Crying Wolf in the Lab

Arya Gaduh, Peter McGee, Alexander Ugarov* $\label{eq:condition} \text{December 13, 2022}$

Abstract


Keywords:

1 Introduction

A Results

B IP and Beliefs

Figure 1: Average Blind Protection Response






Figure 2: Average Informed Protection Response

Table 1: Average Protection by Signal Type

False-pos.	False-neg.	Signal	% protect	P(prot>0,<1)	Posterior	Optimal	P(=optimal)
No	No	White	0.038	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.045
No	Yes	White	0.188	0.000	0.045	0.000	0.000
Yes	No	White	0.145	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Yes	Yes	White	0.429	0.000	0.062	0.000	0.000
No	No	Black	0.837	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
No	Yes	Black	0.783	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
Yes	No	Black	0.739	0.000	0.396	0.739	1.000
Yes	Yes	Black	0.829	0.000	0.328	0.743	0.182

Table 2: Average Belief Error by Signal Type

False-pos.	False-neg.	Signal	Belief error	P (= 0)
No	No	White	0.039	0.001
No	Yes	White	0.140	0.000
Yes	No	White	0.116	0.000
Yes	Yes	White	0.245	0.000
No	No	Black	-0.187	0.000
No	Yes	Black	-0.332	0.000
Yes	No	Black	0.177	0.000
Yes	Yes	Black	0.192	0.000

Table 3: Informed Protection Response: logit with flexible control for posteriors

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FP rate	.54***	.658***	.528**	.488**
	(4.0)	(3.5)	(2.4)	(2.0)
FN rate	.128	1***	.12	1.36***
	(1.0)	(2.6)	(0.7)	(3.4)
p≥0.2	.0649	.399***	.306***	.351***
	(1.6)	(9.4)	(7.3)	(7.1)
S=Black	00664	1.26^{*}	0874	2.4***
	(-0.1)	(1.9)	(-0.7)	(3.4)
FP rate x (S=Black)		-1.89		-3.42***
		(-1.6)		(-2.9)
FN rate x (S=Black)		-1.01**		-1.64***
		(-2.4)		(-4.0)
FP rate x (p ≥ 0.2)			.0292	.573*
ν-			(0.1)	(1.7)
FN rate x (p ≥ 0.2)			0283	.556**
ζ- /			(-0.1)	(2.3)
Observations	624	582	582	582
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2				

t statistics in parentheses

Reporting average marginal effects, subject FE, errors are clustered by subject. With flexible controls of posterior probability

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 4: Belief Elicitation: When Mistakes Happen

				* * *		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	S=White	S=Black	All	S=White	S=Black
FN rate	.00702	.38***	366***	.0528	.409***	304**
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
FP rate	.948***	.318***	1.58***	.888***	.253***	1.52***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Simpletons				.28***	105***	.665***
				(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Simpletons \times FN rate				177	0993	255
				(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)
Simpletons \times FP rate				.277	.316	.238
				(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.4)
Constant	249***	.139***	636***	251***	.14***	641***
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Subject FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	624	312	312	624	312	312
Adjusted R^2	0.22	0.37	0.66	0.22	0.38	0.66

Dep. variable: reported belief - posterior probability

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

C WTP

D Tables

Table 5: List of Treatments

Gremlins composition										
Prop. of black balls (p)	Honest	Black-eyed	White-eyed	FP rate	FN rate					
0.1,0.2,0.3,0.5	2	0	0	0	0					
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	3	1	0	0.333	0					
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	3	0	1	0	0.333					
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	3	1	1 0	0.333	0.333					
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	5	1	0	0.2	0					
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	5	0	1	0	0.2					
0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5	5	1	1	0.2	0.2					

Table 6: Demographic Characteristics of Subjects

	All		$p \in \{0.1, 0.3\}$		$p \in \mathcal{A}$	$\{0.2, 0.5\}$
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	43	41	22	41	21	41
Age>23yrs old	14	13	6	11	8	16
Students	88	84	46	85	42	82
Had statistics classes	63	60	37	69	26	51
Total	105	100	54	100	51	100

Table 7: Risk Aversion Measurement

Switching Probability (π^*)	θ	N
Always protect	>2	1
0.1	2	10
0.15	1.216	13
0.2	0.573	29
0.25	0	16
0.3	-0.539	15
Never protect	<-0.539	14

