Summary of Contents

| Preface Presidents | | ix xxiii |
|--------------------|--|-------------|
| | | |
| 1 | Economic and Legal Aspects of the Firm | 1 |
| 2 | Partnerships | 67 |
| 3 | The Corporation and Its Governance | 159 |
| 4 | Fiduciary Duty and the Business Judgment Rule | 265 |
| 5 | Shareholder Litigation | 469 |
| 6 | Mergers and Other Transactions in, or Uses of, Control | 525 |
| 7 | Hostile Challenges to Incumbent Board Control | 605 |
| 8 | Illiquidity and Oppression in Non–Publicly Traded Corporations | 715 |
| 9 | The Limited Liability Company | 797 |
| <i>10</i> | The Corporation as a Device to Allocate Risk | 867 |
| <i>11</i> | Disclosure and Corporate Regulation | 931 |
| <i>12</i> | Insider Trading | 1013 |
| Table of Cases | | 1079 |
| Index | | 1089 |

Contents

| | Preface Acknowledgments | |
|--------------------|--|-------------|
| <u> </u> | Economic and Legal Aspects of the Firm | 1 |
| — А. | Some Basic Concepts and Terminology | 1 |
| | 1. The Classical Firm | 1 |
| | a. Introductory Note | 1 |
| | b. The Entrepreneur | 1 |
| | c. The Coasean Firm: Differentiating the Market and the Firm | 1 2 3 |
| | 2. The Business Association | 3 |
| | 3. The Modern Corporation and the Berle-Means Critique | 4 |
| | 4. The Return of Free Market Ideology: The Firm as a Nexus of Contracts | 6 |
| | 5. Separation of Ownership and Control and Agency Costs | 7 |
| | 6. The New Millennium: Corporate Scandal, Financial Crises, | _ |
| n | Corporate Governance, and Government Regulation | 8 |
| в. | Organizing the Firm: Selecting a Value-Maximizing Governance Structure 1. Business Planning: The Role of the Corporate Lawyer in Organizing | 9 |
| | a Firm | 9 |
| | 2. The Goal of Informed Rational Choice Between Competing Investment Options | 9 |
| | a. Comparative Search for Best Investment | 9 |
| | b. Risk and Return | 11 |
| | 3. Transaction Costs and Choice of Organizational Form | 13 |
| | a. Introduction | 13 |
| | b. Transaction Cost Factors | 13 |
| | c. Discrete and Relational Contracting | 14 |
| | d. Deciding to Organize as a Firm | 15 |
| | 4. State-Provided Governance Structures | 16 |
| | a. Entity and Employment Law as Standard Form Contracts | 16 |

| c. Tailored, Majoritarian, and Penalty Default Rules | 16 |
|--|--|
| | 17 |
| 5. Nonjudicial Mechanisms That Supplement and Reinforce | |
| Private Ordering | 18 |
| a. The Governance Role of Markets | 18 |
| b. The Role of Trust | 19 |
| c. The Role of Norms | 19 |
| C. The Firm and the Law of Agency | 20 |
| 1. Introduction | 20 |
| 2. Creating the Relationship of Principal and Agent | 22 |
| A. Gay Jenson Farms Co. v. Cargill, Inc. | 22 |
| Problem 1-1 | 28 |
| 3. Employee or Independent Contractor | 28 |
| In the Matter of Vega | 29 |
| Notes and Questions | 40 |
| 4. Apparent Authority and Related Concepts | 42 |
| Ophthalmic Surgeons, Ltd. v. Paychex, Inc. | 43 |
| Problem 1-2 | 48 |
| Problem 1-3 | 48 |
| 5. Respondeat Superior; Vicarious Liability | 49 |
| Vargas v. Riverbend Management LLC | 50 |
| Notes and Questions | 56 57 |
| 6. Fiduciary Duty as Constraint on Agent's Pursuit of Self-Interest | |
| , | |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward | 58 |
| | 58 65 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward | |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships | 65 67 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction | 65 67 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations | 65 67 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal | 65 67 67 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules | 65 67 67 67 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture | 65 67 67 67 69 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership | 65 67 67 67 69 70 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations 2. Determining the Legal Nature of the Relationship | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 73 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations 2. Determining the Legal Nature of the Relationship Ziemann v. Grosz | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 73 73 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations 2. Determining the Legal Nature of the Relationship Ziemann v. Grosz Notes and Questions | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 73 73 79 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations 2. Determining the Legal Nature of the Relationship Ziemann v. Grosz Notes and Questions Problem 2-1 | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 73 73 79 80 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations 2. Determining the Legal Nature of the Relationship Ziemann v. Grosz Notes and Questions Problem 2-1 Problem 2-2 | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 73 79 80 81 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations 2. Determining the Legal Nature of the Relationship Ziemann v. Grosz Notes and Questions Problem 2-1 Problem 2-2 3. Sharing Profits and Losses | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 73 73 79 80 81 82 |
| Taser International, Inc. v. Ward Notes and Questions 2 Partnerships A. Introduction 1. Traditional Noncorporate Business Associations a. The General Partnership and Its Standard Form Internal Governance Rules b. The Joint Venture c. The Limited Partnership d. The UPA (1997) and Its Innovations 2. Determining the Legal Nature of the Relationship Ziemann v. Grosz Notes and Questions Problem 2-1 Problem 2-2 | 65 67 67 67 69 70 71 73 79 80 81 |

