NY CLS CPLR § 3011, Part 1 of 4

Current through 2025 released Chapters 1-207

New York

Consolidated Laws Service

Civil Practice Law And Rules (Arts. 1 — 100)

Article 30 Remedies and Pleading (§§ 3001 — 3045)

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

There shall be a complaint and an answer. An answer may include a counterclaim against a plaintiff and a cross-claim against a defendant. A defendant's pleading against another claimant is an interpleader complaint, or against any other person not already a party is a third-party complaint. There shall be a reply to a counterclaim denominated as such, an answer to an interpleader complaint or third-party complaint, and an answer to a cross-claim that contains a demand for an answer. If no demand is made, the cross-claim shall be deemed denied or avoided. There shall be no other pleading unless the court orders otherwise.

History

Add, L 1962, ch 308, § 1; amd, L 1977, ch 26, § 1, eff Sept 1, 1977.

Annotations

Notes

Derivation Notes:

Earlier statutes: CPA §§ 254, 260, 261, 272, 274; CCP §§ 478, 487, 500, 514, 516, 517; Code Proc §§ 141, 143, 149, 153.

1976 Recommendations of the Committee to Advise and Consult with the Judicial Conference on the Civil Practice Law and Rules:

This measure would amend CPLR 3011 which now requires, among other pleadings, an answer to a cross-claim. In cases arising out of the same incident, where there are multiple plaintiffs each suing numerous defendants, as for example under the theory of Dole v. Dow Chemical Company, 30 N.Y. 2d 143 (1972), the preparation and service of mandatory answers to cross-claims becomes extremely burdensome.

This bill would mitigate the problem by providing for an answer to a cross-claim only when it contains a demand for an answer. If there is no demand, the cross-claim would be deemed denied or avoided. That language is consistent with CPLR 3018(a) which provides that statements in a pleading are deemed denied or avoided where no responsive pleading is permitted.

Under the Civil Practice Act, the predecessor of the CPLR, there existed no requirement that an answer be served to a cross-claim. The requirement that every cross-claim be answered was inserted in the CPLR when that procedural act superseded the CPA in 1963, long before the advent of Dole v. Dow Chemical Company. This amendment would work a partial return to the CPA position in that an answer to a cross-claim would not automatically be required, but would be required if the cross-claim so demanded. The result will be to require answers to crossclaims when they serve a purpose but not otherwise, thereby serving the convenience of the courts and the parties and eliminating much unnecessary paperwork.

Advisory Committee Notes:

This section defines and limits pleadings. The first sentence is taken from Federal rule 7(a). The matter was formerly covered by CPA §§ 254 and 260. The specification in the latter section that an answer was the *only* pleading on the part of the defendant has been eliminated as misleading, especially with respect to third-party practice. Limitation on further pleadings is contained in the last sentence of this section.

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

The second sentence indicates that a counterclaim or cross-claim is included in an answer, as distinguished from a third-party complaint, which is treated in the third sentence as a separate pleading and may be served subsequent to the answer. This accords with former law. No change is intended from the former practice that a counterclaim might be made by one of several defendants against one of several plaintiffs and other persons. CPA § 266. This and other provisions regarding counterclaims are dealt with in greater detail in CPLR § 3019.

No answer to a cross-claim was formerly required in New York, although a cross-claim was similar to a third-party complaint, a counterclaim or, indeed, a complaint, with respect to the necessity of a responsive pleading. This section, requiring an answer to a cross-claim, is in accord with Federal rule 7(a) and most state practice. It would avoid such unfortunate results as the frustration of the summary judgment rule as between codefendants. See Smith v Benjamin, 147 NYS2d 524 (Sup Ct 1955), aff'd 2 AD2d 666, 153 NYS2d 545 (1st Dep't 1956).

The fourth sentence of the section is also based upon Federal rule 7(a). Despite the fact that former CPA § 272 provided that the plaintiff "may" reply to a counterclaim, former law was in accord with this rule.

It should be noted that the pleadings responsive to a complaint, a cross-claim, an interpleader complaint and a third-party complaint are each called an "answer" in this section; all of the rules that apply to answers thus apply to each.

The limitation on pleadings in the last sentence contains an exception which would permit the court to direct a reply to new matter in an answer, as might have been done under former CPA § 274. It is intended to give courts the widest possible discretion in requiring further pleadings.

Commentary

PRACTICE INSIGHTS:

ASSERTING COUNTERCLAIMS AND JURISDICTIONAL OBJECTIONS

By David L. Ferstendig, Law Offices of David L. Ferstendig, LLC

General Editor, David L. Ferstendig, Esq.

INSIGHT

A defendant with jurisdictional objections must be careful when asserting counterclaims. If the counterclaim is related to the main claim asserted by the plaintiff, a defendant can assert it and also contest jurisdiction. Asserting an unrelated counterclaim, however, will result in a waiver of jurisdictional defenses. The prudent attorney for the defendant could avoid asserting the counterclaim, litigate the jurisdictional objections and, if successful, sue the plaintiff in a more favorable jurisdiction.

ANALYSIS

Asserting related counterclaim does not waive defendant's jurisdictional objections.

Generally, in New York, counterclaims are not compulsory. Nevertheless, when sued, defendants frequently assert counterclaims, if available. If there are no jurisdictional issues, a defendant may freely assert those counterclaims. Moreover, if the counterclaim is related to the main claim, the defendant does not waive jurisdictional objections by pleading the counterclaim. A counterclaim is related when such counterclaim could potentially be barred under principles of collateral estoppel. If, for example, an attorney sued a client for unpaid fees, the client could assert a counterclaim for malpractice and also assert a jurisdictional objection.

Asserting unrelated counterclaim will result in waiver of jurisdictional defenses.

