918, 436589, 778505, 805887, 69627, 983871, 528763, 372299, 804158, 885350, 904318, 145038, 240707, 15564, 788024, 312137, 783727, 666788, 26733, 856713, 243607, 926752]

Question: is 50000 in the list?

# Searching - linear and binary

Linear search is what python uses as a default

Go through the list, one item at a time, in order from first element to last

Stop when you find the right element, or return failure if you never find it

It works, but it's not efficient

### Linear search: code

```
comparisons = 0

found = False

while (comparisons < len(nums)) and not found:

  if nums[comparisons] == 50000:

    found = True

  comparisons += 1

print("it took ", comparisons, " comparisons to find the answer ")</pre>
```

## But maybe you're going to be lucky:

```
comparisons = 0

found = False

while (comparisons < len(nums)) and not found:

  if nums[comparisons] == : #whatever number is the first one in the list
     found = True

  comparisons += 1

print("it took ", comparisons, " comparisons to find the answer ")</pre>
```

### Linear search: the bottom line

In the best case, you will find the number you're looking for in ONE comparison "Do you feel lucky?"

In the worst case, you will have to examine every number in the list before you can find the number you're looking for, or know that it's not there

Probability theory - on average, you will look at HALF the values in the list before you find what you're looking for.

If there are n values in the list, you will make n/2 comparisons to search

### Binary Search

If the list is already sorted, we can search much more efficiently.

Go to the middle element of the list. list [len(list)//2]

Is this greater than the element we're searching for? If it is, just look at the first half of the original list. If not, just look at the right half. If this element is exactly what we're looking for, stop.

So now we have a *recursive call* to a list half the size of the original list

We'll look at the code in a minute, but we'll find the element we're looking for, or find it isn't there, in log(base2) of the length of the original list operations.

## Binary search - a simple example

[1,3,5,7,9, 11,13,15]

#### Searching for 12

- is this element(9) the one I'm looking for? No 9 != 12
  - So take the right half of the list
  - Element halfway through 13
    - Is it == 12? No, it's greater than 12
    - Take the left half of the list
  - Element halfway through 11 not == 12, check 9 not == 12, end
  - We did not find the number
  - 4 comparisons to get our answer
    - This is the worst case!!!

### A minor variation

[1,3,5,7,9]

Look for 7

Middle element: 5. 5!=7; 7 > 5; take the right half of the list [7,9]

Worst case: pick 9; 9 != 7; pick 7 - success

3 comparisons

[1,5,3,9,0] search for 0

### Code for binary search

```
def binary search (numbers, target):
 if len(numbers)//2 == 0:
    return -1
 if numbers[len(numbers)//2] == target:
    return len(numbers)//2
 elif numbers[len(numbers)//2] > target:
    return binary search(numbers[:len(numbers)//2], target)
 else
    return binary search(numbers[len(numbers)//2:], target)
```

Note that this is a recursive function. This is one of the algorithms that's easier to understand in a recursive implementation

### Results

On average: linear search will find the value in n/2 comparisons

Binary search will find the value in log(base2) of n comparisons

# Sorting

So, now we know why we want to sort the values in a list

- Because it makes searching for a specific value so much cheaper and more efficient
- We only have to sort once, then we can search for the life of the program

#### TANSTAAFL - There Ain't No Such Thing As A Free Lunch

- Heinlein, "The Moon is a Harsh Mistress", 1966
- In computer science: if you want the algorithm to be easy to understand, it's going to cost more in time, memory etc.
- If you want fast & small, it's going to be more complex
- "Fast, cheap, good pick two."

# Now, let's talk about sorting lists of elements

All of our examples tonight use integers, but it all works the same way. As long as all elements in the list are of the same type, you can sort them into an order.

An easy one to understand - bubble sort.

Suppose you have a list of integers:

[4, -2, 19, 944, 27, 3]

You can go through the list and compare each pair of numbers. If the first one is larger than the second one, swap them.

When you have gone through the list one time, you will have "bubbled" the largest

Element up to the end of the list.

# An example - first, on the slide; then some coding

Original list: [4, -2, 19, 944, 27, 3]

Bubble sort - "bubble" the largest number left each time to the end of the list

- -2, 4, 19, 944, 27, 3
- -2, 4, 19, 27, 944, 3
- -2, 4, 19, 27, 3, 944

<sup>-2, 4, 19, 3, 27, 944</sup> 

We can write bubble sort as an iterative function, or as a recursive one

```
if (numbers[i]>numbers[i+1]):
                                               #swap the numbers - we'll use a
                                      temp
def iterative bubble sort (numbers):
                                               temp = numbers[i]
# go through the entire list of numbers
                                               numbers[j] = numbers [j+1]
 for i in range(len(numbers)-1,0, -1):
                                               numbers[i+1] = temp
    for i in range(i):
                                        return(numbers)
#makes sure you leave off the last value
#every time because it's already got the
#biggest value
```

### Recursive Bubble Sort

```
def recursive bubble sort (numbers):
 if len(numbers) <= 1:</pre>
    return(numbers)
 else:
    #bubble the largest number to the
end
    for i in range(len(numbers)-1):
      if numbers[j] > numbers[j+1]:
         temp = numbers[j]
         numbers[j] = numbers[j+1]
         numbers[j+1] = temp
```

```
#then recursively call the function
with
    #all but the last element of the
list
    new nums =
recursive_bubble_sort(numbers[:-1])
    #add the last element back on
    sorted_list = new nums +
numbers[-1:]
    return(sorted list)
```

# Stopping the sort

The list is sorted if there are no swaps made during a pass through the list

Think about why

The previous code continues through even after the list is sorted.

