Crying Wolf in the Lab

Arya Gaduh, Peter McGee, Alexander Ugarov*

December 19, 2022

Abstract

Keywords:

1 Introduction

A Tables

Table 1: List of Treatments

Gremlins composition								
Prop. of black balls (p)	Honest	Black-eyed	White-eyed	FP rate	FN rate			
0.1,0.2,0.3,0.5	2	0	0	0	0			
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	3	1	0	0.333	0			
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	3	0	1	0	0.333			
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	3	1	1 0	0.333	0.333			
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	5	1	0	0.2	0			
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	5	0	1	0	0.2			
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	5	1	1	0.2	0.2			

Table 2: Demographic Characteristics of Subjects

	All		$p \in \{0.1, 0.3\}$		$p \in \mathcal{A}$	$\{0.2, 0.5\}$
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	43	41	22	41	21	41
Age>23yrs old	14	13	6	11	8	16
Students	88	84	46	85	42	82
Had statistics classes	63	60	37	69	26	51
Total	105	100	54	100	51	100

Table 3: Risk Aversion Measurement

Switching Probability (π^*)	θ	N
Always protect	>2	1
0.1	2	10
0.15	1.216	13
0.2	0.573	29
0.25	0	16
0.3	-0.539	15
Never protect	<-0.539	14

Table 4: Informed protection response: logistical regression

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
	All	S=White	S=Black	All	S=White	W=Black	S=White	W=
FP rate	.251**	.556***	136	.2*	1.19***	38	2.3**	
	(2.2)	(4.8)	(-0.8)	(1.8)	(3.7)	(-0.8)	(2.2)	(
FN rate	.342***	.615***	0304	.352***	1.26***	116	2.69***	J
	(3.2)	(4.6)	(-0.2)	(3.1)	(12.8)	(-0.3)	(4.1)	(
S=Black	.454***			.473***				
	(83.6)			(91.4)				•
plevel=200	.106***	.0914*	.12**	0	0	0	0	
	(2.8)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(.)	(.)	(.)	(.)	ļ
FP rate x FN rate							-6.33**	
							(-2.4)	1
Subject FE	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ŀ
$P(FP \text{ rate} \neq FN \text{ rate})$.542	.766	.669	.309	.855	.705	.411	
N	624	312	312	582	117	105	117	ļ
Pseudo R-squared	.33	.159	.026	.519	.479	.0844	.56	
Log-likelihood	-290	-125	-152	-194	-41.2	-66.1	-34.8	

t statistics in parentheses

Errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects $\,$

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 5: Informed Protection Response: flexible control for posteriors and beliefs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Posterior only	Posterior only	Both	Both
FP rate	.523***	.488**	.369*	.282
	(4.0)	(2.0)	(1.9)	(1.1)
FN rate	.724***	1.36***	.512	.833**
	(4.6)	(3.4)	(1.3)	(2.0)
p≥0.2	.119***	.351***	.35***	.299***
	(4.3)	(7.1)	(6.8)	(5.1)
S=Black	.321**	2.4***	.731	1.8**
	(2.5)	(3.4)	(1.3)	(2.6)
FP rate x (S=Black)	119	-3.42***	-1.08	-2.5**
	(-0.4)	(-2.9)	(-1.1)	(-2.2)
FN rate x (S=Black)	721***	-1.64***	557	-1.14***
	(-3.6)	(-4.0)	(-1.4)	(-2.7)
FP rate x (p ≥ 0.2)		$.573^{*}$.409
		(1.7)		(1.2)
FN rate x (p ≥ 0.2)		.556**		.589**
		(2.3)		(2.1)
Observations	1224	582	582	582
Adjusted R^2				

t statistics in parentheses

With flexible controls of posterior probability and beliefs

Subject FE, errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 6: Latent Class Multinomial Choice Model Estimates (FP and FN rates by hint)

	lc_results								
	Model	Class	Alt	Hint	FN0	FN1	FP0	FP1	Class share
$\overline{r1}$	1	1	-2.86694	4.392251	4.834518	1919326	4.35168	8676941	1
r2	2	1	-2.91958	1.881626	7.980388	3599557	1.725487	6.632253	.2198715
r3	2	2	-2.91958	6.699559	3.838407	.4707898	5.285504	-8.229022	.7801285

