

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Language Definition</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Terms . . . . .	4
1.1.1	Value Terms . . . . .	4
1.2	Type System . . . . .	4
1.2.1	Ground Effects . . . . .	4
1.2.2	Effect Po-Monoid Under a Effect Environment . . . . .	4
1.2.3	Types . . . . .	5
1.2.4	Type and Effect Environments . . . . .	5
1.2.5	Sub-typing . . . . .	6
1.2.6	Type Rules . . . . .	6
1.2.7	Ok Lemma . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Category Requirements</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	CCC . . . . .	8
2.2	Graded Pre-Monad . . . . .	8
2.2.1	Left Unit . . . . .	8
2.2.2	Right Unit . . . . .	9
2.2.3	Associativity . . . . .	9
2.3	Tensor Strength . . . . .	9
2.3.1	Left Naturality . . . . .	9
2.3.2	Right Naturality . . . . .	9
2.3.3	Unitor Law . . . . .	9
2.3.4	Commutativity with Join . . . . .	10
2.4	Commutativity with Unit . . . . .	10
2.5	Commutativity with $\alpha$ . . . . .	10
2.6	Sub-effecting . . . . .	10
2.6.1	Sub-effecting and Tensor Strength . . . . .	10
2.6.2	Sub-effecting and Monadic Join . . . . .	11
2.7	Sub-typing . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>Denotations</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	Helper Morphisms . . . . .	12
3.1.1	Diagonal and Twist Morphisms . . . . .	12
3.2	Denotations of Types . . . . .	12
3.2.1	Denotation of Ground Types . . . . .	12
3.2.2	Denotation of Polymorphic Types . . . . .	12
3.2.3	Denotation of Computation Type . . . . .	12
3.2.4	Denotation of Function Types . . . . .	12
3.2.5	Denotation of Type Environments . . . . .	12
3.2.6	Denotation of Value Terms . . . . .	12
3.2.7	Denotation of Computation Terms . . . . .	12

<b>4</b>	<b>Unique Denotations</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Reduced Type Derivation . . . . .	13
4.2	Reduced Type Derivations are Unique . . . . .	13
4.3	Each type derivation has a reduced equivalent with the same denotation. . . . .	16
4.4	Denotations are Equivalent . . . . .	19
<b>5</b>	<b>Weakening</b>	<b>20</b>
5.1	Effect Weakening Definition . . . . .	20
5.1.1	Relation . . . . .	20
5.1.2	Weakening Properties . . . . .	20
5.1.3	Effect Weakening Preserves $\text{Ok}$ . . . . .	20
5.1.4	Domain Lemma . . . . .	21
5.1.5	Weakening Preserves Effect Well-Formed-Ness . . . . .	21
5.1.6	Weakening Preserves Type-Well-Formed-Ness . . . . .	21
5.1.7	Corollary . . . . .	22
5.1.8	Effect Weakening preserves Type Relations . . . . .	22
5.2	Type Environment Weakening . . . . .	23
5.2.1	Relation . . . . .	23
5.2.2	Domain Lemma . . . . .	23
5.2.3	Theorem 1 . . . . .	24
5.2.4	Theorem 2 . . . . .	24
<b>6</b>	<b>Substitution</b>	<b>28</b>
6.1	Effect Substitutions . . . . .	28
6.1.1	Action of Effect Substitution on Effects . . . . .	28
6.1.2	Action of Effect Substitution on Types . . . . .	28
6.1.3	Action of Effect-Substitution on Type Environments . . . . .	29
6.1.4	Action of Effect Substitution on Terms . . . . .	29
6.1.5	Well-Formed-ness . . . . .	29
6.1.6	Property 1 . . . . .	29
6.1.7	Property 2 . . . . .	29
6.1.8	Property 3 . . . . .	30
6.2	Substitution Preserves the Well-formed-ness of Effects . . . . .	30
6.2.1	Effect Substitution preserves the sub-effect relation . . . . .	30
6.2.2	Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Types . . . . .	30
6.2.3	Substitution of effects preserves Sub-Typing Relation . . . . .	31
6.2.4	Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Type Environments . . . . .	31
6.2.5	Effect-Polymorphism Preserves the Typing Relation . . . . .	31
6.3	The Identity Substitution on Effect Environments . . . . .	33
6.3.1	Properties of the Identity Substitution . . . . .	33
6.4	Single Substitution on Effect Environments . . . . .	33
6.5	Term-Term Substitutions . . . . .	33
6.5.1	Substitutions as SNOC lists . . . . .	33
6.5.2	Trivial Properties of substitutions . . . . .	33
6.5.3	Action of substitutions . . . . .	34
6.5.4	Well-Formed-ness . . . . .	34
6.5.5	Simple Properties Of Substitution . . . . .	34
6.5.6	Substitution Preserves Typing . . . . .	35
6.5.7	Computation Terms . . . . .	36
6.6	The Identity Substitution on Type Environments . . . . .	38
6.6.1	Properties of the Identity Substitution . . . . .	38
6.7	Single Substitution on Type Environments . . . . .	38

<b>7</b>	<b>Beta Eta Equivalence (Soundness)</b>	<b>39</b>
7.1	Beta and Eta Equivalence . . . . .	39
7.1.1	Beta-Eta conversions . . . . .	39
7.1.2	Equivalence Relation . . . . .	39
7.1.3	Congruences . . . . .	40
7.2	Beta-Eta Equivalence Implies Both Sides Have the Same Type . . . . .	40
7.2.1	Equivalence Relations . . . . .	40
7.2.2	Beta-Eta conversions . . . . .	40
7.2.3	Congruences . . . . .	42

# Chapter 1

## Language Definition

### 1.1 Terms

Making the language no-longer differentiate between values and computations.

#### 1.1.1 Value Terms

$$\begin{aligned} v ::= & x \\ & | \lambda x : A. v \\ & | \mathbf{c}^A \\ & | () \\ & | \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \\ & | \Lambda \alpha. v \\ & | v \epsilon \\ & | \mathbf{if}_A v \mathbf{then} v_1 \mathbf{else} v_2 \\ & | v_1 v_2 \\ & | \mathbf{do} x \leftarrow v_1 \mathbf{in} v_2 \\ & | \mathbf{return} v \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

### 1.2 Type System

#### 1.2.1 Ground Effects

The effects should form a monotonous, pre-ordered monoid  $(E, \cdot, 1, \leq)$  with ground elements  $e$ .

#### 1.2.2 Effect Po-Monoid Under a Effect Environment

Derive a new Po-Monoid for each  $\Phi$ :

$$(E_\Phi, \cdot_\Phi, 1, \leq_\Phi) \tag{1.2}$$

Where meta-variables,  $\epsilon$ , range over  $E_\Phi$  Where

$$E_\Phi = E \cup \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\} \tag{1.3}$$

And

$$() \frac{\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_1 \cdot_\Phi \epsilon_2} \tag{1.4}$$

Otherwise,  $\cdot_\Phi$  is symbolic in nature.

$$\epsilon_1 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall \sigma \downarrow . \epsilon_1 [\sigma \downarrow] \leq \epsilon_2 [\sigma \downarrow] \quad (1.5)$$

Where  $\sigma \downarrow$  denotes any ground-substitution of  $\Phi$ . That is any substitution of all effect-variables in  $\Phi$  to ground effects. Where it is obvious from the context, I shall use  $\leq$  instead of  $\leq_{\Phi}$ .

### 1.2.3 Types

**Ground Types** There exists a set  $\gamma$  of ground types, including `Unit`, `Bool`

**Term Types**

$$A, B, C ::= \gamma \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon} A \mid \forall \alpha. A$$

### 1.2.4 Type and Effect Environments

A type environment is a snoc-list of tern-variable, type pairs,  $G ::= \diamond \mid \Gamma, x : A$ . An effect environment is a snoc-list of effect-variables.

$$\Phi ::= \diamond \mid \Phi, \alpha$$

**Domain Function on Type Environments**

- $\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset$
- $\text{dom}(\Gamma, x : A) = \text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{x\}$

**Membership of Effect Environments** Informally,  $\alpha \in \Phi$  if  $\alpha$  appears in the list represented by  $\Phi$ .

**Ok Predicate On Effect Environments**

- $(\text{Atom})_{\diamond \text{Ok}}$
- $(A)_{\frac{\Phi \text{Ok} \quad \alpha \notin \Phi}{\Phi, \alpha \text{Ok}}}$

**Well-Formed-ness of effects** We define a relation  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ .

- $(\text{Ground})_{\frac{\Phi \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash e}}$
- $(\text{Var})_{\frac{\Phi, \alpha \text{Ok}}{\Phi, \alpha \vdash \alpha}}$
- $(\text{Weaken})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash \alpha}{\Phi, \beta \vdash \alpha}} \text{ (if } \alpha \neq \beta \text{)}$
- $(\text{Monoid Op})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1 \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon_2}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}}$

**Well-Formed-ness of Types** We define a relation  $\Phi \vdash \tau$  on types.

- $(\text{Ground})_{\Phi \vdash \gamma}$
- $(\text{Lambda})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash A \quad \Phi \vdash B}{\Phi \vdash A \rightarrow B}}$
- $(\text{Computation})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon} A}}$
- $(\text{For-All})_{\frac{\Phi, \alpha \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \forall \alpha. A}}$

**Ok Predicate on Type Environments** We now define a predicate on type environments and effect environments:  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$

- (Nil)  $\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon \text{Ok}}$
- (Var)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok} \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \quad \Phi \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \text{Ok}}$

### 1.2.5 Sub-typing

There exists a sub-typing pre-order relation  $\leq_\gamma$  over ground types that is:

- (Reflexive)  $\frac{}{A \leq_\gamma A}$
- (Transitive)  $\frac{A \leq_\gamma B \quad B \leq_\gamma C}{A \leq_\gamma C}$

