

Contents

1	Language Definition	4
1.1	Terms	4
1.1.1	Value Terms	4
1.2	Type System	4
1.2.1	Ground Effects	4
1.2.2	Effect Po-Monoid Under a Effect Environment	4
1.2.3	Types	5
1.2.4	Type and Effect Environments	5
1.2.5	Sub-typing	6
1.2.6	Type Rules	6
1.2.7	Ok Lemma	7
2	Category Requirements	8
2.1	CCC	8
2.2	Graded Pre-Monad	8
2.2.1	Left Unit	8
2.2.2	Right Unit	9
2.2.3	Associativity	9
2.3	Tensor Strength	9
2.3.1	Left Naturality	9
2.3.2	Right Naturality	9
2.3.3	Unitor Law	9
2.3.4	Commutativity with Join	10
2.4	Commutativity with Unit	10
2.5	Commutativity with α	10
2.6	Sub-effecting	10
2.6.1	Sub-effecting and Tensor Strength	10
2.6.2	Sub-effecting and Monadic Join	11
2.7	Sub-typing	11
3	Denotations	12
3.1	Helper Morphisms	12
3.1.1	Diagonal and Twist Morphisms	12
3.2	Denotations of Types	12
3.2.1	Denotation of Ground Types	12
3.2.2	Denotation of Polymorphic Types	12
3.2.3	Denotation of Computation Type	12
3.2.4	Denotation of Function Types	12
3.2.5	Denotation of Type Environments	12
3.2.6	Denotation of Value Terms	12
3.2.7	Denotation of Computation Terms	12

4	Unique Denotations	13
4.1	Reduced Type Derivation	13
4.2	Reduced Type Derivations are Unique	13
4.2.1	Variables	13
4.2.2	Constants	13
4.2.3	Value Terms	13
4.2.4	Computation Terms	13
4.3	Each type derivation has a reduced equivalent with the same denotation.	13
4.3.1	Constants	13
4.3.2	Value Types	13
4.3.3	Computation Types	13
4.4	Denotations are Equivalent	13
5	Weakening	14
5.1	Effect Weakening Definition	14
5.1.1	Relation	14
5.1.2	Weakening Properties	14
5.1.3	Effect Weakening Preserves Ok	14
5.1.4	Domain Lemma	15
5.1.5	Weakening Preserves Effect Well-Formed-Ness	15
5.1.6	Weakening Preserves Type-Well-Formed-Ness	15
5.1.7	Corollary	16
5.1.8	Effect Weakening preserves Type Relations	16
5.2	Type Environment Weakening	17
5.2.1	Relation	17
5.2.2	Domain Lemma	17
5.2.3	Theorem 1	18
5.2.4	Theorem 2	18
6	Substitution	22
6.1	Effect Substitutions	22
6.1.1	Action of Effect Substitution on Effects	22
6.1.2	Action of Effect Substitution on Types	22
6.1.3	Action of Effect-Substitution on Type Environments	23
6.1.4	Action of Effect Substitution on Terms	23
6.1.5	Well-Formed-ness	23
6.1.6	Property 1	23
6.1.7	Property 2	23
6.1.8	Property 3	24
6.2	Substitution Preserves the Well-formed-ness of Effects	24
6.2.1	Effect Substitution preserves the sub-effect relation	24
6.2.2	Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Types	24
6.2.3	Substitution of effects preserves Sub-Typing Relation	25
6.2.4	Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Type Environments	25
6.2.5	Effect-Polymorphism Preserves the Typing Relation	25
6.3	The Identity Substitution on Effect Environments	27
6.3.1	Properties of the Identity Substitution	27
6.4	Single Substitution on Effect Environments	27
6.5	Term-Term Substitutions	27
6.5.1	Substitutions as SNOC lists	27
6.5.2	Trivial Properties of substitutions	27
6.5.3	Action of substitutions	28
6.5.4	Well-Formed-ness	28

6.5.5	Simple Properties Of Substitution	28
6.5.6	Substitution Preserves Typing	29
6.5.7	Computation Terms	30
6.6	The Identity Substitution on Type Environments	32
6.6.1	Properties of the Identity Substitution	32
6.7	Single Substitution on Type Environments	32
7	Beta Eta Equivalence (Soundness)	33
7.1	Beta and Eta Equivalence	33
7.1.1	Beta-Eta conversions	33
7.1.2	Equivalence Relation	33
7.1.3	Congruences	34
7.2	Beta-Eta Equivalence Implies Both Sides Have the Same Type	34
7.2.1	Equivalence Relations	34
7.2.2	Beta-Eta conversions	34
7.2.3	Congruences	36

Chapter 1

Language Definition

1.1 Terms

Making the language no-longer differentiate between values and computations.

1.1.1 Value Terms

$$\begin{aligned} v ::= & x \\ & | \lambda x : A. v \\ & | \mathbf{c}^A \\ & | () \\ & | \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \\ & | \Lambda \alpha. v \\ & | v \epsilon \\ & | \mathbf{if}_A v \mathbf{then} v_1 \mathbf{else} v_2 \\ & | v_1 v_2 \\ & | \mathbf{do} x \leftarrow v_1 \mathbf{in} v_2 \\ & | \mathbf{return} v \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

1.2 Type System

1.2.1 Ground Effects

The effects should form a monotonous, pre-ordered monoid $(E, \cdot, 1, \leq)$ with ground elements e .

1.2.2 Effect Po-Monoid Under a Effect Environment

Derive a new Po-Monoid for each Φ :

$$(E_\Phi, \cdot_\Phi, 1, \leq_\Phi) \tag{1.2}$$

Where meta-variables, ϵ , range over E_Φ Where

$$E_\Phi = E \cup \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\} \tag{1.3}$$

And

$$() \frac{\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_1 \cdot_\Phi \epsilon_2} \tag{1.4}$$

Otherwise, \cdot_Φ is symbolic in nature.

$$\epsilon_1 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall \sigma \downarrow . \epsilon_1 [\sigma \downarrow] \leq \epsilon_2 [\sigma \downarrow] \quad (1.5)$$

Where $\sigma \downarrow$ denotes any ground-substitution of Φ . That is any substitution of all effect-variables in Φ to ground effects. Where it is obvious from the context, I shall use \leq instead of \leq_{Φ} .

1.2.3 Types

Ground Types There exists a set γ of ground types, including `Unit`, `Bool`

Term Types

$$A, B, C ::= \gamma \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon} A \mid \forall \alpha. A$$

1.2.4 Type and Effect Environments

A type environment is a snoc-list of tern-variable, type pairs, $G ::= \diamond \mid \Gamma, x : A$. An effect environment is a snoc-list of effect-variables.

$$\Phi ::= \diamond \mid \Phi, \alpha$$

Domain Function on Type Environments

- $\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset$
- $\text{dom}(\Gamma, x : A) = \text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{x\}$

Membership of Effect Environments Informally, $\alpha \in \Phi$ if α appears in the list represented by Φ .

Ok Predicate On Effect Environments

- $(\text{Atom})_{\diamond \text{Ok}}$
- $(A)_{\frac{\Phi \text{Ok} \quad \alpha \notin \Phi}{\Phi, \alpha \text{Ok}}}$

Well-Formed-ness of effects We define a relation $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$.

- $(\text{Ground})_{\frac{\Phi \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon}}$
- $(\text{Var})_{\frac{\Phi, \alpha \text{Ok}}{\Phi, \alpha \vdash \alpha}}$
- $(\text{Weaken})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash \alpha}{\Phi, \beta \vdash \alpha}} \text{ (if } \alpha \neq \beta \text{)}$
- $(\text{Monoid Op})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1 \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon_2}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}}$

Well-Formed-ness of Types We define a relation $\Phi \vdash \tau$ on types.

