PHILOSOPHIÆ NATURALIS PRINCIPIA MATHEMATICA

Al Caveman

January 26, 2016

Contents

Chapter 1

What are numbers

There are 4 number sets, insane $\mathbb{I}$, less insane $\mathbb{L}$, sane $\mathbb{S}$ and more sane $\mathbb{M}$. We use insane and less insane numbers only to define sane and more sane numbers. Then we never use those insane numbers any more.

In other words, we need insanity to define sanity, but once we have sanity then we are on roll and can never look back at our insane past (unless we become insane).

1.1 Insane numbers ($\mathbb{I}$)

$\mathbb{I}$ is essentially what the powerful community of mathematicians names the set of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. This community seem to have defined it in a way that it has no limits in many ways. They call it an infinitely uncountable set. Totally crazy, yet they call it *real*. We call it *insane* and use it briefly only to define two numbers after which we immediately jump back to our saner reality.

Why do we need them? Only to define two numbers as follows:

- I define that there is a function $f : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbb{I}$ that for any mass $m \in \mathbb{I}$, it returns the maximum number ever representable by m. Then I define MAX_NUM = maxnum(UNIVERSE_MASS), which is the largest number ever representable by the material universe. Self explanatory, no need for excess rigor.

- Likewise, MIN_NUM = minnum(UNIVERSE_MASS) is the smallest number that is greater than zero ever representable by the material universe.

That's it. We don't need $\mathbb{I}$ for anything else (other than operations that apply on them, like addition, multiplication, etc)

1.2 Less insane numbers ($\mathbb{L}$)

$\mathbb{L}$ is essentially what the powerful community of mathematicians calls the set of natural numbers.

1.3 Sane numbers ($\mathbb{S}$)

Welcome back to sanity:

$$\mathbb{S} = \{i : i \in \mathbb{L}, -\text{MAX\_NUM} \leq i \leq \text{MAX\_NUM}\}$$

where the impossible becomes possible:

- Yes there is a maximum number: MAX_NUM.

- Yes the cardinality of $\mathbb{S}$ is known: $|\mathbb{S}| = 1 + 2\,\text{MAX\_NUM}$.

1.4 More sane numbers ($\mathbb{M}$)

Welcome to more sanity:

$$\mathbb{M} = \{i : i \in \mathbb{L}, -\text{MAX\_NUM} \leq i\,\text{MIN\_NUM} \leq \text{MAX\_NUM}\}$$

where more impossible becomes possible:

- Yes infinitesimals exist: MIN_NUM (also known as the universe pixel).

- Yes there is a maximum number: MAX_NUM.

- Yes there is a minimum number: MIN_NUM.

- Yes the cardinality of $\mathbb{M}$ is known: $|\mathbb{M}| = 1 + 2\frac{\text{MAX\_NUM}}{\text{MIN\_NUM}}$.

Chapter 2

Integration

The goal here is to integrate the area under $f(x)$ from when $x = 0$ until we reach $x = x_{end}$. What follows here is bunch of steps that shows my thinking process because *shadowdaemon* asked for it (and also because I am happy now).

2.1 Areas of Lots of Extremely Tiny Rectangular Columns

So to integrate $f(x) = x^2$ we have to keep summing extremely skinny columns[1] of, each of width d.

$$(x + d - x)(x)^2+$$
$$(x + 2d - (x + d))(x + d)^2+$$
$$(x + 3d - (x + 2d))(x + 2d)^2+$$
$$(x + 4d - (x + 3d))(x + 3d)^2+$$
$$(x + 5d - (x + 4d))(x + 4d)^2+$$
$$(x + 6d - (x + 5d))(x + 5d)^2+$$
$$(x + 7d - (x + 6d))(x + 6d)^2+$$
$$(x + 8d - (x + 7d))(x + 7d)^2+$$
$$(x + 9d - (x + 8d))(x + 8d)^2+$$
$$(x + 10d - (x + 9d))(x + 9d)^2+$$
$$(x + 11d - (x + 10d))(x + 10d)^2+$$
$$\ldots$$

You see, if d is extremely tiny (near zero), then we will have to sum an infinite number of those tiny skinny areas. But for simplicity I put ... instead.