Contents xi

| | Notes and Questions | 87 |
|----|--|-----|
| | Problem 2-3 | 88 |
| | Problem 2-4 | 88 |
| B. | The Partner as Fiduciary | 88 |
| ~. | 1. The Common Law Duty of Loyalty | 88 |
| | Meinhard v. Salmon | 89 |
| | Notes and Questions | 96 |
| | Problem 2-5 | 98 |
| | 2. Self-Dealing | 98 |
| | Vigneau v. Storch Engineers | 98 |
| | 3. Fiduciary Duty and Management of the Partnership's Business and Affairs | 102 |
| | Covalt v. High | 103 |
| | 4. Contracting for Absolute Discretion | 106 |
| | Starr v. Fordham | 106 |
| | Notes and Questions | 110 |
| | 5. The Duty of Care | 110 |
| | Ferguson v. Williams | 112 |
| | Notes and Questions | 113 |
| | Problem 2-6 | 114 |
| C. | Dissolution and Dissociation | 114 |
| | 1. The Basic Framework | 114 |
| | McCormick v. Brevig | 115 |
| | Notes and Questions | 121 |
| | Problem 2-7 | 123 |
| | Problem 2-8 | 124 |
| | 2. Wrongful Dissociation | 124 |
| | Drashner v. Sorenson | 125 |
| | McCormick v. Brevig | 127 |
| | Notes and Questions | 129 |
| | Problem 2-9 | 129 |
| | 3. Fiduciary Limits on Dissolution "At Will" | 130 |
| | Page v. Page | 130 |
| | Notes and Questions | 133 |
| | Problem 2-10 | 134 |
| | 4. Fiduciary Limits on Expulsion of Unwanted Partners | 134 |
| | Bohatch v. Butler & Binion | 135 |
| | Problem 2-11 | 141 |
| | 5. Contracting to Prevent Opportunistic Withdrawal: The Fiduciary | |
| | Duties Owed by Withdrawing Partners | 141 |
| | Meehan v. Shaughnessy | 142 |
| | Notes and Questions | 149 |
| | Problem 2-12 | 150 |
| D. | Partners as Agents: Allocating the Risk of Loss in Transactions with | 100 |
| | Third Parties | 150 |
| | Veggie Creations, Inc. v. HBK–Willow, LLC | 151 |
| | | A |

