

## 0.1 Introduce Substitutions

### 0.1.1 Substitutions as SNOc lists

$$\sigma ::= \diamond \mid \sigma, x := v \quad (1)$$

### 0.1.2 Trivial Properties of substitutions

$\text{fv}(\sigma)$

$$\text{fv}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

$$\text{fv}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{fv}(v) \quad (3)$$

$\text{dom}(\sigma)$

$$\text{dom}(\diamond) = \emptyset \quad (4)$$

$$\text{dom}(\sigma, x := v) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cup \{x\} \quad (5)$$

$x \# \sigma$

$$x \# \sigma \Leftrightarrow x \notin (\text{fv}(\sigma) \cup \text{dom}(\sigma')) \quad (6)$$

### 0.1.3 Effect of substitutions

We define the effect of applying a substitution  $\sigma$  as

$$t[\sigma]$$

$$x[\diamond] = x \quad (7)$$

$$x[\sigma, x := v] = v \quad (8)$$

$$x[\sigma, x' := v'] = x[\sigma] \quad \text{If } x \neq x' \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbf{C}^A \quad (10)$$

$$(\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma] = \lambda x : A. (C[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (11)$$

$$(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v[\sigma] \text{ then } C_1[\sigma] \text{ else } C_2[\sigma] \quad (12)$$

$$(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) v_2[\sigma] \quad (13)$$

$$(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma]) \quad \text{If } x \# \sigma \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

### 0.1.4 Well Formed-ness

Define the relation

$$\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

by:

- (Nil)  $\frac{\Gamma' \text{Ok}}{\Gamma' \vdash \diamond : \diamond}$
- (Extend)  $\frac{\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \quad \Gamma' \vdash v : A}{\Gamma' \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A)}$

### 0.1.5 Simple Properties Of Substitution

If  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$  then: **TODO: Number these**

**Property 1:**  $\Gamma 0k$  and  $\Gamma' 0k$  Since  $\Gamma' 0k$  holds by the Nil-axiom.  $\Gamma 0k$  holds by induction on the well-formedness relation.

**Property 2:**  $\omega : \Gamma'' \triangleright \Gamma'$  implies  $\Gamma'' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$  . By induction over well-formedness relation. For each  $x := v$  in  $\sigma$ ,  $\Gamma'' \vdash v : A$  holds if  $\Gamma' \vdash v : A$  holds.

**Property 3:**  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma''))$  implies  $(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$  Since  $\iota\pi : \Gamma', x : A \triangleright \Gamma'$ , so by (Property 2) **TODO: Better referencing here**,

$$\Gamma', x : A \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$$

In addition,  $\Gamma', x : A \vdash x : A$  trivially, so by the rule **Extend**, well-formedness holds for

$$(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A) \quad (16)$$

## 0.2 Substitution Preserves Typing

We have the following non-trivial property of substitution:

$$\Gamma \vdash g : \tau \wedge \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma' \vdash t[\sigma] : \tau \quad (17)$$

Assuming  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ , we induct over the typing relation, proving  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau \rightarrow \Gamma' \vdash t : \tau$

### 0.2.1 Variables

**Case Var** By inversion  $\Gamma = (\Gamma'', x : A)$  So

$$\Gamma'', x : A \vdash x : A \quad (18)$$

So by inversion, since  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma'', x : A$ ,

$$\sigma = \sigma', x := v \wedge \Gamma' \vdash v : A \quad (19)$$

By the definition of the effect of substitutions,  $x[\sigma] = v$ , So

$$\Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma] : A \quad (20)$$

holds.

**Case Weaken** By inversion,  $\Gamma = \Gamma'', y : B, x \neq y$ , and there exists  $\Delta$  such that

$$\text{(Weaken)} \frac{\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma'' \vdash x : A}}{\Gamma'', y : B \vdash x : A} \quad (21)$$

By inversion,  $\sigma = \sigma', y := v$  and:

$$\Gamma' \vdash \sigma' : \Gamma'' \quad (22)$$

So by induction,

$$\Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma'] : A \quad (23)$$

And so by definition of the effect of  $\sigma$ ,  $x[\sigma] = x[\sigma']$

$$\Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma] : A \quad (24)$$

### 0.2.2 Other Value Terms

**Case Lambda** By inversion, there exists  $\Delta$  such that:

$$\text{(Fn)} \frac{\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash C : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (25)$$

