



Research:

**Comparative Analysis of YOLO, Faster R-CNN, and
SSD for Real-Time Object Detection in UAV Aerial
Imagery for Disaster Management and Military
Applications**

Image Processing Course

Prepared by:

Alaa Emad Al Hout

120233046

Submitted to:

Dr. Ashraf Yunis Maghari

May 18, 2025

Table of Contents

3	Research Area
5	Title
5	Abstract
6	Specific Challenges Face in Image Processing/Computer Vision
7	Proposed Research Plan
7	Literature Review 5.1
8	Dataset Selection & Preparation 5.2
9	Algorithms Implementation 5.3
10	Model Training and Evaluation 5.4
11	Analysis and Interpretation 5.5
12	Conclusion and Future Work 5.6
13	Related Papers
16	References

Research Area:

The rapid development of technology has led to the speedy integration of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) in military and disaster management fields. This is because UAVs can be deployed very quickly, and can gather information in a short period of time from places which are often difficult to reach. Moreover, UAVs now play a critical role in disaster scenarios as they can assess the situation in no time, locate survivors within the shortest span of time, and aid promptly. In a military context, UAVs provide very useful Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities that enable better situational awareness and better decisions.

Regardless of context, the ability to accurately detect and classify objects in aerial imagery is central to these applications. However, images obtained through UAVs create unique problem challenges—varying altitudes, dynamic background, many different object scales, etc (Redmon, You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection, 2016). Once again, detection tasks are complicated by the fact that objects can now be small, partially occluded, and/or occluded due to environmental factors. Furthermore, the need for real-time processing on low-resource UAV platforms requires efficient algorithms that can balance the accuracy of detection with the resource constraints to process the data (Gromada, Real-Time Object Detection and Classification by UAV Equipped With SAR, 2022).

Recent advances in deep learning have led to sophisticated algorithms for object detection, particularly YOLO(You Only Look Once) (Redmon, You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection, 2016), Faster R-CNN(Region-Based Convolutional Neural Network) (Ren, 2015) and SSD(Single Shot MultiBox Detector) (Liu, 2016). Each algorithm has distinct advantages and disadvantages across detection accuracy, speed, and computational requirements. YOLO is extremely fast and can be used for real-time applications while Faster R-CNN achieves very high accuracy but is computationally heavy. SSD falls in between the two for speed and accuracy, providing good flexibility in the two areas across a range of usages.

In disaster management and military situations, the appropriate selection of an algorithm for object detection depends on a solid understanding of the tradeoffs between speed and accuracy with an image processing algorithm for UAVs. Considerations about aspects of the UAV itself, operation (e.g. with flight time and energy to spare), and certain features of the target objects and surrounding environment must be taken into account. This research topic will also develop comparative studies of the performance of YOLO, Faster R-CNN and SSD for real-time object detection using aerial photographic imagery acquired from UAVs. Ultimately, the aim of the research is to find an appropriate algorithm which effectively meets the specific performance objectives for UAV usage in fire disaster management and military activities or operations while being mindful of the trade-off between speed and accuracy.

Title:

Comparative Analysis of YOLO, Faster R-CNN, and SSD for Real-Time Object Detection in UAV Aerial Imagery for Disaster Management and Military Applications

Abstract:

The incorporation of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) for disaster management and military applications has changed the way we gather information and maintain situational awareness in real time, in this context, being able to detect and classify objects in aerial imagery is paramount. This research aims to compare three state of the art, deep-learning based object detection algorithms, YOLO, Faster R-CNN and SSD. The research will explore how each of the three algorithms performs on UAV gathered aerial images for the purpose of determining the best model in terms of detection performance, time of processing and computational efforts. The results will produce an informed approach to selecting an object detection model for UAV applications in disaster management and military applications which require real-time processing.

Specific Challenges Face in Image Processing/Computer Vision:

- Issue 1: Variability in Scale and Small Object Detection:

Aerial photos can have objects of different scales including small objects that occupy a very small area of pixels. Detection of small-scale objects is very difficult because the features that representation are very limited which leads to loss of detection quality. This scale ambiguity can be further confounded by altitude especially in UAV imagery. Differences in altitude cause differences in scale and ultimately object visibility.

