

**PUSS214210**

v. 0.1

---

Informal Review Protocol

---

Group 2

Responsible: Project management group

Authors: Project management group

2021-01-31

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preparation</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Picking reviewers . . . . .	3
2.2	Submitting a document for review . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Exectuion</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Document Criteria . . . . .	4
3.2	Code Criteria . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Reporting results and correcting</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Informal Review Meeting . . . . .	5
4.2	Correcting Eventual Errors . . . . .	5

### 1 Introduction

The goal of the informal review is to identify discrepancies between documents, grammatical errors and ambiguities. A document does *not* pass an informal review, it is only a system for detecting errors that would cause it to fail a formal review. Since most of the documents that are produced during a phase are dependant on other documents, the informal review covers every document written during the phase.

### 2 Preparation

#### 2.1 Picking reviewers

PG is responsible for picking a set of reviewers from the subgroups. Documents should be reviewed by 3 people from a group that has not authored the document. The reviewers are to do their reviews independently. Reviewers should be rotated for an even work distribution.

#### 2.2 Submitting a document for review

Every group makes sure that an up to date version of their document is available at latest 17:00 the day before the informal review meeting. Should the document be in an unfinished state at this time, authors will make a note covering what parts are unfinished. Unfinished parts of the document will be ignored by reviewers.

## 3 Execution

Documents and code have different criteria and should therefore be reviewed differently. Be sure to take notes whilst reading so that the authors can go over it later.

### 3.1 Document Criteria

- Is the document grammatically correct and adheres to our document standards?
- Does the document cover all the necessary points defined in the project tutorial?
- If the document is dependant on another document, do the contents of the document match? Meaning, is there any confusion as to how they relate to one and other.

### 3.2 Code Criteria

- Does the code follow the high level architecture defined by the System architecture group?
- Does the code adhere to our coding standard?
- Do comments follow the javadoc-convention?
- Does the software behave as expected?
- Is it possible to 'break' the software?

## **4 Reporting results and correcting**

### **4.1 Informal Review Meeting**

The result will be reported in a group-wide meeting. Every document gets 10-20 minutes of time. If the results warrant further discussion, the parties involved will continue the discussion after the meeting.

### **4.2 Correcting Eventual Errors**

Informal reviews are scheduled to give authors enough time to correct errors before the hand in-deadline for formal reviews.