Table 8: Informed protection response: logistical regression

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	All	S=White	S=Black	All	S=White	W=Black	S=White	W=Black
FP rate	.251**	.556***	136	.2*	1.19***	38	2.3**	592
	(2.2)	(4.8)	(-0.8)	(1.8)	(3.7)	(-0.8)	(2.2)	(-1.2)
FN rate	.342***	.615***	0304	$.352^{***}$	1.26^{***}	116	2.69^{***}	515
	(3.2)	(4.6)	(-0.2)	(3.1)	(12.8)	(-0.3)	(4.1)	(-0.9)
S=Black	.454***			.473***				
	(83.6)			(91.4)				
plevel=200	.106***	$.0914^{*}$.12**	0	0	0	0	0
	(2.8)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(.)	(.)	(.)	(.)	(.)
FP rate x FN rate							-6.33**	2.27
							(-2.4)	(1.0)
Subject FE	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$P(FP rate \neq FN rate)$.542	.766	.669	.309	.855	.705	.411	.916
N	624	312	312	582	117	105	117	105
Pseudo R-squared	.33	.159	.026	.519	.479	.0844	.56	.0925
Log-likelihood	-290	-125	-152	-194	-41.2	-66.1	-34.8	-65.5

t statistics in parentheses Errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 9: Latent Class Multinomial Choice Model Estimates (FP and FN rates by hint)

-	lc_results								
	Model	Class	Alt	Hint	FN0	FN1	FP0	FP1	Class share
r1	1	1	-2.86694	4.392251	4.834518	1919326	4.35168	8676941	1
r2	2	1	-2.91958	1.881626	7.980388	3599557	1.725487	6.632253	.2198715
r3	2	2	-2.91958	6.699559	3.838407	.4707898	5.285504	-8.229022	.7801285

Table 10: IP response by class

	,, 11 100P	· ·	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
	All	Class 1	Class 2
S=Black	.628***	.357***	.772***
	(23.1)	(2.8)	(10.5)
FN rate*White hint	.691***	1.49***	.352***
	(4.3)	(3.5)	(3.3)
FP rate*White hint	.622***	.49	$.47^{***}$
	(4.6)	(1.6)	(4.7)
FN rate*Black hint	0274	.0659	.0588
	(-0.2)	(0.2)	(0.4)
FP rate*Black hint	124	1.15^{***}	-1.25***
	(-0.8)	(5.6)	(-4.8)
N	624	138	486
Pseudo R-squared	.347	.242	.543
Log-likelihood	-282	-62.3	-153

t statistics in parentheses

Errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 11: Correlates of Strategies Used

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Seek honest	.462***		
Deek Hollest			
0.1	(0.1)		
Other	.356***		
	(0.1)		
Female		.0782	
		(0.1)	
Age		00845	
		(0.0)	
Stat. classes		0674	
		(0.1)	
Accur. beliefs		(0.1)	.135*
needi. Bellels			(0.1)
DA magazuma0			` /
RA measure0			00705
			(0.0)
IP quiz			0635
			(0.0)
Constant	.433***	.975***	1.03***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)
Observations	104	104	104
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.15	0.02	0.01

Table 12: Expected IP losses by strategy

		p=0.1,0.2		p>0.2			
	Mean loss	% of optimal	Loss prob.	Mean loss	% of optimal	Loss prob.	
Baseline (all)	1.166304	156.7689	.0190281	2.11717	140.6088	.0508233	
Honesty seekers	1.526998	205.2517	.0435806	3.095308	205.5705	.1163925	
Bayesians	1.050706	141.2308	.0112388	1.806053	119.9464	.0300237	
Optimal	.7439637	1	.0136432	1.505716	1	.0190598	

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 13: Latent Class Multinomial Choice Model Estimates

-	lc_results							
	Model	Class	Alt	Hint	$False_prob$	Posterior	Class share	BIC
$\overline{r1}$	1	1	-2.558866	5.518452	-2.179902	-5.647592	1	599.1649
r1	2	1	-2.535444	1.90032	3.500951	1.732533	.2750615	581.0222
r1	2	2	-2.535444	.1317798	2.727107	8.918563	.7249385	581.0222
r1	3	1	-2.738694	1.552418	4.89195	1.063685	.2025011	587.5337
r1	3	2	-2.738694	3.413443	8342289	6.007274	.4550624	587.5337
_r1	3	3	-2.738694	-3.203437	5.474852	16.56628	.3424365	587.5337

