

Contents

1	Language Definition	4
1.1	Terms	4
1.1.1	Value Terms	4
1.1.2	Computation Terms	4
1.2	Type System	4
1.2.1	Effects	4
1.2.2	Types	4
1.2.3	Sub-typing	5
1.2.4	Type and Effect Environments	5
1.2.5	Type Rules	6
1.2.6	Ok Lemma	6
1.3	Beta-Eta-Equivalence	7
1.3.1	Beta-Eta conversions	7
1.3.2	Equivalence Relation	7
1.3.3	Congruences	7
2	Category Requirements	9
2.1	CCC	9
2.2	Graded Pre-Monad	9
2.2.1	Left Unit	9
2.2.2	Right Unit	10
2.2.3	Associativity	10
2.3	Tensor Strength	10
2.3.1	Left Naturality	10
2.3.2	Right Naturality	10
2.3.3	Unitor Law	10
2.3.4	Commutativity with Join	11
2.4	Commutivity with Unit	11
2.5	Commutivity with α	11
2.6	Subeffecting	11
2.6.1	Subeffecting and Tensor Strength	11
2.6.2	Sub-effecting and Monadic Join	12
2.7	Subtyping	12
3	Denotations	13
3.1	Helper Morphisms	13
3.1.1	Diagonal and Twist Morphisms	13
3.2	Denotations of Types	13
3.2.1	Denotation of Ground Types	13
3.2.2	Denotation of Polymorphic Types	13
3.2.3	Denotation of Computation Type	13

3.2.4	Denotation of Function Types	13
3.2.5	Denotation of Type Environments	13
3.2.6	Denotation of Value Terms	13
3.2.7	Denotation of Computation Terms	13
4	Unique Denotations	14
4.1	Reduced Type Derivation	14
4.2	Reduced Type Derivations are Unique	14
4.2.1	Variables	14
4.2.2	Constants	14
4.2.3	Value Terms	14
4.2.4	Computation Terms	14
4.3	Each type derivation has a reduced equivalent with the same denotation.	14
4.3.1	Constants	14
4.3.2	Value Types	14
4.3.3	Computation Types	14
4.4	Denotations are Equivalent	14
5	Weakening	15
5.1	Weakening Definition	15
5.1.1	Relation	15
5.1.2	Weakening Denotations	15
5.2	Weakening Theorems	15
5.2.1	Domain Lemma	15
5.2.2	Theorem 1	15
5.2.3	Theorem 2	15
5.2.4	Theorem 3	15
5.3	Proof of Theorems 2 and 3	15
5.3.1	Variable Terms	15
5.3.2	Computation Terms	15
6	Substitution	16
6.1	Introduce Substitutions	16
6.1.1	Substitutions as SNOC lists	16
6.1.2	Trivial Properties of substitutions	16
6.1.3	Effect of substitutions	16
6.1.4	Well Formedness	17
6.1.5	Simple Properties Of Substitution	17
6.2	Substitution Preserves Typing	17
6.2.1	Variables	17
6.2.2	Other Value Terms	17
6.2.3	Computation Terms	17
6.2.4	Sub-typing and Sub-effecting	17
6.3	Semantics of Substitution	18
6.3.1	Denotation of Substitutions	18
6.3.2	Extension Lemma	18
6.3.3	Substitution Theorem	18
6.3.4	Proof For Value Terms	18
6.3.5	Proof For Computation Terms	18
6.4	The Identity Substitution	18
6.4.1	Properties of the Identity Substitution	18

7	Beta Eta Equivalence (Soundness)	19
7.1	Beta and Eta Equivalence	19
7.1.1	Beta-Eta conversions	19
7.1.2	Equivalence Relation	19
7.1.3	Congruences	19
7.2	Beta-Eta Equivalence Implies Both Sides Have the Same Type	19
7.2.1	Equivalence Relations	19
7.2.2	Beta conversions	19
7.2.3	Congruences	19
7.3	Beta-Eta equivalent terms have equal denotations	20
7.3.1	Equivalence Relation	20
7.3.2	Beta Conversions	20
7.3.3	Case If-Eta	20
7.3.4	Congruences	20

Chapter 1

Language Definition

1.1 Terms

1.1.1 Value Terms

$$\begin{aligned} v ::= & x \\ & | \lambda x : A. C \\ & | \mathbf{c}^A \\ & | () \\ & | \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \\ & | \Lambda \alpha. v \\ & | v \epsilon \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