| | Note: Authority of Joint Venturers | 156 |
|----------|---|------------|
| | Problem 2-13 Problem 2-14 | 157 158 |
| | 1100iciii 2-14 | |
| <u> </u> | The Corporation and Its Governance | 159 |
| == A. | The Corporate Form | 159 |
| | 1. Defining Characteristics of the Corporate Form (as Distinguished from | |
| | Traditional Partnerships) | 159 |
| | 2. The Specialized Roles of Shareholders, Directors, and Officers | 160 |
| | a. Directors | 161 |
| | b. Officers | 162 |
| | c. Shareholders | 162 |
| | Problem 3-1 | 163 |
| | d. The Business Judgment Rule | 164 |
| _ | 3. The Big Picture | 164 |
| В. | Formation and Capital Structure | 165 |
| | 1. Where to Incorporate: State Corporation Laws as Competing Sets of | 1.05 |
| | Standard Form Rules | 165 168 |
| | 2. Formation: The Articles of Incorporation | 168 |
| | Problem 3-2 | 169 |
| | 3. The Bylaws Problem 3-3 | 169 |
| | 4. Using Stock to Allocate Equity Interests | 169 |
| | Problem 3-4 | 172 |
| | 5. Determining Voting Rights: Using Articles and Bylaws to Change | 1,7 |
| | Legal Norms | 174 |
| | a. Overview of Normal Rules of Shareholder Voting for Election | |
| | of Directors | 174 |
| | b. Class Voting | 175 |
| | Problem 3-5 | 176 |
| | c. Cumulative Voting | 176 |
| | Problem 3-6 | 177 |
| | d. A Classified Board with Staggered Terms: Adaptability Versus Stability | 178 |
| | Problem 3-7 | 178 |
| | 6. Looking Ahead: How Shareholders Act | 179 |
| | a. The Annual Meeting and Other Forums for Shareholder Action | 179 |
| | Hoschett v. TSI International Software, Ltd. | 181 |
| | Notes and Questions | 184 |
| | b. Removal of Directors and Other Midstream Private Ordering | 185 |
| | Adlerstein v. Wertheimer | 187 |
| | Notes and Questions | 198 |
| | Problem 3-8 | 198 |
| | Problem 3-9 | 199 |

Contents xiii

| 1 | Introduction to Director Discretion, Corporate Purpose, and the Role of Fiduciary Duty 1. Overview | 265 265 |
|----|---|------------|
| 4 | Fiduciary Duty and the Business Judgment Rule | 265 |
| | riotes and Questions | 262 |
| | West Palm Beach Firefighters' Pension Fund v. Moelis & Co. Notes and Questions | 250 |
| | Space and Say-on-Pay West Palm Beach Eighten' Provided Foundary Mark Sec. | 249 |
| | Note on the Expanding Federally Defined Governance | |
| | Notes and Questions | 248 |
| | Business Roundtable v. SEC | 242 |
| | Note on the Decline of Staggered Boards | 240 |
| | Notes and Questions | 239 |
| | in Simpson Thacher Client Alert (Aug. 4, 2006) | 236 |
| | Majority Voting in Director Elections: A Look Back and A Look Ahead, | |
| | Problem 3-21 | 235 |
| | Problem 3-20 | 234 |
| | Problem 3-19 | 234 |
| | Problem 3-18 | 234 |
| | Lovenheim v. Iroquois Brands, Ltd. Notes and Questions | 232 |
| | Problem 3-17 Lovenheim v. Iroquois Brands, Ltd. | 226 229 |
| | Notes and Questions | 224 |
| | CA, Inc. v. AFSCME Employees Pension Plan | 216 |
| | Corporation | 215 |
| | b. The Push and Pull of Shareholder Governance in the Public | |
| | Problem 3-16 | 215 |
| | Problem 3-15 | 214 |
| | Problem 3-14 | 214 |
| | Problem 3-13 | 214 |
| | Notes and Questions | 213 |
| | Oxford Handbook of Corporate Law and Governance (2015) | 209 |
| | Edward Rock, Institutional Investors in Corporate Governance, in | 203 |
| | a. Introduction | 209 |
| | Problem 3-12 2. The Modern Era of Shareholder Governance in the Public Corporation | 208 209 |
| | Problem 3-11 | 206 |
| | b. Federal Regulation of Publicly Held Companies | 205 |
| | Problem 3-10 | 204 |
| | a. The Market for Shares and the Efficient Market Hypothesis | 199 |
| | 1. How Publicly Held Corporations Are Different | 199 |
| | Corporations | 199 |
| C. | Shareholder Investment and Governance in Publicly Held | |