Table 14: IP response by class

	(1)	(2)
	Honesty Seekers	Cautious Bayesians
S=Black	.337***	.0245
	(3.4)	(0.4)
Prop. of lying gremlins	.664***	.277***
	(4.6)	(4.3)
Posterior prob.	198*	.788***
	(-1.7)	(4.9)
N	138	486
Pseudo R-squared	.183	.541
Log-likelihood	-67.2	-154

t statistics in parentheses

Errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects

Table 15: Average WTP discrepancy (WTP-Value) by Signal Type

False-positive	False-negative	Mean WTP discrepancy	P (= 0)
No	No	-0.135	0.465
No	Yes	-0.209	0.152
Yes	No	0.465	0.005
Yes	Yes	0.437	0.001

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 16: Comparing Findings across the Tasks

Design	Beliefs	IP	WTP
White, FN only	>	<>	<> *
Black, FN only	<	<>	<>
White, FP only	>	>	>
Black, FP only	>	<>	>
White, FN and FP	>>	>	>
Black, FN and FP	>	<>	>

^{*-}WTP estimates do not depend on signals.

Table 17: WTP for Information (tobit)

Table 11. W11 for imerical (cont.)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	p = 0.1	p = 0.2	All	All	All
model						
FN costs	577**	-1.24**	682***	791***	691***	69***
	(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)
FP costs	644***	647***	519**	595***	508***	494**
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)
BP costs				.373***	.363***	.37***
				(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Belief change					.332	
					(0.3)	
Certainty						.688
						(0.8)
Constant	1.98***	1.79***	2.33***	.923***	.701*	.293
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.8)
sigma						
Constant	1.8***	1.83***	1.7^{***}	1.77^{***}	1.76***	1.76***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Observations	312	159	153	312	312	312
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2						

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 18: WTP minus Value of Information (OLS)

	Table 18: WTF minus value of imformation (OLS)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
FP costs	.558***	.472***	.403	.506***	.437***		
ri costs	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.1)		
FN costs	(0.1) 229*	.0337	(0.3) 495	.085	645***		
FN COSES		(0.1)		(0.1)			
Risk-loving	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.5)	(0.1)	(0.2)		
Risk-loving			(.)				
Risk-averse			0				
Tusk-averse			(.)				
No risk av. measure			0				
TO TISK av. Incasure			(.)				
Risk-loving \times FP costs			.12				
1000 10111g // 11 00000			(0.4)				
Risk-averse \times FP costs			.102				
			(0.3)				
No risk av. measure \times FP costs			142				
Tro Tibli av. Hicabare / Tr cobib			(0.4)				
Risk-loving \times FN costs			.744				
Tubit loving // 11/ costs			(0.5)				
Risk-averse \times FN costs			.549				
Tuest diverse in 11 costs			(0.5)				
No risk av. measure \times FN costs			.492				
			(0.5)				
Inaccurate beliefs			(313)	.0776			
				(0.2)			
Inaccurate beliefs \times FP costs				.631			
				(0.8)			
Inaccurate beliefs \times FN costs				00734			
				(0.3)			
plevel=200				()	0		
•					(.)		
plevel= $200 \times FP \text{ costs}$.14		
•					(0.2)		
plevel= $200 \times FN \text{ costs}$.84***		
•					(0.2)		
Constant	0921	141*	137	208	111		
	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.1)		
Observations	312	312	312	312	312		
Adjusted R^2	0.05	0.59	0.58	0.58	0.60		

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 19: WTP for Information: heterogeneity by IP class

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	p < 0.3	p < 0.3	All	All
model				
FN costs	577**	699***	261***	386***
	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(0.1)
FP costs	644***	73***	-1.04***	-1.15***
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Simpletons		804**		87***
		(0.4)		(0.3)
Simpletons \times FN costs		.618		.63***
		(0.6)		(0.2)
Simpletons \times FP costs		.393		.573
		(0.5)		(0.4)
Constant	1.98***	2.17^{***}	2.39***	2.57***
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)
sigma				
Constant	1.8***	1.79***	1.94***	1.92***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Observations	312	312	624	624
Adjusted R^2				

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 20: WTP minus Value of Information, connection to self-reported protection strategy $\frac{1}{2}$