1.1.2 Computation Terms

$$\begin{aligned} C ::= & \mathbf{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \mathbf{then} C_1 \mathbf{else} C_2 \\ & | v_1 v_2 \\ & | \mathbf{do} x \leftarrow C_1 \mathbf{in} C_2 \\ & | \mathbf{return} v \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

1.2 Type System

1.2.1 Effects

The effects should form a monotonous, pre-ordered monoid $(E, \cdot, 1, \leq)$ with ground elements e , and denoted by metavariables ϵ , and in language-effect variables α

1.2.2 Types

Ground Types There exists a set γ of ground types, including `Unit`, `Bool`

Value Types

$$A, B, C ::= \gamma \mid A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B \mid \forall \alpha. A$$

Computation Types Computation types are of the form $\mathbf{M}_\epsilon A$

1.2.3 Sub-typing

There exists a sub-typing pre-order relation \leq_γ over ground types that is:

- (Reflexive) $\frac{}{A \leq_\gamma A}$
- (Transitive) $\frac{A \leq_\gamma B \quad B \leq_\gamma C}{A \leq_\gamma C}$

We extend this relation with the function and effect-lambda sub-typing rules to yield the full sub-typing relation \leq :

- (ground) $\frac{A \leq_\gamma B}{A \leq B}$
- (Fn) $\frac{A \leq A' \quad B' \leq B \quad \epsilon \leq \epsilon'}{A' \rightarrow M_{\epsilon'} B' \leq A \rightarrow M_\epsilon B}$
- (All) $\frac{A \leq A'}{\forall \alpha. A \leq \forall \alpha. A'}$

1.2.4 Type and Effect Environments

A type environment is a snoc-list of value-variable, type pairs, $G ::= \diamond \mid \Gamma, x : A$. An effect environment is a snoc-list of effect-variables.

$$\Phi ::= \diamond \mid \Phi, \alpha$$

Domain Function on Type Environments

- $\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset$
- $\text{dom}(\Gamma, x : A) = \text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{x\}$

Membership of Effect Environments Informally, $\alpha \in \Phi$ if α appears in the list represented by Φ .

Ok Predicate On Effect Environments

- (Atom) $\frac{}{\diamond \text{Ok}}$
- (A) $\frac{\Phi \text{Ok} \quad \alpha \notin \Phi}{\Phi, \alpha \text{Ok}}$

Well-Formed-ness of effects We define a relation $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$.

- (Ground) $\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon}$
- (Var) $\frac{\Phi, \alpha \text{Ok}}{\Phi, \alpha \vdash \alpha}$
- (Weaken) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \alpha}{\Phi, \beta \vdash \alpha} \text{ (if } \alpha \neq \beta \text{)}$
- (Monoid Op) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1 \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon_2}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}$

Well-Formed-ness of Types We define a relation $\Phi \vdash \tau$ on types.

- (Ground) $\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \gamma}$
- (Lambda) $\frac{\Phi \vdash A \quad \Phi \vdash M_\epsilon B}{\Phi \vdash A \rightarrow M_\epsilon B}$
- (Computation) $\frac{\Phi \vdash A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash M_\epsilon A}$
- (For-All) $\frac{\Phi, \alpha \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \forall \alpha. A}$

Ok Predicate on Type Environments We now define a predicate on type environments and effect environments: $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$

- (Nil) $\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \emptyset \text{Ok}}$
- (Var) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok} \quad \times \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \quad \Phi \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \text{Ok}}$

1.2.5 Type Rules

Value Typing Rules

- (Const) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok} \quad \Phi \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A:A}$
- (Unit) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash () : \mathbf{Unit}}$
- (True) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{true} : \mathbf{Bool}}$
- (False) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{false} : \mathbf{Bool}}$
- (Var) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash x:A}$
- (Weaken) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash x:A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, y:B \vdash x:A} \text{ (if } x \neq y \text{)}$
- (Fn) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash C : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. C : A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Sub) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A \quad A \leq B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:B}$
- (Effect-Abs) $\frac{\Phi, \alpha \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A}$
- (Effect-apply) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \epsilon : A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$

Computation typing rules

- (Return) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{return} v : \mathbf{M}_1 A}$
- (Apply) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 \ v_2 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (if) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \mathbf{Bool} \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash C_1 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash C_2 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \ \mathbf{then} \ C_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ C_2 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A}$
- (Do) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash C_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash C_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow C_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ C_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}$
- (Subeffect) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash C : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad A \leq B \quad \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash C : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}$

1.2.6 Ok Lemma

If $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ then $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$.