Asserting an unrelated counterclaim, however, will result in a waiver of jurisdictional objections, "because defendant is taking affirmative advantage of the court's jurisdiction." *Textile Technology Exchange, Inc. v. Davis*, 81 N.Y.2d 56, 58-59, 595 N.Y.S.2d 729, 730, 611 N.E.2d 768, 769 (1993). *See also USI Sys. AG v. Gliklad*, 176 A.D.3d 555, 111 N.Y.S.3d 270 (1st Dep't 2019), *app. denied*, 35 N.Y.3d 910, 125 N.Y.S.3d 388, 149 N.E.3d 82 (2020) "Defendant's assertion of counterclaims that were unrelated to plaintiff's claim and to his own affirmative

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

defenses effected a waiver of his argument that he was not subject to personal jurisdiction in New York (citations omitted). Accordingly, the requisite jurisdiction was established for purposes of this article 53 proceeding, in which defendant raised substantive challenges to recognition of the Swiss judgment (citation omitted). The facts and issues that underlie the affirmative defenses are wholly distinct from the facts and issues from which the counterclaims arise, in particular, alleged breach of contract, and alleged torts by Kristy AG and its co-director, Nikolai Makurin, in connection with the transfer of Kristy Oil's business to Kristy AG. Given that the counterclaims do not arise out of the same transaction as alleged in the complaint, and they seek distinct damages, the doctrine of equitable recoupment, codified by CPLR 203(d), is unavailable to defendant (citation omitted).").

As a result, if the counterclaim is unrelated, the better course could be for the defendant not to assert the counterclaim, litigate the jurisdictional objections and, if successful, sue the plaintiff in another jurisdiction, one preferably more advantageous to the defendant. If the jurisdictional challenge is denied, the defendant can then decide whether to move to amend to add the counterclaim or to bring a separate action. See CPLR 3025.

Notes to Decisions

I.Under CPLR

- 1.In general
- 2.Complaint and answer
- 3. Counterclaim and reply
- 4. Third-party complaint and answer
- **II.Under Former Civil Practice Laws**

A.Complaint

5.Generally
6.Affidavits
B.Answer
7.Generally
8.Frivolous answer
9.Election to proceed under rules
10.Folios
C.Contents of Answer
11.Generally
12.Construing answer with complaint
13.Conclusions
D.Reply
I.In General
14.Generally
15.Reply in special proceedings
16.Compelling reply
17.Discretion
18.—Reply to amended answer
19.—Executors and administrators

21.Application by plaintiff
22.Counterclaim
23.Review of order
24.Voluntary reply to defense
II.Defenses by Way of Avoidance; Particular Actions
25.Generally
26.Bankruptcy
27.Contracts
28.—Professional services
29.—Rescission
30.Insurance
31.Mortgages
32.Necessaries of infant
33.Negotiable instruments
34.Partnership
35.Pendency of prior action
36.Prior judgment conclusive
37.Real property
38.Release
39.Sales

40. Statutes generally

41.—Foreign statute

42.—Statute of Frauds

43.—Statute of Limitations

44.Ultra vires

45.Usury

46.Wills

I. Under CPLR

1. In general

Pleadings, particularly claims over, should be liberally construed. Hobbs v Scorse, 59 A.D.2d 1037, 399 N.Y.S.2d 783, 1977 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 14323 (N.Y. App. Div. 4th Dep't 1977).

Special Term was insufficiently informed of important circumstances involved in the nuisance complained of by plaintiffs, and it was therefore improvident for injunctive relief to be denied out of hand and plaintiffs relegated to damages for deprivation of quiet enjoyment of their apartment by incessant and disturbing noises caused by improper mounting of various pipes and pumps within the subject apartment building, where the record revealed a callous disregard of plaintiffs' rights in that, the cause of the nuisance having been discovered, not a whit was done toward abatement. Hohenberg v 77 West 55th Street Associates, 90 A.D.2d 750, 456 N.Y.S.2d 4, 1982 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 18945 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 1982).

A motion for a default judgment on defendant's cross-claim against co-defendant, which was served as an independent pleading without leave of court almost one year after service of the movant's answer to the complaint in the main action, but which did not contain a demand for an

answer, was properly denied, since the lack of a demand relieved the defendant on whom the cross-claim was served of any obligation to serve an answer, in that when a cross-claim contains no such demand, the allegations of the cross-claim are deemed denied or avoided pursuant to CPLR § 3011. Green Point Sav. Bank v Pagano, 103 A.D.2d 735, 477 N.Y.S.2d 199, 1984 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 19334 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1984).

Misstatement of defendant's name in summons and complaint as Welbut instead of Welbilt was mere irregularity which in no way affected jurisdiction. Marine Midland Realty Credit Corp. v Welbilt Corp., 145 A.D.2d 84, 537 N.Y.S.2d 669, 1989 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 1249 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 1989).

Husband was not entitled to default judgment against wife, from whom he was separated, in action by bank against both to recover outstanding balance on joint credit card, where husband cross-claimed against wife for indemnification, wife did not respond, and court granted bank summary judgment against both; since husband did not expressly demand answer under CLS CPLR § 3011, cross claim was deemed denied or avoided. Fleet Nat'l Bank v Harley, 153 A.D.2d 1005, 545 N.Y.S.2d 854, 1989 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 11958 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 1989).

Grant of defendant's motion for summary judgment on his cross claim against codefendant was premature, even though formal answer to cross claim was never interposed, where cross claim did not demand answer, and thus, under CLS CPLR § 3011, its allegations were deemed denied or avoided. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v McCarthy, 246 A.D.2d 406, 666 N.Y.S.2d 432, 1998 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 287 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 1998).

Dismissal of an owner's counterclaim was improper because, inter alia, the association failed to serve a reply to the counterclaim, notwithstanding the fact that the counterclaim was "denominated as such" in the owner's answer. Board of Directors of Squire Green at Pawling Homeowners Assn., Inc. v Bell, 89 A.D.3d 657, 933 N.Y.S.2d 288, 2011 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7659 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 2011).

