0.1 Reduced Type Derivation

A reduced type derivation is one where subtype and subeffect rules must, and may only, occur at the root or directly above an **if**, or **apply** rule. **TODO: No-lambda?**

In this section, I shall prove that there is at most one reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t:\tau$. Secondly, I shall present a function for generating reduced derivations from arbitrary typing derivations, in a way that does not change the denotations. These imply that all typing derivations of a type-relation have the same denotation.

0.2 Reduced Type Derivations are Unique

For each instance of the relation $\Gamma \vdash t:\tau$, there exists at most one reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t:\tau$. This is proved by induction over the typing rules on the bottom rule used in each derivation.

0.2.1 Variables

To find the unique derivation of $\Gamma \vdash x: A$, we case split on the type-environment, Γ .

Case $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : A'$ Then the unique reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash x : A$ is, if $A' \leq A$, as below:

$$(Subtype) \frac{(Var) \frac{\Gamma', x: A' \mathbf{0k}}{\Gamma, x: A' \vdash x: A'} \qquad A' \le : A}{\Gamma', x: A' \vdash x: A}$$
(1)

Case $\Gamma = \Gamma', y : B$ with $y \neq x$.

Hence, if $\Gamma \vdash x: A$ holds, then so must $\Gamma' \vdash x: A$.

Let

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma'\vdash x:A'}}{\Gamma'\vdash x:A} \frac{A'\leq:A}{\Gamma} \tag{2}$$

Be the unique reduced derivation of $\Gamma' \vdash x: A$.

Then the unique reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash x : A$ is:

(Subtype)
$$\frac{(\text{Weaken})\frac{()\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma,x:A'\vdash x:A'}}{\Gamma\vdash x:A'}}{\Gamma\vdash x:A} \qquad A' \leq :A}{\Gamma\vdash x:A}$$
(3)

0.2.2 Constants

For each of the constants, $(C^A, true, false, ())$, there is exactly one possible derivation for $\Gamma \vdash c: A$ for a given A. I shall give examples using the case C^A

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Const}) \frac{\Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A : A} \qquad A \leq : B}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A : B}$$

If A = B, then the subtype relation is the identity subtype $(A \le : A)$.

0.2.3 Value Terms

Case Lambda The reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.C: A' \to M_{\epsilon'}B'$ is:

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Lambda}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash C: M_{\epsilon B}}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: A.B: A \to M_{\epsilon}B}} \qquad A \to \mathtt{M}_{\epsilon}B \leq :A' \to \mathtt{M}_{\epsilon'}B'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: A.C: A' \to \mathtt{M}_{\epsilon'}B'}$$

Where

$$(\text{Sub-Effect}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash C: M_{\epsilon}B} \qquad B \leq : B' \qquad \epsilon \leq \epsilon'}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash C: M_{\epsilon'}B'}$$

$$(4)$$

is the reduced derivation of $\Gamma, x : A \vdash C : M_{\epsilon}B$ if it exists

Case Subtype TODO: Do we need to write anything here? (Probably needs an explanation)

0.2.4 Computation Terms

Case Return The reduced denotation of $\Gamma \vdash \text{return}v : M_{\epsilon}B$ is

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Return}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{return} v : \mathsf{M}_{\mathbf{1}} A} \qquad A \leq : B \qquad \quad \mathbf{1} \leq \epsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{return} v : \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon} B}$$

Where

$$(\text{Subtype}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v : A} \qquad A \leq : B}{\Gamma \vdash v : B}$$

is the reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash v: B$

Case Apply If

$$(\mathrm{Subtype}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \to \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon}B} \qquad A \to \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon}B \leq :A' \to \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon'}B'}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : A' \to \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon'}B'}$$

and

(Subtype)
$$\frac{()\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash v_2 : A''} \qquad A'' \le : A'}{\Gamma \vdash v_2 : A'}$$

Are the reduced type derivations of $\Gamma \vdash v_1: A' \to M_{\epsilon'}B'$ and $\Gamma \vdash v_2: A'$ Then we can construct the reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash v_1 \qquad v_2: M_{\epsilon'}B'$ as

$$(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{(\text{Apply}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \to \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon}B}}{(\Gamma \vdash v_1 = A \to \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon}B)}}{(\text{Subtype}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : A''} - A'' \leq : A}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 = v_2 : \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon}B}}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 = v_2 : \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon'}B'} \qquad B \leq : B' \qquad \epsilon \leq \epsilon'}{B \leq : B' \leq$$

Case If Let

$$(Subtype) \frac{\left(\right) \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v : B}}{\Gamma \vdash v : Bool} = S \le : Bool$$

$$(5)$$

$$(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : M_{\epsilon'}A'} \qquad A' \leq : A \qquad \epsilon' \leq \epsilon}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : M_{\epsilon}A}$$

$$(6)$$

$$(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta''}{\Gamma \vdash C_2 : \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon''}A''}}{\Gamma \vdash C_2 : \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon}A} \qquad \qquad \epsilon'' \leq \epsilon}{\Gamma \vdash C_2 : \mathsf{M}_{\epsilon}A}$$
 (7)