We extend this relation with the function and effect-lambda sub-typing rules to yield the full sub-typing relation under an effect environment,  $\Phi, \leq_\Phi$

- (ground)  $\frac{A \leq_\gamma B}{A \leq_\Phi B}$
- (Fn)  $\frac{A \leq_\Phi A' \quad B' \leq_\Phi B}{A' \rightarrow B' \leq_\Phi A \rightarrow B}$
- (All)  $\frac{A \leq_\Phi A'}{\forall \alpha. A \leq_\Phi \forall \alpha. A'}$
- (Effect)  $\frac{A \leq_\Phi B \quad \epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2}{M_{\epsilon_1} A \leq_\Phi M_{\epsilon_2} B}$

### 1.2.6 Type Rules

- (Const)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok} \quad \Phi \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash C^A : A}$
- (Unit)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash () : \text{Unit}}$
- (True)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}}$
- (False)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}}$
- (Var)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash x : A}$
- (Weaken)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash x:A \quad \Phi \vdash B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, y:B \vdash x:A} \text{ (if } x \neq y \text{)}$
- (Fn)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash v:\beta}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. v : A \rightarrow B}$
- (Sub)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A \quad A \leq_\Phi B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:B}$
- (Effect-Abs)  $\frac{\Phi, \alpha \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A}$
- (Effect-apply)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \epsilon : A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Return)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A}$
- (Apply)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow M_\epsilon B \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 \ v_2 : M_\epsilon B}$
- (If)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{if } v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A}$
- (Do)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}$

### 1.2.7 Ok Lemma

If  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  then  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ .

**Proof** If  $\Gamma, x : A \text{Ok}$  then by inversion  $\Gamma \text{Ok}$ . Only the type rule **Weaken** adds terms to the environment from its preconditions to its post-condition and it does so in an **Ok** preserving way. Any type derivation tree has at least one leaf. All leaves are axioms which require  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ . And all non-axiom derivations preserve the **Ok** property.

## Chapter 2

# Category Requirements

### 2.1 CCC

The category at each index should be a cartesian closed category. That is it should have:

- A Terminal object  $1$
- Binary products
- Exponentials

Further more, it should have a co-product of the terminal object  $1$ . This is required for the beta-eta equivalence of **if-then-else** terms.

$$1 \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} A \xleftarrow{\text{inr}} 1$$

For each:

$$1 \xrightarrow{f} A \xleftarrow{g} 1$$

There exists unique  $[f, g] : 1 + 1 \rightarrow A$  such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ f \nearrow & \uparrow [f, g] & \nwarrow g \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} 1 + 1 \xleftarrow{\text{inr}} & 1 \end{array}$$

### 2.2 Graded Pre-Monad

The category should have a graded pre-monad. That is:

- An endo-functor indexed by the po-monad on effects:  $T : (\mathbb{E}, \cdot 1, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$
- A unit natural transformation:  $\eta : \text{Id} \rightarrow T_1$
- A join natural transformation:  $\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} : T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} \rightarrow T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}$

Subject to the following commutative diagrams:

#### 2.2.1 Left Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon \eta_A} & T_\epsilon T_1 A \\ & \searrow \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon, 1, A} \\ & & T_\epsilon A \end{array}$$



### 2.2.2 Right Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{\eta_{T_\epsilon A}} & T_1 T_1 A \\
 & \searrow \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow \mu_{1, \epsilon, A} \\
 & & T_\epsilon A
 \end{array}$$

### 2.2.3 Associativity

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} T_{\epsilon_3} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, T_{\epsilon_3} A}} & T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} T_{\epsilon_3} A \\
 \downarrow T_{\epsilon_1} \mu_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, A} & & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, A} \\
 T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3, A}} & T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} A
 \end{array}$$

## 2.3 Tensor Strength

The category should also have tensorial strength over its products and monads. That is, it should have a natural transformation

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} : A \times T_\epsilon B \rightarrow T_\epsilon(A \times B)$$

Satisfying the following rules:

### 2.3.1 Left Naturality

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times T_\epsilon f} & A \times T_\epsilon B' \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B'} \\
 T_\epsilon(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon(\text{Id}_A \times f)} & T_\epsilon(A \times B')
 \end{array}$$

### 2.3.2 Right Naturality

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon B}} & A' \times T_\epsilon B \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A', B} \\
 T_\epsilon(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon(f \times \text{Id}_B)} & T_\epsilon(A' \times B)
 \end{array}$$

### 2.3.3 Unitor Law

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \times T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, 1, A}} & T_\epsilon(1 \times A) \\
 & \searrow \lambda_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow T_\epsilon(\lambda_A) \\
 & & T_\epsilon A
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{Where } \lambda : 1 \times \text{Id} \rightarrow \text{Id} \text{ is the left-unitor. } (\lambda = \pi_2)$$

**Tensor Strength and Projection** Due to the left-unitor law, we can develop a new law for the commutativity of  $\pi_2$  with  $\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, \cdot, \cdot}$ ,

$$\pi_{2, A, B} = \pi_{2, 1, B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B)$$

And  $\pi_{2, 1}$  is the left unitor, so by tensorial strength:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\epsilon \pi_2 \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} &= T_\epsilon \pi_{2,1,B} \circ T_\epsilon (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} \\
&= T_\epsilon \pi_{2,1,B} \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,1,B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \\
&= \pi_{2,1,B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \\
&= \pi_2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

So the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B}} & T_\epsilon(A \times B) \\
& \searrow \pi_2 & \downarrow T_\epsilon \pi_2 \\
& & T_\epsilon B
\end{array}$$

### 2.3.4 Commutativity with Join

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1,A,T_{\epsilon_2}B}} & T_{\epsilon_1}(A \times T_{\epsilon_2} B) \xrightarrow{T_{\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_2,A,B}} T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2}(A \times B) \\
& \searrow \text{Id}_A \times \mu_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,B} & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,A \times B} \\
& & A \times T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2,A,B}} T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

## 2.4 Commutativity with Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \eta_B} & A \times T_\epsilon B \\
& \searrow \eta_{A \times B} & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} \\
& & T_\epsilon(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

## 2.5 Commutativity with $\alpha$

Let  $\alpha_{A,B,C} = \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \rangle : ((A \times B) \times C) \rightarrow (A \times (B \times C))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A \times B) \times T_\epsilon C & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,(A \times B),C}} & T_\epsilon((A \times B) \times C) \\
\downarrow \alpha_{A,B,T_\epsilon C} & & \downarrow T_\epsilon \alpha_{A,B,C} \\
A \times (B \times T_\epsilon C) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,B,C}} A \times T_\epsilon(B \times C) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,(B \times C)}} & T_\epsilon(A \times (B \times C))
\end{array} \quad \text{TODO: Needed?}$$

## 2.6 Sub-effecting

For each instance of the pre-order  $(\mathbb{E}, \leq)$ ,  $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ , there exists a natural transformation  $\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket : T_{\epsilon_1} \rightarrow T_{\epsilon_2}$  that commutes with  $\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,\cdot}$ :

### 2.6.1 Sub-effecting and Tensor Strength

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_{\epsilon_1} B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket_B} & A \times T_{\epsilon_2} B \\
\downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1,A,B} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_2,A,B} \\
T_{\epsilon_1}(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket_{A \times B}} & T_{\epsilon_2}(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

### 2.6.2 Sub-effecting and Monadic Join

Since the monoid operation on effects is monotone, we can introduce the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} & \xrightarrow{T_{\epsilon_1} \llbracket \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon'_2 \rrbracket_M} & T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon'_2} & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon'_1 \rrbracket_{M, T_{\epsilon'_2}}} & T_{\epsilon'_1} T_{\epsilon'_2} \\
\downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2,} & & & & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon'_1, \epsilon'_2,} \\
T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon'_1 \epsilon'_2 \rrbracket_M} & & & T_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2}
\end{array}$$

## 2.7 Sub-typing

The denotation of ground types  $\llbracket - \rrbracket_M$  is a functor from the pre-order category of ground types  $(\gamma, \leq : \gamma)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . This pre-ordered sub-category of  $\mathbb{C}$  is extended with the rule for function sub-typing to form a larger pre-ordered sub-category of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(Function Subtyping)} \frac{f = \llbracket A' \leq : A \rrbracket_M \quad g = \llbracket B \leq : B' \rrbracket_M \quad h = \llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket}{rhs = \llbracket A \rightarrow M_{\epsilon_1} B \leq : A' \rightarrow M_{\epsilon_2} B' \rrbracket_M : (T_{\epsilon_1} B)^A \rightarrow (T_{\epsilon_2} B')^{A'}} \\
& rhs = (h_{B'} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g)^{A'} \circ (T_{\epsilon_1} B)^f \\
& \quad = \text{cur}(h_{B'} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g \circ \text{app}) \circ \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ (\text{Id}_{T_{\epsilon_1} B^{A'}} \times f))
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

## Chapter 3

# Denotations

### 3.1 Helper Morphisms

#### 3.1.1 Diagonal and Twist Morphisms

In the definition and proofs (Especially of the the If cases), I make use of the morphisms twist and diagonal.

$$\tau_{A,B} : (A \times B) \rightarrow (B \times A) = \langle \pi_2, \pi_1 \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

$$\delta_A : A \rightarrow (A \times A) = \langle \text{Id}_A, \text{Id}_A \rangle \quad (3.2)$$

### 3.2 Denotations of Types

#### 3.2.1 Denotation of Ground Types

#### 3.2.2 Denotation of Polymorphic Types

#### 3.2.3 Denotation of Computation Type

#### 3.2.4 Denotation of Function Types

#### 3.2.5 Denotation of Type Environments

#### 3.2.6 Denotation of Value Terms

#### 3.2.7 Denotation of Computation Terms

## Chapter 4

# Unique Denotations

### 4.1 Reduced Type Derivation

A reduced type derivation is one where subtype and sub-effect rules must, and may only, occur at the root or directly above an **if**, or **apply** rule.