Plaintiff's notices of discontinuance were not untimely because a motion to dismiss was not a responsive pleading for purposes of N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3217 as it did not fall within the meaning of a pleading under N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3011 since a motion was defined in N.Y. C.P.L.R. 2211 as an application for an order; the terms "responsive pleading" and "motion to dismiss pursuant to N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3211" were not used interchangeably in the New York State Civil Practice Law and Rules, but were treated as distinct, separate items. The legislative history of N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3217 supported this interpretation, and as plaintiff's notices of discontinuance were timely, the action was discontinued and the sanctions award was a nullity. Harris v Ward Greenberg Heller & Reidy LLP, 151 A.D.3d 1808, 58 N.Y.S.3d 769, 2017 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 4909 (N.Y. App. Div. 4th Dep't), app. dismissed, 151 A.D.3d 1810, 54 N.Y.S.3d 347, 2017 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 4910 (N.Y. App. Div. 4th Dep't 2017).

No pleading should be unduly limited on technical grounds, especially where no substantial rights of a party will be affected; or when the other litigant would not be prejudiced or surprised by a sudden turn of events. Hoffer v Corwin, 62 Misc. 2d 60, 307 N.Y.S.2d 1019, 1970 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1849 (N.Y. City Ct. 1970).

Provision in lease that tenant agreed in the event of a nonpayment summary proceeding that no setoff or counterclaim whatever of any nature will be interposed by or on behalf of tenant in any such proceeding did not preclude court's granting setoff where tenants had in good faith sought Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law § 755 order to withhold rent for failure to provide heat and hot water during a number of days in the winter and where consideration of that application required court to hear all of the evidence relevant to the question of a setoff. Steinberg v Carreras, 74 Misc. 2d 32, 344 N.Y.S.2d 136, 1973 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1929 (N.Y. Civ. Ct. 1973), rev'd, 77 Misc. 2d 774, 357 N.Y.S.2d 369, 1974 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1239 (N.Y. App. Term 1974).

Statutes relating to kinds of pleadings permitted and pertaining to counterclaims and cross claims did not confer jurisdiction on Supreme Court, Special Term, and did not relieve town of binding effect of determination made by Public Service Commission in adjudicating claim by

town and its lighting district against telephone utility, which subsequently sought to have rental charges imposed against town and district after they installed lighting equipment on utility's poles, for back damages due to discrimination in granting municipal discounts. New York Tel. Co. v North Hempstead, 86 Misc. 2d 487, 385 N.Y.S.2d 436, 1975 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 3362 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1975), aff'd, 52 A.D.2d 934, 385 N.Y.S.2d 505, 1976 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 12804 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1976).

A motion for summary judgment would be denied where plaintiff did not proceed by motion but instead amended its summons as of course so as to change the name of defendant from Freedom Foods to Freedom Foods of New York, Inc., names for which separate certificates of doing business were on file, since a summons is not a pleading and may not be amended without leave of the court, and an amendment to correct a name thereupon must proceed by application to the court under CPLR § 2001. Lotito's Brooklyn Dairy Products, Inc. v Freedom Foods, 113 Misc. 2d 115, 448 N.Y.S.2d 345, 1980 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2981 (N.Y. City Ct. 1980).

Prior court approval is required in order to properly plead cross claim in summary—or any other type of special—proceeding. Balaban v Phillips, 138 Misc. 2d 990, 526 N.Y.S.2d 347, 1988 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 116 (N.Y. Civ. Ct. 1988).

Defendant's motion to vacate a default judgment of foreclosure and sale was properly denied where defendant appeared pro se and served document described in bold letters as a "notice of appearance" in which he acknowledged both service of the summons and complaint, the sum due, and proposed a repayment schedule which plaintiff subsequently rejected, and where, notwithstanding that an additional copy of the summons and complaint were mailed to defendants pursuant to CPLR § 308, defendant did not interpose any further pleadings, in that defendant's notice of appearance did not constitute an answer, as it was not so described nor was it treated as such by the parties after its imposition, it contained no response to the allegations in the complaint nor any affirmative defenses, and it merely acknowledged the debt due and proposed a schedule of repayment together with lacking a meritorious defense. Leone

v Johnson, 99 A.D.2d 567, 471 N.Y.S.2d 393, 1984 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 16802 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 1984).

Trial court properly granted an individual a default judgment on a cross claim against a financial company pursuant to N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3019(d); the matter was controlled by N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3019(d), which provided that the financial company was required to serve a reply or answer, and N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3011 did not relieve the financial company of the requirement that it file a reply or answer to the cross claim. Franzone v Quinn, 300 A.D.2d 857, 750 N.Y.S.2d 899, 2002 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 12438 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 2002).

Appeals court stated that where parties charted their own procedural course, they were free to do as long as it did not conflict with public policy; for example, by making a cross motion in a different action than the one in which the main motion was interposed, or by moving for summary judgment after the entry of judgment. J & A Vending, Inc. v J.A.M. Vending, Inc., 303 A.D.2d 370, 757 N.Y.S.2d 52, 2003 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 2073 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 2003).

Appeals court, in affirming the buyer's leave to replead, stated where there was evidence of conduct on the part of one of the seller's principals following the stated closing date that reflected an intent to waive the seller's right to cancel the contract for failure to obtain an area variance by that date, under those circumstances, it was improper for the seller to suddenly attempt to cancel the contract without first notifying the buyer that time was of the essence; the seller was required to set a new date for closing and make time of the essence by giving clear, distinct, and unequivocal notice to that effect giving the buyer a reasonable time in which to act, and by informing the buyer that if he did not perform by that date, he was to be considered in default. Moray v DBAG, Inc., 305 A.D.2d 472, 760 N.Y.S.2d 193, 2003 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5415 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 2003).

Real estate seller in a buyer's suit for specific performance is not entitled to dismissal on the ground that the buyer fails to demonstrate that he is ready, willing, and able to close before the commencement of thee action; although buyers who seek specific performance must ordinarily show that they are ready, willing, and able to perform, such proof is not required where the

necessity for such a tender is obviated by acts of the other party amounting to an anticipatory breach of the contract. Moray v DBAG, Inc., 305 A.D.2d 472, 760 N.Y.S.2d 193, 2003 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5415 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 2003).