- $(\text{Ground})_{\Phi \vdash \gamma}$
- $(\text{Lambda})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash A \quad \Phi \vdash B}{\Phi \vdash A \rightarrow B}}$
- $(\text{Computation})_{\frac{\Phi \vdash A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon} A}}$
- $(\text{For-All})_{\frac{\Phi, \alpha \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \forall \alpha. A}}$

Ok Predicate on Type Environments We now define a predicate on type environments and effect environments: $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$

- (Nil) $\frac{}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon \text{Ok}}$
- (Var) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok} \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \quad \Phi \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \text{Ok}}$

1.2.5 Sub-typing

There exists a sub-typing pre-order relation \leq_γ over ground types that is:

- (Reflexive) $\frac{}{A \leq_\gamma A}$
- (Transitive) $\frac{A \leq_\gamma B \quad B \leq_\gamma C}{A \leq_\gamma C}$

We extend this relation with the function and effect-lambda sub-typing rules to yield the full sub-typing relation under an effect environment, Φ, \leq_Φ

- (ground) $\frac{A \leq_\gamma B}{A \leq_\Phi B}$
- (Fn) $\frac{A \leq_\Phi A' \quad B' \leq_\Phi B}{A' \rightarrow B' \leq_\Phi A \rightarrow B}$
- (All) $\frac{A \leq_\Phi A'}{\forall \alpha. A \leq_\Phi \forall \alpha. A'}$
- (Effect) $\frac{A \leq_\Phi B \quad \epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2}{M_{\epsilon_1} A \leq_\Phi M_{\epsilon_2} B}$

1.2.6 Type Rules

- (Const) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok} \quad \Phi \vdash A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash C^A : A}$
- (Unit) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash () : \text{Unit}}$
- (True) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}}$
- (False) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}}$
- (Var) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \text{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash x : A}$
- (Weaken) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash x:A \quad \Phi \vdash B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, y:B \vdash x:A} \text{ (if } x \neq y \text{)}$
- (Fn) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash v:\beta}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. v : A \rightarrow B}$
- (Sub) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A \quad A \leq_\Phi B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:B}$
- (Effect-Abs) $\frac{\Phi, \alpha \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A}$
- (Effect-apply) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \epsilon : A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Return) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A}$
- (Apply) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow M_\epsilon B \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : M_\epsilon B}$
- (If) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{if } v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A}$
- (Do) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi \vdash \Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}$

1.2.7 Ok Lemma

If $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ then $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$.

Proof If $\Gamma, x : A \text{Ok}$ then by inversion ΓOk . Only the type rule **Weaken** adds terms to the environment from its preconditions to its post-condition and it does so in an **Ok** preserving way. Any type derivation tree has at least one leaf. All leaves are axioms which require $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$. And all non-axiom derivations preserve the **Ok** property.

Chapter 2

Category Requirements

2.1 CCC

The category at each index should be a cartesian closed category. That is it should have:

- A Terminal object 1
- Binary products
- Exponentials

Further more, it should have a co-product of the terminal object 1 . This is required for the beta-eta equivalence of **if-then-else** terms.

$$1 \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} A \xleftarrow{\text{inr}} 1$$

For each:

$$1 \xrightarrow{f} A \xleftarrow{g} 1$$

There exists unique $[f, g] : 1 + 1 \rightarrow A$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ f \nearrow & \uparrow [f, g] & \nwarrow g \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{inl}} 1 + 1 \xleftarrow{\text{inr}} & 1 \end{array}$$

2.2 Graded Pre-Monad

The category should have a graded pre-monad. That is:

- An endo-functor indexed by the po-monad on effects: $T : (\mathbb{E}, \cdot 1, \leq) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$
- A unit natural transformation: $\eta : \text{Id} \rightarrow T_1$
- A join natural transformation: $\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} : T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} \rightarrow T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}$

Subject to the following commutative diagrams:

2.2.1 Left Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon \eta_A} & T_\epsilon T_1 A \\ & \searrow \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon, 1, A} \\ & & T_\epsilon A \end{array}$$

2.2.2 Right Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{\eta_{T_\epsilon A}} & T_1 T_1 A \\
 & \searrow \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow \mu_{1, \epsilon, A} \\
 & & T_\epsilon A
 \end{array}$$

2.2.3 Associativity

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} T_{\epsilon_3} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, T_{\epsilon_3} A}} & T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} T_{\epsilon_3} A \\
 \downarrow T_{\epsilon_1} \mu_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, A} & & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, A} \\
 T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3, A}} & T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} A
 \end{array}$$

2.3 Tensor Strength

The category should also have tensorial strength over its products and monads. That is, it should have a natural transformation

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} : A \times T_\epsilon B \rightarrow T_\epsilon(A \times B)$$

Satisfying the following rules:

2.3.1 Left Naturality

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times T_\epsilon f} & A \times T_\epsilon B' \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B'} \\
 T_\epsilon(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon(\text{Id}_A \times f)} & T_\epsilon(A \times B')
 \end{array}$$

2.3.2 Right Naturality

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{Id}_{T_\epsilon B}} & A' \times T_\epsilon B \\
 \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A, B} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, A', B} \\
 T_\epsilon(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{T_\epsilon(f \times \text{Id}_B)} & T_\epsilon(A' \times B)
 \end{array}$$

2.3.3 Unitor Law

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \times T_\epsilon A & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, 1, A}} & T_\epsilon(1 \times A) \\
 & \searrow \lambda_{T_\epsilon A} & \downarrow T_\epsilon(\lambda_A) \\
 & & T_\epsilon A
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{Where } \lambda : 1 \times \text{Id} \rightarrow \text{Id} \text{ is the left-unitor. } (\lambda = \pi_2)$$

Tensor Strength and Projection Due to the left-unitor law, we can develop a new law for the commutativity of π_2 with $\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon, \cdot, \cdot}$,

$$\pi_{2, A, B} = \pi_{2, 1, B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B)$$

And $\pi_{2, 1}$ is the left unitor, so by tensorial strength:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\epsilon \pi_2 \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} &= T_\epsilon \pi_{2,1,B} \circ T_\epsilon (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} \\
&= T_\epsilon \pi_{2,1,B} \circ \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,1,B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \\
&= \pi_{2,1,B} \circ (\langle \rangle_A \times \text{Id}_B) \\
&= \pi_2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

So the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_\epsilon B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B}} & T_\epsilon(A \times B) \\
& \searrow \pi_2 & \downarrow T_\epsilon \pi_2 \\
& & T_\epsilon B
\end{array}$$

2.3.4 Commutativity with Join

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} B & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1,A,T_{\epsilon_2}B}} & T_{\epsilon_1}(A \times T_{\epsilon_2} B) \xrightarrow{T_{\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_2,A,B}} T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2}(A \times B) \\
& \searrow \text{Id}_A \times \mu_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,B} & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,A \times B} \\
& & A \times T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2,A,B}} T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2}(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

2.4 Commutativity with Unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \eta_B} & A \times T_\epsilon B \\
& \searrow \eta_{A \times B} & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,B} \\
& & T_\epsilon(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

2.5 Commutativity with α

Let $\alpha_{A,B,C} = \langle \pi_1 \circ \pi_1, \langle \pi_2 \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \rangle : ((A \times B) \times C) \rightarrow (A \times (B \times C))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A \times B) \times T_\epsilon C & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,(A \times B),C}} & T_\epsilon((A \times B) \times C) \\
\downarrow \alpha_{A,B,T_\epsilon C} & & \downarrow T_\epsilon \alpha_{A,B,C} \\
A \times (B \times T_\epsilon C) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,B,C}} A \times T_\epsilon(B \times C) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,A,(B \times C)}} & T_\epsilon(A \times (B \times C))
\end{array} \quad \text{TODO: Needed?}$$

2.6 Sub-effecting

For each instance of the pre-order (\mathbb{E}, \leq) , $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$, there exists a natural transformation $\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket : T_{\epsilon_1} \rightarrow T_{\epsilon_2}$ that commutes with $\mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon,\cdot}$:

2.6.1 Sub-effecting and Tensor Strength

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times T_{\epsilon_1} B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_A \times \llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket_B} & A \times T_{\epsilon_2} B \\
\downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_1,A,B} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\epsilon_2,A,B} \\
T_{\epsilon_1}(A \times B) & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket_{A \times B}} & T_{\epsilon_2}(A \times B)
\end{array}$$