Table 7: IP response by class

Table II Tespellise sy class						
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
	All	Class 1	Class 2			
S=Black	.628***	.357***	.772***			
	(23.1)	(2.8)	(10.5)			
FN rate*White hint	.691***	1.49***	.352***			
	(4.3)	(3.5)	(3.3)			
FP rate*White hint	.622***	.49	$.47^{***}$			
	(4.6)	(1.6)	(4.7)			
FN rate*Black hint	0274	.0659	.0588			
	(-0.2)	(0.2)	(0.4)			
FP rate*Black hint	124	1.15****	-1.25***			
	(-0.8)	(5.6)	(-4.8)			
N	624	138	486			
Pseudo R-squared	.347	.242	.543			
Log-likelihood	-282	-62.3	-153			

t statistics in parentheses

Errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 8: Correlates of Strategies Used

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	(1)	(2)	(0)
Seek honest	.462***		
20011 11011050	(0.1)		
Other	.356***		
0 01101	(0.1)		
Female	(0.1)	.0782	
1 chiane		(0.1)	
Age		00845	
1160		(0.0)	
Stat. classes		0674	
Duan. Classes		(0.1)	
Accur. beliefs		(0.1)	.135*
Accur. Deliels			(0.1)
RA measure0			00705
na measureo			
ID ouis			(0.0)
IP quiz			0635
C	400***	075***	(0.0)
Constant	.433***	.975***	1.03***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)
Observations	104	104	104
Adjusted R^2	0.15	0.02	0.01

Table 9: Expected IP losses by strategy

-		p=0.1,0.2		p>0.2			
	Mean loss	% of optimal	Loss prob.	Mean loss	% of optimal	Loss prob.	
Baseline (all)	1.166304	156.7689	.0190281	2.11717	140.6088	.0508233	
Honesty seekers	1.526998	205.2517	.0435806	3.095308	205.5705	.1163925	
Bayesians	1.050706	141.2308	.0112388	1.806053	119.9464	.0300237	
Optimal	.7439637	1	.0136432	1.505716	1	.0190598	

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 10: Latent Class Multinomial Choice Model Estimates

	lc_results							
	Model	Class	Alt	Hint	$False_prob$	Posterior	Class share	BIC
r1	1	1	-2.558866	5.518452	-2.179902	-5.647592	1	599.1649
r1	2	1	-2.535444	1.90032	3.500951	1.732533	.2750615	581.0222
r1	2	2	-2.535444	.1317798	2.727107	8.918563	.7249385	581.0222
r1	3	1	-2.738694	1.552418	4.89195	1.063685	.2025011	587.5337
r1	3	2	-2.738694	3.413443	8342289	6.007274	.4550624	587.5337
_r1	3	3	-2.738694	-3.203437	5.474852	16.56628	.3424365	587.5337

Table 11: IP response by class

	(1)	(2)
	Honesty Seekers	Cautious Bayesians
S=Black	.337***	.0245
	(3.4)	(0.4)
Prop. of lying gremlins	.664***	.277***
	(4.6)	(4.3)
Posterior prob.	198*	.788***
	(-1.7)	(4.9)
N	138	486
Pseudo R-squared	.183	.541
Log-likelihood	-67.2	-154

t statistics in parentheses

Errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects

Table 14: Average Protection by Signal Type

False-pos.	False-neg.	Signal	% protect	P(prot>0,<1)	Posterior	Optimal	P(=optimal)
No	No	White	0.038	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.045
No	No	Black	0.837	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
No	Yes	White	0.188	0.000	0.045	0.000	0.000
No	Yes	Black	0.783	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
Yes	No	White	0.145	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Yes	No	Black	0.739	0.000	0.396	0.739	1.000
Yes	Yes	White	0.429	0.000	0.062	0.000	0.000
Yes	Yes	Black	0.829	0.000	0.328	0.743	0.182

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 12: Belief Elicitation by Class

	(1)	(2)
	Simpletons	Cautious Bayesians
Posterior prob.	.357***	.479***
	(0.1)	(0.1)
S=Black	.123	.224***
	(0.1)	(0.0)
Prop. of lying gremlins	.171	.184***
	(0.1)	(0.0)
Constant	.112***	.0898***
	(0.0)	(0.0)
Observations	138	486
Adjusted R^2	0.31	0.60

Dep. variable: beliefs, errors clustered by subject

Table 13: Belief Elicitation: When Mistakes Happen

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	All	S=White	S=Black
Simpletons	.28***	105***	.665***
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
FN rate	.0528	.409***	304**
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Simpletons \times FN rate	177	0993	255
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)
FP rate	.888***	.253***	1.52^{***}
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Simpletons \times FP rate	.277	.316	.238
	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.4)
Constant	251***	.14***	641***
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Subject FE	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	624	312	312
Adjusted R^2	0.22	0.38	0.66