Defendant's failure to annex to his motion for summary judgment copies of any answers to the cross claims filed against him by his codefendants in a personal injury action was not fatal to his motion because no demands for replies were made in those pleadings and therefore, the were simply deemed denied. Singh v Brown, 982 N.Y.S.2d 860, 43 Misc. 3d 715, 2014 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1321 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2014).

Summons with notice served upon architectural firm and equipment company pursuant to CLS CPLR § 305(b) triggered commencement of 30-day removal period, even though such summons is not classified as "pleading" under CLS CPLR § 3011, because federal law is determinative and New York summons with notice is deemed "initial pleading" for purposes of 28 USCS § 1446(b). Jones Chemicals, Inc. v Distribution Architects Int'l, Inc., 786 F. Supp. 310, 1992 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3210 (W.D.N.Y. 1992).

For purposes of determining if insurers were required to post a bond as security under the New York Insurance Law, a court held that motions to compel arbitration and motions to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction were pleadings within the meaning of the statute. However, the bond requirement was not triggered because the polices were issued in Bermuda and delivered in Bermuda; nor did insurers trigger the bond requirement by transacting business in New York, as issuance and delivery of an insurance policy outside New York, in and of itself, did not constitute the transaction of business under the N.Y. Insurance Law or under N.Y. Civil Practice Law and Rules. Drennen v Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London (In re Residential Capital, LLC), 563 B.R. 756, 2016 Bankr. LEXIS 3799 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2016).

2. Complaint and answer

So long as a pleading sets forth allegations which suffice to spell out a claim for relief, it is not subject to dismissal by reason of the inclusion therein of additional nonactionable allegations.

Nader v General Motors Corp., 25 N.Y.2d 560, 307 N.Y.S.2d 647, 255 N.E.2d 765, 1970 N.Y. LEXIS 1618 (N.Y. 1970).

Plaintiff may not utilize as admissions in its favor those parts of answer favorable to its contention and refuse to be bound by those parts that are unfavorable. Case Press, Inc. v Kennai Drilling, Ltd., 55 A.D.2d 590, 390 N.Y.S.2d 93, 1976 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 15288 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 1976).

Plaintiff's default for failure to timely file a complaint should not have been relieved where his only excuse was that it was "inadvertent and not deliberate" and where there had been no showing that his claim had legal merit. Steen v New Deal Delivery Service, Inc., 79 A.D.2d 963, 435 N.Y.S.2d 278, 1981 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 9833 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't), aff'd, 54 N.Y.2d 796, 443 N.Y.S.2d 611, 427 N.E.2d 770, 1981 N.Y. LEXIS 2714 (N.Y. 1981).

Plaintiff husband had right to discontinue his divorce action through service of notice on wife, without seeking court order, where neither complaint nor responsive pleading was ever served. Newman v Newman, 245 A.D.2d 353, 665 N.Y.S.2d 423, 1997 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 12867 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1997).

While former clients could have interposed their legal malpractice claims as cross-claims in a prior action regarding the purchase of real property in which their attorneys and a law firm were co-defendants with the clients, the clients were not required to do so either by N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 3011 or by collateral estoppel and res judicata as the issue in the prior action was whether the tenants of the premises purchased by the clients had a valid right of first refusal to purchase. Kahn v Taub, 47 A.D.3d 455, 849 N.Y.S.2d 522, 2008 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 325 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 2008).

Although the complaint of a department store stated a cause of action for goods sold and delivered, a judgment based on that complaint must be discharged of record by reason of the debtor's discharge in bankruptcy, notwithstanding the purchases had been made within a period approximately two weeks before the filing of the petition in bankruptcy, since the complaint had

never been amended to allege fraud or to include allegations upon which an action in fraud could be based or deduced. Application of Galich, 59 Misc. 2d 836, 300 N.Y.S.2d 670, 1969 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1488 (N.Y. County Ct. 1969).

Although photography clients failed to attach a copy of the photographer's answer, which was a pleading under N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3011, to their motion for summary judgment, the court declined to summarily deny the motion on that ground pursuant to N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3212(b), as it would result in further delay of the outcome; further, the answer was in the court clerk's file, such that it was considered. Whalen v Villegas, 968 N.Y.S.2d 343, 40 Misc. 3d 310, 2013 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1324 (N.Y. Dist. Ct. 2013).

3. Counterclaim and reply

There is nothing in the language of CPLR 3011 that mandates a departure from the rule that a counterclaim may not be interposed in a reply. Habiby v Habiby, 23 A.D.2d 558, 256 N.Y.S.2d 634, 1965 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 4846 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 1965).

Order allowing plaintiff to serve a reply would be reversed where the answer did not contain a designated counterclaim and the reply would serve no legitimate function in the action. Olsen & Chapman Constr. Co. v Cazenovia, 30 A.D.2d 738, 291 N.Y.S.2d 388, 1968 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3588 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 1968).

A watershed district's failure to counterclaim against a contractor in an action by the contractor for damages resulting from the district's termination of a contract for the construction of a dam, in which the jury found that the district's termination of the contract was justified by the contractor's failure to timely perform, did not bar a subsequent suit by the district against the contractor and the insurance company that issued the bond guaranteeing the performance of the contract; thus, the contractors motion for summary judgment dismissing the complaint on the grounds of res judicata or collateral estoppel would be denied. Batavia Kill Watershed Dist. v Charles O. Desch, Inc., 83 A.D.2d 97, 444 N.Y.S.2d 958, 1981 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 14759

(N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 1981), aff'd, 57 N.Y.2d 796, 455 N.Y.S.2d 597, 441 N.E.2d 1115, 1982 N.Y. LEXIS 3708 (N.Y. 1982).