2.6.2 Sub-effecting and Monadic Join

Since the monoid operation on effects is monotone, we can introduce the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon_2} & \xrightarrow{T_{\epsilon_1} \llbracket \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon'_2 \rrbracket_M} & T_{\epsilon_1} T_{\epsilon'_2} & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon'_1 \rrbracket_{M, T_{\epsilon'_2}}} & T_{\epsilon'_1} T_{\epsilon'_2} \\
\downarrow \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2,} & & & & \downarrow \mu_{\epsilon'_1, \epsilon'_2,} \\
T_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} & \xrightarrow{\llbracket \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon'_1 \epsilon'_2 \rrbracket_M} & & & T_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2}
\end{array}$$

2.7 Sub-typing

The denotation of ground types $\llbracket - \rrbracket_M$ is a functor from the pre-order category of ground types $(\gamma, \leq : \gamma)$ to \mathbb{C} . This pre-ordered sub-category of \mathbb{C} is extended with the rule for function sub-typing to form a larger pre-ordered sub-category of \mathbb{C} .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(Function Subtyping)} \frac{f = \llbracket A' \leq : A \rrbracket_M \quad g = \llbracket B \leq : B' \rrbracket_M \quad h = \llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket}{rhs = \llbracket A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} B \leq : A' \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B' \rrbracket_M : (T_{\epsilon_1} B)^A \rightarrow (T_{\epsilon_2} B')^{A'}} \\
& rhs = (h_{B'} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g)^{A'} \circ (T_{\epsilon_1} B)^f \\
& \quad = \text{cur}(h_{B'} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g \circ \text{app}) \circ \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ (\text{Id}_{T_{\epsilon_1} B^{A'}} \times f))
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Chapter 3

Denotations

3.1 Helper Morphisms

3.1.1 Diagonal and Twist Morphisms

In the definition and proofs (Especially of the the If cases), I make use of the morphisms twist and diagonal.

$$\tau_{A,B} : (A \times B) \rightarrow (B \times A) = \langle \pi_2, \pi_1 \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

$$\delta_A : A \rightarrow (A \times A) = \langle \text{Id}_A, \text{Id}_A \rangle \quad (3.2)$$

3.2 Denotations of Types

3.2.1 Denotation of Ground Types

3.2.2 Denotation of Polymorphic Types

3.2.3 Denotation of Computation Type

3.2.4 Denotation of Function Types

3.2.5 Denotation of Type Environments

3.2.6 Denotation of Value Terms

3.2.7 Denotation of Computation Terms

Chapter 4

Unique Denotations

4.1 Reduced Type Derivation

A reduced type derivation is one where subtype and sub-effect rules must, and may only, occur at the root or directly above an **if**, or **apply** rule.

In this section, I shall prove that there is at most one reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t:\tau$. Secondly, I shall present a function for generating reduced derivations from arbitrary typing derivations, in a way that does not change the denotations. These imply that all typing derivations of a type-relation have the same denotation.

4.2 Reduced Type Derivations are Unique

4.2.1 Variables

4.2.2 Constants

4.2.3 Value Terms

4.2.4 Computation Terms

4.3 Each type derivation has a reduced equivalent with the same denotation.

4.3.1 Constants

4.3.2 Value Types

4.3.3 Computation Types

4.4 Denotations are Equivalent

Chapter 5

Weakening

5.1 Effect Weakening Definition

Introduce a relation $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi$ relating effect-environments.

5.1.1 Relation

- (Id) $\frac{\Phi \mathbf{Ok}}{\iota : \Phi \triangleright \Phi}$
- (Project) $\frac{\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi}{\omega \pi : (\Phi', \alpha) \triangleright \Phi}$
- (Extend) $\frac{\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi}{\omega \times : (\Phi', \alpha) \triangleright (\Phi, \alpha)}$

5.1.2 Weakening Properties

5.1.3 Effect Weakening Preserves Ok

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \Phi \mathbf{Ok} \Leftarrow \Phi' \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof

Case: ι

$$\Phi \mathbf{Ok} \wedge \iota : \Phi \triangleright \Phi \Leftarrow \Phi \mathbf{Ok}$$

Case: $\omega \pi$ By inversion,

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi' \quad (5.2)$$

So, by induction, $\Phi' \mathbf{Ok}$ and hence $(\Phi', \alpha) \mathbf{Ok}$

Case: $\omega \times$ By inversion,

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi' \quad (5.3)$$

So

$$(\Phi, \alpha) \mathbf{Ok} \Rightarrow \Phi \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi' \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.5)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\Phi', \alpha) \mathbf{Ok} \quad (5.6)$$

$$(5.7)$$

5.1.4 Domain Lemma

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \Rightarrow (\alpha \notin \Phi \Rightarrow \alpha \notin \Phi')$$

Proof By trivial Induction.

5.1.5 Weakening Preserves Effect Well-Formed-Ness

If $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi$ then $\Phi \vdash \epsilon \implies \Phi' \vdash \epsilon$

Proof By induction over the well-formed-ness of effects

Case Ground By inversion, $\Phi \text{Ok} \wedge \epsilon \in E$. Hence by the ok-property, $\Phi' \text{Ok}$ So $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon$

Case Var $\Phi = \Phi'', \alpha$

So either:

Case: $\Phi' = \Phi''', \alpha$ So $\omega = \omega' \times$ So $\omega' : \Phi''' \triangleright \Phi''$, and hence:

$$(\text{Var}) \frac{\Phi''', \alpha \text{Ok}}{\Phi''', \alpha \vdash \alpha} \quad (5.8)$$

Case: $\Phi' = \Phi''', \beta$ and $\beta \neq \alpha$

So $\omega = \omega' \pi$

By induction, $\omega' : \Phi''' \triangleright \Phi$ so

$$(\text{Weaken}) \frac{\Phi''' \vdash \alpha}{\Phi' \vdash \alpha} \quad (5.9)$$

Case Weaken By inversion, $\Phi = \Phi'', \beta$.

So $\omega = \omega' \times$

And, $\Phi' = \Phi''', \beta$ So By inversion $\omega' : \Phi''' \triangleright \pi_1''$

So by induction

$$(\text{weak}) \frac{\Phi''' \vdash \alpha}{\Phi' \vdash \alpha} \quad (5.10)$$

Case Monoid By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1$ and $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_2$. So by induction, $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon_1$ and $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon_2$, and so:

$$\Phi' \vdash \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \quad (5.11)$$

5.1.6 Weakening Preserves Type-Well-Formed-Ness

If $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi$ and $\Phi \vdash A$ then $\Phi' \vdash A$.

Proof:

Case Ground: By inversion, ΦOk , hence by property 1 of weakening, $\Phi' \text{Ok}$. Hence $\Phi' \vdash \gamma$.

Case Function: By inversion, $\Phi \vdash A, \Phi \vdash B$. So by induction $\Phi' \vdash A, \Phi' \vdash B$, hence,

$$\Phi' \vdash A \rightarrow B$$

Case Computation: By inversion $\Phi \vdash A$, and $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$.

So by induction and the effect-well-formed-ness theorem,

$\Phi' \vdash A$ and $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon$

So

$$\Phi' \vdash M_\epsilon A$$

Case For All: By inversion, $\Phi, \alpha \vdash A$ Picking $\alpha \notin \Phi'$ using α -conversion.

So $\omega \times : (\Phi', \alpha) \triangleright (\Phi, \alpha)$

So $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash A$

So $\Phi \vdash \forall \alpha. A$

5.1.7 Corollary

$$\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \wedge \Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok} \implies \Phi' \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$$

Case Nil: By inversion ΦOk so $\Phi \vdash \diamond \text{Ok}$

Case Var: By inversion $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$, $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$, $\Phi \vdash A$

So by induction $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$, and $\pi'_1 \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$

So $\Phi' \vdash (\Gamma, x : A) \text{Ok}$

5.1.8 Effect Weakening preserves Type Relations

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \wedge \omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi \implies \Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad (5.12)$$

Proof:

Case Constants: If $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ then $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ so:

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A : A} \quad (5.13)$$

Case Variables: If $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ then $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ so: So, $\Phi' \mid G \vdash x : A$, if $\Phi \mid G \vdash x : A$

Case Lambda: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B$, so by induction $\Phi' \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B$.