Standard errors in parentheses

Dep. variable: reported belief - posterior probability

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 15: Average Belief Error by Signal Type

False-pos.	False-neg.	Signal	Belief error	P(=0)
No	No	White	0.039	0.001
No	No	Black	-0.187	0.000
No	Yes	White	0.140	0.000
No	Yes	Black	-0.332	0.000
Yes	No	White	0.116	0.000
Yes	No	Black	0.177	0.000
Yes	Yes	White	0.245	0.000
Yes	Yes	Black	0.192	0.000

Table 16: Average WTP discrepancy (WTP-Value) by Signal Type

False-positive	False-negative	Mean WTP discrepancy	P(=0)
No	No	-0.135	0.465
No	Yes	-0.209	0.152
Yes	No	0.465	0.005
Yes	Yes	0.437	0.001

Table 17: Comparing Findings across the Tasks

Design	Beliefs	IP	WTP
White, FN only	>	<>	<> *
Black, FN only	<	<>	<>
White, FP only	>	>	>
Black, FP only	>	<>	>
White, FN and FP	>>	>	>
Black, FN and FP	>	<>	>

^{*-}WTP estimates do not depend on signals.

Table 18: WTP for Information (tobit)

	10010 1	·	or innormo	(0001	·)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	p = 0.1	p = 0.2	All	All	All
model						
FN costs	577**	-1.24**	682***	791***	691***	69***
	(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)
FP costs	644***	647***	519**	595***	508***	494**
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)
BP costs				.373***	.363***	.37***
				(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Belief change					.332	
					(0.3)	
Certainty						.688
						(0.8)
Constant	1.98***	1.79***	2.33***	.923***	.701*	.293
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.8)
sigma						
Constant	1.8***	1.83***	1.7^{***}	1.77^{***}	1.76***	1.76***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Observations	312	159	153	312	312	312
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2						

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 19: WTP minus Value of Information (OLS)

Table 19: WTF minus value of information (OLS)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
ED costs	.558***	.472***	402	.506***	497***	
FP costs			.403		.437***	
EMt-	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.1)	
FN costs	229*	.0337	495	.085	645***	
D:-l- l:	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.5)	(0.1)	(0.2)	
Risk-loving			0			
Diale arrange			(.)			
Risk-averse			0			
No rich or mooguno			(.)			
No risk av. measure			0			
Dialy laying v ED coats			(.)			
Risk-loving \times FP costs			.12			
Risk-averse \times FP costs			(0.4) $.102$			
RISK-averse × FF Costs						
No risk av. measure \times FP costs			(0.3) 142			
No lisk av. measure × F1 costs			(0.4)			
Risk-loving \times FN costs			.744			
Itisk-loving × FIV costs			(0.5)			
Risk-averse \times FN costs			.549			
Tusk-averse × 11v cosus			(0.5)			
No risk av. measure \times FN costs			.492			
TO TISK av. Measure × 117 costs			(0.5)			
Inaccurate beliefs			(0.0)	.0776		
inaccurate scheis				(0.2)		
Inaccurate beliefs \times FP costs				.631		
inaccurate benefit × 11 costs				(0.8)		
Inaccurate beliefs \times FN costs				00734		
maccarate schols // 11/ costs				(0.3)		
plevel=200				(0.0)	0	
plevel 200					(.)	
plevel= $200 \times FP \text{ costs}$.14	
F-1.31 200 / 11 00000					(0.2)	
plevel= $200 \times FN costs$.84***	
r					(0.2)	
Constant	0921	141*	137	208	111	
	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.1)	
Observations	312	312	312	312	312	
Adjusted R^2	0.05	0.59	0.58	0.58	0.60	
<u> </u>						

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 20: WTP for Information: heterogeneity by IP class

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	p < 0.3	p < 0.3	All	All
model				
FN costs	577**	699***	261***	386***
	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(0.1)
FP costs	644***	73***	-1.04***	-1.15***
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Simpletons		804**		87***
		(0.4)		(0.3)
Simpletons \times FN costs		.618		.63***
		(0.6)		(0.2)
Simpletons \times FP costs		.393		.573
		(0.5)		(0.4)
Constant	1.98***	2.17^{***}	2.39***	2.57^{***}
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)
sigma				
Constant	1.8***	1.79***	1.94***	1.92***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Observations	312	312	624	624
Adjusted R^2				

