1 Joins on Query(v) as a monoid

In order to justify some of our optimisations, we need to know certain properties of join denotation.

Firstly, we want to define establish closure and associatiavity of the *join* function defined in the denotational semantics.

We want to define these for queryable subsets of a view $v \in View_{\Sigma}$

$$Query(v) = \{s \mid \exists P, A, B.s = \llbracket P \rrbracket(v) \land \Sigma \vdash P : A, B\}$$
 (1)

1.1 Lemma: Join is closed on Query(v)

Proof: For $s,t \in Query(v)$ there exists P,Q,A,B,C,D such that $\Sigma \vdash P:A,B$ and $\Sigma \vdash Q:C,D$ hold and $s = \llbracket P \rrbracket(v) \land t = \llbracket Q \rrbracket(v)$

Case $B \neq C$ Then the join is empty, since no $b \in B = c \in C$, so

$$join(\llbracket P \rrbracket(v), \llbracket Q \rrbracket(v)) = \emptyset = \llbracket Distinct(Id_T) \rrbracket(v) \tag{2}$$

For some type $T \in \Sigma$ (We know Σ contains more than one type, due to the typing of P and Q

Case B = C Then the join is not empty. By the correspondence of denotational and operational semantics, we have

$$join([\![P]\!](v), [\![Q]\!](v)) = [\![Chain(P, Q)]\!](v)$$
 (3)

with the following typing

$$\Sigma \vdash Chain(P,Q): A, D \tag{4}$$

1.2 Lemma: Join is associative on Query(v)

Proof: For $r, s, t \in Query(v)$ there exist queries P, Q, R and object types A, B, C, D, E, F such that $\Sigma \vdash P: A, B, \Sigma \vdash Q: C, D, \Sigma \vdash R: E, F$ and hold and $r = \llbracket P \rrbracket(v) \land s = \llbracket Q \rrbracket(v) \land t = \llbracket R \rrbracket(v)$ We want to prove that join(r, join(s, t)) = join(join(r, s), t)

Case $B \neq C$ Then

$$join(r, join(s, t)) = join(r, u)$$
 For some u , either $u = \emptyset$ or u has a left type of C

$$= \emptyset \text{ hence equals the empty set}$$

$$= join(\emptyset, t)$$

$$= join(join(r, s), t)$$
(5)

Case $D \neq E$ Then similarly

$$join(join(r, s), t) = join(u, t)$$
 For some u , either $u = \emptyset$ or u has a right type of D

$$= \emptyset \text{ hence equals the empty set}$$

$$= join(r, \emptyset)$$

$$= join(r, join(s, t))$$
(6)

Case B = C and D = E Then

$$(a,f) \in join(r,join(s,t)) \Leftrightarrow (a,f) \in join(\llbracket P \rrbracket(v), join(\llbracket Q \rrbracket(v), \llbracket R \rrbracket(v)))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a, f \triangleleft_{A,F,v} Chain(P,Chain(Q,R)) \text{ by deno-oper correspondence}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists b, d.(a,b) \triangleleft_{A,B,v} P \land (b,d) \triangleleft_{B,D,v} Q \land (d,f) \triangleleft_{D,F,v} Q$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a,f) \triangleleft_{A,F,v} Chain(Chain(P,Q),R)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a,f) \in \llbracket Chain(Chain(P,Q),R) \rrbracket(v)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a,f) \in join(join(\llbracket P \rrbracket(v), \llbracket Q \rrbracket(v)), \llbracket R \rrbracket(v))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a,f) \in join(join(r,s),t)$$

$$(7)$$

Hence Query(v) is a monoid with join. However, it doesn't particularly stick to a nice typescheme.

1.3 Joins on $Query_A(v)$ as a monoid

If we now take the more useful subset $Query_A(v)$ of Query(v)

$$Query_A(v) = \{ s \subseteq A \times A \mid \exists P.\Sigma \vdash P: A, A \land s = \llbracket P \rrbracket(v) \}$$
(8)

Trivially, from the above, *join* over $Query_A(v)$ also forms a monoid.

This enables several optimisations.

Firstly, writing p for [P](v) and with the binary representation of $n = \sum_i b_i * 2^i$

$$[Exactly(n, P)](v) = p^n \text{ In } Query_A(v)$$

$$= \prod_i p^{b_i * 2^i}$$
(9)