So,

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v : A \rightarrow B \quad (5.14)$$

Case Apply: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$.

Hence by induction, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$ and $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$.

So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{app } v_1 v_2 : B$$

Case Return: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$

So by induction $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$

Hence $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A$

Case Bind: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} A$.
Hence by induction $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$ and $\Phi' \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} A$.
So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B \quad (5.15)$$

Case If: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$.
Hence by induction $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$, and $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$.
So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A \quad (5.16)$$

Case Subtype: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$, and $A \leq B$.
So by induction: $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$, and $A \leq B$.
So

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : B \quad (5.17)$$

Case Effect-Lambda: By inversion $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$
By picking $\alpha \notin \Phi'$ using α -conversion.

$$\omega \times : \Phi', \alpha \triangleright \Phi, \alpha \quad (5.18)$$

So by induction, $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$
Hence,

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall a. A \quad (5.19)$$

Case Effect-Apply: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$, and $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$.
So by induction, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$
And by the well-formed-ness-theorem $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon$
Hence,

$$\Phi' \mid \Gamma \vdash v \epsilon : A [\epsilon/\alpha] \quad (5.20)$$

5.2 Type Environment Weakening

5.2.1 Relation

We define the ternary weakening relation $\Phi \vdash w : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ using the following rules.

- (Id) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}}{\Phi \vdash \epsilon : \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma}$
- (Project) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')}{\Phi \vdash \omega \pi : \Gamma, x : A \triangleright \Gamma}$
- (Extend) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma') \quad A \leq B}{\Phi \vdash \omega \times : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : B}$

5.2.2 Domain Lemma

If $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$, then $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma')$.

Proof:

Case Id: Then $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ and so $\text{dom}(\Gamma') = \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Case Project: By inversion and induction, $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma') \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma' \cup \{x\})$

Case Extend: By inversion and induction, $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma')$ so

$$\text{dom}(\Gamma, x : A) = \text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{x\} \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma') \cup \{x\} = \text{dom}(\Gamma', x : A)$$

5.2.3 Theorem 1

If $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ and $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$ then $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$

Proof:

Case Id:

$$(\text{Id}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi \vdash \iota : \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma}$$

By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$.

Case Project:

$$(\text{Project}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')}{\Phi \vdash \omega \pi : \Gamma, x : A \triangleright \Gamma}$$

By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ and $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')$.

Hence by induction $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$, $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$. Since $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')$, we have $\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A \mathbf{0k}$.

Case Extend: $(\text{Extend}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma') \quad A \leq B}{\Phi \vdash \omega \times : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : B}$,

By inversion, we have

$\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$, $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma')$.

Hence we have $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$, $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$, and by the domain Lemma, $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Gamma')$, hence $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$. Hence, we have $\Phi \vdash \Gamma, x : A \mathbf{0k}$ and $\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A \mathbf{0k}$

5.2.4 Theorem 2

If $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ and $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ then there is a derivation of $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash t : \tau$

Proof: We induct over the structure of typing derivations of $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash t : \tau$, assuming $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ holds.

Case Var and Weaken: We case split on the weakening ω .

Case: $\omega = \iota$ Then $\Gamma' = \Gamma$, and so $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A$ holds and the derivation Δ' is the same as Δ

Case: $\omega = \omega' \pi$ Then $\Gamma' = (\Gamma'', x' : A')$ and $\Phi \vdash \omega' : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma$. So by induction, there is a tree, Δ_1 deriving $\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash x : A$, such that:

$$(\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'', x' : A' \vdash x : A} \quad (5.21)$$

Case: $\omega = \omega' \times$ Then

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma''', x' : B \quad (5.22)$$

$$\Gamma = \Gamma'', x' : A' \quad (5.23)$$

$$B \leq A \quad (5.24)$$

Case: $x = x'$ Then $A = A'$.

Then we derive the new derivation, Δ' as so:

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{(\text{var}) \frac{\Phi | \Gamma''', x : B \vdash x : B}{B \leq A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \quad (5.25)$$

Case: $x \neq x'$ Then

$$\Delta = (\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma''' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash x : A} \quad (5.26)$$

By induction with $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma''' \triangleright \Gamma''$, we have a derivation Δ_1 of $\Phi | \Gamma''' \vdash x : A$

We have the weakened derivation:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma''' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash x : A} \quad (5.27)$$

Case Constant: The constant typing rules, $()$, **true**, **false**, \mathcal{C}^A , all proceed by the same logic. Hence I shall only prove the theorems for the case \mathcal{C}^A .

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Gamma 0k}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{C}^A : A} \quad (5.28)$$

By inversion, we have $\Phi \vdash \Gamma 0k$, so we have $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0k$.

Hence

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0k}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \mathcal{C}^A : A} \quad (5.29)$$

Holds.

Case Lambda: By inversion, we have a derivation Δ_1 giving

$$\Delta = (\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v : A \rightarrow B} \quad (5.30)$$

Since $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$, we have:

$$\Phi \vdash \omega \times : (\Gamma, x : A) \triangleright (\Gamma, x : A) \quad (5.31)$$

Hence, by induction, using $\Phi \vdash \omega \times : (\Gamma, x : A) \triangleright (\Gamma, x : A)$, we derive Δ'_1 :

$$\Delta' = (\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash v : B}}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash \lambda x : A. v : A \rightarrow B} \quad (5.32)$$

Case Sub-typing:

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad A \leq B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad (5.33)$$

by inversion, we have a derivation Δ_1

$$() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad (5.34)$$

So by induction, we have a derivation Δ'_1 such that:

$$(\text{Sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq B}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : B} \quad (5.35)$$

Case Return: We have the sub-derivation Δ_1 such that

$$\Delta = (\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A} \quad (5.36)$$

Hence, by induction, with $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$, we find the derivation Δ'_1 such that:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{return } v : M_1 A} \quad (5.37)$$

Case Apply: By inversion, we have derivations Δ_1, Δ_2 such that

$$\Delta = (\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : B} \quad (5.38)$$

By induction, this gives us the respective derivations: Δ'_1, Δ'_2 such that

$$\Delta' = (\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 v_2 : B} \quad (5.39)$$

Case If: By inversion, we have the sub-derivations $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$, such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_3}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (5.40)$$

By induction, this gives us the sub-derivations $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \Delta'_3$ such that

$$\Delta' = (\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (5.41)$$

Case Bind: By inversion, we have derivations Δ_1, Δ_2 such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} B} \quad (5.42)$$

If $\Phi \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ then $\Phi \vdash \omega \times : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : A$, so by induction, we can derive Δ'_1, Δ'_2 such that:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash v_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : M_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} B} \quad (5.43)$$

Case Effect-Abstraction: By inversion, we have derivation Δ_1 deriving

$$(\text{Effect-Abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (5.44)$$

By α -conversion, we have $\iota\pi : \Phi, \alpha \triangleright \Phi$, So we have $\Phi, \alpha \vdash \omega : \Gamma' \triangleright \Gamma$ so by induction, there exists Δ_1 deriving:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Effect-Abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma' \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (5.45)$$

Case Effect-Application: By inversion we have derivation Δ_1 deriving

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v \epsilon : A [\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad (5.46)$$

So by induction, we have Δ'_1 deriving

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v \epsilon : A [\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad (5.47)$$

Chapter 6

Substitution

We need to define substitutions of effects on effects, effects on types, effects on terms, terms on terms.