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 21: WTP minus Value of Information, connection to self-reported protection strategy $\,$

J						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	p = 0.1	p = 0.2	All	All	All
Seek honest	.923***	1.17***		1.18**		1.4**
	(0.3)	(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.6)
Other	.317	.395		.324		.594
	(0.2)	(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.5)
FN costs	236	0324	-1.11***	563	558***	.602
	(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(1.0)	(0.2)	(0.6)
FP costs	.551***	.667*	424**	.578	415**	.631
	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.6)
Seek honest \times FN costs		432		389		616
		(0.6)		(1.1)		(0.7)
Other \times FN costs		0759		.216		355
		(0.6)		(1.1)		(0.7)
Seek honest \times FP costs		179		222		155
		(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.7)
Other \times FP costs		103		144		.0513
		(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.7)
Constant	587**	717**	1.88***	123	2.28***	-1.56***
	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.5)
Observations	312	312	159	159	153	153
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

B Figures




Figure 1: Average Blind Protection Response






Figure 2: Average Informed Protection Response





Informed Protection Response


Informed Protection Response

O 2 4 6 8 1


Posterior probability of a black ball

95% CI | poly smooth

Figure 4: Average Informed Protection Response (Smoothed)




kernel = epanechnikov, degree = 0, bandwidth = .1, pwidth = .17



Theoretical vs actual WTP 2 percent 4 Actual WTP 2 3 1.7308 0 3 0 1 2 Theoretical WTP

Figure 6: Theoretical vs actual WTP








Figure 8: WTP discrepancy by prior and signal characteristics $\,$

C Appendix Tables

Table 22: Informed protection response: linear regression

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	S=White	S=Black	All	S=White	W=Black
FP rate	.251**	.641***	139	.203*	.555***	149
	(2.2)	(4.5)	(-0.8)	(1.7)	(3.6)	(-0.7)
FN rate	.341***	.714***	0312	.332***	.713***	0486
	(3.2)	(4.4)	(-0.2)	(2.9)	(3.7)	(-0.3)
plevel=200	.106***	$.0911^{*}$.12**	.333***	.667***	1.27e-14
	(2.8)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(1.4e+13)	(1.3e+14)	(1.1)
Constant	.37***	023	.762***	.442***	132***	1.02***
	(11.5)	(-0.7)	(14.4)	(23.9)	(-4.8)	(38.4)
Subject FE	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	624	312	312	624	312	312
Adjusted R^2	0.02	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.33	0.29

t statistics in parentheses

Errors are clustered by subject

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 23: Informed Protection Response: flexible control for posteriors and beliefs

					1	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		FE			S=White	S=Black
FP rate	.325**	.291	.312	.369*	.34***	0715
	(2.3)	(1.5)	(1.4)	(1.9)	(2.7)	(-0.1)
FN rate	.00994	000178	0956	.512	0967	.0767
	(0.1)	(-0.0)	(-0.5)	(1.3)	(-0.3)	(0.4)
p≥0.2			.279***			
			(4.6)			
FP rate x (p ≥ 0.2)			0236			
			(-0.1)			
FN rate x (p ≥ 0.2)			.186			
			(0.9)			
S=Black				.731		
				(1.3)		
FP rate x (S=Black)				-1.08		
				(-1.1)		
FN rate x (S=Black)				557		
				(-1.4)		
Observations	624	582	582	582	310	312
Adjusted R^2						

t statistics in parentheses

With flexible controls of posterior probability and beliefs

 ${\it Errors}$ are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 24: Informed protection response: semiparametric control for posteriors

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FP rate	.546***	.442**	.527***	$.357^{*}$
	(3.5)	(2.2)	(3.3)	(1.8)
FN rate	189	203	631	000611
	(-1.0)	(-0.9)	(-1.6)	(-0.0)
p≥0.2		.0385		
		(0.8)		
FP rate x (p ≥ 0.2)		.218		
\ -		(0.9)		
FN rate x (p ≥ 0.2)		.0514		
(1 =)		(0.2)		
S=Black		(**=)	-5.81	
S Bloom			(-0.5)	
FP rate x (S=Black)			.0175	
11 Tate II (8 Black)			(0.0)	
FN rate x (S=Black)			.498	
11 Tate X (D-Dlack)			(1.2)	
Stat. class			(1.2)	0205
Stat. Class				(-0.4)
ED mate as Chat along				, ,
FP rate x Stat. class				.333
TINI (C) (1				(1.5)
FN rate x Stat. class				303
				(-1.4)
Observations	624	624	624	624
Adjusted R^2	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

t statistics in parentheses

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 25: WTP - Value of Information, by prior with order effects