Using alpha equivalence, we pick  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma'))$  Hence, by property 3, we have

$$(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : \Gamma, x : A \quad (26)$$

So by induction using  $\sigma, x := x$ , we have  $\Delta'$  such that:

$$\text{(Fn)} \frac{\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma', x : A \vdash C[\sigma, x := v] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. C[\sigma, x := x] : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (27)$$

Since  $\lambda x : A. (C[\sigma, x := x]) = \lambda x : A. (C[\sigma]) = (\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma]$ , we have a typing derivation for  $\Gamma' \vdash (\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma] : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B$ .

**Case Constants** We use the same logic for all constants,  $()$ , **true**, **false**,  $\mathbb{C}^A$ :

$\Gamma \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma' 0\mathbf{k}$  and:

$$\mathbb{C}^A[\sigma] = \mathbb{C}^A \quad (28)$$

So

$$\text{(Const)} \frac{\Gamma' 0\mathbf{k}}{\Gamma' \vdash \mathbb{C}^A : A} \quad (29)$$

### 0.2.3 Computation Terms

**Case Return** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1$  such that:

$$\text{(Return)} \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : \mathbb{M}_1 A} \quad (30)$$

By induction, we have  $\Delta'_1$  such that

$$\text{(Return)} \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A}}{\Gamma' \vdash \text{return}(v[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_1 A} \quad (31)$$

Since  $(\text{return } v)[\sigma] = \text{return}(v[\sigma])$ , the type derivation above holds for  $\Gamma' \vdash (\text{return } v)[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_1 A$ .

**Case Apply** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that:

$$\text{(Apply)} \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B} \quad \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (32)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , we have  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that

$$\text{(Apply)} \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash v_1[\sigma] : A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B} \quad \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Gamma' \vdash v_2[\sigma] : A}}{\Gamma' \vdash (v_1 v_2)[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (33)$$

Since  $(v_1 v_2)[\sigma] = (v_1[\sigma]) (v_2[\sigma])$ , the above derivation holds for  $\Gamma' \vdash (v_1 v_2)[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon B$

**Case If** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$  such that:

$$\text{(If)} \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A} \quad \frac{\Delta_3}{\Gamma \vdash C_2 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A} \quad (34)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ , we derive  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \Delta'_3$  such that:

$$\text{(If)} \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Gamma' \vdash C_1[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A} \quad \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Gamma' \vdash C_2[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A}}{\Gamma' \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} (v[\sigma]) \text{ then } (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ else } (C_2[\sigma]) : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A} \quad (35)$$

Since  $(\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} (v[\sigma]) \text{ then } (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ else } (C_2[\sigma])$  The derivation above holds for  $\Gamma' \vdash (\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2)[\sigma] : \mathbf{M}_\epsilon A$

**Case Bind** By inversion, there exist  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash C_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2 : \mathbf{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (36)$$

Using alpha-equivalence, we pick  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma'))$ . Hence by property 3,

$$(\Gamma, x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , we have  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that:

$$\text{(Bind)} \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash C_1[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma', x : A \vdash C_2[\sigma, x := x] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Gamma' \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma, x := x]) : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (37)$$

Since  $(\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2)[\sigma] = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma]) = \text{do } x \leftarrow (C_1[\sigma]) \text{ in } (C_2[\sigma, x := x])$ , the above derivation holds for  $\Gamma' \vdash (\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2)[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B$

## 0.2.4 Sub-typing and Sub-effecting

**Case Sub-type** By inversion, there exists  $\Delta$  such that

$$\text{(sub-type)} \frac{\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad (38)$$

By induction on  $\Delta$  we derive  $\Delta'$  such that:

$$\text{(sub-type)} \frac{\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A} \quad A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash v[\sigma] : B} \quad (39)$$

**Case Sub-effect** By inversion, there exists  $\Delta$  such that

$$\text{(sub-effect)} \frac{\frac{\Delta}{\Gamma \vdash C : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad \text{(Computation)} \frac{\epsilon_1 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_2 \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \leq_{\Phi} \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Gamma \vdash C : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B} \quad (40)$$