State Division of Lottery was entitled to reinstatement of its counterclaim in Court of Claims seeking recovery of balance due from lottery management corporation for quantity of lottery tickets forwarded to corporation to sell in its vending machines prior to termination of license agreement between corporation and state where (1) no reply to state's counterclaim was ever served, as required by CLS CPLR § 3011, (2) agreement, not provisions of CLS Tax § 1607, controlled matter of termination, (3) corporation did not deny that it had breached agreement, and (4) counterclaim set forth sufficient cause of action for breach. Automated Ticket Systems, Ltd. v State, 125 A.D.2d 55, 512 N.Y.S.2d 283, 1987 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 40601 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 1987).

There is no provision in Civil Practice Law and Rules for service of counterclaim where summons is served without complaint, and subsequent complaint is never filed; counterclaim may be interposed only through service of answer. Newman v Newman, 245 A.D.2d 353, 665 N.Y.S.2d 423, 1997 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 12867 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1997).

Purported counterclaim asserted by defendant wife in divorce action was nullity where husband had served summons without complaint, and no subsequent complaint was ever filed. Newman v Newman, 245 A.D.2d 353, 665 N.Y.S.2d 423, 1997 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 12867 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1997).

Wife, whose purported counterclaim in husband's divorce action was nullity, still had same rights that she had before husband's action was commenced, including right to commence her own matrimonial action asserting same claims set forth in purported counterclaim. Newman v Newman, 245 A.D.2d 353, 665 N.Y.S.2d 423, 1997 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 12867 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1997).

Affirmative defense to counterclaim should be set forth in reply to counterclaim, rather than answer. Vassar v Jackson, 72 Misc. 2d 652, 340 N.Y.S.2d 151, 1973 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2284

(N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 42 A.D.2d 693, 344 N.Y.S.2d 1020, 1973 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7558 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1973).

As plaintiff's reply may only respond to a counterclaim denominated as such, plaintiff's effort to plead new causes of action against defendant and third-party defendant without leave of court was unauthorized. Axelrod & Co. v Telsey, 77 Misc. 2d 1035, 353 N.Y.S.2d 596, 1973 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1237 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1973).

Fact that plaintiff, who commenced divorce action by service of summons and who simultaneously filed petition and writ of habeas corpus seeking child custody, did not serve a complaint prior to expiration of time for so doing did not preclude defendant, who prior to adjourned return date of writ agreed to accord plaintiff visitation with one child, from thereafter seeking her own divorce, notwithstanding that papers which she filed were labeled "verified answer and counterclaim"; such documents were not required to be stricken on ground that no answer may be interposed when a complaint has not been served. Edelman v Edelman, 88 Misc. 2d 156, 386 N.Y.S.2d 331, 1976 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2602 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1976).

N.Y. C.P.L.R. 3011 only requires a reply to a counterclaim that has been denominated as such, with the obvious implication being that one can have a counterclaim without calling it such. Continental Cas. Co. v Employers Ins. Co. of Wausau, 839 N.Y.S.2d 403, 16 Misc. 3d 223, 237 N.Y.L.J. 92, 2007 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 3336 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2007), rev'd, 60 A.D.3d 128, 871 N.Y.S.2d 48, 2008 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 9966 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 2008).

Granting a husband judgment to set aside a prenuptial agreement negotiated and consented to by the parties merely because the wife failed to timely reply to the husband's counterclaims was inappropriate because the judgment would have had longstanding and unintended repercussions. The wife's counsel asserted that the failure to reply was due to an office oversight, the default did not appear to have been willful, the wife had been actively litigating

and mediating the matter, and there was no prejudice to the husband. J.M. v G.V., 225 N.Y.S.3d 859, 2025 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 31 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2025).

In proceeding brought to confirm arbitration award, respondent employer waives its right to remove action to federal court where it answers petition on merits and request affirmative relief in counterclaim which seeks to modify or vacate award. In re Application of Harris, 560 F. Supp. 940, 1983 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17388 (S.D.N.Y. 1983).

For purposes of 28 USCS § 1446(b), initial pleading is complaint, not summons with notice served prior to complaint as permitted by New York law, and petition for removal is therefore timely if filed within 30 days of service of complaint. E.W. Howell Co. v Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., 596 F. Supp. 1517, 1984 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22121 (E.D.N.Y. 1984).

4. Third-party complaint and answer

An answer is the required responsive pleading to a cross-complaint. Bides v Abraham & Strauss Div. of Federated Dep't Stores, Inc., 33 A.D.2d 569, 305 N.Y.S.2d 336, 1969 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3082 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1969).

Where a defendant does not serve a reply to a defendant-intervenor's answer with cross claims, the defendant's affirmative defenses need not be ignored insofar as the defendant-intervenor's motion for summary judgment is concerned; the defendant-intervenor's pleading made no demand for an answer, its cross claim was deemed denied (CPLR 3011), and inasmuch as the defendant-intervenor was on notice of the affirmative defenses, they are deemed interposed. Airco Alloys Div., Airco, Inc. v Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., 76 A.D.2d 68, 430 N.Y.S.2d 179, 1980 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 11729 (N.Y. App. Div. 4th Dep't 1980).

In action by contractor against homeowners, although homeowners had made demand for answer to their cross claims against subcontractors to vacate notices of lien, summary judgment was not available to homeowners against subcontractors where issue had not been joined on cross claims. AEC Bldg. Assocs. v Crystal, 246 A.D.2d 496, 667 N.Y.S.2d 399, 1998 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 163 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1998).

In action to recover for lead paint injuries sustained by plaintiffs' infant son, Appellate Division affirmed trial court's unappealed dismissal of action against landlords and, upon reversing trial court's erroneous dismissal of defendant county's cross claim against landlords, converted reinstated cross claim to third-party complaint; where landlords had been parties since commencement of action, no purpose would be served by compelling county to implead them formally as third-party defendants. Mc Cants v Thompson, 285 A.D.2d 967, 727 N.Y.S.2d 676, 2001 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6964 (N.Y. App. Div. 4th Dep't 2001).