Table 25. WII - Val	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	p=0.1,0.2	p=0.3,0.5	p=0.1,0.2	(-)	(0)	(0)
FP rate	2.23***	249	2.12***	1.21*	249	325
	(0.5)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.8)
FN rate	254	2.64^{***}	-1.22**	.169	2.64***	1.33***
	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Starts with p=0.2			-1.13***	.256		
			(0.3)	(0.3)		
Starts with p= $0.2 \times FP$ rate			.215	444		.157
			(1.0)	(1.0)		(0.7)
Starts with p=0.2 \times FN rate			1.99^{***}	2.11^{***}		2.71***
			(0.7)	(0.8)		(0.6)
First prior					.0367	.0367
					(0.2)	(0.2)
First prior \times FP rate					2.48***	2.48***
					(0.7)	(0.7)
First prior \times FN rate					-2.9***	-2.9***
					(0.3)	(0.3)
Constant	135	172	$.412^{*}$	278	172	172
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Observations	315	315	315	630	630	630
Adjusted R^2	0.04	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.04	0.06

Table 26: WTP - Value of Information, by prior

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	All	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5
FP rate	.822*	1.96***	2.3***	121	865
	(0.5)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.9)	(0.9)
FN rate	1.2^{***}	-1.24***	.783	1.57^{***}	3.79***
	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.7)
Constant	134	.435***	713***	921***	.677***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)
Observations	630	162	153	162	153
Adjusted R^2	0.36	0.64	0.49	0.42	0.48

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 27: Belief Elicitation: Discrepancy

Table 21. Benef Enclosion. Discrepancy							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
FN rate	.021	.021	014	014	0562	0554	
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	
FP rate	.917***	.917***	1.07***	1.07***	1.05***	1.05***	
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	
Good quiz	, ,	, ,	.0467	.0688			
			(0.0)	(0.0)			
Good quiz \times FN rate			.0571	.0571			
			(0.1)	(0.1)			
Good quiz \times FP rate			289*	288*			
_			(0.2)	(0.2)			
Stat. class			, ,	` ,	00248	0127	
					(0.0)	(0.0)	
Stat. class \times FN rate					.138	.137	
					(0.1)	(0.1)	
Stat. class \times FP rate					232	229	
					(0.2)	(0.2)	
Constant	0762***	0654***	101***	102***	0751***	0563	
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	
Prior prob dummies	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Observations	624	624	624	624	624	624	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 28: WTP minus Value of Information: demographic determinants

1able 26. W11 1	Table 28. W11 limits value of information, demographic determinants								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
FP costs	.558***	.602***	.548***	.475**	.416**	.54***	.485***	.66***	.591***
	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
FN costs	229*	317*	0684	242	0701	295*	0336	037	.223
	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Male	, ,	195	197	, ,		, ,			
		(0.4)	(0.4)						
$Male \times FP costs$		138	155						
		(0.2)	(0.2)						
$Male \times FN costs$		$.22 extrm{5}$.249						
		(0.3)	(0.2)						
Stat. class		, ,	,	161	179				
				(0.4)	(0.4)				
Stat. class \times FP costs				.138	.125				
				(0.2)	(0.2)				
Stat. class \times FN costs				.0192	.199				
				(0.3)	(0.2)				
>23 yrs				()	()	827**	785**		
v						(0.4)	(0.3)		
$>23~{ m yrs} \times { m FP~costs}$.193	.159		
						(0.3)	(0.3)		
$>23 \text{ yrs} \times \text{FN costs}$.465**	.389		
, I j						(0.2)	(0.3)		
Good quiz						(-)	()	.347	.413
1								(0.4)	(0.4)
Good quiz \times FP costs								194	178
1								(0.2)	(0.2)
Good quiz \times FN costs								355	354
								(0.3)	(0.2)
Constant	0921	0115	.356	.00585	.387	.0142	.363	279	.0568
0 0115 00110	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Prior dummies	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	312	312	312	312	312	312	312	312	312
Adjusted R^2	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.12	0.06	0.13	0.04	0.12
,	5.00	- · · · ·	v ·	J. U.	~ ·	5.00	J. 1 J	J. U.	~ ·

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01