---

[1]**Note:** *fefelix* of *freenode/#gentoo-chat-exile* tried to look smart by attacking my rigor by saying that the term *skinny columns* is wrong and that it must be replaced by the term *infinitesimal* (facepalm moment here). He also tried to look even smarter by using the phrase *In the realm of* $\mathbb{R}$. Obviously, any half-assed mathematician knows that $\mathbb{R}$ has only a single infinitesimal which is zero. Yep, zero bitch. So the term *infinitesimal* is absolutely wrong in this context, thus even worse than *skinny columns*. The only exception is if *fefelix* wishes to live in the 1600s.

We can simplify things:

$$d(x)^2+$$
$$d(x+d)^2+$$
$$d(x+2d)^2+$$
$$d(x+3d)^2+$$
$$d(x+4d)^2+$$
$$d(x+5d)^2+$$
$$d(x+6d)^2+$$
$$d(x+7d)^2+$$
$$d(x+8d)^2+$$
$$d(x+9d)^2+$$
$$d(x+10d)^2+$$
$$\dots$$

Let's expand those squares:

$$d(x)(x)+$$
$$d(x+d)(x+d)+$$
$$d(x+2d)(x+2d)+$$
$$d(x+3d)(x+3d)+$$
$$d(x+4d)(x+4d)+$$
$$d(x+5d)(x+5d)+$$
$$d(x+6d)(x+6d)+$$
$$d(x+7d)(x+7d)+$$
$$d(x+8d)(x+8d)+$$
$$d(x+9d)(x+9d)+$$
$$d(x+10d)(x+10d)+$$
$$\dots$$

Let's multiply them square bitches:

$$dx^2+$$
$$d(x^2 + 2dx + d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 4dx + 4d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 6dx + 9d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 8dx + 16d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 10dx + 25x^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 12dx + 36d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 14dx + 49d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 16dx + 64d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 18dx + 81d^2)+$$
$$d(x^2 + 20dx + 100d^2)+$$
$$\dots$$

Let's now multiply those bitches with d so that the shit gets spread even more:

$$dx^2+$$
$$dx^2 + 2d^2x + d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 4d^2x + 4d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 6d^2x + 9d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 8d^2x + 16d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 10d^2x + 25x^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 12d^2x + 36d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 14d^2x + 49d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 16d^2x + 64d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 18d^2x + 81d^3+$$
$$dx^2 + 20d^2x + 100d^3+$$
$$\dots$$

2.2 Approximating the Area

Now this is a critical point. Below is basically saying that each row is an approximation for the area under $f(x)$ from $x = 0$ till $x = x_{end}$. So the 1st one is a shit approximation where were approximate the area under that curve by only one big fat column; so d is so huge here, in fact $d = x_{end}$.

Then, the 2nd line show a slightly less shit approximation where we approximate the area under the curve by two fat ass rectangular columns. So here $d = \frac{x_{end}}{2}$.

So the approximation of the area under the curve gets more and more accurate in each line.

$$dx^2$$
$$2dx^2 + 2d^2x + d^3$$
$$3dx^2 + 6d^2x + 5d^3$$
$$4dx^2 + 12d^2x + 14d^3$$
$$5dx^2 + 20d^2x + 30d^3$$
$$6dx^2 + 30d^2x + 55d^3$$
$$7dx^2 + 42d^2x + 91d^3$$
$$8dx^2 + 56d^2x + 140d^3$$
$$9dx^2 + 72d^2x + 204d^3$$
$$10dx^2 + 90d^2x + 285d^3$$
$$11dx^2 + 110d^2x + 385d^3$$

So basically, you see there is a pattern. The coefficient of the 1st term is easy peasy (just incrementing from 1 to ∞). The coefficient from the 2nd term is kinda interesting, it follows the equation $(i^2 + i)$ where i is the line number. Note that we start counting lines from 0. So the 1st has $i = 0$ and the 2nd line has $i = 1$, etc. Finally, the last term is kinda cool, it follows the pattern $\frac{(i^2+i)(2i+1)}{6}$.