| xiv | Contents |
|-------|----------|
| 484 1 | |

| 9 | 2. | Corporate Purpose | 267 |
|----|----|---|-----|
| | | a. Shareholder Primacy | 267 |
| | | Milton Friedman, The Social Responsibility of Business Is to | |
| | | Increase Its Profits | 267 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 271 |
| | | b. Stakeholder Primacy | 271 |
| | | Leo E. Strine Jr. & Joey Zwillinger, What Milton Friedman | |
| | | Missed About Social Inequality | 272 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 273 |
| | | Problem 4-1 | 274 |
| | 3. | The Legal Standard | 274 |
| | | a. Discretion to Determine Business Goals, Strategies, and Policies | 275 |
| | | Shlensky v. Wrigley | 275 |
| | | b. Discretion to Consider Interests of Non-Shareholder | |
| | | Constituencies | 278 |
| | | Dodge v. Ford Motor Co. | 279 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 282 |
| | | Problem 4-2 | 285 |
| | | McRitchie v. Zuckerberg | 285 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 297 |
| | 4. | Benefit Corporations | 298 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 300 |
| | | Problem 4-3 | 302 |
| B. | T | he Fiduciary Duty of Care | 302 |
| | 1. | The Interaction of Statutory and Common Law | 302 |
| | | Smith v. Van Gorkom | 304 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 325 |
| | 2. | Policy Arguments for Limiting the Role of the Duty of Care | 326 |
| | | Joy v. North | 326 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 328 |
| | 3. | . Statutorily Authorized Exculpation Provisions: Contracting to Limit | |
| | | Shareholder Litigation as a Mechanism to Enforce the Duty of Care | 329 |
| | | Malpiede v. Townson | 330 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 331 |
| C. | T | The Fiduciary Duty of Loyalty | 333 |
| | 1 | . Introduction | 333 |
| | 2 | . The Corporate Opportunity Doctrine | 333 |
| | | a. The American Law Institute and MBCA Approaches | 333 |
| | | Northeast Harbor Golf Club, Inc. v. Harris | 333 |
| | | Note: Fairness and the Doctrine of Waste | 340 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 342 |
| | | Problem 4-4 | 342 |
| | | Problem 4-5 | 343 |
| | | | |

Contents

| | | b. The Delaware Approach | 343 |
|----|----|---|-----|
| | | Broz v. Cellular Information Systems, Inc. | 343 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 354 |
| | | Problem 4-6 | 356 |
| | 3. | Conflicting-Interest Transactions | 356 |
| | | a. At Common Law | 356 |
| | | Globe Woolen Co. v. Utica Gas & Electric Co. | 357 |
| | | b. The Intersection of the Common Law and Typical | |
| | | Conflicting-Interest Statutes | 361 |
| | | Problem 4-7 | 362 |
| | | Problem 4-8 | 363 |
| | | Problem 4-9 | 363 |
| | | Problem 4-10 | 364 |
| | 4. | Self-Dealing | 364 |
| | | Sinclair Oil Corp. v. Levien | 365 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 369 |
| | 5. | Controlling Shareholder Self-Dealing | 370 |
| | | Tornetta v. Musk | 370 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 390 |
| | 6. | The Statutory Treatment of Controlling Shareholders: The New | |
| | | Section 144 | 392 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 394 |
| | 7. | Reincorporation as Self-Dealing | 395 |
| | | Maffei v. Palkon | 395 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 406 |
| D. | Di | rectors' Oversight and Monitoring Responsibilities | 407 |
| | 1. | Role of the Board in Selection, Oversight, and Compensation of | |
| | | CEO and Other Senior Executive Officers | 407 |
| | | In re Walt Disney Company Derivative Litigation | 409 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 429 |
| | 2. | The Board of Directors' Responsibility to Ensure That the Corporation | |
| | | Conducts Its Business Lawfully | 430 |
| | | a. Introduction | 430 |
| | | Stone v. Ritter | 432 |
| | | b. The Essence of a Successful Caremark Claim | 438 |
| | | Marchand v. Barnhill | 439 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 448 |
| | | Problem 4-11 | 450 |
| | | Problem 4-12 | 451 |
| | 3. | Officer Liability | 452 |
| | | In re McDonald's Corporation Stockholder Derivative Litigation | 452 |
| | | Notes and Questions | 467 |
| | | Problem 4-13 | 468 |
| | | | せいい |