In this section, I shall prove that there is at most one reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ . Secondly, I shall present a function for generating reduced derivations from arbitrary typing derivations, in a way that does not change the denotations. These imply that all typing derivations of a type-relation have the same denotation.

### 4.2 Reduced Type Derivations are Unique

For each instance of the relation  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ , there exists at most one reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ . This is proved by induction over the typing rules on the bottom rule used in each derivation.

**Proof:** We induct on the structure of terms.

**Case Variables:** To find the unique derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A$ , we case split on the type-environment,  $\Gamma$ .

**Case  $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : A'$ :** Then the unique reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A$  is, if  $A' \leq_{\Phi} A$ , as below:

$$\text{(Subtype)} \frac{(\text{Var}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A' \text{Ok}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A' \vdash x : A'} A' \leq A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma', x : A' \vdash x : A} \quad (4.1)$$

**Case  $\Gamma = \Gamma', y : B$ :** with  $y \neq x$ .

Hence, if  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A$  holds, then so must  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A$ .

Let

$$\text{(Subtype)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A'} A' \leq A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \quad (4.2)$$

Be the unique reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A$ .

Then the unique reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A$  is:

$$\text{(Subtype)} \frac{(\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A' \vdash x : A'} A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A} \quad (4.3)$$

**Case Constants:** For each of the constants, ( $\mathbf{C}^A$ ,  $\mathbf{true}$ ,  $\mathbf{false}$ ,  $()$ ), there is exactly one possible derivation for  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash c : A$  for a given  $A$ . I shall give examples using the case  $\mathbf{C}^A$

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Const}) \frac{\Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A : A} \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A : B}$$

If  $A = B$ , then the subtype relation is the identity subtype ( $A \leq_{\Phi} A$ ).

**Case Lambda:** The reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.v : A' \rightarrow B'$  is:

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Lambda}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B} \quad A \rightarrow B \leq_{\Phi} A' \rightarrow B'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.v : A \rightarrow B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.v : A' \rightarrow B'}$$

Where

$$(\text{Sub-Type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B} \quad B \leq_{\Phi} B'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B'} \quad (4.4)$$

is the reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B'$  if it exists.

**Case Return:** The reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{return} v : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon} B$  is

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{return} v : \mathbf{M}_1 A} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{A \leq_{\Phi} B \quad \mathbf{1} \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon}{\mathbf{M}_1 A \leq_{\Phi} \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon} B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{return} v : B}$$

Where

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : B}$$

is the reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : B$

**Case Apply:** If

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad A \rightarrow B \leq_{\Phi} A' \rightarrow B'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A' \rightarrow B'}$$

and

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A''} \quad A'' \leq_{\Phi} A'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A'}$$

Are the reduced type derivations of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A' \rightarrow B'$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A'$

Then we can construct the reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon'} B'$  as

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad (\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A''} \quad A'' \leq_{\Phi} A'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : B} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{B \leq_{\Phi} B' \quad \epsilon \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon'}{\mathbf{M}_{\epsilon} B \leq_{\Phi} \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon'} B'}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon'} B'}$$

**Case If:** Let

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad B \leq_{\Phi} \mathbf{Bool}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \mathbf{Bool}} \quad (4.5)$$

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A'} \quad A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad (4.6)$$

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A''} A'' \leq A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A} \quad (4.7)$$

Be the unique reduced reduced derivations of  $\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ ,  $\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ .  
Then the only reduced derivation of  $\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A$  is:

**TODO: Scale this properly**

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{If}) \frac{(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : B} B \leq \text{Bool}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A'} A' \leq A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad (\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A''} A'' \leq A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A \quad \epsilon \leq \Phi \epsilon \quad A \leq \Phi A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (4.8)$$

**Case Bind:** Let

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{A \leq \Phi A' \quad \epsilon_1 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_1}{M_{\epsilon_1} A \leq \Phi M_{\epsilon'_1} A'}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon'_1} A'} \quad (4.9)$$

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{B \leq \Phi B' \quad \epsilon_2 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_2}{M_{\epsilon_2} B \leq \Phi M_{\epsilon'_2} B'}}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon'_2} B'} \quad (4.10)$$

Be the respective unique reduced type derivations of the sub-terms.

By weakening,  $\Phi \vdash \iota \times : \Gamma, x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : A'$  so if there's a derivation of  $\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : B$ , there's also one of  $\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : B$ .

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta''}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{B \leq \Phi B' \quad \epsilon_2 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_2}{M_{\epsilon_2} B \leq \Phi M_{\epsilon'_2} B'}}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon'_2} B'} \quad (4.11)$$

Since the effects monoid operation is monotone, if  $\epsilon_1 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_1$  and  $\epsilon_2 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_2$  then  $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2$

Hence the reduced type derivation of  $\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v - 2 : M_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2} B'$  is the following:

**TODO: Make this and the other smaller**

$$(\text{Type}) \frac{(\text{Bind}) \frac{(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{A \leq \Phi A' \quad \epsilon_1 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_1}{M_{\epsilon_1} A \leq \Phi M_{\epsilon'_1} A'}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon'_1} A'} \quad (\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta''}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{B \leq \Phi B' \quad \epsilon_2 \leq \Phi \epsilon'_2}{M_{\epsilon_2} B \leq \Phi M_{\epsilon'_2} B'}}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon'_2} B'}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v - 2 : M_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2} B'} \quad (4.12)$$

**Case Effect-Fn:** The unique reduced derivation of  $\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. A : \forall \alpha. B$  is

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{(\text{Effect-Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad \forall \alpha. A \leq \Phi \forall \alpha. B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. B : \forall \alpha. B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. B : \forall \alpha. B} \quad (4.13)$$

Where

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq \Phi, \alpha B}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad (4.14)$$

Is the unique reduced derivation of  $\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : B$

**Case Effect-App:** The unique reduced derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v \alpha : B'$  is

$$\text{(Subtype)} \frac{\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A[\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad A[\epsilon/\alpha] \leq_{\Phi} B'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v \alpha : B'} \quad (4.15)$$

Where  $B[\epsilon/\alpha] \leq_{\Phi} B'$  and

$$\text{(Subtype)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. B} \quad \text{(Quantification)} \frac{A \leq_{\Phi, \alpha} B}{\forall \alpha. A \leq_{\Phi} \forall \alpha. B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. B} \quad (4.16)$$

### 4.3 Each type derivation has a reduced equivalent with the same denotation.

We introduce a function, *reduce* that maps each valid type derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$  to a reduced equivalent with the same denotation. To do this, we do case analysis over the root type rule of a derivation and prove that the denotation is not changed.

**Case Constants:** For the constants **true**, **false**,  $\mathcal{C}^A$ , etc, *reduce* simply returns the derivation, as it is already reduced.

$$\text{reduce}((\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{C}^A : A}) = (\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathcal{C}^A : A}$$

**Case Var:**

$$\text{reduce}((\text{Var}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}) = (\text{Var}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \quad (4.17)$$

**Case Weaken:**

*reduce definition* To find:

$$\text{reduce}((\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, y : B \vdash x : A}) \quad (4.18)$$

Let

$$\text{(Subtype)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A} \quad A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A} = \text{reduce}(\Delta) \quad (4.19)$$

In

$$\text{(Subtype)} \frac{\text{(Weaken)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash x : A'} \quad A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, y : B \vdash x : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, y : B \vdash x : A} \quad (4.20)$$

**Case Lambda:**

*reduce definition* To find:

$$\text{reduce}((\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v : A \rightarrow \epsilon_2 B}) \quad (4.21)$$

Let

$$\text{(Sub-type)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B'} \quad B' \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B} = \text{reduce}(\Delta) \quad (4.22)$$



In

$$\text{(Sub-type)} \frac{(\text{Fn}) \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma, x:A \vdash v: \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} B'} A \rightarrow \epsilon_1 B' \leq_{\Phi} A \rightarrow \epsilon_2 B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v: A \rightarrow \epsilon_2 B} \quad (4.23)$$

**Case Subtype:**

*reduce definition* To find:

$$\text{reduce}((\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: A} A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: B}) \quad (4.24)$$

Let

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash x: A} A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash x: A} = \text{reduce}(\Delta) \quad (4.25)$$

In

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: A'} A' \leq_{\Phi} A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: B} \quad (4.26)$$

**Case Return:**

*reduce definition* To find:

$$\text{reduce}((\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{return} v: \mathbf{M}_1 A}) \quad (4.27)$$

Let

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: A'} A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: A} = \text{reduce}(\Delta) \quad (4.28)$$

In

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{(\text{Return}) \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: A} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{1 \leq_{\Phi} 1 \quad A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\mathbf{M}_1 A' \leq_{\Phi} \mathbf{M}_1 A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{return} v: \mathbf{M}_1 A} \quad (4.29)$$

**Case Apply:**

*reduce definition* To find:

$$\text{reduce}((\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1: A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2: A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2: B}) \quad (4.30)$$

Let

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1: A' \rightarrow B'} A' \rightarrow B' \leq_{\Phi} A \rightarrow \epsilon B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1: A \rightarrow B} = \text{reduce}(\Delta_1) \quad (4.31)$$

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v: A'} A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1: A} = \text{reduce}(\Delta_2) \quad (4.32)$$

In

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1: A' \rightarrow B'} \quad (\text{Sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2: A''} A'' \leq_{\Phi} A \leq_{\Phi} A'}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2: A'}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2: \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon'} B'} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{\epsilon' \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon \quad B' \leq_{\Phi} B}{\mathbf{M}_{\epsilon'} B' \leq_{\Phi} \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2: B} \quad (4.33)$$

**Case If:**

*reduce definition*

$$reduce((\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_3}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A}) = (\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{reduce(\Delta_1)}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{reduce(\Delta_2)}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{reduce(\Delta_3)}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (4.34)$$

**Case Bind:**

*reduce definition* To find

$$reduce((\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}) \quad (4.35)$$

Let

$$(\text{Sub-Type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon'_1} A'} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{\epsilon'_1 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_1 \quad A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{M_{\epsilon'_1} A' \leq_{\Phi} M_{\epsilon_1} A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} = reduce(\Delta_1) \quad (4.36)$$

Since  $\Phi \vdash i, \times : \Gamma, x : A' \triangleright \Gamma, x : A$  if  $A' \leq_{\Phi} A$ , and by  $\Delta_2$ ,  $\Phi | (\Gamma, x : A) \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B$ , there also exists a derivation  $\Delta_3$  of  $\Phi | (\Gamma, x : A') \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B$ .  $\Delta_3$  is derived from  $\Delta_2$  simply by inserting a (Sub-type) rule below all instances of the (Var) rule.