Now you may ask, how did I find these patterns? Well these are well known number series. You can look them up in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences[2].

So, the area under the curve of $f(x)$ from $x = 0$ up to x_{end}, by any d (and its corresponding i) is:

$$dx^2 + (i^2 + i)d^2x + \frac{(i^2 + i)(2i + 1)}{6}d^3$$

Now we are almost done. We know that $x = 0$, so we can cancel a few terms:

$$d0^2 + (i^2 + i)d^20 + \frac{(i^2 + i)(2i + 1)}{6}d^3$$
$$\frac{(i^2 + i)(2i + 1)}{6}d^3$$

(2.1)

Of course, we could've canceled those terms that multiply against zero earlier, but I didn't for random reasons. I just didn't. That's the randomness of life. But it's all mathematically correct as my caveman balls tell.

You can code a simple script that you give it x_{end} and d, by which it automatically finds $i = x_{end}/d$. You will notice that as d gets smaller, you end up approaching some limit after which reduction in d does not cause any change in the estimated area under the curve.

---

[2]http://oeis.org/

2.3   The Precise Area Under The Bitch

Now let's find the ultimate precision in the limit as $i \to \infty$ which also means that $d \to 0$. But how about not? Cause it's too hard to solve the limit when two variables are approaching different limits.

To simplify the limits in an easier way, let's represent i in terms of d and x_{end} as follows $i = x_{end}/d$. Then the same equation would become as follows:

$$\frac{((x_{end}/d)^2 + (x_{end}/d))(2(x_{end}/d) + 1)}{6}d^3$$

$$\frac{(\frac{x_{end}^2}{d^2} + \frac{x_{end}}{d})(\frac{2x_{end}}{d} + 1)}{6}d^3$$

$$\frac{(\frac{x_{end}^2}{d^2} + \frac{x_{end}}{d})(\frac{2x_{end}}{d} + 1)}{6}d^3$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{d}(\frac{x_{end}^2}{d} + x_{end})(\frac{2x_{end}}{d} + 1)}{6}d^3$$

$$\frac{(\frac{x_{end}^2}{d} + x_{end})(\frac{2x_{end}}{d} + 1)}{6}d^2$$

$$\frac{\frac{2x_{end}^3}{d^2} + \frac{x_{end}^2}{d} + \frac{2x_{end}^2}{d} + x_{end}}{6}d^2$$

$$\frac{\frac{2x_{end}^3 d^2}{d^2} + \frac{x_{end}^2 d^2}{d} + \frac{2x_{end}^2 d^2}{d} + x_{end}d^2}{6}$$

$$\frac{2x_{end}^3 + x_{end}^2 d + 2x_{end}^2 d + x_{end}d^2}{6}$$

Now, it's super easy. We have to find the limit of that equation as a single variable approaches 0 (we got rid of i). The equation becomes:

$$\frac{2x_{end}^3}{6}$$

$$\frac{x_{end}^3}{3}$$

That's it. Integration re-invented bitch :) — $\frac{x_{end}^2}{3}$.
Q.E. freaking DEE.

2.4   Generalizing That

Let's integrate x^c where $x, c \in \mathbb{R}$. How easy is that? Let's try.

So, back to the business of summing skinny columns:

$$d \times d^c+$$
$$d \times (2d)^c+$$
$$d \times (3d)^c+$$
$$d \times (4d)^c+$$
$$d \times (5d)^c+$$
$$\dots$$

Simplified to:

$$d \times d^c+$$
$$d \times 2^c \times d^c+$$
$$d \times 3^c \times d^c+$$
$$d \times 4^c \times d^c+$$
$$d \times 5^c \times d^c+$$
$$\dots$$

As we try to estimate that sum better we get:

$$nd \times (\frac{n(n+1)}{2})^c \times d^c$$

Chapter 3

Differentiation

Here we want to find the slope of $f(x)$ at point x. This is easy so I won't say much here.