6.1 Effect Substitutions

Define a substitution, σ as

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, \alpha := \epsilon \quad (6.1)$$

Define the free-effect Variables of σ :

$$\begin{aligned} fev(\diamond) &= \emptyset \\ fev(\sigma, \alpha := \epsilon) &= fev(\sigma) \cup fev(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

We define the property:

$$\alpha \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow \alpha \notin (\text{dom}(\sigma) \cup fev(\sigma)) \quad (6.2)$$

6.1.1 Action of Effect Substitution on Effects

Define the action of applying an effect substitution to an effect symbol:

$$\sigma(\epsilon) \quad (6.3)$$

$$\sigma(e) = e \quad (6.4)$$

$$\sigma(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) = (\sigma(\epsilon_1)) \cdot (\sigma(\epsilon_2)) \quad (6.5)$$

$$\diamond(\alpha) = \alpha \quad (6.6)$$

$$(\sigma, \beta := \epsilon)(\alpha) = \sigma(\alpha) \quad (6.7)$$

$$(\sigma, \alpha := \epsilon)(\alpha) = \epsilon \quad (6.8)$$

6.1.2 Action of Effect Substitution on Types

Define the action of applying an effect substitution, σ to a type τ as:

$$\tau[\sigma]$$

Defined as so

$$\gamma [\sigma] = \gamma \quad (6.9)$$

$$(A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B) [\sigma] = (A [\sigma]) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} (B [\sigma]) \quad (6.10)$$

$$(\mathbf{M}_\epsilon A) [\sigma] = \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} (A [\sigma]) \quad (6.11)$$

$$(\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma] = \forall \alpha. (A [\sigma]) \quad \text{If } \alpha \# \sigma \quad (6.12)$$

6.1.3 Action of Effect-Substitution on Type Environments

Define the action of effect substitution on type environments:

$$\Gamma [\sigma]$$

Defined as so:

$$\diamond [\sigma] = \diamond$$

$$(\Gamma, x : A) [\sigma] = (\Gamma [\sigma], x : (A [\sigma]))$$

6.1.4 Action of Effect Substitution on Terms

Define the action of effect-substitution on terms:

$$x [\sigma] = x \quad (6.13)$$

$$\mathbf{C}^A [\sigma] = \mathbf{C}^{(A[\sigma])} \quad (6.14)$$

$$(\lambda x : A. C) [\sigma] = \lambda x : (A [\sigma]). (C [\sigma]) \quad (6.15)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2) [\sigma] = \text{if}_{\sigma(\epsilon), (A[\sigma])} v [\sigma] \text{ then } C_1 [\sigma] \text{ else } C_2 [\sigma] \quad (6.16)$$

$$(v_1 v_2) [\sigma] = (v_1 [\sigma]) v_2 [\sigma] \quad (6.17)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1 [\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2 [\sigma]) \quad (6.18)$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha. v) [\sigma] = \Lambda \alpha. (v [\sigma]) \quad \text{If } \alpha \# \sigma \quad (6.19)$$

$$(v \epsilon) [\sigma] = (v [\sigma]) \sigma(\epsilon) \quad (6.20)$$

$$(6.21)$$

6.1.5 Well-Formed-ness

For any two effect-environments, and a substitution, define the well-formed-ness relation:

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi \quad (6.22)$$

- (Nil) $\frac{\Phi' \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi' \vdash \diamond : \diamond}$
- (Extend) $\frac{\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi \quad \Phi' \vdash \epsilon \quad \alpha \notin \Phi}{\Phi' \vdash \sigma, \alpha : \epsilon : (\Phi, \alpha)}$

6.1.6 Property 1

If $\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$ then $\Phi' \mathbf{0k}$ (By the Nil case) and $\Phi \mathbf{0k}$ Since each use of the extend case preserves $\mathbf{0k}$.

6.1.7 Property 2

If $\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$ then $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi' \implies \Phi'' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$ since $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon \implies \Phi'' \vdash \epsilon$ and $\Phi' \mathbf{0k} \implies \Phi'' \mathbf{0k}$

6.1.8 Property 3

If $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$ then

$$\alpha \notin \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi' \implies (\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma, \alpha := \alpha): (\Phi, \alpha) \quad (6.23)$$

Since $\iota\pi: \Phi', \alpha \triangleright \Phi'$ so $\Phi', \alpha \vdash \sigma: \Phi$ and $\Phi', \alpha \vdash \alpha$

6.2 Substitution Preserves the Well-formed-ness of Effects

I.e.

$$\Phi \vdash \epsilon \wedge \Phi' \vdash \iota: \Phi \implies \Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon) \quad (6.24)$$

Proof:

Case Ground: $\sigma(e) = e$, so $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon)$ holds.

Case Multiply: By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1$ and $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_2$ so $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_1)$ and $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_2)$ by induction and hence $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2)$

Case Var: By inversion, $\Phi = \Phi'', \alpha$ and $\Phi'', \alpha \text{Ok}$. Hence by case splitting on ι , we see that $\sigma = \sigma', \alpha := \epsilon$.

So by inversion, $\sigma \vdash \epsilon$ so $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\alpha) = \epsilon$

Case Weaken: By inversion $\Phi = \Phi'', \beta$ and $\Phi'' \vdash \alpha$, so $\sigma = \sigma' \beta := \epsilon$.

So $\Phi' \vdash \sigma': \Phi''$.

hence by induction, $\Phi' \vdash \sigma'(a)$, so $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\alpha)$ since $\alpha \neq \beta$

6.2.1 Effect Substitution preserves the sub-effect relation

If $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$ and $\epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2$, then $\epsilon_1[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} \epsilon_2[\sigma]$.

Proof: For any ground substitution σ' of Φ' , then $\sigma\sigma'$ (the substitution σ' applied after σ) is also a ground substitution.

So $\epsilon_1[\sigma][\sigma'] \leq \epsilon_2[\sigma][\sigma']$.

So $\epsilon_1[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} \epsilon_2[\sigma]$.

6.2.2 Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Types

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi \wedge \Phi \vdash A \implies \Phi' \vdash A[\sigma] \quad (6.25)$$

Proof:

Case Ground: $\Phi' \text{Ok}$ so $\Phi' \vdash \gamma$ and $\gamma[\sigma] = \gamma$.

Hence $\Phi' \vdash \gamma[\sigma]$.

Case Lambda: By inversion $\Phi \vdash A$ and $\Phi \vdash B$.

So by induction, $\Phi' \vdash A[\sigma]$ and $\Phi' \vdash B[\sigma]$.

So

$$\Phi' \vdash (A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma]) \quad (6.26)$$

So

$$\Phi' \vdash (A \rightarrow B)[\sigma] \quad (6.27)$$

Case Computation: By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ and $\Phi \vdash A$ so by induction and substitution of effect preserving effect-well-formed-ness,

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon) \text{ and } \Phi' \vdash A[\sigma] \text{ so } \Phi \vdash \mathbb{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} A[\sigma] \text{ so } \Phi' \vdash (\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A)[\sigma]$$

Case For All: By inversion, $\Phi, \alpha \vdash A$. So by picking $\alpha \notin \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi'$ using α -equivalence, we have $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma\alpha := \alpha): (\Phi, \alpha)$.

So by induction $(\Phi, \alpha) \vdash A[\sigma, \alpha := \alpha]$

So $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash A[\sigma]$

So $\Phi' \vdash (\forall\alpha.A)[\sigma]$

6.2.3 Substitution of effects preserves Sub-Typing Relation

If $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$ and $A \leq_\Phi B$ then $A[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B[\sigma]$

Proof: By induction on the sub-typing relation

Case Ground: By inversion, $A \leq_\gamma B$, so A, B are ground types. Hence $A[\sigma] = A$ and $B[\sigma] = B$. So $A[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B[\sigma]$

Case Fn: By inversion, $A' \leq_\Phi A$ and $B \leq_\Phi B'$.

So by induction, $A'[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} A[\sigma]$ and $B[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B'[\sigma]$.

So $(A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma]) \leq_{\Phi'} (A'[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B'[\sigma])$

So $(A \rightarrow B)[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} (A' \rightarrow B')[\sigma]$

Case Computation: By inversion, $A \leq_\Phi B$, $\epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2$.

So by induction and substitution preserving the sub-effect relation,

$A[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B[\sigma]$ and $\sigma(\epsilon_1) \leq_{\Phi'} \sigma(\epsilon_2)$

So $\mathbb{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1)}(A[\sigma]) \leq_{\Phi'} \mathbb{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_2)}(B[\sigma])$

So $(\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A)[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} (\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B)[\sigma]$

6.2.4 Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Type Environments

If $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$ and $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$ then $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$

Proof:

Case Nil: $\Phi \mathbf{Ok} \implies \Phi' \mathbf{Ok}$ so $\Phi' \vdash \diamond \mathbf{Ok}$ and $\diamond[\sigma] = \diamond$

Case Var: By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$ and $\Phi \vdash A$.