- Issue 2: Real-Time Processing Constraints on UAV Platforms

Typically, UAVs have many limitations regarding when computational resources are accessible, which poses challenges for deploying deep learning models that are typically compute heavy. Achieving real-time object detection requires algorithms that are balanced between the accuracy associated with the use of deep learning neural networks and the computational elements that must allow detection in real-time and time of process without saturating the UAV hardware.

Proposed Research Plan:

This research project aims to be an in-depth, comparative evaluation of three popular deep learning object detection algorithms, YOLO (You Only Look Once), Faster R-CNN (Region Based Convolutional Neural Network), and SSD (Single Shot MultiBox Detector) to evaluate their capability for real time object detection in aerial images from UAVs, in relation to disaster management and military purposes. The overall plan will consist of six phases:

5.1 Literature Review

Goal:

- To build a comprehensive understanding of past research efforts in order to identify gaps.

Tasks:

- A thorough exploration of the key works, articles, technical reports or case studies that exploit YOLO, Faster R-CNN, and SSD for UAV-based object detection.
- Conduct an examination of what is already known about the algorithms strengths and weaknesses and their performance targets when utilized in different contexts (e.g. disaster response versus military surveillance) .
- Identify the notable problems, such as small object detection, the need to support changing altitudes and the need to impose computational limitations on the UAV platform.

Outcome:

- A comprehensive knowledge of existing methods - with information related to items that require future investigation.

5.2 Dataset Selection & Preparation:

Goal:

- To collect and preprocess applicable datasets related to real-world situations.

Tasks:

- Look for publicly available datasets of aerial images collected from UAVs, particularly disaster and military contexts. Common examples might include VisDrone and UAVDT (Al-Ali, 2023).
- make annotations of the dataset with bounding boxes and class labels on items of interest for the project (e.g. vehicles, road infrastructure, or people).
- use data augmentation techniques (e.g. - rotate, scale, brightness) to improve the model's ability to generalize in different environments.

Outcome:

- A dataset that is correct and ready for use in training and testing object detection models.

5.3 Algorithms Implementation

Goal:

- To implement and configure the selected object detection algorithms to enable a comparative analysis.

Tasks:

- Implement the chosen algorithms, YOLO, Faster R-CNN, and SSD using a deep learning framework such as TensorFlow or PyTorch .
- Set all models with similar parameters to ensure comparability (input image size, learning rate, batch size, etc.).
- Transfer learning can be applied by initializing the model with pretrained weights from another large dataset (e.g. COCO) to help with convergence.

Outcome:

- Three functioning models of object detection approved for training and testing.

5.4 Model Training and Evaluation

Goal:

- To train the models on the prepared datasets and evaluate their performance.

Tasks:

- Develop each model using the training subset of the datasets, using methods like early stopping and learning rate scheduling to improve performance.
- Evaluate models on a separate (no overlap) validation set based on mean Average Precision (mAP) and multiple metrics for precision, recall, and inference speed (measured in frames per second) (Gromada, Real-Time Object Detection and Classification by UAV Equipped With SAR, 2022).
- Assessed performance based on considerations like object size, occlusion, and any other environmental changes to determine robustness.

Outcome:

- Performance metrics for each model to allow for side-by-side comparison.

5.5 Analysis and Interpretation

Goal:

- To examine the evaluation results and offer useful reflections for real world application.

Tasks:

- Compare the performance results of each model and discuss the trade off between accuracy and processing acceleration in your analysis.
- Discuss if and when to apply each algorithm; for instance, does a fast deployment in a disaster zone outweigh the accuracy in a military context?
- Provide recommendations on potential improvements or tweak existing models to elevate the performance for UAV based applications.

Outcome:

- recommendations on the most appropriate algorithm(s) and breadth of uses the application may support.

5.6 Conclusion and Future Work

Goal:

- To synthesize results and establish proposals for future research directions.