Let

$$(\text{Sub-effect}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon'_2} B'} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{\epsilon'_2 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_2 \quad B' \leq_{\Phi} B}{M_{\epsilon'_2} B' \leq_{\Phi} M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B} = reduce(\Delta_3) \quad (4.37)$$

Since the effects monoid operation is monotone, if  $\epsilon_1 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon'_1$  and  $\epsilon_2 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon'_2$  then  $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2$ . Then the result of reduction of the whole bind expression is:

$$(\text{Sub-Type}) \frac{(\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon'_1} A'} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A' \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon'_2} B'}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2} B} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \quad B' \leq_{\Phi} B}{M_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2} B' \leq_{\Phi} M_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (4.38)$$

**Case Effect-Fn:**

*reduce definition* To find

$$reduce((\text{Effect-Lambda}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A}) \quad (4.39)$$

Let

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A'} \quad A' \leq_{\Phi} A}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A} = reduce(\Delta_1) \quad (4.40)$$

in

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Effect-Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A'} \quad (\text{Quantification}) \frac{A' \leq_{\Phi, \alpha}}{\forall \alpha. A' \leq_{\Phi} \forall \alpha. A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (4.41)$$

**Case Effect-Application:**

*reduce* **definition** To find

$$reduce((\text{Effect-App}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A [\epsilon/\alpha]}) \quad (4.42)$$

Let

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A'} \quad (\text{Quantification}) \frac{A' \leq_{\Phi, \alpha} A}{\forall \alpha. A' \leq_{\Phi} \forall \alpha. A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} = reduce(\Delta_1) \quad (4.43)$$

In

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{E-app}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \Phi \vdash \epsilon \quad A' [\epsilon/\alpha] \leq_{\Phi} A [\epsilon/\alpha]}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A [\epsilon/\alpha]}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A [\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad (4.44)$$

## 4.4 Denotations are Equivalent

For each type relation instance  $\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A$  there exists a unique reduced derivation of the relation instance. For all derivations  $\Delta, \Delta'$  of the type relation instance,  $\llbracket \Delta \rrbracket_M = \llbracket reduce \Delta \rrbracket_M = \llbracket reduce \Delta' \rrbracket_M = \llbracket \Delta' \rrbracket_M$ , hence the denotation  $\llbracket \Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A \rrbracket_M$  is unique.

# Chapter 5

## Weakening

### 5.1 Effect Weakening Definition

Introduce a relation  $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi$  relating effect-environments.

#### 5.1.1 Relation

- (Id)  $\frac{\Phi \mathbf{Ok}}{\iota : \Phi \triangleright \Phi}$
- (Project)  $\frac{\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi}{\omega \pi : (\Phi', \alpha) \triangleright \Phi}$
- (Extend)  $\frac{\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi}{\omega \times : (\Phi', \alpha) \triangleright (\Phi, \alpha)}$

#### 5.1.2 Weakening Properties

#### 5.1.3 Effect Weakening Preserves Ok

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \Phi \mathbf{Ok} \Leftarrow \Phi' \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.1)$$

**Proof**

**Case:**  $\iota$

$$\Phi \mathbf{Ok} \wedge \iota : \Phi \triangleright \Phi \Leftarrow \Phi \mathbf{Ok}$$

**Case:**  $\omega \pi$  By inversion,

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi' \quad (5.2)$$

So, by induction,  $\Phi' \mathbf{Ok}$  and hence  $(\Phi', \alpha) \mathbf{Ok}$

**Case:**  $\omega \times$  By inversion,

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi' \quad (5.3)$$

So

$$(\Phi, \alpha) \mathbf{Ok} \Rightarrow \Phi \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi' \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.5)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\Phi', \alpha) \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.6)$$

$$(5.7)$$

#### 5.1.4 Domain Lemma

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \Rightarrow (\alpha \notin \Phi \Rightarrow \alpha \notin \Phi')$$

**Proof** By trivial Induction.

#### 5.1.5 Weakening Preserves Effect Well-Formed-Ness

If  $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi$  then  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon \implies \Phi' \vdash \epsilon$

**Proof** By induction over the well-formed-ness of effects

**Case Ground** By inversion,  $\Phi \text{Ok} \wedge \epsilon \in E$ . Hence by the ok-property,  $\Phi' \text{Ok}$  So  $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon$

**Case Var**  $\Phi = \Phi'', \alpha$

So either:

**Case:**  $\Phi' = \Phi''', \alpha$  So  $\omega = \omega' \times$  So  $\omega' : \Phi''' \triangleright \Phi''$ , and hence:

$$(\text{Var}) \frac{\Phi''', \alpha \text{Ok}}{\Phi''', \alpha \vdash \alpha} \quad (5.8)$$

**Case:**  $\Phi' = \Phi''', \beta$  and  $\beta \neq \alpha$

So  $\omega = \omega' \pi$

By induction,  $\omega' : \Phi''' \triangleright \Phi$  so

$$(\text{Weaken}) \frac{\Phi''' \vdash \alpha}{\Phi' \vdash \alpha} \quad (5.9)$$

**Case Weaken** By inversion,  $\Phi = \Phi'', \beta$ .

So  $\omega = \omega' \times$

And,  $\Phi' = \Phi''', \beta$  So By inversion  $\omega' : \Phi''' \triangleright \pi_1''$

So by induction

$$(\text{weak}) \frac{\Phi''' \vdash \alpha}{\Phi' \vdash \alpha} \quad (5.10)$$

**Case Monoid** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1$  and  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_2$ . So by induction,  $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon_1$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon_2$ , and so:

$$\Phi' \vdash \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \quad (5.11)$$

#### 5.1.6 Weakening Preserves Type-Well-Formed-Ness

If  $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi$  and  $\Phi \vdash A$  then  $\Phi' \vdash A$ .

**Proof:**

**Case Ground:** By inversion,  $\Phi \text{Ok}$ , hence by property 1 of weakening,  $\Phi' \text{Ok}$ . Hence  $\Phi' \vdash \gamma$ .

**Case Function:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash A, \Phi \vdash B$ . So by induction  $\Phi' \vdash A, \Phi' \vdash B$ , hence,

$$\Phi' \vdash A \rightarrow B$$

**Case Computation:** By inversion  $\Phi \vdash A$ , and  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ .  
 So by induction and the effect-well-formed-ness theorem,  
 $\Phi' \vdash A$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon$   
 So

$$\Phi' \vdash M_\epsilon A$$

**Case For All:** By inversion,  $\Phi, \alpha \vdash A$  Picking  $\alpha \notin \Phi'$  using  $\alpha$ -conversion.  
 So  $\omega \times : (\Phi', \alpha) \triangleright (\Phi, \alpha)$   
 So  $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash A$   
 So  $\Phi \vdash \forall \alpha. A$

### 5.1.7 Corollary

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \Phi \vdash \Gamma 0k \implies \Phi' \vdash \Gamma 0k$$

**Case Nil:** By inversion  $\Phi 0k$  so  $\Phi \vdash \diamond 0k$

**Case Var:** By inversion  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma 0k$ ,  $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Phi \vdash A$   
 So by induction  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma 0k$ , and  $\pi'_1 \vdash \Gamma 0k$   
 So  $\Phi' \vdash (\Gamma, x : A) 0k$

### 5.1.8 Effect Weakening preserves Type Relations

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \wedge \omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \implies \Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad (5.12)$$

**Proof:**

**Case Constants:** If  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma 0k$  then  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma 0k$  so:

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi' \vdash \Gamma 0k}{\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{C}^A : A} \quad (5.13)$$

**Case Variables:** If  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma 0k$  then  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma 0k$  so: So,  $\Phi' \mid G \vdash x : A$ , if  $\Phi \mid G \vdash x : A$

**Case Lambda:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B$ , so by induction  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B$ .  
 So,

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v : A \rightarrow B \quad (5.14)$$

**Case Apply:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ .  
 Hence by induction,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ .  
 So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{app } v_1 v_2 : B$$

**Case Return:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$   
 So by induction  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$   
 Hence  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A$

**Case Bind:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash \epsilon_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} A$ .  
Hence by induction  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$  and  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} A$ .  
So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B \quad (5.15)$$

**Case If:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ .  
Hence by induction  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ , and  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ .  
So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A \quad (5.16)$$

**Case Subtype:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ , and  $A \leq B$ .  
So by induction:  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ , and  $A \leq B$ .  
So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : B \quad (5.17)$$

**Case Effect-Lambda:** By inversion  $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$   
By picking  $\alpha \notin \Phi'$  using  $\alpha$ -conversion.

$$\omega \times : \Phi', \alpha \triangleright \Phi, \alpha \quad (5.18)$$

So by induction,  $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$   
Hence,

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall a. A \quad (5.19)$$

**Case Effect-Apply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$ , and  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ .  
So by induction,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$   
And by the well-formed-ness-theorem  $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon$   
Hence,

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v \epsilon : A [\epsilon/\alpha] \quad (5.20)$$

## 5.2 Type Environment Weakening

### 5.2.1 Relation

We define the ternary weakening relation  $\Phi \vdash w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$  using the following rules.

