

We need to define substitutions of effects on effects, effects on types, effects on terms, terms on terms.

## 0.1 Effect Substitutions

Define a substitution,  $\sigma$  as

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, \alpha := \epsilon \quad (1)$$

Define the free-effect Variables of  $\sigma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} fev(\diamond) &= \emptyset \\ fev(\sigma, \alpha := \epsilon) &= fev(\sigma) \cup fev(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

We define the property:

$$\alpha \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow \alpha \notin (\text{dom}(\sigma) \cup fev(\sigma)) \quad (2)$$

### 0.1.1 Action of Effect Substitution on Effects

Define the action of applying an effect substitution to an effect symbol:

$$\sigma(\epsilon) \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma(e) = e \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) = (\sigma(\epsilon_1)) \cdot (\sigma(\epsilon_2)) \quad (5)$$

$$\diamond(\alpha) = \alpha \quad (6)$$

$$(\sigma, \beta := \epsilon)(\alpha) = \sigma(\alpha) \quad (7)$$

$$(\sigma, \alpha := \epsilon)(\alpha) = \epsilon \quad (8)$$

### 0.1.2 Action of Effect Substitution on Types

Define the action of applying an effect substitution,  $\sigma$  to a type  $\tau$  as:

$$\tau[\sigma]$$

Defined as so

$$\gamma[\sigma] = \gamma \quad (9)$$

$$(A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B)[\sigma] = (A[\sigma]) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)}(B[\sigma]) \quad (10)$$

$$(\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A)[\sigma] = \mathbb{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)}(A[\sigma]) \quad (11)$$

$$(\forall \alpha. A)[\sigma] = \forall \alpha. (A[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } \alpha \# \sigma \quad (12)$$

### 0.1.3 Action of Effect-Substitution on Type Environments

Define the action of effect substitution on type environments:

$$\Gamma[\sigma]$$

Defined as so:

$$\diamond[\sigma] = \diamond$$

$$(\Gamma, x : A)[\sigma] = (\Gamma[\sigma], x : (A[\sigma]))$$

### 0.1.4 Action of Effect Substitution on Terms

Define the action of effect-substitution on terms:

$$x[\sigma] = x \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{c}^A[\sigma] = \mathbf{c}^{(A[\sigma])} \quad (14)$$

$$(\lambda x : A.C)[\sigma] = \lambda x : (A[\sigma]).(C[\sigma]) \quad (15)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_{\sigma(\epsilon), (A[\sigma])} v[\sigma] \text{ then } C_1[\sigma] \text{ else } C_2[\sigma] \quad (16)$$

$$(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) v_2[\sigma] \quad (17)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma]) \quad (18)$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha.v)[\sigma] = \Lambda \alpha.(v[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } \alpha \# \sigma \quad (19)$$

$$(v \epsilon)[\sigma] = (v[\sigma]) \sigma(\epsilon) \quad (20)$$

$$(21)$$

### 0.1.5 Well-Formed-ness

For any two effect-environments, and a substitution, define the well-formed-ness relation:

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi \quad (22)$$

- (Nil)  $\frac{\Phi' \mathbf{0k}}{\Phi' \vdash \diamond : \diamond}$
- (Extend)  $\frac{\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi \quad \Phi' \vdash \epsilon \quad \alpha \notin \Phi}{\Phi' \vdash \sigma, \alpha := \epsilon : (\Phi, \alpha)}$

### 0.1.6 Property 1

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  then  $\Phi' \mathbf{0k}$  (By the Nil case) and  $\Phi \mathbf{0k}$  Since each use of the extend case preserves  $\mathbf{0k}$ .

### 0.1.7 Property 2

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  then  $\omega : \Phi' \triangleright \Phi' \implies \Phi'' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  since  $\Phi' \vdash \epsilon \implies \Phi'' \vdash \epsilon$  and  $\Phi' \mathbf{0k} \implies \Phi'' \mathbf{0k}$

### 0.1.8 Property 3

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  then

$$\alpha \notin \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi' \implies (\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma, \alpha := \alpha) : (\Phi, \alpha) \quad (23)$$

Since  $\iota \pi : \Phi', \alpha \triangleright \Phi'$  so  $\Phi', \alpha \vdash \sigma : \Phi$  and  $\Phi', \alpha \vdash \alpha$

## 0.2 Substitution Preserves the Well-formed-ness of Effects

I.e.

$$\Phi \vdash \epsilon \wedge \Phi' \vdash \iota : \Phi \implies \Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon) \quad (24)$$

**Proof:**

**Case Ground:**  $\sigma(e) = e$ , so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon)$  holds.

**Case Multiply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_1$  and  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon_2$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_1)$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_2)$  by induction and hence  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2)$

**Case Var:** By inversion,  $\Phi = \Phi'', \alpha$  and  $\Phi'', \alpha \text{Ok}$ . Hence by case splitting on  $\iota$ , we see that  $\sigma = \sigma', \alpha := \epsilon$ .