| 5 Shareholder Litigation | 469 |
|--|-------|
| A. Introduction | 469 |
| United Food & Commercial Workers Union v. Zuckerber | g 472 |
| Notes and Questions | 481 |
| Problem 5-1 | 485 |
| Problem 5-2 | 486 |
| B. Demand Futility in Action | 486 |
| In re The Limited, Inc. Shareholders Litigation | 486 |
| Notes and Questions | 497 |
| Problem 5-3 | 498 |
| C. Books and Records Request as a Litigation Tool | 498 |
| Simeone v. Walt Disney Co. | 499 |
| Notes and Questions | 507 |
| D. Attorney's Fees | 509 |
| Tornetta v. Musk | 510 |
| Notes and Questions | 517 |
| E. Settlement | 518 |
| In re Trulia, Inc. Stockholder Litigation | 518 |
| Notes and Questions | 523 |
| 6 Mergers and Other Transactions in, or Uses of, Control | 525 |
| A. Introduction | 525 |
| B. The Statutory Template | 526 |
| 1. Mergers | 526 |
| Hewlett v. Hewlett-Packard Co. | 528 |
| 2. Dissenter's Rights | 540 |
| Notes and Questions | 542 |
| Problem 6-1 | 543 |
| C. Contracting Around Appraisal and Voting Rights | 544 |
| 1. Introductory Note | 544 |
| 2. Sale of Assets | 544 |
| 3. Triangular Mergers | 545 |
| 4. Compulsory Share Exchanges | 546 |
| 5. Negotiated Stock Purchase | 546 |
| 6. De Facto Mergers | 547 |
| D. Cash-Out Mergers and the Weinberger Approach | 548 |
| Weinberger v. UOP, Inc. | 550 |
| Notes and Questions | 561 |
| Problem 6-2 | 564 |
| Problem 6-3 | 564 |

| xvii |
|------|
| |

| E. | Cleansing After Weinberger 1. Obtaining Rusiness Independs Puls Protection for "Controller Mangara" | 565 |
|----|--|------------|
| | 1. Obtaining Business Judgment Rule Protection for "Controller Mergers" | 565 566 |
| | Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp. | 582 |
| | Notes and Questions: <i>MFW</i> Creep and Amended Section 144 Problem 6-4 | 583 |
| F | Appraisal After Weinberger | 584 |
| 1. | 1. Valuation Under Statutory Appraisal | 584 |
| | DFC Global Corp. v. Muirfield Value Partners, L.P. | 584 |
| | Notes and Questions | 601 |
| | Problem 6-5 | 603 |
| | | |
| 7 | Hostile Challenges to Incumbent Board Control | 605 |
| A. | Introduction | 605 |
| | 1. Hostile Tender Offers as Distinguished from Friendly Mergers | 605 |
| | 2. Hostile Takeovers: The Debate | 605 |
| | 3. Federal Law Designed to Protect Shareholders in Making Decisions in | |
| | Response to Tender Offers | 606 |
| | 4. Defensive Tactics by Boards and Managers | 607 |
| | 5. State Antitakeover Laws | 608 |
| | 6. New Frontiers: Shareholder Activism | 610 |
| 3. | Judicial Review of Defensive Tactics | 610 |
| | 1. The Enhanced Scrutiny Framework—The <i>Unocal</i> Doctrine | 610 |
| | Unocal Corp. v. Mesa Petroleum Co. | 611 |
| | Notes and Questions | 619 |
| | Problem 7-1 | 620 |
| | 2. The Poison Pill | 621 |
| | Moran v. Household International, Inc. | 621 |
| | Notes and Questions | 633 |
| | Problem 7-2 | 634 |
| | 3. Judicial Review of Voting Contest Defenses | 634 |
| | Schnell v. Chris-Craft Industries, Inc. | 635 |
| | Problem 7-3 | 638 |
| | Blasius Industries, Inc. v. Atlas Corp. | 639 |
| | Notes and Questions | 650 |
| | Problem 7-4 | 652 |
| | Problem 7-5 | 652 |
| | 4. Beyond Tender Offers: Enhanced Scrutiny in the Director | JU 4 |
| | Election Context | 652 |
| | Unitrin, Inc. v. American General Corp. | 653 |
| | Notes and Questions | 663 |
| | 5. Enhanced Scrutiny in the Shareholder Activism Setting | 664 |
| | a. Advance Notice Bylaws | 664 |
| | Kellner v. AIM ImmunoTech Inc. | 664 |
| | | UUT |