- (Id)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon : \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma}$
- (Project)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')}{\Phi \vdash \omega \pi : \Gamma, x : A \triangleright \Gamma}$
- (Extend)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma') \quad A \leq B}{\Phi \vdash w \times : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : B}$

### 5.2.2 Domain Lemma

If  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ , then  $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma')$ .

**Proof:**

**Case Id:** Then  $\Gamma' = \Gamma$  and so  $\text{dom}(\Gamma') = \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ .

**Case Project:** By inversion and induction,  $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma') \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma' \cup \{x\})$

**Case Extend:** By inversion and induction,  $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma')$  so

$$\text{dom}(\Gamma, x : A) = \text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{x\} \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma') \cup \{x\} = \text{dom}(\Gamma', x : A)$$

### 5.2.3 Theorem 1

If  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$  and  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$  then  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$

**Proof:**

**Case Id:**

$$(\text{Id}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi \vdash \iota : \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma}$$

By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$ .

**Case Project:**

$$(\text{Project}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')}{\Phi \vdash \omega \pi : \Gamma, x : A \triangleright \Gamma}$$

By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$  and  $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')$ .

Hence by induction  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$ ,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$ . Since  $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')$ , we have  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A \mathbf{0k}$ .

**Case Extend:**  $(\text{Extend}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma') \quad A \leq B}{\Phi \vdash \omega \times : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : B}$ ,

By inversion, we have

$\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ ,  $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')$ .

Hence we have  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$ ,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$ , and by the domain Lemma,  $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma')$ , hence  $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ . Hence, we have  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x : A \mathbf{0k}$  and  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A \mathbf{0k}$

### 5.2.4 Theorem 2

If  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  and  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$  then there is a derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash t : \tau$

**Proof:** We induct over the structure of typing derivations of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ , assuming  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$  holds.

**Case Var and Weaken:** We case split on the weakening  $\omega$ .

**Case:**  $\omega = \iota$  Then  $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ , and so  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A$  holds and the derivation  $\Delta'$  is the same as  $\Delta$

**Case:**  $\omega = \omega' \pi$  Then  $\Gamma' = (\Gamma'', x' : A')$  and  $\Phi \vdash \omega' : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma$ . So by induction, there is a tree,  $\Delta_1$  deriving  $\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash x : A$ , such that:

$$(\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'', x' : A' \vdash x : A} \quad (5.21)$$

**Case:**  $\omega = \omega' \times$  Then

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma''', x' : B \quad (5.22)$$

$$\Gamma = \Gamma'', x' : A' \quad (5.23)$$

$$B \leq A \quad (5.24)$$



**Case:**  $x = x'$  Then  $A = A'$ .

Then we derive the new derivation,  $\Delta'$  as so:

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{(\text{var}) \frac{\Phi | \Gamma''', x : B \vdash x : B}{B \leq A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \quad (5.25)$$

**Case:**  $x \neq x'$  Then

$$\Delta = (\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma''' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash x : A} \quad (5.26)$$

By induction with  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma''' \triangleright \Gamma''$ , we have a derivation  $\Delta_1$  of  $\Phi | \Gamma''' \vdash x : A$

We have the weakened derivation:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma''' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \quad (5.27)$$

**Case Constant:** The constant typing rules,  $()$ , **true**, **false**,  $\mathcal{C}^A$ , all proceed by the same logic. Hence I shall only prove the theorems for the case  $\mathcal{C}^A$ .

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Gamma 0k}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{C}^A : A} \quad (5.28)$$

By inversion, we have  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma 0k$ , so we have  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0k$ .

Hence

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0k}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}^A : A} \quad (5.29)$$

Holds.

**Case Lambda:** By inversion, we have a derivation  $\Delta_1$  giving

$$\Delta = (\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v : A \rightarrow B} \quad (5.30)$$

Since  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ , we have:

$$\Phi \vdash \omega \times : (\Gamma, x : A) \triangleright (\Gamma, x : A) \quad (5.31)$$

Hence, by induction, using  $\Phi \vdash \omega \times : (\Gamma, x : A) \triangleright (\Gamma, x : A)$ , we derive  $\Delta'_1$ :

$$\Delta' = (\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash v : B}}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash \lambda x : A. v : A \rightarrow B} \quad (5.32)$$

**Case Sub-typing:**

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad A \leq B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad (5.33)$$

by inversion, we have a derivation  $\Delta_1$

$$() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad (5.34)$$

So by induction, we have a derivation  $\Delta'_1$  such that:

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq B}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : B} \quad (5.35)$$

**Case Return:** We have the sub-derivation  $\Delta_1$  such that

$$\Delta = (\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A} \quad (5.36)$$

Hence, by induction, with  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ , we find the derivation  $\Delta'_1$  such that:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A} \quad (5.37)$$

**Case Apply:** By inversion, we have derivations  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that

$$\Delta = (\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : B} \quad (5.38)$$

By induction, this gives us the respective derivations:  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that

$$\Delta' = (\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 v_2 : B} \quad (5.39)$$

**Case If:** By inversion, we have the sub-derivations  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ , such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_3}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (5.40)$$

By induction, this gives us the sub-derivations  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \Delta'_3$  such that

$$\Delta' = (\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (5.41)$$

**Case Bind:** By inversion, we have derivations  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} B} \quad (5.42)$$

If  $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$  then  $\Phi \vdash \omega \times : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : A$ , so by induction, we can derive  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} B} \quad (5.43)$$

**Case Effect-Abstraction:** By inversion, we have derivation  $\Delta_1$  deriving

$$(\text{Effect-Abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (5.44)$$

By  $\alpha$ -conversion, we have  $\iota\pi : \Phi, \alpha \triangleright \Phi$ , So we have  $\Phi, \alpha \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$  so by induction, there exists  $\Delta_1$  deriving:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Effect-Abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma' \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (5.45)$$

**Case Effect-Application:** By inversion we have derivation  $\Delta_1$  deriving

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v \epsilon : A[\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad (5.46)$$

So by induction, we have  $\Delta'_1$  deriving

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v \epsilon : A[\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad (5.47)$$

# Chapter 6

## Substitution

We need to define substitutions of effects on effects, effects on types, effects on terms, terms on terms.

### 6.1 Effect Substitutions

Define a substitution,  $\sigma$  as

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, \alpha := \epsilon \quad (6.1)$$

Define the free-effect Variables of  $\sigma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} fev(\diamond) &= \emptyset \\ fev(\sigma, \alpha := \epsilon) &= fev(\sigma) \cup fev(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

We define the property:

$$\alpha \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow \alpha \notin (\text{dom}(\sigma) \cup fev(\sigma)) \quad (6.2)$$

#### 6.1.1 Action of Effect Substitution on Effects

Define the action of applying an effect substitution to an effect symbol:

$$\sigma(\epsilon) \quad (6.3)$$

$$\sigma(e) = e \quad (6.4)$$

$$\sigma(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) = (\sigma(\epsilon_1)) \cdot (\sigma(\epsilon_2)) \quad (6.5)$$

$$\diamond(\alpha) = \alpha \quad (6.6)$$

$$(\sigma, \beta := \epsilon)(\alpha) = \sigma(\alpha) \quad (6.7)$$

$$(\sigma, \alpha := \epsilon)(\alpha) = \epsilon \quad (6.8)$$

#### 6.1.2 Action of Effect Substitution on Types

Define the action of applying an effect substitution,  $\sigma$  to a type  $\tau$  as:

$$\tau[\sigma]$$

Defined as so

$$\gamma [\sigma] = \gamma \quad (6.9)$$

$$(A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B) [\sigma] = (A [\sigma]) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} (B [\sigma]) \quad (6.10)$$

$$(\mathbf{M}_\epsilon A) [\sigma] = \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} (A [\sigma]) \quad (6.11)$$

$$(\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma] = \forall \alpha. (A [\sigma]) \quad \text{If } \alpha \# \sigma \quad (6.12)$$

### 6.1.3 Action of Effect-Substitution on Type Environments

Define the action of effect substitution on type environments:

$$\Gamma [\sigma]$$

Defined as so:

$$\begin{aligned} \diamond [\sigma] &= \diamond \\ (\Gamma, x : A) [\sigma] &= (\Gamma [\sigma], x : (A [\sigma])) \end{aligned}$$

### 6.1.4 Action of Effect Substitution on Terms

Define the action of effect-substitution on terms:

$$x [\sigma] = x \quad (6.13)$$

$$\mathbf{C}^A [\sigma] = \mathbf{C}^{(A[\sigma])} \quad (6.14)$$

$$(\lambda x : A. C) [\sigma] = \lambda x : (A [\sigma]). (C [\sigma]) \quad (6.15)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2) [\sigma] = \text{if}_{\sigma(\epsilon), (A[\sigma])} v [\sigma] \text{ then } C_1 [\sigma] \text{ else } C_2 [\sigma] \quad (6.16)$$

$$(v_1 v_2) [\sigma] = (v_1 [\sigma]) v_2 [\sigma] \quad (6.17)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1 [\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2 [\sigma]) \quad (6.18)$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha. v) [\sigma] = \Lambda \alpha. (v [\sigma]) \quad \text{If } \alpha \# \sigma \quad (6.19)$$

$$(v \epsilon) [\sigma] = (v [\sigma]) \sigma(\epsilon) \quad (6.20)$$

$$(6.21)$$

### 6.1.5 Well-Formed-ness

For any two effect-environments, and a substitution, define the well-formed-ness relation:

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi \quad (6.22)$$

- (Nil)  $\frac{\Phi' \mathbf{Ok}}{\Phi' \vdash \diamond : \diamond}$
- (Extend)  $\frac{\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi \quad \Phi' \vdash \epsilon \quad \alpha \notin \Phi}{\Phi' \vdash \sigma, \alpha : \epsilon : (\Phi, \alpha)}$

### 6.1.6 Property 1

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  then  $\Phi' \mathbf{Ok}$  (By the Nil case) and  $\Phi \mathbf{Ok}$  Since each use of the extend case preserves  $\mathbf{Ok}$ .