So by inversion,  $\sigma \vdash \epsilon$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\alpha) = \epsilon$

**Case Weaken:** By inversion  $\Phi = \Phi'', \beta$  and  $\Phi'' \vdash \alpha$ , so  $\sigma = \sigma' \beta := \epsilon$ .

So  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma': \Phi''$ .

hence by induction,  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma'(a)$ , so  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\alpha)$  since  $\alpha \neq \beta$

### 0.2.1 Effect Substitution preserves the sub-effect relation

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  and  $\epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2$ , then  $\epsilon_1 [\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} \epsilon_2 [\sigma]$ .

**Proof:** For any ground substitution  $\sigma'$  of  $\Phi'$ , then  $\sigma\sigma'$  (the substitution  $\sigma'$  applied after  $\sigma$ ) is also a ground substitution.

So  $\epsilon_1 [\sigma] [\sigma'] \leq \epsilon_2 [\sigma] [\sigma']$ .

So  $\epsilon_1 [\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} \epsilon_2 [\sigma]$ .

### 0.2.2 Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Types

$$\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi \wedge \Phi \vdash A \implies \Phi' \vdash A [\sigma] \quad (25)$$

**Proof:**

**Case Ground:**  $\Phi' \text{Ok}$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \gamma$  and  $\gamma [\sigma] = \gamma$ .

Hence  $\Phi' \vdash \gamma [\sigma]$ .

**Case Lambda:** By inversion  $\Phi \vdash A$  and  $\Phi \vdash B$ .

So by induction,  $\Phi' \vdash A [\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash B [\sigma]$ .

So

$$\Phi' \vdash (A [\sigma]) \rightarrow (B [\sigma]) \quad (26)$$

So

$$\Phi' \vdash (A \rightarrow B) [\sigma] \quad (27)$$

**Case Computation:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$  and  $\Phi \vdash A$  so by induction and substitution of effect preserving effect-well-formed-ness,

$\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon)$  and  $\Phi' \vdash A [\sigma]$  so  $\Phi \vdash \mathbb{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon)} A [\sigma]$  so  $\Phi' \vdash (\mathbb{M}_\epsilon A) [\sigma]$

**Case For All:** By inversion,  $\Phi, \alpha \vdash A$ . So by picking  $\alpha \notin \Phi \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi'$  using  $\alpha$ -equivalence, we have  $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma\alpha := \alpha): (\Phi, \alpha)$ .

So by induction  $(\Phi, \alpha) \vdash A [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha]$

So  $(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash A [\sigma]$

So  $\Phi' \vdash (\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma]$

### 0.2.3 Substitution of effects preserves Sub-Typing Relation

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  and  $A \leq_\Phi B$  then  $A [\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B [\sigma]$

**Proof:** By induction on the sub-typing relation

**Case Ground:** By inversion,  $A \leq_\gamma B$ , so  $A, B$  are ground types. Hence  $A [\sigma] = A$  and  $B [\sigma] = B$ . So  $A [\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B [\sigma]$

**Case Fn:** By inversion,  $A' \leq_{\Phi} A$  and  $B \leq_{\Phi} B'$ .  
 So by induction,  $A'[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} A[\sigma]$  and  $B[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B'[\sigma]$ .  
 So  $(A[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B[\sigma]) \leq_{\Phi'} (A'[\sigma]) \rightarrow (B'[\sigma])$   
 So  $(A \rightarrow B)[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} (A' \rightarrow B')[\sigma]$

**Case Computation:** By inversion,  $A \leq_{\Phi} B$ ,  $\epsilon_1 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_2$ .  
 So by induction and substitution preserving the sub-effect relation,  
 $A[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} B[\sigma]$  and  $\sigma(\epsilon_1) \leq_{\Phi'} \sigma(\epsilon_2)$   
 So  $M_{\sigma(\epsilon_1)}(A[\sigma]) \leq_{\Phi'} M_{\sigma(\epsilon_2)}(B[\sigma])$   
 So  $(M_{\epsilon_1} A)[\sigma] \leq_{\Phi'} (M_{\epsilon_2} B)[\sigma]$

## 0.2.4 Substitution preserves well-formed-ness of Type Environments

If  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  then  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$

**Proof:**

**Case Nil:**  $\Phi \mathbf{Ok} \implies \Phi' \mathbf{Ok}$  so  $\Phi' \vdash \diamond \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\diamond[\sigma] = \diamond$

**Case Var:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\Phi \vdash A$ .  
 By induction and substitution preserving well-formed-ness of types,  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma'[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$  and  $\Phi' \vdash A[\sigma]$ .  
 So  $\Phi' \vdash (\Gamma'[\sigma], x : A[\sigma]) \mathbf{Ok}$ .  
 Hence  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma, x : A[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$ .