Proof If $\Gamma, x : A \text{Ok}$ then by inversion ΓOk Only the type rule **Weaken** adds terms to the environment from its preconditions to its post-condition and it does so in an **Ok** preserving way. Any type derivation tree has at least one leaf. All leaves are axioms which require $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$. And all non-axiom derivations preserve the **Ok** property.

1.3 Beta-Eta-Equivalence

1.3.1 Beta-Eta conversions

- (Lambda-Beta) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash C:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:A. C) v =_{\beta_\eta} C[x/v]:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Lambda-Eta) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. (v x) =_{\beta_\eta} v:A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Left Unit) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash C:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow \text{return } v \text{ in } C =_{\beta_\eta} C[V/x]:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Right Unit) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash C:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow C \text{ in return } x =_{\beta_\eta} C:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}$
- (Associativity) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_1:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash C_2:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B \quad \Phi|\Gamma, y:B \vdash C_3:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } (\text{do } y \leftarrow C_2 \text{ in } C_3) =_{\beta_\eta} \text{do } y \leftarrow (\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) \text{ in } C_3:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C}$
- (Unit) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:\mathbf{Unit}}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta_\eta} ():\mathbf{Unit}}$
- (if-true) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_1:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} \text{ true then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 =_{\beta_\eta} C_1:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}$
- (if-false) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_1:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} \text{ false then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 =_{\beta_\eta} C_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}$
- (If-Eta) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma, x:\mathbf{Bool} \vdash C:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:\mathbf{Bool}}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C[\text{true}/x] \text{ else } C[\text{false}/x] =_{\beta_\eta} C[V/x]:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}$
- (Effect-beta) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon \quad \Phi, \alpha|\Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v =_{\beta_\eta} v[\epsilon/\alpha]:A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Effect-eta) $\frac{\Phi, \alpha|\Gamma \vdash v:A \quad \Phi \vdash \beta}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. (v \beta) =_{\beta_\eta} v[\beta/\alpha]:A[\beta/\alpha]}$

1.3.2 Equivalence Relation

- (Reflexive) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash t:\tau}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta_\eta} t:\tau}$
- (Symmetric) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta_\eta} t_2:\tau}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash t_2 =_{\beta_\eta} t_1:\tau}$
- (Transitive) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta_\eta} t_2:\tau \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash t_2 =_{\beta_\eta} t_3:\tau}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash t_1 =_{\beta_\eta} t_3:\tau}$

1.3.3 Congruences

- (Effect-Abs) $\frac{\Phi, \alpha|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta_\eta} v_2:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v_1 =_{\beta_\eta} \Lambda \alpha. v_2:\forall \alpha. A}$
- (Effect-Apply) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta_\eta} v_2:\forall \alpha. A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 \epsilon =_{\beta_\eta} v_2 \epsilon:A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Lambda) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash C_1 =_{\beta_\eta} C_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. C_1 =_{\beta_\eta} \lambda x:A. C_2:A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Return) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta_\eta} v_2:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{return } v_1 =_{\beta_\eta} \text{return } v_2:\mathbb{M}_1 A}$
- (Apply) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta_\eta} v'_1:A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta_\eta} v'_2:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 =_{\beta_\eta} v'_1 v'_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Bind) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_1 =_{\beta_\eta} C'_1:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash C_2 =_{\beta_\eta} C'_2:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2 =_{\beta_\eta} \text{do } x \leftarrow C'_1 \text{ in } C'_2:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}$
- (If) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta_\eta} v':\mathbf{Bool} \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_1 =_{\beta_\eta} C'_1:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash C_2 =_{\beta_\eta} C'_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 =_{\beta_\eta} \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C'_1 \text{ else } C'_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}$

- (Subtype) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A \quad A \leq B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : B}$
- (Subeffect) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash C =_{\beta\eta} C' : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad A \leq B \quad \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash C =_{\beta\eta} C' : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}$

Chapter 2

Category Requirements

2.1 CCC

The section should be a cartesian closed category. That is it should have:

- A Terminal object 1
- Binary products
- Exponentials

Further more, it should have a co-product of the terminal object 1 . This is required for the beta-eta equivalence of **if-then-else** terms.

$$1 \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} A \xleftarrow{\text{inr}} 1$$

For each:

$$1 \xrightarrow{f} A \xleftarrow{g} 1$$

There exists unique $[f, g] : 1 + 1 \rightarrow A$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ f \nearrow & \uparrow [f,g] & \nwarrow g \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} 1 + 1 \xleftarrow{\text{inr}} & 1 \end{array}$$

2.2 Graded Pre-Monad

The category should have a graded pre-monad. That is:

- An endo-functor indexed by the po-monad on effects: $T : (\mathbb{E}, \cdot 1, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$
- A unit natural transformation: $\eta : \text{Id} \rightarrow T_1$
- A join natural transformation: $\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} : T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} \rightarrow T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}$

Subject to the following commutative diagrams:

2.2.1 Left Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon \eta_A} & T_\epsilon T_1 A \\ & \searrow \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon, 1, A} \\ & & T_\epsilon A \end{array}$$

2.2.2 Right Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{\eta_{T_\epsilon A}} & T_1 T_1 A \\
 & \searrow \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow \mu_{1, \epsilon, A} \\
 & & T_\epsilon A
 \end{array}$$

2.2.3 Associativity

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} T_{\epsilon_3} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, T_{\epsilon_3} A}} & T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} T_{\epsilon_3} A \\
 \downarrow T_{\epsilon_1} \mu_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, A} & & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, A} \\
 T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3, A}} & T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} A
 \end{array}$$

2.3 Tensor Strength

The category should also have tensorial strength over its products and monads. That is, it should have a natural transformation

$$\mathbf{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} : A \times T_\epsilon B \rightarrow T_\epsilon(A \times B)$$

Satisfying the following rules:

2.3.1 Left Naturality

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times T_\epsilon f} & A \times T_\epsilon B' \\
 \downarrow \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} & & \downarrow \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon, A, B'} \\
 T_\epsilon(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon(\text{Id}_A \times f)} & T_\epsilon(A \times B')
 \end{array}$$

2.3.2 Right Naturality

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon B}} & A' \times T_\epsilon B \\
 \downarrow \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} & & \downarrow \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon, A', B} \\
 T_\epsilon(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon(f \times \text{Id}_B)} & T_\epsilon(A' \times B)
 \end{array}$$

2.3.3 Unitor Law

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \times T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{t}_{\epsilon, 1, A}} & T_\epsilon(1 \times A) \\
 & \searrow \lambda_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow T_\epsilon(\lambda_A) \\
 & & T_\epsilon A
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{Where } \lambda : 1 \times \text{Id} \rightarrow \text{Id} \text{ is the left-unitor. } (\lambda = \pi_2)$$

Tensor Strength and Projection Due to the left-unitor law, we can develop a new law for the commutativity of π_2 with \mathbf{t} ,

$$\pi_{2, A, B} = \pi_{2, 1, B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B)$$

And $\pi_{2, 1}$ is the left unitor, so by tensorial strength:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\epsilon \pi_2 \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} &= T_\epsilon \pi_{2,1,B} \circ T_\epsilon (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} \\
&= T_\epsilon \pi_{2,1,B} \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,1,B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \\
&= \pi_{2,1,B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \\
&= \pi_2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

So the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B}} & T_\epsilon(A \times B) \\
& \searrow \pi_2 & \downarrow T_\epsilon \pi_2 \\
& & T_\epsilon B
\end{array}$$

2.3.4 Commutativity with Join

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1,A,T_{\epsilon_2}B}} & T_{\epsilon_1}(A \times T_{\epsilon_2} B) \xrightarrow{T_{\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_2,A,B}} T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2}(A \times B) \\
& \searrow \text{Id}_A \times \mu_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,B} & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,A \times B} \\
& & A \times T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2,A,B}} T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

2.4 Commutativity with Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \eta_B} & A \times T_\epsilon B \\
& \searrow \eta_{A \times B} & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} \\
& & T_\epsilon(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

