

ciphart

memory-hard key derivation with easier measurable security

caveman

January 10, 2021

*argon2*¹ is mostly nice, but trying to interpret its contribution to enhancing the protection against password brute-forcing attacks remains more difficult than it should be. this is a problem that is also shared with every other key derivation function that i've known so far.

when one uses *argon2*, his derived key will surely have superior protection against password brute-forcing attacks, but by how much? to answer this, one would need to survey the industry that manufactures application-specific integrated circuits to obtain a map between *time* and *money*. the centre of my thesis is that this part is not nice, because i found that life can be easier.

so i propose *ciphart* — a memory-hard key derivation function with a security contribution that is measured in a unit that i propose: *relative entropy bits*. this unit is measured objectively and is guaranteed to be true irrespective of whatever alien technology the adversary might have.

libciphart² — a library that implements *ciphart* very closely to this paper, without much fluff. this should make integrating *ciphart* into other systems more convenient. **ciphart**³ — a tool for encrypting and decrypting files that makes use of **libciphart**. latter tool is meant to be used by end-users or scripts.

content

1	ciphart	1
1.1	parameters	1
1.2	internal variables	1
1.3	output	1
1.4	steps	1
2	parallelism	1
3	memory-hardness	1
4	security interpretation	1
5	comparison	1
6	summary	1

1 ciphart

1.1 parameters

enc	encryption function. this also defines C . e.g. if enc is <i>xchacha20</i> , then $C = 64$ bytes to reflect its block size.
p	password.
s	salt.
L	number of memory lanes for concurrency.
M	total memory in bytes, increased to nearest multiple of $2CL$.
T	number of C -bytes tasks per lane segment.
R	number of rounds per task.
B	added security in <i>relative entropy bits</i> .
K	output key size in bytes.

1.2 internal variables

\hat{M}	$\leftarrow M - (M \bmod 2CL) + 2CL$. this is to ensure that it is in multiples of $2CL$.
G	$\leftarrow \hat{M}/C/T/L$; total number of segments per lane.
\hat{B}	$\leftarrow \begin{cases} B & \text{if } B \geq \log_2(GLTR) \\ \log_2(GLTR) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ this is to ensure that \hat{B} is large enough to have at least one pass over the \hat{M} bytes memory.
m_i	C bytes memory for i^{th} task in \hat{M} -bytes pad.
n_l	$\leftarrow lG$; nonce variable for l^{th} lane with at least 64 bits.
f	\leftarrow false ; a flag indicating whether the \hat{M} -bytes pad is filled.

1.3 output

k K -bytes key with $\geq B$ *relative entropy bits*.

1.4 steps

shown in algorithm 1.

2 parallelism

3 memory-hardness

4 security interpretation

5 comparison

6 summary

¹<https://github.com/P-H-C/phc-winner-argon2>

²<https://github.com/Al-Caveman/libciphart>

³<https://github.com/Al-Caveman/ciphart>

algorithm 1: ciphart version 6

```
1 while true do
2   for  $g = 0, 1, \dots, G - 1$  do
3     for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, L - 1$  do
4       for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, T - 1$  do
5         for  $r = 0, 1, \dots, R - 1$  do
6            $i \leftarrow gT + t$ ;
7           if  $t = 0$  then
8              $j \leftarrow i + T - 1$ ;
9           else if  $t = T - 1$  then
10             $j \leftarrow i + 1 - T$ ;
11          else
12             $j \leftarrow i + 1$ ;
13           $m_j \leftarrow \text{enc}(m_i, n_l, k)$ ;
14           $n_l \leftarrow n_l + 1$ ;
15           $k \leftarrow f(m_j, p, l, s, t)$ ;
16        if  $f = \text{true}$  and  $\log_2(n_1 L) \geq B$  then
17          go to line 19;
18       $f \leftarrow \text{true}$ ;
19 while true do
20   for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, L$  do
21     if  $\text{len}(k) \geq K$  then return  $k[0 : K]$ ;
22      $n \leftarrow n + 1$ ;
23      $k \leftarrow k \parallel \text{enc}(m_{l,S,T}[1], n, k)$ ;
```
