Crying Wolf in the Lab

Arya Gaduh, Peter McGee, Alexander Ugarov* $\label{eq:May 19, 2022} \text{May 19, 2022}$

Abstract

Keywords:

1 Introduction

A Tables

Table 1: WTP for Information (Discrepancy)

Table 1: W1P for information (Discrepancy)									
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
FP costs	.251*	.0991	.404**	.472***					
	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)					
FN costs	.356***	.397***	.425***	609**					
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)					
Risk-averse	, ,	.0046	, ,	, ,					
		(0.3)							
Risk-averse \times FP costs		.187							
		(0.2)							
Risk-averse \times FN costs		066							
		(0.2)							
Accur. beliefs		, ,	.212						
			(0.3)						
Accur. beliefs \times FP costs			361						
			(0.2)						
Accur. beliefs \times FN costs			143						
			(0.1)						
p > 0.2			,	84***					
•				(0.2)					
$p>0.2 \times FP costs$				447***					
•				(0.2)					
$p>0.2 \times FN costs$				1.09***					
				(0.2)					
Constant	233	237	331	.412*					
	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)					
Observations	630	588	630	630					
Adjusted R^2	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.10					

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 2: WTP for Information (Discrepancy, by prior)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	All	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5
FP rate	.99**	2.12***	2.34***	.287	816
	(0.5)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.8)	(0.9)
FN rate	1.2^{***}	-1.22**	.768	1.56**	3.79***
	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.7)
Constant	154	.412*	715***	968***	.671**
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)
Observations	630	162	153	162	153
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.09

Table 3: Informed protection by prior

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Informed protection						
False pos. rate	2.05***	2.83***	3.25***	4.61***	1.74***	2.72***
-	(0.4)	(0.6)	(0.7)	(1.0)	(0.5)	(0.7)
False neg. rate	2.15^{***}	2.66***	1.4***	1.84***	3.66***	4.78***
	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.4)	(0.6)
p > 0.2			.335**	.632***		
			(0.2)	(0.2)		
$p>0.2 \times False pos. rate$			-1.49**	-2.06**		
			(0.7)	(0.9)		
$p>0.2 \times False neg. rate$			1.12^{**}	1.28^{*}		
			(0.6)	(0.7)		
Gremlin says Black=1					1.04**	1.62^{***}
					(0.5)	(0.6)
Gremlin says Black= $1 \times$ False pos. rate					.376	144
					(1.3)	(1.8)
Gremlin says Black= $1 \times$ False neg. rate					-3.32***	-4.49***
	e a a distrib		e en entreleste		(0.7)	(0.8)
Constant	-1.29***	.529***	-1.56***	136	-1.48***	.368***
0.1.	(0.1)	(0.0)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Subject FE	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations 122	1259	1235	1259	1235	1259	1235
Adjusted R^2						

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Model	Prediction				
Strict risk-aversion EU	Higher sensitivity to FN rates				
Strict risk-aversion EU+prudence	Ratio of FN to FP				
Strict risk-aversion EO+prudence	sensitivities \uparrow with π				
Loss aversion	FP sensit. \downarrow with π				
Loss aversion	FP sensit. is lower than for risk-neutral (RN)				
	FP sensit.>RN				
Probability weighting	for low π				
	FP sensit. <rn< td=""></rn<>				
	for high π				
	FN sensit. is higher than RN				
	for $\pi P(W B) < P(S=B) < 1/2$				
	FP sensitivity decreases				
Probability estimation bias	with π rel. to RN				
1 Tobability estimation bias	FN sensitivity increases				
	with π rel. to RN				
	Diff. WTP for treatments				
	with eq. FP and FN frequencies				

Table 4: WTP: demographic characteristic interaction of priors and signal characteristics

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	All	Good quiz only	Stat. classes
model			
p > 0.2	1.02***	.918***	.999***
	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)
FP rate	-2.83**	-3.23**	-2.07
	(1.1)	(1.4)	(1.3)
p>0.2 \times FP rate	374	982	962
	(1.3)	(1.6)	(1.6)
FN rate	-2.45**	-3.8***	-2.24*
	(1.1)	(1.3)	(1.3)
p>0.2 \times FN rate	874	373	797
	(1.3)	(1.5)	(1.6)
Constant	1.72***	2.11***	1.56***
	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)
sigma			
Constant	1.9^{***}	1.61***	1.82***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Observations	630	342	378
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2			

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 5: WTP: testing for interaction of priors and signal characteristics

	(1)	(2)	(3)
model			
p>0.2	1.02***	1.07***	.871*
r		(0.4)	
FP rate	-2.83**	` /	` /
	(1.1)	(1.6)	(2.0)
$p>0.2 \times FP$ rate		.49	.889
	(1.3)	(1.9)	(2.2)
FN rate		728	
> 0.2 EN		(1.7)	
$p>0.2 \times FN$ rate	874 (1.3)		75 (2.2)
Good quiz	(1.3)	(1.9) $.858*$	(2.2)
Good quiz		(0.5)	
Good quiz \times p>0.2		126	
r.		(0.5)	
Good quiz \times FP rate		-1.08	
		(2.3)	
Good quiz \times p>0.2 \times FP rate		-1.47	
-		(2.6)	
Good quiz \times FN rate		-3.25	
C. I O.O EN.		(2.3)	
Good quiz \times p>0.2 \times FN rate		1.06 (2.6)	
Stat. class		(2.0)	564
Stat. Class			(0.5)
Stat. class \times p>0.2			.143
1			(0.6)
Stat. class \times FP rate			2.27
			(2.4)
Stat. class \times p>0.2 \times FP rate			-1.86
			(2.7)
Stat. class \times FN rate			.622
Ci i l > 0.0 ENI			(2.4)
Stat. class \times p>0.2 \times FN rate			0703 (2.7)
Constant	1.72***	1.26***	2.11***
Constant	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.4)
sigma	\ /	(-/	\ /
Constant	1.9***	1.88***	1.9***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Observations	630	630	630
Adjusted R^2		6	
a. 1 1 1 1		U	