By induction on  $\Delta$  we derive  $\Delta'$  such that:

$$\text{(sub-effect)} \frac{\frac{\Delta'}{\Gamma' \vdash C[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad \text{(Computation)} \frac{\epsilon_1 \leq_{\Phi} \epsilon_2 \quad A \leq_{\Phi} B}{\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \leq_{\Phi} \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Gamma' \vdash C[\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B} \quad (41)$$

## 0.3 Semantics of Substitution

### 0.3.1 Denotation of Substitutions

We define the denotation of a well-formed-substitution as so:

$$\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma \quad (42)$$

- (Nil)  $\frac{\Gamma' 0k}{\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \diamond : \diamond \rrbracket = \langle \rangle_{\Gamma'}}$
- (Extend)  $\frac{f = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket \quad g = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash v : A \rrbracket}{\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash (\sigma, x := v : (\Gamma, x : A)) \rrbracket = \langle f, g \rangle : \Gamma' \rightarrow (\Gamma \times A)}$

### 0.3.2 Extension Lemma

If  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$  and  $x \notin (\text{dom}(\Gamma') \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma))$  then the substitution in property 3 has denotation:

$$\llbracket (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A) \rrbracket = (\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket \times \text{Id}_A) \quad (43)$$

This holds since

$$\llbracket \Gamma', x : A \vdash x : A \rrbracket = \pi_2 \quad (44)$$

And  $\iota\pi : (\Gamma', x : A) \triangleright \Gamma'$

$$\llbracket \iota\pi : (\Gamma', x : A) \triangleright \Gamma' \rrbracket = \pi_1 \quad (45)$$

So for each denotation  $\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash v : B \rrbracket$  of each  $y := v$  in  $\sigma$ , we can pre-pend the denotation with the weakening denotation to yield:

$$\llbracket \Gamma', x : A \vdash v : B \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash v : B \rrbracket \circ \pi_1 \quad (46)$$

Since  $\pi_1$  appears in every branch of  $\llbracket \Gamma', x : A \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket$ , it can be factored out to yield:

$$\llbracket \Gamma', x : A \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket \circ \pi_1 \quad (47)$$

Hence,

$$\llbracket (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x) : (\Gamma, x : A) \rrbracket = \langle \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket \circ \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle = (\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket \times \text{Id}_A) \quad (48)$$

### 0.3.3 Substitution Theorem

**TODO:** There is Tikz code here to draw the Substitution Theorem diagram, but it compiles v slowly If  $\Delta$  derives  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  and  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$  then the derivation  $\Delta'$  deriving  $\Gamma' \vdash t[\sigma] : \tau$  satisfies:

$$\Delta' = \Delta \circ \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket \quad (49)$$

This is proved by induction over the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ . We shall use  $\sigma$  to denote  $\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket$  where it is clear from the context.

### 0.3.4 Proof For Value Terms

**Case Var** By inversion  $\Gamma = \Gamma'', x : A$

$$(\text{Var}) \frac{\Gamma \text{Ok}}{\Gamma'', x : A \vdash x : A} \quad (50)$$

By inversion,  $\sigma = \sigma', x := v$  and  $\Gamma' \vdash v : A$ .

Let

$$\sigma = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket = \langle \sigma', \Delta' \rangle \quad (51)$$

$$\Delta = \llbracket \Gamma'', x : A \vdash x : A \rrbracket = \pi_2 \quad (52)$$

$$(53)$$

$$\Delta \circ \sigma = \pi_2 \circ \langle \sigma', \Delta' \rangle \quad \text{By definition} \quad (54)$$

$$= \Delta' \quad \text{By product property} \quad (55)$$

**Case Weaken** By inversion,  $\Gamma = \Gamma', y : B$  and  $\sigma = \sigma', y := v$  and we have  $\Delta_1$  deriving:

$$\text{(Weaken)} \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma'' \vdash x : A}}{\Gamma'', y : B \vdash x : A} \quad (56)$$

Also by inversion of the well-formed-ness of  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma$ , we have  $\Gamma' \vdash \sigma' : \Gamma''$  and

$$\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma \rrbracket = \langle \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash \sigma : \Gamma'' \rrbracket, \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash v : B \rrbracket \rangle \quad (57)$$