Those branches of an architect's motion in a personal injury case which were for summary judgment dismissing the cross claims insofar as asserted against it, and for summary judgment on its cross claim for contractual indemnification against an owner were not premature simply because a formal answer thereto was never interposed, as the cross claims did not demand an answer. Jones v Rochdale Vil., Inc., 96 A.D.3d 1014, 948 N.Y.S.2d 77, 2012 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5061 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 2012).

While this section and CPLR § 1008 mandate an answer to a third-party complaint, in view of the provisions of CPLR § 1003 that the CPLR also applies to further proceedings in pending actions, but former procedure applies where the new procedure would not be feasible or would work injustice, a third-party complaint served pursuant to § 193-A of the Civil Practice Act, which made an answer to a third-party complaint clearly permissive, would be subject to the provisions of § 193-A of the CPA where the CPLR provisions would indeed work injustice. Multari v Glalin Arms Corp., 51 Misc. 2d 1019, 274 N.Y.S.2d 827, 1966 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1509 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1966), modified, 28 A.D.2d 122, 282 N.Y.S.2d 782, 1967 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3482 (N.Y. App. Div. 2d Dep't 1967).

Although the definition of cross-claim contained in CPLR § 3019, subd b includes a claim of a "respondent" against a "judgment debtor" in a special proceeding brought pursuant to CPLR § 5227, counterclaim was not proper in special proceeding where court had not granted garnishee

permission to file such counterclaim against judgment creditor, and where counterclaim, set forth in "wherefore" clause of garnishee's answer, failed to comply with separate pleading requirements of CPLR § 3014. Michigan Associates v Emigrant Sav. Bank, 74 Misc. 2d 495, 345 N.Y.S.2d 329, 1973 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1888 (N.Y. Civ. Ct. 1973).

N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 3211(h) applies to third-party claims because (1) N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 3011 mentions third-party complaints as a complaint against any other person not already a party and (2) N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 214-d(5), in specifying the preconditions of time and notice that are required before suits can be brought against licensed architects and engineers, applies equally to third-party claims as to all others. Aegis Ins. Servs. v Seven World Trade Center Co. L.P. (In re Sept. 11 Prop. Damage & Bus. Loss Litig.), 481 F. Supp. 2d 253, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16864 (S.D.N.Y. 2007).

II. Under Former Civil Practice Laws

A. Complaint

5. Generally

In civil actions an information and a complaint are synonymous, and a complaint preferred on behalf of the state in a civil complaint is usually termed an information. People v McClellan, 105 N.Y.S. 844, 54 Misc. 130, 1907 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 375 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), rev'd, 119 A.D. 416, 104 N.Y.S. 447, 1907 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3951 (N.Y. App. Div. 1907).

6. Affidavits

An affidavit is not a substitute for a complaint and an action to reform a trust deed cannot be commenced by affidavit. In re Federman, 267 N.Y.S. 126, 149 Misc. 4, 1933 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1652 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1933).

B. Answer

7. Generally

In view of CPA §§ 260 and 261, RCP 113 (Rule 3212 herein), applied to an answer which contained a counterclaim. Chelsea Exch. Bank v Munoz, 202 A.D. 702, 195 N.Y.S. 484, 1922 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 4961 (N.Y. App. Div. 1922).

Where there is no complaint, there can be no answer. White v National Bondholders Corp., 78 N.Y.S.2d 468, 191 Misc. 536, 1948 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2266 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 273 A.D. 963, 79 N.Y.S.2d 315, 1948 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5480 (N.Y. App. Div. 1948).

8. Frivolous answer

The answer in an action on a protested note, without denying any of the allegations of the complaint, averred that plaintiff ought not to maintain the action as to the amount of the protest fees claimed in the complaint, because defendant was the maker of the note. Held, answer was frivolous, and plaintiff was entitled to judgment less those fees. Mixer v Schreiner, 15 N.Y.S. 782, 1891 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 132 (N.Y. Super. Ct. 1891).

9. Election to proceed under rules

Defendant could answer or proceed under RCP 106 (§ 2002 herein) or 107 (§ 5520(a) herein) but could not do both. Kaluszer Young Men's Benevolent Soc., Inc. v Independent Young Men's Sick Benevolent Soc., Inc., 247 N.Y.S. 447, 139 Misc. 391, 1931 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1044 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 233 A.D. 706, 249 N.Y.S. 930, 1931 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 11892 (N.Y. App. Div. 1931).

10. Folios

The practice of referring in an answer to parts of the complaint as "at" or "between" certain folios, did not conform to the spirit of CPA § 10 (§§ 201, 218(a) herein) and served no useful purpose on appeal where the original folios did not appear in the case. Caulkins v Bolton, 98 N.Y. 511, 98 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 511, 1885 N.Y. LEXIS 634 (N.Y. 1885).

Where an answer and the verification taken together were more than two folios in length, but neither taken alone contained two folios, held that they were not required to be folioed. German American Bank v Champlin.

C. Contents of Answer

11. Generally

An answer which admits the debt, but denies the alleged fiduciary character of the defendant is unauthorized. Wood v Henry, 40 N.Y. 124, 40 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 124, 1869 N.Y. LEXIS 9 (N.Y. 1869).

Provisions of CPA §§ 261, 262 (Rule 3014, now Civ Rights Law 78), and 339 (§ 4540 herein) were intended to govern when a pleading was served, and had no application upon assessment of damages, taken upon default of an answer, except as provided in CPA § 339. McClelland v Climax Hosiery Mills, 252 N.Y. 347, 169 N.E. 605, 252 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 347, 1930 N.Y. LEXIS 631 (N.Y. 1930).

Answer to immaterial allegations not essential. Brody v Madison Lunch, Inc., 199 A.D. 640, 192 N.Y.S. 10, 1922 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 8067 (N.Y. App. Div. 1922).