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 6: WTP: extra effect of prior probability

				(1)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
model				
FP rate	-4.43	-5.88*	-4.76*	-6.17^*
	(2.8)	(3.3)	(2.8)	(3.3)
FN rate	-2.35**	-1	-2.7*	-1.46
	(1.2)	(1.6)	(1.4)	(1.8)
Stat. class	, ,	, ,	441*	436*
			(0.2)	(0.2)
Stat. class \times FP rate			.809	$.76\overset{\circ}{2}$
			(1.1)	(1.1)
Stat. class \times FN rate			.568	$.609^{'}$
			(1.1)	(1.1)
Constant	1.46***	1.25***	1.77***	1.55^{***}
	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.4)
sigma				
Constant	1.88***	1.88***	1.87***	1.87***
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
With squares	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	630	630	630	630
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2				

Controlling for priors and total probabilities of false-positive and false-negative outcomes. Standard errors in parentheses.

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 7: Belief updating: evidence of signal and base rate neglect

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	OLS	FE	OLS	FE	OLS	FE
Prior	.246***	.202***	.175***	.191**	.14**	.0403
	(5.5)	(4.0)	(3.1)	(2.5)	(2.3)	(0.6)
Signal	.43***	.43***	.327***	.327***	.539***	.539***
	(6.3)	(6.3)	(3.2)	(3.2)	(5.3)	(5.3)
Good quiz \times Prior			.143*	.0207		
			(1.7)	(0.2)		
Good quiz \times Signal			.193	.193		
			(1.4)	(1.4)		
Stat. class \times Prior					.162*	.264***
					(1.9)	(2.8)
Stat. class \times Signal					166	166
					(-1.2)	(-1.2)
Observations	280	280	280	280	280	280
Adjusted R^2	0.31	0.31	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32

Decomposition works only for imperfect signals

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

B Figures (Unsorted)


Blind Protection Response


Section Country

Section Count

Figure 1: Average Blind Protection Response

Figure 2: Average Informed Protection Response Informed Protection Response Ī Proportion of protection choices .2 .4 .6 .8 .8 .2 .4 .6 Probability of a black ball









Figure 4: Theoretical vs actual WTP Theoretical vs actual WTP percent Actual WTP 2 3 .73469 Theoretical WTP








Figure 6: WTP discrepancy by prior and signal characteristics

C Appendix Tables

Table 8: Belief Elicitation: Discrepancy

Table 6. Bener Encitation. Discrepancy									
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
False neg. rate	0101	0101	.05	.05	.0886	.0876			
0	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)			
False pos. rate	606***	606***	75***	749***	664***	66***			
	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)			
Good quiz			0299	0538					
			(0.0)	(0.0)					
Good quiz \times False neg. rate			102	102					
			(0.1)	(0.1)					
Good quiz \times False pos. rate			.269**	.266**					
			(0.1)	(0.1)					
Stat. class					.0203	.0391			
					(0.0)	(0.0)			
Stat. class \times False neg. rate					172*	171^*			
					(0.1)	(0.1)			
Stat. class \times False pos. rate					.104	.0999			
					(0.1)	(0.1)			
Constant	.0616***	.0279	.0779***	.0566	.0499**	.00222			
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)			
Prior prob dummies	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes			
Observations	1260	1260	1260	1260	1260	1260			
Adjusted R^2	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.09			

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Table 9: WTP for Information (Discrepancy, demographic variables)

Table 9: WTP	(1)	$\frac{\text{nation }(D)}{(2)}$	$\frac{1}{(3)}$	$\frac{y, \text{ demogr}}{(4)}$	$\frac{\text{apnic var}}{(5)}$	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	(+)	(2)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(•)	. ,	(0)
FP costs	.251*	.283	.352*	.117	.215	.263*	.307**	.479**	.515
	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
FN costs	.356***	.322***	.247***	.395***	.303***	.307***	.251***	.493***	.453*
N. 1	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1
Male		251	238						
$Male \times FP costs$		(0.3) 122	(0.4) 159						
Male × FF Costs		(0.2)	(0.2)						
$Male \times FN costs$.0857	(0.2) .117						
11101C /\ 1 11 CO303		(0.1)	(0.1)						
Stat. class		(0.1)	(0.1)	28	194				
				(0.3)	(0.4)				
Stat. class \times FP costs				.218	.145				
				(0.3)	(0.3)				
Stat. class \times FN costs				0785	0188				
				(0.1)	(0.1)				
>23 yrs						335	606		
						(0.4)	(0.4)		
$>23 \text{ yrs} \times \text{FP costs}$						0837	.00811		
. 00 TIM						(0.3)	(0.3)		
$>23 \text{ yrs} \times \text{FN costs}$.346	.275		
Cood owin						(0.2)	(0.2)	.493	15
Good quiz								(0.3)	.453
Good quiz \times FP costs								(0.3) 441*	(0.4 4]
Good quiz × 11 costs								(0.3)	(0.3)
Good quiz \times FN costs								253*	295
1000								(0.1)	(0.1
Constant	233	126	.391	0579	.419	188	.356	5**	.045
	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Prior dummies	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.05	0.05	0.21	0.05	0.21	0.05	0.21	0.05	0.22

^{*} p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01