Be the unique reduced derivations of $\Gamma \vdash v$: Bool, $\Gamma \vdash C_1$: $M_{\epsilon}A$, $\Gamma \vdash C_2$: $M_{\epsilon}A$. Then the only reduced derivation of $\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon,A} \quad v \quad \text{then} \quad C_1 \quad \text{else} \quad C_2$: $M_{\epsilon}A$ is:

TODO: Scale this properly

$$(Subtype) \underbrace{\frac{(Subtype) \frac{(Subtype) \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v:Bool}}{\beta \vdash v:Bool}}_{\Gamma \vdash v:Bool}} \underbrace{(Subeffect) \frac{(Subeffect) \frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash C_1:M_{\epsilon'}A'}}{\beta \vdash \text{then}} \underbrace{\frac{A' \leq :A}{\Gamma \vdash C_1:M_{\epsilon'}A}}_{\Gamma \vdash \text{then}} \underbrace{\frac{A' \leq :A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{then}}}_{C_1} \underbrace{\frac{A' \leq :A}{\epsilon' \leq \epsilon}}_{C_2:M_{\epsilon}A} \underbrace{\frac{C}{\Gamma \vdash C_2:M_{\epsilon'}A'}}_{C_2:M_{\epsilon'}A}$$

Case Bind Let

$$(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A} \qquad A \leq : A' \qquad \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon'_1}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : M_{\epsilon'_1} A'}$$

$$(9)$$

$$(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{\left(\right) \frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash C_2: M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash C_2: M_{\epsilon'_2} B'} \qquad \qquad \epsilon_2 \le \epsilon'_2}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash C_2: M_{\epsilon'_2} B'}$$

$$(10)$$

Be the respective unique reduced type derivations of the subterms]

By weakening, $\iota \times : \Gamma, x : A \triangleright \Gamma, x : A'$ so if there's a derivation of $\Gamma, x : A' \vdash C_2 : M_{\epsilon}B$, there's also one of $\Gamma, x : A \vdash C_2 : M_{\epsilon}B$.

Since the monoid operation is monotone, if $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_1'$ and $\epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon_2'$ then $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon_1' \cdot \epsilon_2'$

Hence the reduced type derivation of $\Gamma \vdash do$ $x \leftarrow C_1$ in $C-2: M_{\epsilon'_1 \cdot \epsilon'_2} B'$ is the following:

TODO: Makle this and the other smaller

$$(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{\bigcap \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : M_{\epsilon_1} A}}{\bigcap \Gamma \vdash C_1 : M_{\epsilon_1'} A'}}{(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{\bigcap \frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash C_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\bigcap \Gamma, x : A \vdash C_2 : M_{\epsilon_1'} B'}}{(\text{Subeffect})} \frac{(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{\bigcap \frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash C_2 : M_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\bigcap \Gamma, x : A \vdash C_2 : M_{\epsilon_1'} B'}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{do} \quad x \leftarrow C_1 \quad \text{in} \quad C_2 : M_{\epsilon_1' \cdot \epsilon_2'} B'}{(11)}$$

Case Subeffect TODO: Do I want to talk about this?

Each type derivation has a reduced equivalent with the 0.3same denotation.

We introduce a function, reduce that maps each valid type derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t: \tau$ to a reduced equivalent with the same denotation. To do this, we do case analysis over the root type rule of a derivation and prove that the denotation is not changed. TODO: Fill in these cases with actual maths

0.3.1Constants

For the constants $true, false, C^A$, etc, reduce simply returns the derivation, as it is already reduced. This trivially preserves the denotation. $reduce((\text{Const}) \frac{\Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{C}^{A} : A}) = (\text{Const}) \frac{\Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Gamma \vdash \textbf{C}^{A} : A}$

$$reduce((Const) \frac{\Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A : A}) = (Const) \frac{\Gamma \mathbf{0k}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{C}^A : A}$$

0.3.2Value Types

Var

$$reduce((\operatorname{Var})\frac{\Gamma 0 \mathtt{k}}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash x: A}) = (\operatorname{Var})\frac{\Gamma 0 \mathtt{k}}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash x: A} \tag{12}$$

Preserves denotation trivially.