Under CPA §§ 261, 262 (Rule 3014, now Civ Rights Law 78), and RCP 90 (Rule 3014 herein), an answer should have been so drafted as to disclose readily to the court what the issues were. It was unnecessary to admit formally anything in the complaint; as to such matters only denials were provided for. Following denials, the answer might next contain a statement of new matter constituting a defense or counterclaim, and it might set out as many defenses or counterclaims,

or both, as defendant had; but each should be separately stated, numbered and divided into paragraphs, numbered consecutively, and, as nearly as might, contain a separate allegation. These provisions were mandatory. International R. Co. v Jaggard, 204 A.D. 67, 197 N.Y.S. 384, 1922 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 8927 (N.Y. App. Div. 1922).

The answer must comply with statutory provisions. Merchants' Nat'l Bank v R. Prescott & Son, Inc., 223 A.D. 194, 228 N.Y.S. 483, 1928 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6164 (N.Y. App. Div. 1928).

Under old equity practice an answer was supposed to meet all allegations of the bill. Kaluszer Young Men's Benevolent Soc., Inc. v Independent Young Men's Sick Benevolent Soc., Inc., 247 N.Y.S. 447, 139 Misc. 391, 1931 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1044 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 233 A.D. 706, 249 N.Y.S. 930, 1931 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 11892 (N.Y. App. Div. 1931).

While CPA § 261 permitted defendant to set forth in his answer counterclaims as well as denials and defenses, CPA § 272 permitted plaintiff to include in his reply only denials and defenses. Phillips v Manufacturers Trust Co., 26 N.Y.S.2d 58, 175 Misc. 1009, 1940 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2605 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1940), aff'd, 261 A.D. 946, 27 N.Y.S.2d 185, 1941 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 8230 (N.Y. App. Div. 1941).

Where there is no complaint, there can be no answer. White v National Bondholders Corp., 78 N.Y.S.2d 468, 191 Misc. 536, 1948 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2266 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 273 A.D. 963, 79 N.Y.S.2d 315, 1948 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5480 (N.Y. App. Div. 1948).

There is no different rule of pleading in an answer from that prescribed for a complaint as to the manner in which facts are to be stated. Ashley v Lamb, 2 N.Y.S. 678, 1888 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 732 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1888), rev'd, 3 N.Y.S. 715, 50 Hun 568, 1889 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 64 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1889).

The answer must contain a general or specific denial of each material allegation of the complaint controverted by the defendant, or statement of any new matter constituting a defense or counterclaim. Mixer v Schreiner, 15 N.Y.S. 782, 1891 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 132 (N.Y. Super. Ct. 1891).

The requirements of CPA § 261 were violated by an answer containing omissions, evasions, subtle suggestions and deceptions, in seeking to interpose an alleged counterclaim to a promissory note. Goodman & Suss, Inc. v Wallack, 195 N.Y.S. 328, 1922 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1389 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1922).

Habeas corpus return was in legal effect answer to allegations of relator's petition for writ and was intended to operate as pleading within CPA § 261, and should have complied with it. People ex rel. Bernard v Ashworth, 43 N.Y.S.2d 366, 1943 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2211 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1943).

An answer may contain both a denial, general or specific, and a statement of new matter constituting a defense. Burley v German-American Bank, 111 U.S. 216, 4 S. Ct. 341, 28 L. Ed. 406, 1884 U.S. LEXIS 1777 (U.S. 1884).

A person improperly served may answer denying the indebtedness, and is not bound to seek relief by motion. Barney v Northern Pac. R.R., 56 How. Pr. 23, 1878 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 196 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1878).

12. Construing answer with complaint

An answer must be construed by reference to the material allegations of the complaint. Holland v Grote, 193 N.Y. 262, 86 N.E. 30, 193 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 262, 1908 N.Y. LEXIS 643 (N.Y. 1908).

A bad answer is good enough for a bad complaint. City Trust Co. v Anthony Ricci Realty Co., 241 N.Y.S. 481, 137 Misc. 128, 1930 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1210 (N.Y. City Ct. 1930).

Where there is no complaint, there can be no answer. White v National Bondholders Corp., 78 N.Y.S.2d 468, 191 Misc. 536, 1948 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2266 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 273 A.D. 963, 79 N.Y.S.2d 315, 1948 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5480 (N.Y. App. Div. 1948).

13. Conclusions

Allegations "that there never was any valuable or other legal consideration" for mortgage are not frivolous as stating mere conclusions of law. First Nat'l Bank v Robinson, 105 A.D. 193, 94 N.Y.S. 767, 1905 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 2038 (N.Y. App. Div. 1905), aff'd, 188 N.Y. 45, 80 N.E. 567, 188 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 45, 1907 N.Y. LEXIS 1104 (N.Y. 1907).

Other allegations held to constitute mere conclusions and therefore to be insufficient as denials or defenses are: That plaintiff has an adequate remedy at law. Ludlow v Woodward, 117 A.D. 525, 102 N.Y.S. 647, 1907 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 294 (N.Y. App. Div. 1907).

A mere allegation, unsupported by facts, that there was "never any adequate consideration" for the contract upon which plaintiff sued, is a conclusion of law and states no defense. Ellis v Keeler, 126 A.D. 343, 110 N.Y.S. 542, 1908 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3345 (N.Y. App. Div. 1908).

In an action for rent providing that the tenant could cancel the lease upon a stated condition, a defense is sufficient which alleges that the defendant did cancel the lease because of the condition using the language of the lease to frame the condition. Farnham v Le Bolt & Co., 133 A.D. 520, 117 N.Y.S. 730, 1909 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 2221 (N.Y. App. Div. 1909).

Pleading conclusions of law render the answer insufficient. Lion Brewery of New York City v Loughran, 223 A.D. 623, 229 N.Y.S. 216, 1928 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6282 (N.Y. App. Div. 1928).