Hence by induction on  $\Delta_1$  we have  $\Delta'_1$  such that

$$\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash x[\sigma] : A} \quad (58)$$

Hence

$$\Delta' = \Delta'_1 \quad \text{By definition} \quad (59)$$

$$= \Delta_1 \circ \sigma' \quad \text{By induction} \quad (60)$$

$$= \Delta_1 \circ \pi_1 \circ \langle \sigma', \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash v : B \rrbracket \rangle \quad \text{By product property} \quad (61)$$

$$= \Delta_1 \circ \pi_1 \circ \sigma \quad \text{By definition of the denotation of } \sigma \quad = \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By definition.} \quad (62)$$

**Case Constants** The logic for all constant terms (**true**, **false**,  $()\mathbb{C}^A$ ) is the same. Let

$$c = \llbracket \mathbb{C}^A \rrbracket \quad (63)$$

$$\Delta' = c \circ \langle \rangle_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (64)$$

$$= c \circ \langle \rangle_G \circ \sigma \quad \text{Terminal property} \quad (65)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By definition} \quad (66)$$

**Case Lambda** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1$  such that

$$\Delta = (\text{Fn}) \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash C : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. C : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (67)$$

By induction of  $\Delta_1$  we have  $\Delta'_1$  such that

$$\Delta' = (\text{Fn}) \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma', x : A \vdash (C[\sigma]) : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. C)[\sigma] : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (68)$$

By induction and the extension lemma, we have:

$$\Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_A) \quad (69)$$

Hence:

$$\Delta' = \text{cur}(\Delta'_1) \quad \text{By definition} \quad (70)$$

$$= \text{cur}(\Delta_1 \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_A)) \quad \text{By induction and extension lemma.} \quad (71)$$

$$= \text{cur}(\Delta_1) \circ \sigma \quad \text{By the exponential property (Uniqueness)} \quad (72)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (73)$$

$$(74)$$

**Case Sub-type** By inversion, there exists derivation  $\Delta_1$  such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{Sub-type}) \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash v : A} \quad A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash v : B} \quad (75)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1$ , we find  $\Delta'_1$  such that  $\Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 \circ \sigma$  and:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Sub-type}) \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A} \quad A \leq B}{\Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : B} \quad (76)$$

Hence,

$$\Delta' = \llbracket A \leq B \rrbracket \circ \Delta'_1 \quad \text{By definition} \quad (77)$$

$$= \llbracket A \leq B \rrbracket \circ \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \quad \text{By induction} \quad (78)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By definition} \quad (79)$$

$$(80)$$

### 0.3.5 Proof For Computation Terms

**Case Return** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1$  such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{Return}) \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash v : A}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : \mathbb{M}_1 A} \quad (81)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1$ , we find  $\Delta'_1$  such that  $\Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 \circ \sigma$  and:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Return}) \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash v[\sigma] : A}}{\Gamma' \vdash (\text{return } v) [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_1 A} \quad (82)$$

Hence,

$$\Delta' = \eta_A \circ \Delta'_1 \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (83)$$

$$= \eta_A \circ \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \quad \text{By induction} \quad (84)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (85)$$

$$(86)$$



**Case Apply** By inversion, we find  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that

$$\Delta = (\text{Apply}) \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (87)$$

By induction we find  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that

$$\Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \quad (88)$$

$$\Delta'_2 = \Delta_2 \circ \sigma \quad (89)$$

$$(90)$$

And

$$\Delta' = (\text{Apply}) \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash v_1 [\sigma] : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Gamma' \vdash v_2 [\sigma] : A}}{\Gamma' \vdash (v_1 v_2) [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B} \quad (91)$$

Hence

$$\Delta' = \text{app} \circ \langle \Delta'_1, \Delta'_2 \rangle \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (92)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ \langle \Delta_1 \circ \sigma, \Delta_2 \circ \sigma \rangle \quad \text{By induction} \quad (93)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ \langle \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rangle \circ \sigma \quad \text{By Product Property} \quad (94)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (95)$$

$$(96)$$

**Case If** By inversion, we find  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$  such that

$$\Delta = (\text{If}) \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash v : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad \frac{\Delta_3}{\Gamma \vdash C_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad (97)$$