## 0.2.5 Effect-Polymorphism Preserves the Typing Relation

If  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma: \Phi$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v: A$ , then  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash v[\sigma]: A[\sigma]$

**Proof:**

**Case Const:** By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$ .  
 So  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \mathcal{C}^{A[\sigma]}: A[\sigma]$

**Case True, False, Unit:** The logic is the same for each of these cases, so we look at the case **true** only.

By inversion,  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$ .  
 So  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma \mathbf{Ok}$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash \mathbf{true}: \mathbf{Bool}$   
 Since  $\mathbf{true}[\sigma] = \mathbf{true}$  and  $\mathbf{Bool}[\sigma] = \mathbf{Bool}$ .

**Case Var:** By inversion  $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : A$  and  $\Phi \vdash \Gamma', x : A \mathbf{Ok}$ .  
 So since substitution preserves well-formed-ness of type environments,  $\Phi' \vdash \Gamma'[\sigma], x : A[\sigma] \mathbf{Ok}$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash x: A[\sigma]$   
 Since  $x[\sigma] = x$

**Case Weaken:** By inversion  $\Gamma = \Gamma', y : B$ ,  $\Phi \vdash B$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma' \vdash x: A$ .  $x \neq y$   
 By induction and the theorem that effect-substitution preserves type well-formed-ness, we have:  
 $\Phi' \mid \Gamma'[\sigma] \vdash x: A[\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash B[\sigma]$   
 So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma[\sigma] \vdash x[\sigma]: A[\sigma]$   
 Since  $x[\sigma] = x$ ,  $\Gamma[\sigma] = (\Gamma'[\sigma], y : B[\sigma])$

**Case Lambda:** By inversion  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v : B$ .

So, by induction  $\Phi' \mid (\Gamma, x : A) [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : B [\sigma]$ .

So,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma [\sigma], x : A [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : B [\sigma]$ .

Hence by the lambda type rule,

$\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash \lambda x : A [\sigma]. v [\sigma] : (A [\sigma]) \rightarrow (B [\sigma])$

So

$\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (\lambda x : A. v) [\sigma] : (A \rightarrow B) [\sigma]$

**Case Apply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$ .

So by induction,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v_1 [\sigma] : (A [\sigma]) \rightarrow (B [\sigma])$ .

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v_1 [\sigma]) (v_2 [\sigma]) : B [\sigma]$ .

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v_1 v_2) [\sigma] : (A \rightarrow B) [\sigma]$

**Case Subtype:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$  and  $\Phi \vdash A \leq B$

So by induction and effect-substitution preserving subtyping,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : A [\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash A [\sigma] \leq B [\sigma]$

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : B [\sigma]$

**Case Return:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$

So by induction,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : A [\sigma]$

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash \text{return}(v [\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_1(A [\sigma])$

Hence  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (\text{return} v) [\sigma] : (\mathbf{M}_1 A) [\sigma]$

**Case Bind:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A$  and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B$ .

So by induction:  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v_1 [\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1)}(A [\sigma])$ , and  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma], x : A [\sigma] \vdash v_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_2)}(B [\sigma])$ .

And so  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow (v_1 [\sigma]) \text{ in } (v_2 [\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_{\sigma(\epsilon_1) \cdot (\epsilon_2 [\sigma])} B [\sigma]$

**Case If:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A$ , and  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A$

So by induction  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : \text{Bool}$ ,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v_1 : A [\sigma]$ , and  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v_2 : A [\sigma]$ ,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v_2 : A [\sigma]$ . (Since  $\text{Bool} [\sigma] = \text{Bool}$ )

Hence:

$\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash \text{if}_{A[\sigma]} v [\sigma] \text{ then } v_1 [\sigma] \text{ else } v_2 [\sigma] : A [\sigma]$

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (\text{if}_A v \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2) [\sigma] : A [\sigma]$

**Case Effect-lambda:** By inversion,  $\Phi, \alpha \mid \Gamma \vdash v : A$ .

So by the substitution property 3 (**TODO: Is this correct/reference correctly**), pick  $\alpha \notin \Phi' \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi$  so we have:

$$(\Phi', \alpha) \vdash (\sigma, \alpha := \alpha) : (\Phi, \alpha)$$

So by induction,  $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha] \vdash v [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha] : A [\sigma, \alpha := \alpha]$

So  $\Phi', \alpha \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : A [\sigma]$  since  $\alpha \notin \Phi' \wedge \alpha \notin \Phi$ .