2.5 Commutativity with α

Let $\alpha_{A,B,C} = \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \rangle : ((A \times B) \times C) \rightarrow (A \times (B \times C))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A \times B) \times T_\epsilon C & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,(A \times B),C}} & T_\epsilon((A \times B) \times C) \\
\downarrow \alpha_{A,B,T_\epsilon C} & & \downarrow T_\epsilon \alpha_{A,B,C} \\
A \times (B \times T_\epsilon C) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,B,C}} A \times T_\epsilon(B \times C) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,(B \times C)}} & T_\epsilon(A \times (B \times C))
\end{array} \quad \text{TODO: Needed?}$$

2.6 Subeffecting

For each instance of the pre-order (\mathbb{E}, \leq) , $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$, there exists a natural transformation $\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket : T_{\epsilon_1} \rightarrow T_{\epsilon_2}$ that commutes with $\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,\cdot}$:

2.6.1 Subeffecting and Tensor Strength

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_{\epsilon_1} B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket_B} & A \times T_{\epsilon_2} B \\
\downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1,A,B} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_2,A,B} \\
T_{\epsilon_1}(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket_{A \times B}} & T_{\epsilon_2}(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

2.6.2 Sub-effecting and Monadic Join

Since the monoid operation on effects is monotone, we can introduce the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} & \xrightarrow{T_{\epsilon_1} \llbracket \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon'_2 \rrbracket_M} & T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon'_2} & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon'_1 \rrbracket_{M, T_{\epsilon'_2}}} & T_{\epsilon'_1} T_{\epsilon'_2} \\
\downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2,} & & & & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon'_1, \epsilon'_2,} \\
T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon'_1 \epsilon'_2 \rrbracket_M} & & & T_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2}
\end{array}$$

2.7 Subtyping

The denotation of ground types $\llbracket - \rrbracket_M$ is a functor from the pre-order category of ground types $(\gamma, \leq : \gamma)$ to \mathbb{C} . This pre-ordered sub-category of \mathbb{C} is extended with the rule for function subtyping to form a larger pre-ordered sub-category of \mathbb{C} .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(Function Subtyping)} \frac{f = \llbracket A' \leq : A \rrbracket_M \quad g = \llbracket B \leq : B' \rrbracket_M \quad h = \llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket}{rhs = \llbracket A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} B \leq : A' \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B' \rrbracket_M : (T_{\epsilon_1} B)^A \rightarrow (T_{\epsilon_2} B')^{A'}} \\
& rhs = (h_{B'} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g)^{A'} \circ (T_{\epsilon_1} B)^f \\
& \quad = \text{cur}(h_{B'} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g \circ \text{app}) \circ \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ (\text{Id}_{T_{\epsilon_1} B^{A'}} \times f))
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Chapter 3

Denotations

3.1 Helper Morphisms

3.1.1 Diagonal and Twist Morphisms

In the definition and proofs (Especially of the the If cases), I make use of the morphisms twist and diagonal.

$$\tau_{A,B} : (A \times B) \rightarrow (B \times A) = \langle \pi_2, \pi_1 \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

$$\delta_A : A \rightarrow (A \times A) = \langle \text{Id}_A, \text{Id}_A \rangle \quad (3.2)$$

3.2 Denotations of Types

3.2.1 Denotation of Ground Types

3.2.2 Denotation of Polymorphic Types

3.2.3 Denotation of Computation Type

3.2.4 Denotation of Function Types

3.2.5 Denotation of Type Environments

3.2.6 Denotation of Value Terms

3.2.7 Denotation of Computation Terms

Chapter 4

Unique Denotations

4.1 Reduced Type Derivation

A reduced type derivation is one where subtype and subeffect rules must, and may only, occur at the root or directly above an **if**, or **apply** rule.

In this section, I shall prove that there is at most one reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t:\tau$. Secondly, I shall present a function for generating reduced derivations from arbitrary typing derivations, in a way that does not change the denotations. These imply that all typing derivations of a type-relation have the same denotation.

