To solve the one-dimensional heat and wave equations with separation of variables, we look for a solution of the form

$$u(x,t) = X(x)T(t),$$

subject to certain auxiliary conditions. In all of the problems we will consider, the spatial component, X(x), must satisfy a two-point boundary-value problem of the form

$$X'' + \lambda^2 X = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < x < L, \tag{1}$$

$$\alpha_0 X(0) + \beta_0 X'(0) = 0, \quad \alpha_L X(L) + \beta_L X'(L) = 0,$$
 (2)

for given constants $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \alpha_L, \beta_L$ such that

$$|\alpha_0| + |\beta_0| > 0$$
 and $|\alpha_L| + |\beta_L| > 0$.

Note that

- The length L of the underlying interval is often either 1 or π , as these values simplify some calculations.
- The conditions in (2) are **Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions**. They include homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions (X(0) = X(L) = 0) and no-flux boundary conditions (X'(0) = X'(L) = 0) as special cases.

To solve this boundary-value problem, we have to find both a function X(x) and a constant λ ; having done so, the function is called an **eigenfunction**, the constant is called an **eigenvalue**, and the two together form an **eigenpair**. In the problems we consider, we will obtain a countable sequence $\{(X_n, \lambda_n)\}$ of eigenpairs, either for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ or for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ This note provides a proof that eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues are **orthogonal**, which means that

$$\int_0^L X_n X_m \, dx = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda_n \neq \lambda_m \ .$$

The advantage of this proof is its generality; the following argument eliminates the use of various trigonometric identities or other random trivia.

Suppose that X_n and X_m are eigenfunctions corresponding to the distinct eigenvalues λ_n and λ_m , respectively. Then, by the definition above,

$$X_n'' + \lambda_n^2 X_n = 0, (3)$$

$$X_m'' + \lambda_m^2 X_m = 0. (4)$$

Multiply (3) by X_m and multiply (4) by X_n to obtain

$$X_m X_n'' + \lambda_n^2 X_m X_n = 0, (5)$$

$$X_m''X_n + \lambda_m^2 X_m X_n = 0. (6)$$

Subtract (6) from (5) to get

$$X_m X_n'' - X_m'' X_n + (\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_m^2) X_m X_n = 0$$
(7)

and integrate this equation from x = 0 to x = L:

$$\int_0^L (X_m X_n'' - X_m'' X_n) \ dx + \left(\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_m^2\right) \int_0^L X_m X_n \ dx = 0 \ .$$

Rearrange a bit and use integration by parts to get the following:

$$(\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_m^2) \int_0^L X_m X_n \, dx = \int_0^L (X_m'' X_n - X_m X_n'') \, dx$$

$$= \int_0^L X_m'' X_n \, dx - \int_0^L X_m X_n'' \, dx$$

$$= \left(X_n X_m' \Big|_0^L - \int_0^L X_m' X_n' \, dx \right) - \left(X_n' X_m \Big|_0^L - \int_0^L X_m' X_n' \, dx \right)$$

$$= \left(X_n X_m' - X_n' X_m \right) \Big|_0^L = 0 .$$

The fact that this final boundary term vanishes is a consequence of the Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions above. (Be sure to check this for yourself!) Finally, since $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$, we see that

$$\int_0^L X_m X_n \, dx = 0$$

as claimed.