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	p = 0.1	p = 0.2	All	All	All
Seek honest	.923***	1.17***		1.18**		1.4**
	(0.3)	(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.6)
Other	.317	.395		.324		.594
	(0.2)	(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.5)
FN costs	236	0324	-1.11***	563	558***	.602
	(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(1.0)	(0.2)	(0.6)
FP costs	.551***	.667*	424**	.578	415**	.631
	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.6)
Seek honest \times FN costs		432		389		616
		(0.6)		(1.1)		(0.7)
Other \times FN costs		0759		.216		355
		(0.6)		(1.1)		(0.7)
Seek honest \times FP costs		179		222		155
		(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.7)
Other \times FP costs		103		144		.0513
		(0.4)		(0.5)		(0.7)
Constant	587**	717**	1.88***	123	2.28***	-1.56***
	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.5)
Observations	312	312	159	159	153	153
Adjusted R^2	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

E Figures


Protection Response


Protection Response

A Blind Informed

Figure 3: Average Informed Protection Response







0 Posterior - Belief


Figure 5: Belief Updating

Theoretical vs actual WTP 2 percent 4 Actual WTP 2 3 1.7308 0 3 0 1 2

Figure 6: Theoretical vs actual WTP



Theoretical WTP






Figure 8: WTP discrepancy by prior and signal characteristics $\,$

F Appendix Tables

Table 21: Informed protection response: linear regression

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	All	S=White	S=Black	All	S=White	W=Black
FP rate	.251**	.641***	139	.203*	.555***	149
	(2.2)	(4.5)	(-0.8)	(1.7)	(3.6)	(-0.7)
FN rate	.341***	.714***	0312	.332***	.713***	0486
	(3.2)	(4.4)	(-0.2)	(2.9)	(3.7)	(-0.3)
plevel=200	.106***	$.0911^*$.12**	.333***	.667***	1.27e-14
	(2.8)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(1.4e+13)	(1.3e+14)	(1.1)
Constant	.37***	023	.762***	.442***	132***	1.02***
	(11.5)	(-0.7)	(14.4)	(23.9)	(-4.8)	(38.4)
Subject FE	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	624	312	312	624	312	312
Adjusted R^2	0.02	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.33	0.29

t statistics in parentheses

Errors are clustered by subject

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 22: Informed Protection Response: flexible control for posteriors and beliefs

					1	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		FE			S=White	S=Black
FP rate	.325**	.291	.312	.369*	.34***	0715
	(2.3)	(1.5)	(1.4)	(1.9)	(2.7)	(-0.1)
FN rate	.00994	000178	0956	.512	0967	.0767
	(0.1)	(-0.0)	(-0.5)	(1.3)	(-0.3)	(0.4)
p≥0.2			.279***			
			(4.6)			
FP rate x (p ≥ 0.2)			0236			
			(-0.1)			
FN rate x (p ≥ 0.2)			.186			
			(0.9)			
S=Black				.731		
				(1.3)		
FP rate x (S=Black)				-1.08		
				(-1.1)		
FN rate x (S=Black)				557		
				(-1.4)		
Observations	624	582	582	582	310	312
Adjusted R^2						

t statistics in parentheses

With flexible controls of posterior probability and beliefs

Errors are clustered by subject, average marginal treatment effects

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 23: Informed protection response: semiparametric control for posteriors

	/1)	(0)	(2)	(4)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
FP rate	.546***	.442**	.527***	$.357^{*}$
	(3.5)	(2.2)	(3.3)	(1.8)
FN rate	189	203	631	000611
	(-1.0)	(-0.9)	(-1.6)	(-0.0)
p≥0.2	` ′	.0385	` ,	, ,
		(0.8)		
FP rate x (p ≥ 0.2)		.218		
11 1800 II (P = 0.2)		(0.9)		
FN rate x (p ≥ 0.2)		.0514		
Figure x $(p \ge 0.2)$				
C D1 1		(0.2)	F 01	
S=Black			-5.81	
(0 51 1)			(-0.5)	
FP rate x (S=Black)			.0175	
			(0.0)	
FN rate x (S=Black)			.498	
			(1.2)	
Stat. class				0205
				(-0.4)
FP rate x Stat. class				.333
				(1.5)
FN rate x Stat. class				303
11. 1000 11 2000. 01000				(-1.4)
Observations	624	624	624	624
Adjusted R^2	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

t statistics in parentheses

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 24: WTP - Value of Information, by prior with order effects