4.2 Reduced Type Derivations are Unique

4.2.1 Variables

4.2.2 Constants

4.2.3 Value Terms

4.2.4 Computation Terms

4.3 Each type derivation has a reduced equivalent with the same denotation.

4.3.1 Constants

4.3.2 Value Types

4.3.3 Computation Types

4.4 Denotations are Equivalent

Chapter 5

Weakening

5.1 Weakening Definition

5.1.1 Relation

5.1.2 Weakening Denotations

5.2 Weakening Theorems

5.2.1 Domain Lemma

5.2.2 Theorem 1

5.2.3 Theorem 2

5.2.4 Theorem 3

5.3 Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

5.3.1 Variable Terms

5.3.2 Computation Terms

Chapter 6

Substitution

6.1 Introduce Substitutions

6.1.1 Substitutions as SNOOC lists

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, x := v \quad (6.1)$$

6.1.2 Trivial Properties of substitutions

$\text{fv}(\sigma)$

$$\text{fv}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (6.2)$$

$$\text{fv}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{fv}(v) \quad (6.3)$$

$\text{dom}(\sigma)$

$$\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (6.4)$$

$$\text{dom}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cup \{x\} \quad (6.5)$$

$x \# \sigma$

$$x \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow x \notin (\text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{dom}(\sigma')) \quad (6.6)$$

6.1.3 Effect of substitutions

We define the effect of applying a substitution σ as

$$t[\sigma]$$

$$x[\diamond] = x \quad (6.7)$$

$$x[\sigma, x := v] = v \quad (6.8)$$

$$x[\sigma, x' := v'] = x[\sigma] \quad \text{If } x \neq x' \quad (6.9)$$

$$\mathbb{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbb{C}^A \quad (6.10)$$

$$(\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma] = \lambda x : A. (C[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (6.11)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v[\sigma] \text{ then } C_1[\sigma] \text{ else } C_2[\sigma] \quad (6.12)$$

$$(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) v_2[\sigma] \quad (6.13)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (6.14)$$

$$(6.15)$$

6.1.4 Well Formedness

6.1.5 Simple Properties Of Substitution

If $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ then: **TODO: Number these**

Property 1: ΓOk and $\Gamma' \text{Ok}$ Since $\Gamma' \text{Ok}$ holds by the Nil-axiom. ΓOk holds by induction on the well-formed-ness relation.

Property 2: $\omega : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma'$ **implies** $\Gamma'' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$. By induction over well-formed-ness relation. For each $x := v$ in σ , $\Gamma'' \vdash v : A$ holds if $\Gamma' \vdash v : A$ holds.

Property 3: $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma''))$ **implies** $(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$ Since $\iota\pi : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma'$, so by (Property 2) **TODO: Better referencing here**,

$$\Gamma', x : A \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

In addition, $\Gamma', x : A \vdash x : A$ trivially, so by the rule **Extend**, well-formed-ness holds for

$$(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A) \quad (6.16)$$

6.2 Substitution Preserves Typing

6.2.1 Variables

Case Var

Case Weaken

6.2.2 Other Value Terms

Case Lambda

Case Constants

6.2.3 Computation Terms

Case Return

Case Apply

Case If

Case Bind

6.2.4 Sub-typing and Sub-effecting

Case Sub-type

Case Sub-effect

6.3 Semantics of Substitution

6.3.1 Denotation of Substitutions

6.3.2 Extension Lemma

6.3.3 Substitution Theorem

6.3.4 Proof For Value Terms

Case Var

Case Weaken

Case Constants

Case Lambda

Case Sub-type

6.3.5 Proof For Computation Terms

Case Return

Case Apply

Case If

Case Bind

Case Subeffect

6.4 The Identity Substitution

6.4.1 Properties of the Identity Substitution

Property 1

Property 2

Chapter 7

Beta Eta Equivalence (Soundness)

7.1 Beta and Eta Equivalence

7.1.1 Beta-Eta conversions

7.1.2 Equivalence Relation

7.1.3 Congruences

7.2 Beta-Eta Equivalence Implies Both Sides Have the Same Type

7.2.1 Equivalence Relations

Case Symmetric

Case Transitive

7.2.2 Beta conversions

Case Lambda

Case Associativity

Case Eta

Case If-True

7.2.3 Congruences

Case Lambda

Case Return

Case Apply

Case Bind

Case If

Case Subtype

Case subeffect

7.3 Beta-Eta equivalent terms have equal denotations

7.3.1 Equivalence Relation

Case Reflexive

Case Symmetric

Case Transitive

7.3.2 Beta Conversions

Case Lambda

Case Left Unit

Case Right Unit

Case Associative

Case Eta

Case If-True

Case If-False

7.3.3 Case If-Eta

7.3.4 Congruences

Case Lambda

Case Return

Case Apply

Case Bind

Case If

Case Subtype

Case subeffect