By induction and substitution preserving well-formed-ness of types, $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma'[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$ and $\Phi' \vdash A[\sigma]$.

So $\Phi' \vdash (\Gamma'[\sigma], x : A[\sigma]) \mathbf{Ok}$.

Hence $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma, x : A[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$.

6.2.5 Effect-Polymorphism Preserves the Typing Relation

If $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v: A$, then $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma]: A[\sigma]$

Proof:

Case Const: By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$.

So $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$

So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \mathcal{C}^{A[\sigma]}: A[\sigma]$

Case True, False, Unit: The logic is the same for each of these cases, so we look at the case `true` only.

By inversion, $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$.
 So $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$
 Since $\text{true}[\sigma] = \text{true}$ and $\text{Bool}[\sigma] = \text{Bool}$.

Case Var: By inversion $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : A$ and $\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A \text{Ok}$.

So since substitution preserves well-formed-ness of type environments, $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma'[\sigma], x : A[\sigma] \text{Ok}$
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash x : A[\sigma]$
 Since $x[\sigma] = x$

Case Weaken: By inversion $\Gamma = \Gamma', y : B$, $\Phi \vdash B$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x : A$. $x \neq y$

By induction and the theorem that effect-substitution preserves type well-formed-ness, we have:
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma'[\sigma] \vdash x : A[\sigma]$ and $\Phi' \vdash B[\sigma]$
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash x[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$
 Since $x[\sigma] = x$, $\Gamma[\sigma] = (\Gamma'[\sigma], y : B[\sigma])$

Case Lambda: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B$.

So, by induction $\Phi' \mid (\Gamma, x : A)[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : B[\sigma]$.
 So, $\Phi \mid \Gamma[\sigma], x : A[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : B[\sigma]$.
 Hence by the lambda type rule,
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \lambda x : A[\sigma]. v[\sigma] : (A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma])$
 So
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (\lambda x : A. v)[\sigma] : (A \rightarrow B)[\sigma]$

Case Apply: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$.

So by induction, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_1[\sigma] : (A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma])$.
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (v_1[\sigma])(v_2[\sigma]) : B[\sigma]$.
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (v_1 v_2)[\sigma] : (A \rightarrow B)[\sigma]$

Case Subtype: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ and $\Phi \vdash A \leq B$

So by induction and effect-substitution preserving sub-typing, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$ and $\Phi' \vdash A[\sigma] \leq B[\sigma]$
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : B[\sigma]$

Case Return: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$

So by induction, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{return}(v[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_1(A[\sigma])$
 Hence $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (\text{return}v)[\sigma] : (\mathbf{M}_1 A)[\sigma]$

Case Bind: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$.

So by induction: $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_1[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1)}(A[\sigma])$, and $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma], x : A[\sigma] \vdash v_2[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_2)}(B[\sigma])$.
 And so $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1) \cdot (\epsilon_2[\sigma])} B[\sigma]$

Case If: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$

So by induction $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma] : \text{Bool}$, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_1[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$, and $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_2[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v_2 : A[\sigma]$. (Since $\text{Bool}[\sigma] = \text{Bool}$)

Hence:
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \text{if}_{A[\sigma]} v[\sigma] \text{ then } v_1[\sigma] \text{ else } v_2[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$
 So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash (\text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2)[\sigma] : A[\sigma]$

Case Effect-lambda: By inversion, $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$.

So by the substitution property 3 (**TODO: Is this correct/reference correctly**), pick $\alpha \notin \Phi' \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi$ so we have:

$$(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma, \alpha := \alpha) : (\Phi, \alpha)$$

So by induction, $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha] \vdash v [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha] : A [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha]$

So $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : A [\sigma]$ since $\alpha \notin \Phi' \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi$.

So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : (\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma]$

Case Effect-Apply: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$, $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$.

So by induction and effect-substitution preserving well-formed-ness of effects: $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : (\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma]$ and $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon)$

So $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v [\sigma]) (\sigma(\epsilon)) : A [\sigma] [\sigma(\epsilon)/\alpha]$.

Since $\alpha \# \sigma$, we can commute the applications of substitution. **TODO: Do I need to prove this?**

So, $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v \epsilon) [\sigma] : A [\epsilon/\alpha] [\sigma]$

6.3 The Identity Substitution on Effect Environments

For each type environment Φ , define the identity substitution I_Φ as so:

- $I_\diamond = \diamond$
- $I_{(\Phi, \alpha)} = (I_\Phi, \alpha := \alpha)$

6.3.1 Properties of the Identity Substitution

Property 1 If $\Phi \mathbf{Ok}$ then $\Phi \vdash I_\Phi : \Phi$, proved trivially by induction over the \mathbf{Ok} relation.

Property 2 **TODO: The denotational property of id-substitution**

6.4 Single Substitution on Effect Environments

If $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$, let the single substitution $\Phi \vdash [\epsilon/\alpha] : \Phi, \alpha$, be defined as:

$$[x/\alpha] = (I_\Phi, \alpha := \epsilon) \tag{6.28}$$

6.5 Term-Term Substitutions

6.5.1 Substitutions as SNOG lists

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, x := v \tag{6.29}$$

6.5.2 Trivial Properties of substitutions

$\mathbf{fv}(\sigma)$

$$\mathbf{fv}(\diamond) = \emptyset \tag{6.30}$$

$$\mathbf{fv}(\sigma, x := v) = \mathbf{fv}(\sigma) \cup \mathbf{fv}(v) \tag{6.31}$$

$\text{dom}(\sigma)$

$$\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (6.32)$$

$$\text{dom}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cup \{x\} \quad (6.33)$$

$x \# \sigma$

$$x \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow x \notin (\text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{dom}(\sigma')) \quad (6.34)$$

6.5.3 Action of substitutions

We define the action of applying a substitution σ as

$$t[\sigma]$$

$$x[\diamond] = x \quad (6.35)$$

$$x[\sigma, x := v] = v \quad (6.36)$$

$$x[\sigma, x' := v'] = x[\sigma] \quad \text{If } x \neq x' \quad (6.37)$$

$$\mathbb{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbb{C}^A \quad (6.38)$$

$$(\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma] = \lambda x : A. (C[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (6.39)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v[\sigma] \text{ then } C_1[\sigma] \text{ else } C_2[\sigma] \quad (6.40)$$

$$(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) v_2[\sigma] \quad (6.41)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (6.42)$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha. v)[\sigma] = \Lambda \alpha. (v[\sigma]) \quad (6.43)$$

$$(v \epsilon)[\sigma] = (v[\sigma]) \epsilon \quad (6.44)$$

$$(6.45)$$

6.5.4 Well-Formed-ness

Define the relation

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

by:

- (Nil) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \diamond : \diamond}$
- (Extend) $\frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A)}$

6.5.5 Simple Properties Of Substitution

If $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ then: **TODO: Number these**

Property 1: $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$ and $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$ Since $\Phi \vdash \Gamma' \mathbf{0k}$ holds by the Nil-axiom. $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{0k}$ holds by induction on the well-formed-ness relation.

Property 2: $\omega : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma'$ implies $\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$. By induction over well-formed-ness relation. For each $x := v$ in σ , $\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash v : A$ holds if $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A$ holds.