### 6.1.7 Property 2

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  then  $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi' \implies \Phi'' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  since  $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon \implies \Phi'' \vdash \epsilon$  and  $\Phi' \mathbf{Ok} \implies \Phi'' \mathbf{Ok}$

### 6.1.8 Property 3

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  then

$$\alpha \notin \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi' \implies (\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma, \alpha := \alpha): (\Phi, \alpha) \quad (6.23)$$

Since  $\iota\pi: \Phi', \alpha \triangleright \Phi'$  so  $\Phi', \alpha \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  and  $\Phi', \alpha \vdash \alpha$

## 6.2 Substitution Preserves the Well-formed-ness of Effects

I.e.

$$\Phi \vdash \epsilon \wedge \Phi' \vdash \iota: \Phi \implies \Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon) \quad (6.24)$$

**Proof:**

**Case Ground:**  $\sigma(e) = e$ , so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon)$  holds.

**Case Multiply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1$  and  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_2$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_1)$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_2)$  by induction and hence  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2)$

**Case Var:** By inversion,  $\Phi = \Phi'', \alpha$  and  $\Phi'', \alpha \text{Ok}$ . Hence by case splitting on  $\iota$ , we see that  $\sigma = \sigma', \alpha := \epsilon$ .

So by inversion,  $\sigma \vdash \epsilon$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\alpha) = \epsilon$

**Case Weaken:** By inversion  $\Phi = \Phi'', \beta$  and  $\Phi'' \vdash \alpha$ , so  $\sigma = \sigma' \beta := \epsilon$ .

So  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma': \Phi''$ .

hence by induction,  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma'(a)$ , so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\alpha)$  since  $\alpha \neq \beta$

### 6.2.1 Effect Substitution preserves the sub-effect relation

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  and  $\epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2$ , then  $\epsilon_1[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} \epsilon_2[\sigma]$ .

**Proof:** For any ground substitution  $\sigma'$  of  $\Phi'$ , then  $\sigma\sigma'$  (the substitution  $\sigma'$  applied after  $\sigma$ ) is also a ground substitution.

So  $\epsilon_1[\sigma][\sigma'] \leq \epsilon_2[\sigma][\sigma']$ .

So  $\epsilon_1[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} \epsilon_2[\sigma]$ .

### 6.2.2 Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Types

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi \wedge \Phi \vdash A \implies \Phi' \vdash A[\sigma] \quad (6.25)$$

**Proof:**

**Case Ground:**  $\Phi' \text{Ok}$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \gamma$  and  $\gamma[\sigma] = \gamma$ .

Hence  $\Phi' \vdash \gamma[\sigma]$ .

**Case Lambda:** By inversion  $\Phi \vdash A$  and  $\Phi \vdash B$ .

So by induction,  $\Phi' \vdash A[\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash B[\sigma]$ .

So

$$\Phi' \vdash (A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma]) \quad (6.26)$$

So

$$\Phi' \vdash (A \rightarrow B)[\sigma] \quad (6.27)$$

**Case Computation:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$  and  $\Phi \vdash A$  so by induction and substitution of effect preserving effect-well-formed-ness,

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon) \text{ and } \Phi' \vdash A[\sigma] \text{ so } \Phi' \vdash \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} A[\sigma] \text{ so } \Phi' \vdash (\mathbf{M}_\epsilon A)[\sigma]$$

**Case For All:** By inversion,  $\Phi, \alpha \vdash A$ . So by picking  $\alpha \notin \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi'$  using  $\alpha$ -equivalence, we have  $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma\alpha := \alpha): (\Phi, \alpha)$ .

So by induction  $(\Phi, \alpha) \vdash A[\sigma, \alpha := \alpha]$

So  $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash A[\sigma]$

So  $\Phi' \vdash (\forall\alpha.A)[\sigma]$

### 6.2.3 Substitution of effects preserves Sub-Typing Relation

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  and  $A \leq_\Phi B$  then  $A[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B[\sigma]$

**Proof:** By induction on the sub-typing relation

**Case Ground:** By inversion,  $A \leq_\gamma B$ , so  $A, B$  are ground types. Hence  $A[\sigma] = A$  and  $B[\sigma] = B$ . So  $A[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B[\sigma]$

**Case Fn:** By inversion,  $A' \leq_\Phi A$  and  $B \leq_\Phi B'$ .

So by induction,  $A'[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} A[\sigma]$  and  $B[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B'[\sigma]$ .

So  $(A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma]) \leq_{\Phi'} (A'[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B'[\sigma])$

So  $(A \rightarrow B)[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} (A' \rightarrow B')[\sigma]$

**Case Computation:** By inversion,  $A \leq_\Phi B$ ,  $\epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2$ .

So by induction and substitution preserving the sub-effect relation,

$A[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B[\sigma]$  and  $\sigma(\epsilon_1) \leq_{\Phi'} \sigma(\epsilon_2)$

So  $\mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1)}(A[\sigma]) \leq_{\Phi'} \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_2)}(B[\sigma])$

So  $(\mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A)[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} (\mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B)[\sigma]$

### 6.2.4 Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Type Environments

If  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  then  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$

**Proof:**

**Case Nil:**  $\Phi \mathbf{Ok} \implies \Phi' \mathbf{Ok}$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \diamond \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\diamond[\sigma] = \diamond$

**Case Var:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\Phi \vdash A$ .

By induction and substitution preserving well-formed-ness of types,  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma'[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\Phi' \vdash A[\sigma]$ .

So  $\Phi' \vdash (\Gamma'[\sigma], x : A[\sigma]) \mathbf{Ok}$ .

Hence  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma, x : A[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$ .

### 6.2.5 Effect-Polymorphism Preserves the Typing Relation

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v: A$ , then  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma]: A[\sigma]$

**Proof:**

**Case Const:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$ .

So  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \mathbf{C}^{A[\sigma]}: A[\sigma]$

**Case True, False, Unit:** The logic is the same for each of these cases, so we look at the case `true` only.

By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ .  
 So  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$   
 Since  $\text{true}[\sigma] = \text{true}$  and  $\text{Bool}[\sigma] = \text{Bool}$ .

**Case Var:** By inversion  $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : A$  and  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A \text{Ok}$ .

So since substitution preserves well-formed-ness of type environments,  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma'[\sigma], x : A[\sigma] \text{Ok}$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash x : A[\sigma]$   
 Since  $x[\sigma] = x$

**Case Weaken:** By inversion  $\Gamma = \Gamma', y : B$ ,  $\Phi \vdash B$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A$ .  $x \neq y$

By induction and the theorem that effect-substitution preserves type well-formed-ness, we have:  
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma'[\sigma] \vdash x : A[\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash B[\sigma]$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash x[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$   
 Since  $x[\sigma] = x$ ,  $\Gamma[\sigma] = (\Gamma'[\sigma], y : B[\sigma])$

**Case Lambda:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B$ .

So, by induction  $\Phi' \mid (\Gamma, x : A)[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : B[\sigma]$ .  
 So,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma[\sigma], x : A[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : B[\sigma]$ .  
 Hence by the lambda type rule,  
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \lambda x : A[\sigma]. v[\sigma] : (A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma])$   
 So  
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (\lambda x : A. v)[\sigma] : (A \rightarrow B)[\sigma]$

**Case Apply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ .

So by induction,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_1[\sigma] : (A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma])$ .  
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (v_1[\sigma])(v_2[\sigma]) : B[\sigma]$ .  
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (v_1 v_2)[\sigma] : (A \rightarrow B)[\sigma]$

**Case Subtype:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$  and  $\Phi \vdash A \leq B$

So by induction and effect-substitution preserving sub-typing,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash A[\sigma] \leq B[\sigma]$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : B[\sigma]$

**Case Return:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$

So by induction,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{return}(v[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_1(A[\sigma])$   
 Hence  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (\text{return}v)[\sigma] : (\mathbf{M}_1 A)[\sigma]$

**Case Bind:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$ .

So by induction:  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_1[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1)}(A[\sigma])$ , and  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma], x : A[\sigma] \vdash v_2[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_2)}(B[\sigma])$ .  
 And so  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1) \cdot (\epsilon_2[\sigma])} B[\sigma]$

**Case If:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$

So by induction  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_1[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$ , and  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_2[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$ ,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_2 : A[\sigma]$ . (Since  $\text{Bool}[\sigma] = \text{Bool}$ )

Hence:  
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{if}_{A[\sigma]} v[\sigma] \text{ then } v_1[\sigma] \text{ else } v_2[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (\text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2)[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$



**Case Effect-lambda:** By inversion,  $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ .

So by the substitution property 3 (**TODO: Is this correct/reference correctly**), pick  $\alpha \notin \Phi' \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi$  so we have:

$$(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma, \alpha := \alpha) : (\Phi, \alpha)$$

So by induction,  $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha] \vdash v [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha] : A [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha]$

So  $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : A [\sigma]$  since  $\alpha \notin \Phi' \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi$ .