Differentiate x^2.

$\frac{f(x+d)-f(x)}{x+d-x}$

$\frac{(x+d)^2-x^2}{x+d-x}$

$\frac{(x+d)^2-x^2}{d}$

$\frac{(x+d)(x+d)-x^2}{d}$

$\frac{x^2+xd+xd+d^2-x^2}{d}$

$\frac{x^2}{d} + \frac{2xd}{d} + \frac{d^2}{d} - \frac{x^2}{d}$

$\frac{x^2}{d} + 2x + d - \frac{x^2}{d}$

$2x + d$

Now, as $d \to 0$, it becomes $2x$. Done.

3.1 Theorems

My symbol for differentiation is diff. That's it. Now, if t, x, c are any numbers, except c is just a non-zero natural number, then:

Theorem 1. diff $tx^c = ctx^{c-1}$.

Okay let's do this... let's assume that $f(x) = tx^c$ for a wise reason that I need not explain. Just trust me that I am doing the right thing.

Proof.

$$\text{diff } f(x) = \frac{f(x+d) - f(x)}{(x+d) - x}$$

$$= \frac{f(x+d) - f(x)}{d}$$

$$= \frac{t(x+d)^c - tx^c}{d}$$

$$= \frac{t\left(\sum_{n=0}^{c} \binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^n\right) - tx^c}{d}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\sum_{n=0}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^n\right) - tx^c}{d}$$

$$= \frac{t\binom{c}{c-0} x^{c-0} d^0 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^n\right) - tx^c}{d}$$

$$= \frac{tx^c + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^n\right) - tx^c}{d}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^n}{d}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{c} \frac{t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^n}{d}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^{n-1}$$

$$= t\binom{c}{c-1} x^{c-1} d^{1-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^{n-1}$$

$$= tcx^{c-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^{n-1}$$

Then:

$$\lim_{d \to 0} tcx^{c-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{c} t\binom{c}{c-n} x^{c-n} d^{n-1} = tcx^{c-1}$$

OMG it's the Q.E.D. baby! $\qquad\square$

Chapter 4

Multivariate Stuff

4.1 Functions that are sum of other linear functions

Let's say that we got linear functions $b(n)$ and $c(m)$. By definition, this means that:

$$b(n) = c_1 + w_1 n \tag{4.1}$$

$$c(m) = c_2 + w_2 m \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 2. *If* $a(n, m) = b(n) + c(m)$, *then* $\lim_{(n,m) \to (x,y)} a(n, m) = \lim_{n \to x} b(n) + \lim_{m \to y} c(m)$

Proof. Limits of b and c:

$$\lim_{n \to x} b(n) = \lim_{n \to x} c_1 + w_1 n$$
$$= c_1 + w_1 x \tag{4.3}$$

$$\lim_{m \to y} c(m) = \lim_{n \to y} c_2 + w_2 m$$
$$= c_2 + w_2 y \tag{4.4}$$

Limit of a by theorem:

$$\lim_{(n,m) \to (x,y)} a(n, m) = c_1 + w_1 x + c_2 + w_2 y \tag{4.5}$$

By substitution we get expanded a:

$$a(n, m) = c_1 + w_1 n + c_2 + w_2 m \tag{4.6}$$

Limit of expanded a:

$$\lim_{(n,m) \to (x,y)} a(n, m) = \lim_{(n,m) \to (x,y)} c_1 + w_1 n + c_2 + w_2 m$$
$$= c_1 + w_1 x + c_2 + w_2 y \tag{4.7}$$

Guess wat, limit of expanded a is the same as the original one. Who could've thought? □

4.2   Less Gay Stuff

Let's say that we wish to differentiate $f(x, y) = x^2/y$. What does it mean? The slope exactly at point (x, y) that touches f? And by the way, my notation for that differentiation is: $\text{diff}^f_{(x,y)} f(x, y)$ which means differentiate f with respect to (x, y).

Obviously, there are infinitely many slopes cause we are dealing with surfaces (not curves). So in my logic, you