Property 3: $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma''))$ implies $\Phi \mid (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$ Since $\iota\pi : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma'$, so by (Property 2) **TODO: Better referencing here**,

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma', x : A \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

In addition, $\Phi \mid \Gamma', x : A \vdash x : A$ trivially, so by the rule **Extend**, well-formed-ness holds for

$$\Phi \mid (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A) \quad (6.46)$$

6.5.6 Substitution Preserves Typing

We have the following non-trivial property of substitution:

$$(\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A) \wedge (\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma) \Rightarrow (\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A) \quad (6.47)$$

Assuming $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$, we induct over the typing relation, proving $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \implies \Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A$

Proof:

Case Var: By inversion $\Gamma = (\Gamma'', x : A)$ So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma'', x : A \vdash x : A \quad (6.48)$$

So by inversion, since $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma''$, $x : A$,

$$\sigma = (\sigma', x := v) \wedge \Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v : A \quad (6.49)$$

By the definition of the effect of substitutions, $x[\sigma] = v$, So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma] : A \quad (6.50)$$

holds.

Case Weaken: By inversion, $\Gamma = \Gamma'', y : B, x \neq y$, and there exists Δ such that

$$(\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'' \vdash x : A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma'', y : B \vdash x : A} \quad (6.51)$$

By inversion, $\sigma = \sigma', y := v$ and:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash \sigma' : \Gamma'' \quad (6.52)$$

So by induction,

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma'] : A \quad (6.53)$$

And so by definition of the effect of σ , $x[\sigma] = x[\sigma']$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma] : A \quad (6.54)$$

Case Lambda: By inversion, there exists Δ such that:

$$(\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma, x:A \vdash v:B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.v : A \rightarrow B} \quad (6.55)$$

Using alpha equivalence, we pick $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma'))$ Hence, by property 3, we have

$$\Phi | (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : \Gamma, x : A \quad (6.56)$$

So by induction using $\sigma, x := x$, we have Δ' such that:

$$(\text{Fn}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma', x:A \vdash v[\sigma, x := x] : B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.v[\sigma, x := x] : A \rightarrow B} \quad (6.57)$$

Since $\lambda x : A.(v[\sigma, x := x]) = \lambda x : A.(v[\sigma]) = (\lambda x : A.v)[\sigma]$, we have a typing derivation for $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\lambda x : A.v)[\sigma] : A \rightarrow B$.

Case Constants: We use the same logic for all constants, $()$, **true**, **false**, \mathbb{C}^A :

$\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \Rightarrow \Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0\mathbf{k}$ and:

$$\mathbb{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbb{C}^A \quad (6.58)$$

So

$$(\text{Const}) \frac{\Phi \vdash \Gamma' 0\mathbf{k}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \mathbb{C}^A : A} \quad (6.59)$$

6.5.7 Computation Terms

Case Return: By inversion, we have Δ_1 such that:

$$(\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v:A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : \mathbf{M}_1 A} \quad (6.60)$$

By induction, we have Δ'_1 such that

$$(\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{return}(v[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_1 A} \quad (6.61)$$

Since $(\text{return } v)[\sigma] = \text{return}(v[\sigma])$, the type derivation above holds for $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\text{return } v)[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_1 A$.

Case Apply: By inversion, we have Δ_1, Δ_2 such that:

$$(\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : B} \quad (6.62)$$

By induction on Δ_1, Δ_2 , we have Δ'_1, Δ'_2 such that

$$(\text{Apply}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1[\sigma] : A \rightarrow B} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (v_1[\sigma]) (v_2[\sigma]) : B} \quad (6.63)$$

Since $(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) (v_2[\sigma])$, we the above derivation holds for $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (v_1 v_2)[\sigma] : B$

Case If: By inversion, we have $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ such that:

$$(\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_3}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (6.64)$$

By induction on $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$, we derive $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \Delta'_3$ such that:

$$(\text{If}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : \text{Bool}} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1[\sigma] : A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_2[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{if}_A (v[\sigma]) \text{ then } (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ else } (v_2[\sigma]) : A} \quad (6.65)$$

Since $(\text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_A (v[\sigma]) \text{ then } (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ else } (v_2[\sigma])$ The derivation above holds for $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2)[\sigma] : A$

Case Bind: By inversion, there exist Δ_1, Δ_2 such that:

$$(\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta_1}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta_2}{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (6.66)$$

Using alpha-equivalence, we pick $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma'))$. Hence by property 3,

$$\Phi | (\Gamma, x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$$

By induction on Δ_1, Δ_2 , we have Δ'_1, Δ'_2 such that:

$$(\text{Bind}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v_1[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad () \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Phi | \Gamma', x : A \vdash v_2[\sigma, x := x] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma, x := x]) : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (6.67)$$

Since $(\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2)[\sigma] = \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma]) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2[\sigma, x := x])$, the above derivation holds for $\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash (\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2)[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B$

Case Sub-type: By inversion, there exists Δ such that

$$(\text{sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad (6.68)$$

By induction on Δ we derive Δ' such that:

$$(\text{sub-type}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A} \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v[\sigma] : B} \quad (6.69)$$

Case Effect-Lambda: By inversion, there exists Δ such that

$$(\text{Effect-abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (6.70)$$

It is also the case that $\iota \pi : \Phi, \alpha \triangleright \Phi$.

So $\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$

So by induction there exists Δ' ,

$$(\text{Effect-abs}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A}}{\Phi | \Gamma' \vdash \Lambda \alpha. (v[\sigma]) : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (6.71)$$

Where $\Lambda \alpha. (v[\sigma]) = (\Lambda \alpha. v)[\sigma]$

Case Effect Application: By inversion $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ and there exists Δ such that

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v \epsilon : A [\epsilon / \alpha]} \quad (6.72)$$

So by induction there exists Δ' such that:

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : \forall \alpha. A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash (v[\sigma]) \epsilon : A [\epsilon / \alpha]} \quad (6.73)$$

Where $(v[\sigma]) \epsilon = (v \epsilon) [\sigma]$

6.6 The Identity Substitution on Type Environments

For each type environment Γ , define the identity substitution I_Γ as so:

- $I_\diamond = \diamond$
- $I_{(\Gamma, x:A)} = (I_\Gamma, x := x)$

6.6.1 Properties of the Identity Substitution

Property 1 If $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$ then $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash I_\Gamma : \Gamma$, proved trivially by induction over the well-formed-ness relation.

Property 2 **TODO:** The denotational property of id-substitution

6.7 Single Substitution on Type Environments

If $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$, let the single substitution $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash [v/x] : \Gamma, x : A$, be defined as:

$$[v/x] = (I_\Gamma, x := v) \quad (6.74)$$

Chapter 7

Beta Eta Equivalence (Soundness)

7.1 Beta and Eta Equivalence

7.1.1 Beta-Eta conversions

- (Lambda-Beta) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2:B \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:A. v_1) \ v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_1[v_2/x]:B}$
- (Lambda-Eta) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:A \rightarrow B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. (v \ x) =_{\beta\eta} v:A \rightarrow B}$
- (Left Unit) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow \text{return } v_1 \text{ in } v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_2[v_1/x]:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}$
- (Right Unit) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v \text{ in return } x =_{\beta\eta} v:\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}$
- (Associativity) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi|\Gamma, x:A \vdash v_2:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B \quad \Phi|\Gamma, y:B \vdash v_3:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } (\text{do } y \leftarrow v_2 \text{ in } v_3) =_{\beta\eta} \text{do } y \leftarrow (\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2) \text{ in } v_3:\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C}$
- (Unit) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{Unit}}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} ():\text{Unit}}$
- (if-true) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ true then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_1:A}$
- (if-false) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ false then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_2:A}$
- (If-Eta) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma, x:\text{Bool} \vdash v_2:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1:\text{Bool}}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v_1 \text{ then } v_2[\text{true}/x] \text{ else } v_2[\text{false}/x] =_{\beta\eta} v_2[v_1/x]:A}$
- (Effect-beta) $\frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon \quad \Phi, \alpha|\Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda \alpha. v \ \epsilon) =_{\beta\eta} v[\epsilon/\alpha]:A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Effect-eta) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:\forall \alpha. A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. (v \ \alpha) =_{\beta\eta} v:\forall \alpha. A}$

7.1.2 Equivalence Relation

- (Reflexive) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v:A}$
- (Symmetric) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_1:A}$
- (Transitive) $\frac{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2:A \quad \Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_3:A}{\Phi|\Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_3:A}$

7.1.3 Congruences

- (Effect-Abs) $\frac{\Phi, \alpha | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v_1 =_{\beta\eta} \Lambda \alpha. v_2 : \forall \alpha. A}$
- (Effect-Apply) $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : \forall \alpha. A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 \epsilon =_{\beta\eta} v_2 \epsilon : A[\epsilon/\alpha]}$
- (Lambda) $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_1 =_{\beta\eta} \lambda x : A. v_2 : A \rightarrow B}$
- (Return) $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{return} v_1 =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{return} v_2 : \mathbb{M}_1 A}$
- (Apply) $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A \rightarrow B \quad \Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 v'_2 : B}$
- (Bind) $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow v_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ v_2 =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{do} \ c \leftarrow v'_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ v'_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B}$
- (If) $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : \mathbf{Bool} \quad \Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A \quad \Phi | \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if}_A \ v \ \mathbf{then} \ v_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ v_2 =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{if}_A \ v' \ \mathbf{then} \ v'_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ v'_2 : A}$
- (Subtype) $\frac{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : B}$

7.2 Beta-Eta Equivalence Implies Both Sides Have the Same Type

If $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A$ then each derivation of $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A$ can be converted to a derivation of $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : A$ by induction over the beta-eta equivalence relation derivation.