We can be more efficient by converting the outer "for" loop into a "while" loop and using a boolean flag

Let's look at the code

[4, -2, 5, 19, 27, 944]

[-2, 4, 5, 19, 27, 944]

In general: n\*n/2 comparisons to sort the list

1,000,000 items in the list

n\*n/2 = 500,000,000,000!!!

### Selection Sort

Now, a different type of sorting.

This time, we're going to search through the entire list to find the smallest element, and then swap it with the first element in the list.

We then go through the rest of the list and select the smallest remaining element. We put this into the next available slot, and repeat until the list is sorted

We "select" the smallest element from the list, and thus this called a

...selection sort

# Selection sort example

[4, -2, 5, 944, 27, 3]

Swap -2 with the first element

[-2, 4, 5, 944, 27, 3]

[-2, 3, 5, 944, 27, 4]

[-2, 3, 4, 944, 27, 5]

[-2, 3, 4, 5, 27, 944]

### Selection sort, on paper and as an iterative function

```
Original list :[ 4, -2, 19, 944, 27, 3]
-2, 4, 19, 944, 27, 3
-2, 3, 19, 944, 27, 4
-2, 3, 4, 944, 27, 19
-2, 3, 4, 19, 27, 944
```

```
def iterative selection sort(numbers):
 for i in range(len(numbers)):
 #find the smallest element remaining in the
 #unsorted list. Start by presuming it's the
 #first element
    smallest = i
    for j in range(i + 1, len(numbers)):
      if numbers[smallest] > numbers[j]:
         smallest = i
    # When the loop is done, we know that smallest is
    # the index of the smallest value. Swap it
    # with the first element
    temp = numbers[smallest]
    numbers[smallest] = numbers[i]
    numbers[i] = temp
```

## Can we implement \*this\* as a recursive function?

```
# now swap the smallest element found with the
first

temp = nums[0]
 nums[0] = nums[index_of_smallest]
 nums[index_of_smallest] = temp
  #now make the recursive call with the first
  #element stripped out
  r = recursive_selection_sort(nums[1:])
  results = nums[:1] + r
  return results
```

# You cannot stop selection sort early

You can stop bubble sort early BECAUSE you can keep track of how many swaps you've made each time through and you KNOW that if go through without making any swaps, you're done.

Not true for selection sort. All you can do is go through the list, and know that the first value is the smallest. You don't know anything about any other values in the list.

### One more sorting algorithm: QuickSort

The idea here: pick an element in the list. Call this the "pivot"

Sort the list so that every item less than the pivot is before the pivot - "to the left of" the pivot, if you will

Every item greater than the pivot will be to the right - after the pivot in the list.

Note that there is no guarantee the items to the left and to the right of the pivot will be in any order at all.

So you have to recursively call the quicksort routine on the left side of the pivot, and then on the right.

Spoiler alert: this is called quicksort because it works faster than the other algorithms

# A paper example before the code

Original list: [4, -2, 19, 944, 27, 3]

Less: -2, 3

Equal: 4

Greater: 19, 944, 27

Recursive call: Less; Greater

### Quicksort code - this works best as a recursive function

```
def quicksort(list of nums):
                                        #define three empty lists, for elements
 #base case - a list of length one is greater than the pivot, less than the pivot,
sorted
                                       and equal to the pivot
 if len(list_of_nums) <= 1:</pre>
                                            less = []
    return(list_of_nums)
                                            equal = []
  #recursive case
                                            greater =[]
  else:
    #pick a pivot - the first element
    pivot = list of nums[0]
```

### Quicksort (continued)

```
# go through the list and put each
element in the proper list
    for i in range(len(list_of_nums)):
        if list_of_nums[i] > pivot:

greater.append(list_of_nums[i])
```

```
elif list_of_nums[i] == pivot:

equal.append(list_of_nums[i])
    else:
        less.append(list_of_nums[i])
    results = quicksort(less) +
equal + quicksort(greater)
    return(results)
```

### Quicksort - Performance

On average: depending on your luck, the pivot will be in about the middle of the elements after they're sorted

- Half the elements go into less
- Half the elements go into greater
- First time: n comparison
- Second time: n/2 + n/2
- Third time: n/4 + n/4 + n/4 + n/4
- How many times do you recursively call the function?

Binary search:

N, n/2, n/4 - stop when you get to 1

log(base2) n is when you get to 1

On average: this takes n \* log(n) comparisons

It is theoretically possible: you pick the worst possible pivot every time; and everything goes into less; nothing greater

P(worst pivot) = 1/n

P(worst pivot every single time) =  $(1/n)^{**}n$ 

If that happens: n\*\*2 comparisons