By induction we find  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \Delta'_3$  such that

$$\Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \quad (98)$$

$$\Delta'_2 = \Delta_2 \circ \sigma \quad (99)$$

$$\Delta'_3 = \Delta_3 \circ \sigma \quad (100)$$

$$(101)$$

And

$$\Delta' = (\text{If}) \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash v [\sigma] : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Gamma' \vdash C_1 [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad \frac{\Delta'_3}{\Gamma' \vdash C_2 [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A}}{\Gamma' \vdash (\text{if}_{\epsilon, A} v \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2) [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad (102)$$

Since  $\sigma : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  
Let  $(T_\epsilon A)^\sigma : T_\epsilon A^\Gamma \rightarrow T_\epsilon A^{\Gamma'}$  be as defined in ExSh 3 <sup>(1)</sup> That is:

$$(T_\epsilon A)^\sigma = \text{cur}(\text{app} \circ (\text{Id}_{T_\epsilon A} \times w)) \quad (103)$$

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/teaching/1819/L108/exercises/L108-exercise-sheet-3.pdf>

. And hence, we have:

$$\text{cur}(f \circ (\text{Id} \times \sigma)) = (T_\epsilon A)^\sigma \circ \text{cur}(f) \quad (104)$$

And so:

$$\Delta' = \text{app} \circ (([\text{cur}(\Delta'_2 \circ \pi_2), \text{cur}(\Delta'_3 \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta'_1) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (105)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (([\text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \sigma \circ \pi_2), \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \sigma \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta'_1) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{By Induction} \quad (106)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (([\text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \pi_2 \circ (\text{Id}_1 \times \sigma)), \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \pi_2 \circ (\text{Id}_1 \times \sigma))] \circ \Delta_1 \circ \sigma) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{By product property} \quad (107)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (((T_\epsilon A)^\sigma \circ \text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \pi_2), (T_\epsilon A)^\sigma \circ \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta_1 \circ \sigma) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{By } (T_\epsilon A)^\sigma \text{ property} \quad (108)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (((T_\epsilon A)^\sigma \circ [\text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \pi_2), \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta_1 \circ \sigma) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{Factor out transformation} \quad (109)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ ((T_\epsilon A)^\sigma \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ (([\text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \pi_2), \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta_1) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{Factor out Identity pairs} \quad (110)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (\text{Id}_{(T_\epsilon A)} \times \sigma) \circ (([\text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \pi_2), \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta_1) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{By definition of app, } (T_\epsilon A)^\sigma \quad (111)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (([\text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \pi_2), \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta_1) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ (\sigma \times \sigma) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \quad \text{Push through pairs} \quad (112)$$

$$= \text{app} \circ (([\text{cur}(\Delta_2 \circ \pi_2), \text{cur}(\Delta_3 \circ \pi_2)] \circ \Delta_1) \times \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}) \circ \delta_{\Gamma'} \circ \sigma \quad \text{By Definition of the diagonal morphism.} \quad (113)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad (114)$$

**Case Bind** By inversion, we have  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{Bind}) \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash C_1 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad \frac{\Delta_2}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash C_2 : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2 : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} B} \quad (115)$$

By property 3,

$$(\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x : (\Gamma, x : A)) \quad (116)$$

With denotation (extension lemma)

$$\llbracket (\Gamma', x : A) \vdash (\sigma, x := x : (\Gamma, x : A)) \rrbracket = \sigma \times \text{Id}_A \quad (117)$$

By induction, we derive  $\Delta'_1, \Delta'_2$  such that:

$$\Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \quad (118)$$

$$\Delta'_2 = \Delta_2 \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_A) \quad \text{By Extension Lemma} \quad (119)$$

And:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Bind}) \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash C_1 [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon A} \quad \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Gamma', x : A \vdash C_2 [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_\epsilon B}}{\Gamma' \vdash (\text{do } x \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in } C_2) [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2} B} \quad (120)$$