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : (\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma]$

**Case Effect-Apply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$ ,  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ .

So by induction and effect-substitution preserving well-formed-ness of effects:  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : (\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon)$

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v [\sigma]) (\sigma(\epsilon)) : A [\sigma] [\sigma(\epsilon)/\alpha]$ .

Since  $\alpha \# \sigma$ , we can commute the applications of substitution. **TODO: Do I need to prove this?**

So,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v \epsilon) [\sigma] : A [\epsilon/\alpha] [\sigma]$

## 6.3 The Identity Substitution on Effect Environments

For each type environment  $\Phi$ , define the identity substitution  $I_\Phi$  as so:

- $I_\diamond = \diamond$
- $I_{(\Phi, \alpha)} = (I_\Phi, \alpha := \alpha)$

### 6.3.1 Properties of the Identity Substitution

**Property 1** If  $\Phi \mathbf{Ok}$  then  $\Phi \vdash I_\Phi : \Phi$ , proved trivially by induction over the  $\mathbf{Ok}$  relation.

**Property 2** **TODO: The denotational property of id-substitution**

## 6.4 Single Substitution on Effect Environments

If  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ , let the single substitution  $\Phi \vdash [\epsilon/\alpha] : \Phi, \alpha$ , be defined as:

$$[x/\alpha] = (I_\Phi, \alpha := \epsilon) \tag{6.28}$$

## 6.5 Term-Term Substitutions

### 6.5.1 Substitutions as SNOG lists

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, x := v \tag{6.29}$$

### 6.5.2 Trivial Properties of substitutions

$\mathbf{fv}(\sigma)$

$$\mathbf{fv}(\diamond) = \emptyset \tag{6.30}$$

$$\mathbf{fv}(\sigma, x := v) = \mathbf{fv}(\sigma) \cup \mathbf{fv}(v) \tag{6.31}$$

$\text{dom}(\sigma)$

$$\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (6.32)$$

$$\text{dom}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cup \{x\} \quad (6.33)$$

$x \# \sigma$

$$x \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow x \notin (\text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{dom}(\sigma')) \quad (6.34)$$

### 6.5.3 Action of substitutions

We define the action of applying a substitution  $\sigma$  as

$$t[\sigma]$$

$$x[\diamond] = x \quad (6.35)$$

$$x[\sigma, x := v] = v \quad (6.36)$$

$$x[\sigma, x' := v'] = x[\sigma] \quad \text{If } x \neq x' \quad (6.37)$$

$$\mathbb{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbb{C}^A \quad (6.38)$$

$$(\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma] = \lambda x : A. (C[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (6.39)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v[\sigma] \text{ then } C_1[\sigma] \text{ else } C_2[\sigma] \quad (6.40)$$

$$(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) v_2[\sigma] \quad (6.41)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (6.42)$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha. v)[\sigma] = \Lambda \alpha. (v[\sigma]) \quad (6.43)$$

$$(v \epsilon)[\sigma] = (v[\sigma]) \epsilon \quad (6.44)$$

$$(6.45)$$

### 6.5.4 Well-Formed-ness

Define the relation

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

by:

- (Nil)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0 \mathbf{k}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \diamond : \diamond}$
- (Extend)  $\frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A)}$

### 6.5.5 Simple Properties Of Substitution

If  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$  then: **TODO: Number these**

**Property 1:**  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma 0 \mathbf{k}$  and  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0 \mathbf{k}$  Since  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0 \mathbf{k}$  holds by the Nil-axiom.  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma 0 \mathbf{k}$  holds by induction on the well-formed-ness relation.

**Property 2:**  $\omega : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma'$  implies  $\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$  . By induction over well-formed-ness relation. For each  $x := v$  in  $\sigma$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash v : A$  holds if  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A$  holds.

**Property 3:**  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma''))$  implies  $\Phi \mid (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$  Since  $\iota\pi : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma'$ , so by (Property 2) **TODO: Better referencing here**,

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma', x : A \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

In addition,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma', x : A \vdash x : A$  trivially, so by the rule **Extend**, well-formed-ness holds for

$$\Phi \mid (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A) \quad (6.46)$$

### 6.5.6 Substitution Preserves Typing

We have the following non-trivial property of substitution:

$$(\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A) \wedge (\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma) \Rightarrow (\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A) \quad (6.47)$$

Assuming  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ , we induct over the typing relation, proving  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \implies \Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A$

**Proof:**

**Case Var:** By inversion  $\Gamma = (\Gamma'', x : A)$  So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma'', x : A \vdash x : A \quad (6.48)$$

So by inversion, since  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma'', x : A$ ,

$$\sigma = (\sigma', x := v) \wedge \Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A \quad (6.49)$$

By the definition of the effect of substitutions,  $x[\sigma] = v$ , So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma] : A \quad (6.50)$$

holds.

**Case Weaken:** By inversion,  $\Gamma = \Gamma'', y : B, x \neq y$ , and there exists  $\Delta$  such that

$$(\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'', y : B \vdash x : A} \quad (6.51)$$

By inversion,  $\sigma = \sigma', y := v$  and:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma' : \Gamma'' \quad (6.52)$$

So by induction,

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma'] : A \quad (6.53)$$

And so by definition of the effect of  $\sigma$ ,  $x[\sigma] = x[\sigma']$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma] : A \quad (6.54)$$

**Case Lambda:** By inversion, there exists  $\Delta$  such that:

$$(\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma, x:A \vdash v:B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.v : A \rightarrow B} \quad (6.55)$$

Using alpha equivalence, we pick  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma'))$  Hence, by property 3, we have

$$\Phi | (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : \Gamma, x : A \quad (6.56)$$

So by induction using  $\sigma, x := x$ , we have  $\Delta'$  such that:

$$(\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma', x:A \vdash v[\sigma, x := x] : B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.v[\sigma, x := x] : A \rightarrow B} \quad (6.57)$$

Since  $\lambda x : A.(v[\sigma, x := x]) = \lambda x : A.(v[\sigma]) = (\lambda x : A.v)[\sigma]$ , we have a typing derivation for  $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\lambda x : A.v)[\sigma] : A \rightarrow B$ .

**Case Constants:** We use the same logic for all constants,  $()$ , **true**, **false**,  $\mathbb{C}^A$ :

$\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \Rightarrow \Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0\mathbf{k}$  and:

$$\mathbb{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbb{C}^A \quad (6.58)$$

So

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0\mathbf{k}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \mathbb{C}^A : A} \quad (6.59)$$

### 6.5.7 Computation Terms

**Case Return:** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1$  such that:

$$(\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v:A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : \mathbf{M}_1 A} \quad (6.60)$$

By induction, we have  $\Delta'_1$  such that

$$(\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{return}(v[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_1 A} \quad (6.61)$$

Since  $(\text{return } v)[\sigma] = \text{return}(v[\sigma])$ , the type derivation above holds for  $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\text{return } v)[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_1 A$ .

**Case Apply:** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that:

$$(\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : B} \quad (6.62)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , we have  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that

$$(\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1[\sigma] : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (v_1[\sigma]) (v_2[\sigma]) : B} \quad (6.63)$$

Since  $(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) (v_2[\sigma])$ , we the above derivation holds for  $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (v_1 v_2)[\sigma] : B$

**Case If:** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$  such that:

$$(\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_3}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (6.64)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ , we derive  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \Delta'_3$  such that:

$$(\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1[\sigma] : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{if}_A (v[\sigma]) \text{ then } (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ else } (v_2[\sigma]) : A} \quad (6.65)$$

Since  $(\text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_A (v[\sigma]) \text{ then } (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ else } (v_2[\sigma])$  The derivation above holds for  $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2)[\sigma] : A$

**Case Bind:** By inversion, there exist  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that:

$$(\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (6.66)$$

Using alpha-equivalence, we pick  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma'))$ . Hence by property 3,

$$\Phi | (\Gamma, x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , we have  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that:

$$(\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash v_2[\sigma, x := x] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma, x := x]) : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (6.67)$$

Since  $(\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2)[\sigma] = \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma]) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma, x := x])$ , the above derivation holds for  $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2)[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B$

**Case Sub-type:** By inversion, there exists  $\Delta$  such that

$$(\text{sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad (6.68)$$

By induction on  $\Delta$  we derive  $\Delta'$  such that:

$$(\text{sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A} \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v[\sigma] : B} \quad (6.69)$$

**Case Effect-Lambda:** By inversion, there exists  $\Delta$  such that

$$(\text{Effect-abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (6.70)$$

It is also the case that  $\iota \pi : \Phi, \alpha \triangleright \Phi$ .

So  $\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$

So by induction there exists  $\Delta'$ ,

$$(\text{Effect-abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \Lambda \alpha. (v[\sigma]) : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (6.71)$$

Where  $\Lambda \alpha. (v[\sigma]) = (\Lambda \alpha. v)[\sigma]$

**Case Effect Application:** By inversion  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$  and there exists  $\Delta$  such that

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v \epsilon : A [\epsilon / \alpha]} \quad (6.72)$$

So by induction there exists  $\Delta'$  such that:

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : \forall \alpha. A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash (v[\sigma]) \epsilon : A [\epsilon / \alpha]} \quad (6.73)$$

Where  $(v[\sigma]) \epsilon = (v \epsilon) [\sigma]$

## 6.6 The Identity Substitution on Type Environments

For each type environment  $\Gamma$ , define the identity substitution  $I_\Gamma$  as so:

- $I_\diamond = \diamond$
- $I_{(\Gamma, x:A)} = (I_\Gamma, x := x)$

### 6.6.1 Properties of the Identity Substitution

**Property 1** If  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$  then  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash I_\Gamma : \Gamma$ , proved trivially by induction over the well-formed-ness relation.