xviii Contents

| | Notes and Questions | 676 |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | Problem 7-6 | 677 |
| | b. Anti-Activist Poison Pills | 677 |
| | The Williams Companies Stockholder Litigation | 678 |
| | Notes and Questions | 693 |
| | 6. The Revlon Rule: Directors' Duty to Get the Best Price in the Sale | |
| | or Breakup of the Company | 696 |
| | Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc. | 696 |
| | Notes and Questions | 706 |
| | Problem 7-7 | 709 |
| | Problem 7-8 | 709 |
| | 7. Cleansing Post-Closing Revlon and Unocal Claims | 710 |
| | Corwin v. KKR Financial Holdings LLC | 710 |
| | Notes and Questions | 713 |
| - | Illiquidity and Oppression in Non–Publicly Traded Corporations | 715 |
| A. | Introduction | 715 |
| B. | Contracting as a Device to Limit the Majority's Discretion | 718 |
| | 1. Agreements as to Director Decisions | 718 |
| | Zion v. Kurtz | 722 |
| | Notes and Questions | 726 |
| | Problem 8-1 | 728 |
| | 2. Agreements as to Shareholder Decisions | 729 |
| | Ramos v. Estrada | 730 |
| | Notes and Questions | 734 |
| C. | Fiduciary Duty and Threat of Dissolution as a Check on Opportunistic | |
| | Majority Action | 735 |
| | 1. Traditional Judicial Deference to Majority's Discretion | 735 |
| | Zidell v. Zidell, Inc. | 735 |
| | Notes and Questions | 739 |
| | 2. The Partnership Analogy as a Basis for Enhancing Minority | |
| | Shareholders' Rights | 740 |
| | Donahue v. Rodd Electrotype Co. | 741 |
| | Wilkes v. Springside Nursing Home, Inc. | 746 |
| | J.A.C. Hetherington & Michael P. Dooley, Illiquidity and Exploitation: A | |
| | Proposed Statutory Solution to the Remaining Close Corporation Problem | 750 |
| | Frank H. Easterbrook & Daniel R. Fischel, Close Corporations and | |
| | Agency Costs | 752 |
| | Notes and Questions | 753 |
| | Note: Direct Versus Derivative Suit | 754 |
| | Problem 8-2 | 756 |

Contents xix

| | 3. The Modern Approach to Involuntary Dissolution | 756 |
|----------------|--|--|
| | Robert B. Thompson, The Shareholder's Cause of Action | 757 |
| | for Oppression | 757 759 |
| | In re Kemp & Beatley, Inc. | 763 |
| | Gimpel v. Bolstein Notes and Questions | 768 |
| | Problem 8-3 | 770 770 |
| | Problem 8-4 | 771 |
| n | Delaware's Approach to Oppression of Minority Shareholders | 771 |
| D. | Nixon v. Blackwell | 771 |
| | Notes and Questions | 779 |
| F | Share Repurchase Agreements | 780 |
| ٠. | 1. In the Close Corporation Setting | 780 |
| | Concord Auto Auction, Inc. v. Rustin | 781 |
| | 2. Illiquidity in Non–Publicly Traded Corporations That Are Not | .01 |
| | Closely Held | 785 |
| | Nemec v. Shrader | 785 |
| | Notes and Questions | 794 |
| | Problem 8-5 | 795 |
| <u> </u> | The Limited Liability Company | 797 |
| | | |
| | Introduction | 707 |
| <u>-</u> A. | Introduction 1. The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company | 797 |
| <u>-</u> A. | 1. The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company | 797 |
| A. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance | 797 798 |
| A. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari | 797 798 799 |
| A. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance <i>Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari</i> Notes and Questions | 797 798 799 801 |
| | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers | 797 798 799 801 801 |
| | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC | 797 798 799 801 801 802 |
| | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 |
| | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman | 797 798 799 801 801 802 |
| | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 |
| | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Flanning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson Fiduciary and Contractual Duties | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 810 811 819 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson Fiduciary and Contractual Duties Cygnus Opportunity Fund, LLC v. Washington Prime Group, LLC | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 810 811 819 820 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson Fiduciary and Contractual Duties Cygnus Opportunity Fund, LLC v. Washington Prime Group, LLC Notes and Questions | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 810 811 819 820 834 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors — Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson Fiduciary and Contractual Duties Cygnus Opportunity Fund, LLC v. Washington Prime Group, LLC Notes and Questions Problem 9-1 | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 810 811 819 820 834 835 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson Fiduciary and Contractual Duties Cygnus Opportunity Fund, LLC v. Washington Prime Group, LLC Notes and Questions Problem 9-1 The Special Litigation Committee: Zapata in the Contractual Entity Setting | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 810 811 819 820 834 835 836 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson Fiduciary and Contractual Duties Cygnus Opportunity Fund, LLC v. Washington Prime Group, LLC Notes and Questions Problem 9-1 The Special Litigation Committee: Zapata in the Contractual Entity Setting Obeid v. Hogan | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 810 811 819 820 834 835 836 |
| В. | The Emergence and Evolution of the Limited Liability Company Lack of Uniformity in Statutory Models and Delaware's Dominance Elf Atochem North America, Inc. v. Jaffari Notes and Questions The LLC's Key Actors—Members and Managers Planning for the LLC Formation and Its Pitfalls Klein v. Sussman How Members and Managers Act: Allocating Management and Control Rights McMillan v. Nelson Fiduciary and Contractual Duties Cygnus Opportunity Fund, LLC v. Washington Prime Group, LLC Notes and Questions Problem 9-1 The Special Litigation Committee: Zapata in the Contractual Entity Setting | 797 798 799 801 801 802 802 803 810 811 819 820 834 835 836 |