7.2.1 Equivalence Relations

Case Reflexive: By inversion we have a derivation of $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$.

Case Symmetric: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' =_{\beta\eta} v : A$. Hence by induction, derivations of $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ are given.

Case Transitive: By inversion, there exists v_2 such that $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v_3 : A$. Hence by induction, we have derivations of $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_3 : A$.

7.2.2 Beta-Eta conversions

Case Lambda: By inversion, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$. Hence by the typing rules, we have:

$$(\text{Apply}) \frac{(\text{Lambda}) \frac{\Phi | \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B}{\Phi | \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_1 : A \rightarrow B} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. v_1) v_2 : A}$$

By the substitution rule **TODO: which?**, we have

$$(\text{Substitution}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 [v_2/x] : B}$$

Case Left Unit: By inversion, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B$
Hence we have:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{(\text{Return}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return } v_1 : \mathbb{M}_1 A} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow \text{return } v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{1 \cdot \epsilon} B = \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (7.1)$$

And by the substitution typing rule we have: **TODO: Which Rule?**

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [v_1/x] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B \quad (7.2)$$

Case Right Unit: By inversion, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A$.
Hence we have:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A \quad (\text{Return}) \frac{(\text{var}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash \text{return } v : \mathbb{M}_1 A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v \text{ in return } x : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon \cdot 1} A = \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v \text{ in return } x : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon \cdot 1} A = \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad (7.3)$$

Case Associativity: By inversion, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C$.

$$\Phi \vdash (\iota\pi \times) : (\Gamma, x : A, y : B) \triangleright (\Gamma, y : B)$$

So by the weakening property **TODO: which?**, $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C$
Hence we can construct the type derivations:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad (\text{Bind}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash x v_2 v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } (\text{do } y \leftarrow v_2 \text{ in } v_3) : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C} \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{(\text{Bind}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma, y : B \vdash v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_3} C}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } y \leftarrow (\text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2) \text{ in } v_3 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3} C} \quad (7.5)$$

Case Eta: By inversion, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \rightarrow B$

By weakening, we have $\Phi \vdash \iota\pi : (\Gamma, x : A) \triangleright \Gamma$ Hence, we have

$$\text{(Fn)} \frac{(\text{App}) \frac{\Phi \mid (\Gamma, x : A) \vdash x : A \quad (\text{weakening}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A \rightarrow B \quad \Phi \vdash \iota\pi : \Gamma, x : A \triangleright \Gamma}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : A \rightarrow B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v \ x : B}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. (v \ x) : A \rightarrow B} \quad (7.6)$$

Case If-True: By inversion, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$. Hence by the typing lemma **TODO: Which?**, we have $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$ so $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ by the axiom typing rule.

Hence

$$\text{(If)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ true then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (7.7)$$

Case If-False: As above,

Hence

$$\text{(If)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A \text{ false then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A} \quad (7.8)$$

Case If-Eta: By inversion, we have:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \text{Bool} \quad (7.9)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : \text{Bool} \vdash v_2 : A \quad (7.10)$$

Hence we also have $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \text{Ok}$. Hence, the following also hold:

$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$.

Hence by the substitution theorem, we have:

$$\text{(If)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [\text{true}/x] : A \quad \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [\text{false}/x] : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v_1 \text{ then } v_2 [\text{true}/x] \text{ else } v_2 [\text{false}/x] : A} \quad (7.11)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 [v_1/x] : A \quad (7.12)$$

Case Effect-Beta: By inversion, $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ and $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$.

Then we have the following type derivation:

$$\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{\text{(Effect-Fn)} \frac{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v \epsilon : A [\epsilon/\alpha]} \quad (7.13)$$

And we can construct the single-effect-substitution:

$$\text{(Single Substitution)} \frac{\Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \vdash [\epsilon/\alpha] : (\Phi, \alpha)} \quad (7.14)$$

Hence by the substitution theorem,

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v [\epsilon/\alpha] : A [\epsilon/\alpha] \quad (7.15)$$

Case Effect-Eta: By inversion $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$

So the following derivation holds:

$$\text{(Effect-Fn)} \frac{\text{(Effect-App)} \frac{\text{(Effect-weakening)} \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A}{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A} \quad \Phi, \alpha \vdash \alpha}{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v \alpha : A [\alpha/\alpha] = A}}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. (v \alpha) : \forall \alpha. A} \quad (7.16)$$

And

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A \quad (7.17)$$

7.2.3 Congruences

Each congruence rule corresponds exactly to a type derivation rule. To convert to a type derivation, convert all preconditions, then use the equivalent type derivation rule.

Case Lambda: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : B$. Hence by induction $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_1 : B$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : B$.

So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_1 : A \rightarrow B \quad (7.18)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. v_2 : A \rightarrow B \quad (7.19)$$

Hold.

Case Return: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A$, so by induction

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$$

Hence we have

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return} v_1 : \mathbf{M}_1 A$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{return} v_2 : \mathbf{M}_1 A$$

Case Apply: By inversion, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A \rightarrow B$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A$. Hence we have by induction $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 : A \rightarrow B$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_2 : A$.

So we have:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : B \quad (7.20)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 v'_2 : B \quad (7.21)$$

Case Bind: By inversion, we have: $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$. Hence by induction, we have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v'_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$.

Hence we have

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v_1 \text{ in } v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} A \quad (7.22)$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow v'_1 \text{ in } v'_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} A \quad (7.23)$$

Case If: By inversion, we have: $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : \text{Bool}$, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v'_1 : A$, and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 =_{\beta\eta} v'_2 : A$.

Hence by induction, we have:

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}, \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : \text{Bool},$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A, \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_1 : A,$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A, \text{ and } \Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v'_2 : A.$$

So

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2 : A \quad (7.24)$$

and

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \text{if}_A v' \text{ then } v'_1 \text{ else } v'_2 : A \quad (7.25)$$

hold.

Case Subtype: By inversion, we have $A \leq_{\Phi} B$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v =_{\beta\eta} v' : A$. By induction, we therefore have $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ and $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : A$.

Hence we have

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : B \quad (7.26)$$

$$\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v' : B \quad (7.27)$$

Case Effect-Lambda: By inversion, $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : A$. So

$$(\text{Effect-Lambda}) \frac{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha.v_2 : \forall\alpha.A} \quad (7.28)$$

and

$$(\text{Effect-Lambda}) \frac{\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha.v_2 : \forall\alpha.A} \quad (7.29)$$

Case Effect-Apply: By inversion, $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 =_{\beta\eta} v_2 : \forall\alpha.A$ and $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$.
So

$$(\text{Effect-App}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \forall\alpha.A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 \epsilon : A [\alpha/\epsilon]} \quad (7.30)$$

and

$$(\text{Effect-App}) \frac{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : \forall\alpha.A \quad \Phi \vdash \epsilon}{\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 \epsilon : A [\alpha/\epsilon]} \quad (7.31)$$