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : (\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma]$

**Case Effect-Apply:** By inversion,  $\Phi \mid \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. A$ ,  $\Phi \vdash \epsilon$ .

So by induction and effect-substitution preserving well-formed-ness of effects:  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash v [\sigma] : (\forall \alpha. A) [\sigma]$  and  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(\epsilon)$

So  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v [\sigma]) (\sigma(\epsilon)) : A [\sigma] [\sigma(\epsilon)/\alpha]$ .

Since  $\alpha \# \sigma$ , we can commute the applications of substitution. **TODO: Do I need to prove this?**

So,  $\Phi' \mid \Gamma [\sigma] \vdash (v \epsilon) [\sigma] : A [\epsilon/\alpha] [\sigma]$

### 0.3 Term-Term Substitutions

#### 0.3.1 Substitutions as SNOG lists

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, x := v \quad (28)$$

#### 0.3.2 Trivial Properties of substitutions

$\text{fv}(\sigma)$

$$\text{fv}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (29)$$

$$\text{fv}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{fv}(v) \quad (30)$$

$\text{dom}(\sigma)$

$$\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (31)$$

$$\text{dom}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cup \{x\} \quad (32)$$

$x \# \sigma$

$$x \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow x \notin (\text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{dom}(\sigma')) \quad (33)$$

#### 0.3.3 Action of substitutions

We define the action of applying a substitution  $\sigma$  as

$$t[\sigma]$$

$$x[\diamond] = x \quad (34)$$

$$x[\sigma, x := v] = v \quad (35)$$

$$x[\sigma, x' := v'] = x[\sigma] \quad \text{If } x \neq x' \quad (36)$$

$$\mathbb{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbb{C}^A \quad (37)$$

$$(\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma] = \lambda x : A. (C[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (38)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v[\sigma] \text{ then } C_1[\sigma] \text{ else } C_2[\sigma] \quad (39)$$

$$(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) v_2[\sigma] \quad (40)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (41)$$

$$(\Lambda \alpha. v)[\sigma] = \Lambda \alpha. (v[\sigma]) \quad (42)$$

$$(v \epsilon)[\sigma] = (v[\sigma]) \epsilon \quad (43)$$

$$(44)$$

#### 0.3.4 Well-Formed-ness

#### 0.3.5 Simple Properties Of Substitution

If  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$  then:

**Property 1:**  $\Gamma 0k$  and  $\Gamma' 0k$  Since  $\Gamma' 0k$  holds by the Nil-axiom.  $\Gamma 0k$  holds by induction on the well-formed-ness relation.

**Property 2:**  $\omega : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma'$  implies  $\Gamma'' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ . By induction over well-formed-ness relation. For each  $x := v$  in  $\sigma$ ,  $\Gamma'' \vdash v : A$  holds if  $\Gamma' \vdash v : A$  holds.

**Property 3:**  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma''))$  **implies**  $(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$  Since  $\iota\pi : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma'$ , so by (Property 2) **TODO: Better referencing here**,

$$\Gamma', x : A \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

In addition,  $\Gamma', x : A \vdash x : A$  trivially, so by the rule **Extend**, well-formed-ness holds for

$$(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A) \tag{45}$$

## 0.4 Substitution Preserves Typing

### 0.4.1 Variables

Case Var

Case Weaken

### 0.4.2 Other Value Terms

Case Lambda

Case Constants

### 0.4.3 Computation Terms

Case Return

Case Apply

Case If

Case Bind

### 0.4.4 Sub-typing and Sub-effecting

Case Sub-type

Case Sub-effect

## 0.5 Semantics of Substitution

### 0.5.1 Denotation of Substitutions

### 0.5.2 Extension Lemma

### 0.5.3 Substitution Theorem

### 0.5.4 Proof For Value Terms

Case Var

Case Weaken

Case Constants

Case Lambda

Case Sub-type

### 0.5.5 Proof For Computation Terms

Case Return

Case Apply

Case If

Case Bind

Case Subeffect

## 0.6 The Identity Substitution

### 0.6.1 Properties of the Identity Substitution

Property 1



## Property 2