XX Contents

| E. 7 | The Problem of Illiquidity and Oppression in Non–Publicly | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Traded LLCs | 848 |
| 1 | I. Overview | 848 |
| 9 | 2. Oppression as a Ground for Involuntary Judicial Dissolution | 849 |
| | Manere v. Collins | 849 |
| | Notes and Questions | 857 |
| 9 | 3. Delaware and the "Impracticable" Ground for Judicial | |
| | Dissolution | 858 |
| | In re Doehler Dry Ingredient Solutions, LLC | 859 |
| | Notes and Questions | 862 |
| F. 1 | A Final Reflection on Your LLC Journey | 864 |
| | Problem 9-3 | 865 |
| 10 | The Corporation as a Device to Allocate Risk | 867 |
| A. 1 | Introduction | 867 |
| | Notes and Questions | 869 |
| | Problem 10-1 | 872 |
| | Problem 10-2 | 873 |
| B. 1 | Piercing the Veil | 873 |
| | 1. Introduction | 873 |
| 9 | 2. Piercing the Corporate Veil to Reach Individuals | 879 |
| | a. Contract Cases | 879 |
| | Consumer's Co-op v. Olsen | 879 |
| | K.C. Roofing Center v. On Top Roofing, Inc. | 885 |
| | Notes and Questions | 890 |
| | Problem 10-3 | 892 |
| | b. Tort Cases | 893 |
| | Western Rock Co. v. Davis | 893 |
| | Baatz v. Arrow Bar | 896 |
| | Notes and Questions | 900 |
| | Problem 10-4 | 902 |
| | 3. Piercing the Corporate Veil to Reach Incorporated Shareholders | 902 |
| | Craig v. Lake Asbestos of Quebec, Ltd. | 902 |
| | United States v. Bestfoods | 908 |
| | Notes and Questions | 915 |
| | Problem 10-5 | 919 |
| | 4. Piercing in LLCs | 919 |
| | Kaycee Land & Livestock v. Flahive | 919 |
| | Notes and Questions | 924 |
| | 5. The Overlap of Corporate Separateness and Fiduciary Principles | |
| | in Newer Entity Structures | 925 |
| | Feeley v. NHAOCG, LLC | 925 |