		, , ,	(2)			(c)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	p=0.1,0.2	p=0.3,0.5	p=0.1,0.2			
FP rate	2.23***	249	2.12^{***}	1.21^{*}	249	325
	(0.5)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.8)
FN rate	254	2.64^{***}	-1.22**	.169	2.64***	1.33***
	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Starts with p=0.2	, ,	` ,	-1.13***	.256	, ,	` ,
-			(0.3)	(0.3)		
Starts with p= $0.2 \times \text{FP}$ rate			.215	444		.157
-			(1.0)	(1.0)		(0.7)
Starts with p= $0.2 \times FN$ rate			1.99***	2.11***		2.71***
•			(0.7)	(0.8)		(0.6)
First prior			` ,	, ,	.0367	.0367
-					(0.2)	(0.2)
First prior \times FP rate					2.48***	2.48***
•					(0.7)	(0.7)
First prior \times FN rate					-2.9***	-2.9***
r					(0.3)	(0.3)
Constant	135	172	.412*	278	172	172
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Observations	315	315	315	630	630	630
Adjusted R^2	0.04	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.04	0.06

Table 25: WTP - Value of Information, by prior

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
	All	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5		
FP rate	.822*	1.96***	2.3***	121	865		
	(0.5)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.9)	(0.9)		
FN rate	1.2^{***}	-1.24***	.783	1.57^{***}	3.79***		
	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.7)		
Constant	134	.435***	713***	921***	.677***		
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)		
Observations	630	162	153	162	153		
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.36	0.64	0.49	0.42	0.48		

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 26: Belief Elicitation: Discrepancy

	1 abic 20. D	ener Buerea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	гораној		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
FN rate	.021	.021	014	014	0562	0554
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
FP rate	.917***	.917***	1.07***	1.07***	1.05***	1.05***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Good quiz	` ,	, ,	.0467	.0688	, ,	, ,
			(0.0)	(0.0)		
Good quiz \times FN rate			.0571	.0571		
_			(0.1)	(0.1)		
Good quiz \times FP rate			289*	288*		
•			(0.2)	(0.2)		
Stat. class			,	, ,	00248	0127
					(0.0)	(0.0)
Stat. class \times FN rate					.138	.137
					(0.1)	(0.1)
Stat. class \times FP rate					232	229
					(0.2)	(0.2)
Constant	0762***	0654***	101***	102***	0751***	0563
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Prior prob dummies	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	624	624	624	624	624	624
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 27: WTP minus Value of Information: demographic determinants

Table 21. W 11 1	iiiius vai	ue or info	illiauton.	demogra	pine dec	ermmanus	•		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
FP costs	.558***	.602***	.548***	.475**	.416**	.54***	.485***	.66***	.591***
	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
FN costs	229*	317*	0684	242	0701	295*	0336	037	.223
	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Male	, ,	195	197	` /	,	` ,	` /	, ,	` /
		(0.4)	(0.4)						
$Male \times FP costs$		138	155						
		(0.2)	(0.2)						
$Male \times FN costs$.225	.249						
		(0.3)	(0.2)						
Stat. class		()	()	161	179				
				(0.4)	(0.4)				
Stat. class \times FP costs				.138	.125				
				(0.2)	(0.2)				
Stat. class \times FN costs				.0192	.199				
				(0.3)	(0.2)				
>23 yrs				(0.0)	(0.2)	827**	785**		
> 20 y 15						(0.4)	(0.3)		
$>23 \text{ yrs} \times \text{FP costs}$.193	.159		
220 y15 × 11 COSUS						(0.3)	(0.3)		
$>23 \text{ yrs} \times \text{FN costs}$.465**	.389		
25 y15 ∧ 111 COStS						(0.2)	(0.3)		
Good quiz						(0.2)	(0.3)	.347	.413
Good quiz								(0.4)	(0.4)
Good quiz \times FP costs								194	178
Good quiz × F1 costs								(0.2)	
Good quiz \times FN costs								(0.2) 355	(0.2) 354
Good quiz × FN costs									
	0001	0115	250	00505	207	01.40	202	(0.3)	(0.2)
Constant	0921	0115	.356	.00585	.387	.0142	.363	279	.0568
D . 1 .	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Prior dummies	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	312	312	312	312	312	312	312	312	312
Adjusted R^2	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.12	0.06	0.13	0.04	0.12

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01