Tasks:

- Bring together insights obtained from comparison, particularly with a focus on the practical use in the disaster management sector and the military.
- Propose solutions such as model optimization for edge computing on UAVs, coupling with other sensor types (e.g., thermal), and conducting a true field study.
- Propose a hybrid model that challenges the concept of multiple models and menus thereby offering net gain in performance.

Outcome:

- A summary of the findings related to object detection algorithms with targeted recommendations and future research pathways.

Related Papers:

- 1) ["YOLO-Based UAV Technology: A Review of the Research and Its Applications"](#)

This paper reviews the use of YOLO algorithms in UAV technology and summarizes the value of YOLO for various scenarios, like disaster management and military operations, and specifically from the perspective of using them for real-time object detection.

- 2) ["RGDiNet: Efficient Onboard Object Detection with Faster R-CNN for UAVs"](#)

The study presents RGDiNet, a system for detecting objects onboard the UAV, based on Faster R-CNN which utilizes both RGB and depth features, with the goal of better real-time performance while onboard a UAV.

- 3) ["SOD-YOLO: Small-Object-Detection Algorithm Based on Improved YOLOv8 for UAV Aerial Photography Scenarios"](#)

The study introduces SOD-YOLO, a new approach based on YOLOv8 that improves small object detection in UAV imagery for researchers in disaster and military scenarios.

4) ["GGT-YOLO: A Novel Object Detection Algorithm for UAV Aerial Photography"](#)

The paper introduces GGT-YOLO, a new architecture to improve object detection within UAV imagery to better detect in challenging environments with new architecture.

5) ["CAST-YOLO: An Improved YOLO Based on a Cross-Attention Strategy for UAV Aerial Photography"](#)

CAST-YOLO uses a cross-attention strategy embedded within YOLO to improve object detection performance with UAV aerial photography relevant for military purposes.

6) ["PS-YOLO: A Lighter and Faster Network for UAV Object Detection"](#)

PS-YOLO presents a lightweight and computationally inexpensive way to achieve object detection on UAVs and maximizes object detection performance.

7) ["DAFPN-YOLO: An Improved UAV-Based Object Detection Algorithm Based on YOLOv8s"](#)

The study shows DAFPN-YOLO with an aim to increase UAV-based object detection performance through more adaptive feature pyramid networks useful for increasing detection accuracy and addressing the problem of small targets.

8) ["Analysis of Vision-based Air-to-Air UAV Detection using YOLO"](#)

The paper provides an analysis of vision-based UAV detection using YOLO, focused on air-to-air detection scenarios relevant for military purposes.

9) ["Lightweight Faster R-CNN for Object Detection in Optical Remote Sensing Images"](#)

This study introduced a less complex Faster R-CNN model specifically designed for object detection in optical remote sensing images, which is considered appropriate for UAV applications

10) ["A Military Object Detection Model of UAV Reconnaissance Image and Feature Visualization"](#)

This research presented a model for military object detection, utilizing UAV reconnaissance images and applying feature visualization methods as an attempt to improve detection accuracy

References:

1. [Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., & Farhadi, A. \(2016\).](#)
You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection.
Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 779–788.
2. [Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., & Sun, J. \(2015\).](#)
Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 28.
3. [Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., Reed, S., Fu, C. Y., & Berg, A. C. \(2016\).](#)
SSD: Single Shot MultiBox Detector.
European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), 21–37.
4. [Gao, Y., Xin, Y., Yang, H., & Wang, Y. \(2025\).](#)
A Lightweight Anti-Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Detection Method Based on Improved YOLOv11.Drones, 9(1), 11.
5. [Zhao, X., & Chen, Y. \(2024\).](#)
YOLO-DroneMS: Multi-Scale Object Detection Network for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Images.Drones, 8(11), 609.
6. [Gromada, K., Siemiątkowska, B., Stecz, W., Płochocki, K., & Woźniak, K. \(2022\).](#)
Real-Time Object Detection and Classification by UAV Equipped With SAR.
Sensors, 22(5), 2068.
7. [Gao, Y., Xin, Y., Yang, H., & Wang, Y. \(2025\).](#)
A Lightweight Anti-Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Detection Method Based on Improved YOLOv11.
Drones, 9(1), 11.