Contents xxi

| 11 | Disclosure and Corporate Regulation | 931 |
|---------|---|------|
| = A. | Introduction: Disclosure's Distinctive Role | 931 |
| B. | Mandatory Disclosure | 933 |
| | 1. The Issuance of Securities | 933 |
| | a. Full and Fair Disclosure | 934 |
| | b. What Transactions Are Covered? | 935 |
| | Securities & Exchange Commission v. Edwards | 936 |
| | 2. Required Disclosures in Proxy Solicitations | 940 |
| | 3. Post-Issuance Disclosure Obligations of Public Companies | 941 |
| C. | Private Securities Fraud Enforcement via Implied Federal Causes of Action | 941 |
| | 1. Introduction to Rules 10b-5 and 14a-9 | 941 |
| | 2. The Activist Supreme Court of the 1960s Provides Implied Private | |
| | Rights of Action for Rules 10b-5 and 14a-9 | 943 |
| | J.I. Case Co. v. Borak | 943 |
| | Notes on Express Remedies and Implied Actions Under Rule 10b-5 | 946 |
| | Mills v. Electric Auto-Lite Co. | 947 |
| | 3. The Shift to Restricting the Private Right of Action | 951 |
| | a. Limiting the Private Right of Action to Purchasers and Sellers of Securities | 951 |
| | Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores | 951 |
| | b. Federalism as a Limiting Principle for 10(b) Claims | 956 |
| | Santa Fe Industries, Inc. v. Green | 956 |
| | c. Embracing a Narrow Space for <i>Borak</i> | 962 |
| | Touche Ross & Co. v. Redington | 962 |
| | 4. Clarifying the Elements of the Private Right of Action | 962 |
| | a. Materiality | 963 |
| | TSC Industries, Inc. v. Northway, Inc. | 963 |
| | Notes and Questions | 964 |
| | b. Reliance | 966 |
| | Basic Inc. v. Levinson | 966 |
| | Notes and Questions on Materiality | 977 |
| | Notes and Questions on Reliance | 980 |
| | c. Scienter | 981 |
| | Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder | 982 |
| | Notes | 984 |
| | d. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 | 985 |
| | Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd. | 987 |
| | Note | 994 |
| | Problem 11-1 | 995 |
| n | Problem 11-2 | 995 |
| IJ. | State Disclosure Law's Use of Federal Disclosure in Fiduciary Duties | 995 |
| | In re The Topps Company Shareholders Litigation | 995 |
| | Notes and Questions | 1006 |

| nts |
|-----|
| ľ |

Malone v. Brincat

1007

| | Notes and Questions | 1012 |
|----------|--|------|
| <u> </u> | Insider Trading | 1013 |
| == A. | The Common Law Foundation | 1013 |
| B. | Rule 10b-5 as a Regulator of Insider Trading | 1016 |
| | 1. Classical Insider Trading as Fraud | 1017 |
| | Securities & Exchange Commission v. Texas Gulf Sulphur Co. | 1017 |
| | Notes and Questions | 1027 |
| | Chiarella v. United States | 1029 |
| | Notes and Questions | 1036 |
| | 2. Misappropriation as Fraud Under Rule 10b-5 | 1037 |
| | United States v. O'Hagan | 1038 |
| | Notes and Questions | 1045 |
| | Problem 12-1 | 1048 |
| | Problem 12-2 | 1048 |
| | Problem 12-3 | 1048 |
| | Problem 12-4 | 1048 |
| _ | Note: Enforcement | 1049 |
| C. | Extensions of the Classical and Misappropriation Liability and | 1050 |
| | Insider Trading Regulation from Other Federal Law | 1050 |
| | 1. Tippee Liability | 1050 |
| | Dirks v. Securities & Exchange Commission | 1050 |
| | Notes and Questions | 1058 |
| | Problem 12-5 | 1059 |
| | Problem 12-6 | 1059 |
| | Problem 12-7 | 1059 |
| | Problem 12-8 | 1060 |
| | Problem 12-9 | 1060 |
| | 2. Insider Trading in Tender Offers Under §14(e) and Rule 14e-3 | 1061 |
| | United States v. O'Hagan | 1061 |
| | Notes and Questions | 1064 |
| | 3. Regulation FD | 1065 |
| | Securities & Exchange Commission v. Siebel Systems, Inc. | 1065 |
| | Notes and Questions | 1074 |
| | Problem 12-10 | 1075 |
| | 4. Regulation of Short-Swing Insider Trading Under §16 of the Securities | |
| | Exchange Act of 1934 | 1075 |
| | Problem 12-11 | 1077 |
| | Table of Cases | 1079 |
| I | ndex | 1089 |