**Property 2** **TODO:** The denotational property of id-substitution

## 6.7 Single Substitution on Type Environments

If  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ , let the single substitution  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash [v/x] : \Gamma, x : A$ , be defined as:

$$[v/x] = (I_\Gamma, x := v) \quad (6.74)$$

## Chapter 7

# Beta Eta Equivalence (Soundness)

### 7.1 Beta and Eta Equivalence

#### 7.1.1 Beta-Eta conversions

- (Lambda-Beta)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2:B \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:A. v_1) \ v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_1[v_2/x]:B}$
- (Lambda-Eta)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:A \rightarrow B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. (v \ x) =_{\beta\eta} v:A \rightarrow B}$
- (Left Unit)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow \text{return } v_1 \text{ in } v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_2[v_1/x]:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Right Unit)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v \text{ in return } x =_{\beta\eta} v:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}$
- (Associativity)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B \quad \Phi|\Gamma, y:B \vdash v_3:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } (\text{do } y \leftarrow v_2 \text{ in } v_3) =_{\beta\eta} \text{do } y \leftarrow (\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2) \text{ in } v_3:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C}$
- (Unit)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{Unit}}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} ():\text{Unit}}$
- (if-true)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ true then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_1:A}$
- (if-false)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ false then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_2:A}$
- (If-Eta)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma, x:\text{Bool} \vdash v_2:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:\text{Bool}}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v_1 \text{ then } v_2[\text{true}/x] \text{ else } v_2[\text{false}/x] =_{\beta\eta} v_2[v_1/x]:A}$
- (Effect-beta)  $\frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon \quad \Phi, \alpha|\Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda \alpha. v \ \epsilon) =_{\beta\eta} v[\epsilon/\alpha]:A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Effect-eta)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:\forall \alpha. A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. (v \ \alpha) =_{\beta\eta} v:\forall \alpha. A}$

#### 7.1.2 Equivalence Relation

- (Reflexive)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v:A}$
- (Symmetric)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_1:A}$
- (Transitive)  $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_3:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_3:A}$

### 7.1.3 Congruences

- (Effect-Abs)  $\frac{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v_1 =_{\beta\eta} \Lambda \alpha. v_2 : \forall \alpha. A}$
- (Effect-Apply)  $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : \forall \alpha. A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 \epsilon =_{\beta\eta} v_2 \epsilon : A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Lambda)  $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_1 =_{\beta\eta} \lambda x : A. v_2 : A \rightarrow B}$
- (Return)  $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{return} v_1 =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{return} v_2 : \mathbb{M}_1 A}$
- (Apply)  $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A \rightarrow B \quad \Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 v'_2 : B}$
- (Bind)  $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow v_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ v_2 =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{do} \ c \leftarrow v'_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ v'_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}$
- (If)  $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : \mathbf{Bool} \quad \Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A \quad \Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if}_A \ v \ \mathbf{then} \ v_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ v_2 =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{if}_A \ v' \ \mathbf{then} \ v'_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ v'_2 : A}$
- (Subtype)  $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A \quad A \leq :_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : B}$

## 7.2 Beta-Eta Equivalence Implies Both Sides Have the Same Type

If  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A$  then each derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A$  can be converted to a derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : A$  by induction over the beta-eta equivalence relation derivation.

### 7.2.1 Equivalence Relations

**Case Reflexive:** By inversion we have a derivation of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ .

**Case Symmetric:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' =_{\beta\eta} v : A$ . Hence by induction, derivations of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$  are given.

**Case Transitive:** By inversion, there exists  $v_2$  such that  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_3 : A$ . Hence by induction, we have derivations of  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_3 : A$ .

### 7.2.2 Beta-Eta conversions

**Case Lambda:** By inversion, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ . Hence by the typing rules, we have:

$$(\text{Apply}) \frac{(\text{Lambda}) \frac{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. v_1) v_2 : A}$$

By the substitution rule **TODO: which?**, we have

$$(\text{Substitution}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 [v_2/x] : B}$$



**Case Left Unit:** By inversion, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B$   
Hence we have:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{(\text{Return}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v_1 : \mathbb{M}_1 A} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow \text{return } v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{1 \cdot \epsilon} B = \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (7.1)$$

And by the substitution typing rule we have: **TODO: Which Rule?**

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [v_1/x] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B \quad (7.2)$$

**Case Right Unit:** By inversion, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A$ .  
Hence we have:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A \quad (\text{Return}) \frac{(\text{var}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash \text{return } v : \mathbb{M}_1 A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v \text{ in return } x : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon \cdot 1} A = \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v \text{ in return } x : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon \cdot 1} A = \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad (7.3)$$

**Case Associativity:** By inversion, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C$ .

$$\Phi \vdash (\iota\pi \times) : (\Gamma, x : A, y : B) \triangleright (\Gamma, y : B)$$

So by the weakening property **TODO: which?**,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C$   
Hence we can construct the type derivations:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad (\text{Bind}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash x v_2 v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } (\text{do } y \leftarrow v_2 \text{ in } v_3) : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C} \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{(\text{Bind}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } y \leftarrow (\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2) \text{ in } v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C} \quad (7.5)$$

**Case Eta:** By inversion, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \rightarrow B$   
By weakening, we have  $\Phi \vdash \iota\pi : (\Gamma, x : A) \triangleright \Gamma$  Hence, we have

$$\text{(Fn)} \frac{(\text{App}) \frac{\Phi \mid (\Gamma, x : A) \vdash x : A \quad (\text{weakening}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \rightarrow B \quad \Phi \vdash \iota\pi : (\Gamma, x : A) \triangleright \Gamma}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : A \rightarrow B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v \ x : B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. (v \ x) : A \rightarrow B} \quad (7.6)$$

**Case If-True:** By inversion, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ . Hence by the typing lemma **TODO: Which?**, we have  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$  so  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$  by the axiom typing rule.

Hence

$$\text{(If)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ true then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (7.7)$$

**Case If-False:** As above,

Hence

$$\text{(If)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ false then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (7.8)$$

**Case If-Eta:** By inversion, we have:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \text{Bool} \quad (7.9)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : \text{Bool} \vdash v_2 : A \quad (7.10)$$

Hence we also have  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ . Hence, the following also hold:

$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$ .

Hence by the substitution theorem, we have:

$$(\text{If}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [\text{true}/x] : A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [\text{false}/x] : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v_1 \text{ then } v_2 [\text{true}/x] \text{ else } v_2 [\text{false}/x] : A} \quad (7.11)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [v_1/x] : A \quad (7.12)$$

**Case Effect-Beta:** By inversion,  $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$  and  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ .

Then we have the following type derivation:

$$(\text{Effect-App}) \frac{(\text{Effect-Fn}) \frac{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v \epsilon : A [\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad (7.13)$$

And we can construct the single-effect-substitution:

$$(\text{Single Substitution}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash [\epsilon/\alpha] : (\Phi, \alpha)} \quad (7.14)$$

Hence by the substitution theorem,

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v [\epsilon/\alpha] : A [\epsilon/\alpha] \quad (7.15)$$

**Case Effect-Eta:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$

So the following derivation holds:

$$(\text{Effect-Fn}) \frac{(\text{Effect-App}) \frac{(\text{Effect-weakening}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A}{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi, \alpha \vdash \alpha}{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v \alpha : A [\alpha/\alpha] = A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. (v \alpha) : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (7.16)$$

And

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A \quad (7.17)$$

### 7.2.3 Congruences

Each congruence rule corresponds exactly to a type derivation rule. To convert to a type derivation, convert all preconditions, then use the equivalent type derivation rule.

**Case Lambda:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : B$ . Hence by induction  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : B$ .

So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_1 : A \rightarrow B \quad (7.18)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_2 : A \rightarrow B \quad (7.19)$$

Hold.

**Case Return:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A$ , so by induction

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$$

Hence we have

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return} v_1 : \mathbf{M}_1 A$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return} v_2 : \mathbf{M}_1 A$$

**Case Apply:** By inversion, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A$ . Hence we have by induction  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 : A \rightarrow B$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_2 : A$ .

So we have:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : B \quad (7.20)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 v'_2 : B \quad (7.21)$$

**Case Bind:** By inversion, we have:  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$ . Hence by induction, we have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v'_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$ .

Hence we have

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} A \quad (7.22)$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v'_1 \text{ in } v'_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} A \quad (7.23)$$

**Case If:** By inversion, we have:  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A$ .

Hence by induction, we have:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}, \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : \text{Bool},$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A, \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 : A,$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A, \text{ and } \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_2 : A.$$

So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A \quad (7.24)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v' \text{ then } v'_1 \text{ else } v'_2 : A \quad (7.25)$$

hold.

**Case Subtype:** By inversion, we have  $A \leq_{\Phi} B$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A$ . By induction, we therefore have  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : A$ .

Hence we have

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : B \quad (7.26)$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : B \quad (7.27)$$

**Case Effect-Lambda:** By inversion,  $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A$ . So

$$\text{(Effect-Lambda)} \frac{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha.v_2 : \forall\alpha.A} \quad (7.28)$$

and

$$\text{(Effect-Lambda)} \frac{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha.v_2 : \forall\alpha.A} \quad (7.29)$$

**Case Effect-Apply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : \forall\alpha.A$  and  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ .  
So

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \forall\alpha.A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 \epsilon : A [\alpha/\epsilon]} \quad (7.30)$$

and

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : \forall\alpha.A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 \epsilon : A [\alpha/\epsilon]} \quad (7.31)$$