Weaken

reduce **definition** To find:

$$reduce((\text{Weaken}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash x : A}}{\Gamma, y : B \vdash x : A})$$
 (13)

Let

In

(Subtype)
$$\frac{(\text{Weaken})\frac{()\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash x : A'}}{\Gamma, y : B \vdash x : A}}{\Gamma, y : B \vdash x : A} \qquad A' \le A$$
(15)

Preserves Denotation Using the construction of denotations, we can find the denotation of the original derivation to be:

$$[(\text{Weaken}) \frac{\left(\right) \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash x : A}}{\Gamma, y : B \vdash x : A}]_{M} = \Delta \circ \pi_{1}$$
(16)

Similarly, the denotation of the reduced denotation is:

$$\mathbb{I}(\text{Subtype}) \frac{(\text{Weaken}) \frac{() \frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma_{\vdash x:A'}}}{\Gamma_{,y:B \vdash x:A'}} \qquad A' \leq : A}{\Gamma_{,y:B \vdash x:A}} \mathbb{I}_{M} = \mathbb{I}_{A'} \leq : A \mathbb{I}_{M} \circ \Delta' \circ \pi_{1} \tag{17}$$

By induction on reduce preserving denotations and the reduction of Δ (14), we have:

$$\Delta = [A' \le :A]_M \circ \Delta' \tag{18}$$

So the denotations of the unreduced and reduced derivations are equal.

Lambda

reduce definition

Preserves Denotation TODO: Recursively call reduce on C then push subtyping through using currying

Subtype

reduce **definition** To find:

$$reduce((Subtype) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v:A} \quad A \leq :B}{\Gamma \vdash v:B})$$
 (19)

Let

$$(Subtype) \frac{()\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash x:A} \qquad A' \leq :A}{\Gamma \vdash x:A} = reduce(\Delta)$$
 (20)

In

(Subtype)
$$\frac{\left(\right)\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash v:A'}}{\Gamma \vdash v:B} \qquad A' \le A \le B$$

Preserves Denotation

$$before = [A \le B]_M \circ \Delta \tag{22}$$

$$= [\![A \leq :B]\!]_M \circ ([\![A' \leq :A]\!]_M \circ \Delta') \quad \text{byDenotation of reduction of } \Delta. \tag{23}$$

$$= [\![A' \leq :B]\!]_M \circ \Delta' \quad \text{Subtyping relations are unique} \tag{24}$$

$$= after (25)$$

(26)

0.3.3 Computation Types

Return

reduce definition

Preserves Denotation TODO: Recursively call reduce then use naturality to push subtyping into subeffect

Apply

reduce definition

Preserves Denotation TODO: Recursively call reduce, then construct the reduced apply as in the proof of uniqueness

If

reduce definition

Preserves Denotation TODO: Recursively call reduce, then leave tree otherwise unchanged.

Bind

reduce definition

Preserves Denotation TODO: Recursively call reduce then push subtyping rules through the bind

Subeffect

reduce **definition** To find:

$$reduce((Subeffect) \frac{()\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash C: M_{\epsilon'}B'} \qquad \epsilon' \leq \epsilon \qquad B' \leq :B}{\Gamma \vdash C: M_{\epsilon}B}) \tag{27}$$

Let

$$(\text{Subeffect}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash C: \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon''}B''}}{\Gamma \vdash C: \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon'}B} \qquad \epsilon'' \leq \epsilon' \qquad \text{Bool}'' \leq :B}{\Gamma \vdash C: \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon'}B} = reduce(\Delta) \tag{28}$$

in

$$(\text{subeffect}) \frac{()\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma \vdash C: M_{\epsilon''}B''}}{\Gamma \vdash C: M_{\epsilon}B} \qquad \qquad B'' \leq : B$$

$$(29)$$

Preserves Denotation Let

$$f = [\![B' \le :B]\!]_M \tag{30}$$

$$g = [B'' \le B']_M \tag{31}$$

$$h_1 = \llbracket \epsilon' \le \epsilon \rrbracket_M \tag{32}$$

$$h_2 = \llbracket \epsilon' \le \epsilon' \rrbracket_M \tag{33}$$

$$f \circ g = \llbracket B'' \le B \rrbracket_M \tag{34}$$

$$h_1 \circ h_2 = \llbracket \epsilon'' \le \epsilon' \rrbracket_M \tag{35}$$

(36)

Hence we can find the denotation of the derivation before reduction.

$$before = h_{1,B} \circ T_{\epsilon'} f \circ \Delta$$
 By definition (37)

$$= (h_{1,B} \circ T_{\epsilon'} f) \circ (h_{2,B'} \circ T_{\epsilon''} g) \circ \Delta' \quad \text{By reduction of } \Delta$$
(38)

$$=(h_{1,B} \circ h_{2,B}) \circ (T_{\epsilon''}f \circ g) \circ \Delta'$$
 By naturality of $h_2 = after$ By definition. (39)

0.4 Denotations are Equivalent

For each type relation instance $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ there exists a unique reduced derivation of the relation instance. For all derivations Δ , Δ' of the type relation instance, $[\![\Delta]\!]_M = [\![reduce\Delta']\!]_M = [\![reduce\Delta']\!]_M = [\![\Delta']\!]_M$, hence the denotation $[\![\Gamma \vdash t : \tau]\!]_M$ is unique.