Hence:

$$\Delta' = \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, B} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} \Delta'_2 \circ \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon_1, \Gamma', A} \circ \langle \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}, \Delta'_1 \rangle \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (121)$$

$$= \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, B} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} (\Delta_2 \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_A)) \circ \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon_1, \Gamma', A} \circ \langle \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}, \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \rangle \quad \text{By Induction using the extension lemma} \quad (122)$$

$$= \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, B} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} (\Delta_2) \circ \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon_1, \Gamma, A} \circ (\sigma \times \text{Id}_{T_{\epsilon_1} A}) \circ \langle \text{Id}_{\Gamma'}, \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \rangle \quad \text{By Tensor Strength} \quad (123)$$

$$= \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, B} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} (\Delta_2) \circ \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon_1, \Gamma, A} \circ \langle \sigma, \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \rangle \quad \text{By Product rule} \quad (124)$$

$$= \mu_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, B} \circ T_{\epsilon_1} (\Delta_2) \circ \mathbf{t}_{\epsilon_1, \Gamma, A} \circ \langle \text{Id}_\Gamma, \Delta_1 \rangle \circ \sigma \quad \text{By Product rule} \quad (125)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By Definition} \quad (126)$$

$$(127)$$

**Case Subeffect** By inversion, there exists derivation  $\Delta_1$  such that:

$$\Delta = (\text{Sub-effect}) \frac{\frac{\Delta_1}{\Gamma \vdash C : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad (\text{Computation}) \frac{\epsilon_1 \leq_\Phi \epsilon_2 \quad A \leq_\Phi B}{\mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A \leq_\Phi \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B}}{\Gamma \vdash C : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B} \quad (128)$$

By induction on  $\Delta_1$ , we find  $\Delta'_1$  such that  $\Delta'_1 = \Delta_1 \circ \sigma$  and:

$$\Delta' = (\text{Sub-effect}) \frac{\frac{\Delta'_1}{\Gamma' \vdash C [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_1} A} \quad A \leq B}{\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2} \Gamma' \vdash C [\sigma] : \mathbb{M}_{\epsilon_2} B \quad (129)$$

Hence, Let

$$h = \llbracket \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \rrbracket \quad (130)$$

$$g = \llbracket A \leq B \rrbracket \quad (131)$$

$$\Delta' = h_B \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g \circ \Delta'_1 \quad \text{By definition} \quad (132)$$

$$= h_B \circ T_{\epsilon_1} g \circ \Delta_1 \circ \sigma \quad \text{By induction} \quad (133)$$

$$= \Delta \circ \sigma \quad \text{By definition} \quad (134)$$

$$(135)$$

## 0.4 The Identity Substitution

For each type environment  $\Gamma$ , define the identity substitution  $I_\Gamma$  as so:

- $I_\diamond = \diamond$
- $I_{(\Gamma, x:A)} = (I_\Gamma, x := x)$

### 0.4.1 Properties of the Identity Substitution

**Property 1** If  $\Gamma \vdash I_\Gamma : \Gamma$ , proved trivially by induction over the well formed-ness relation.

**Property 2**  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash I_\Gamma : \Gamma \rrbracket = \text{Id}_\Gamma$ , proved trivially by induction over the definition of  $I_\Gamma$

## 0.5 Single Substitution

If  $\Gamma \vdash v : A$ , let the single substitution  $\Gamma \vdash [v/x] : \Gamma, x : A$ , be defined as:

$$[v/x] = (I_\Gamma, x := v) \quad (136)$$

Then by properties 1, 2 of the identity substitution, we have:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [v/x] : \Gamma, x : A \rrbracket = \langle \text{Id}_\Gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash v : A \rrbracket \rangle : \Gamma \rightarrow (\Gamma \times A) \quad (137)$$

### 0.5.1 The Semantics of Single Substitution

The following diagram commutes:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash t [v/x] : \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash t : \tau \rrbracket \circ \langle \text{Id}_\Gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash v : A \rrbracket \rangle \quad (138)$$

**TODO:** Again, there is code here to draw a Commutative diagram, but for some reason `pdflatex` hangs when compiling it Since  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash (I_\Gamma, x := v) : (\Gamma, x : A) \rrbracket = \langle \text{Id}_\Gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash v : A \rrbracket \rangle$  And  $\text{true} [v/x] = \text{true} [I_\Gamma, x := v]$