In an action on a contract, allegations of and counterclaim alleging that signature to the contract had been procured through fraud, false representations and duress and claiming damages, were sufficient. Galloway v Wolfe, 232 A.D. 163, 249 N.Y.S. 608, 1931 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 13759 (N.Y. App. Div. 1931).

Defense resting on conclusory allegations of conspiracy in action on note by assignee, held insufficient. Newgold v Bon Ray Hotel Corp., 263 A.D. 899, 32 N.Y.S.2d 589, 1942 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7272 (N.Y. App. Div. 1942).

"That therefore (after stating insufficient facts) the said contract was illegal and cannot be enforced" is a conclusion of law. Vonnoh v Sixty-Seventh Street Atelier Bldg., 105 N.Y.S. 155, 55 Misc. 222, 1907 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 575 (N.Y. App. Term 1907).

For conclusory allegation in action against corporation "that therefore the said contract was illegal and cannot be enforced by the plaintiff" see Vonnoh v 67th Street Atelier Bldg. Vonnoh v Sixty-Seventh Street Atelier Bldg., 105 N.Y.S. 155, 55 Misc. 222, 1907 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 575 (N.Y. App. Term 1907).

That the plaintiff bank warranted the truth of a given statement. Stapleton Nat'l Bank v United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co., 113 N.Y.S. 25, 60 Misc. 206, 1908 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 657 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1908), rev'd, 131 A.D. 157, 115 N.Y.S. 372, 1909 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 760 (N.Y. App. Div. 1909).

In action on an indemnity policy, that death did not occur under circumstances covered by contract is a combined conclusion of law and fact and is proper. Lavine v Indemnity Ins. Co., 254 N.Y.S. 804, 142 Misc. 422, 1931 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 990 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 234 A.D. 906, 254 N.Y.S. 1000, 1931 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 11176 (N.Y. App. Div. 1931).

Answer on policy of indemnity insurance, alleging that death did not occur under circumstances within the contract, was held to be a proper conclusion of fact rather than evidence, see Lavine v Indemnity Ins. Co., 254 N.Y.S. 804, 142 Misc. 422, 1931 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 990 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), aff'd, 234 A.D. 906, 254 N.Y.S. 1000, 1931 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 11176 (N.Y. App. Div. 1931).

Allegations "that there was an entire failure of consideration for the promissory note in the complaint set forth" and denying "that the amount or any part thereof is due to plaintiff," are not frivolous as stating mere conclusions of law. Churchill v Witbeck, 10 N.Y.S. 263, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2068 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1890).

For conclusory allegations in answer to nuisance action against village for injuries from traffic light, see Wenzel v Duncan, 32 N.Y.S.2d 223, 1941 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2523 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1941).

In action against village for injuries from traffic light stanchion, that injuries "were caused by negligence of operators of such motor vehicles." Wenzel v Duncan, 32 N.Y.S.2d 223, 1941 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2523 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1941).

D. Reply

I. In General

14. Generally

The purpose of requiring a reply is to avoid the necessity of proving new matter alleged in the answer if admitted by the plaintiff, or compelling the latter to set up new matter in avoidance. Humboldt Exploration Co. v Fritsch, 150 A.D. 90, 134 N.Y.S. 747, 1912 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7060 (N.Y. App. Div. 1912).

The purpose of CPA § 274 was to afford a remedy to a defendant who might otherwise be embarrassed in going to trial well knowing that plaintiff might give evidence in avoidance of his defense, and yet not know in advance the form which such possible attack might take. O'Keefe v Young & Rubicam, Inc., 257 A.D. 141, 12 N.Y.S.2d 31, 1939 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7691 (N.Y. App. Div. 1939).

Plaintiff could not be required to reply only to new matter set up in one paragraph of special defense as he had the right to reply to the whole defense. Paterno Bros., Inc., v Frazee, 146 N.Y.S. 1072 (N.Y. App. Term 1914).

The granting or denial of a motion requiring a reply does not indicate an opinion on the part of the court that the pleading is either good or bad. Federal Advertising Agency, Inc. v Rubber & Celluloid Harness Trimming Co., 172 N.Y.S. 186 (N.Y. App. Term 1918).

Plaintiff, unless so ordered, is not required to reply to a defense, but without a reply may introduce evidence either in denial or avoidance. Union Trust Co. v Barber, 177 N.Y.S. 590 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1919).

15. Reply in special proceedings

CPA § 274 applied only to actions under the Civil Practice Act and did not apply to a proceeding by certiorari to review an assessment for tax purposes, regulated by Tax Law, art 13. People ex rel. New York C. R. Co. v Bissell, 207 A.D. 705, 201 N.Y.S. 865, 1923 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5988 (N.Y. App. Div. 1923).

The Surrogate's Court has the discretionary right to direct the filing of a reply in a discovery proceeding where the answer contains new matter constituting a defense by way of avoidance. In re Unger's Estate, 16 N.Y.S.2d 609, 172 Misc. 952, 1939 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2581 (N.Y. Sur. Ct. 1939), aff'd, 259 A.D. 823, 19 N.Y.S.2d 28 (N.Y. App. Div. 1940).

Reply directed to affirmative defense in answer in discovery proceeding questioning owner of property held improperly used as excuse for demanding jury trial of issue raised in answer. In re Peterson's Estate, 23 N.Y.S.2d 614, 175 Misc. 345, 1940 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2342 (N.Y. Sur. Ct. 1940).

16. Compelling reply

A reply may be compelled to a plea in bar of a former judgment, but laches may warrant its denial. Evelyn Goldsmith Home v Island Park Associates, 227 A.D. 668, 236 N.Y.S. 26, 1929 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7032 (N.Y. App. Div. 1929).

The objection of nonjoinder of an indispensable party could not be raised by a motion to compel a reply to a defense alleging such nonjoinder. Jones v Gabrielli, 6 A.D.2d 542, 180 N.Y.S.2d 58, 1958 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 4041 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 1958).

Without an order directing the service of a reply, plaintiff is not compelled to reply to the defense of payment of an insurance premium for which it is suing. Globe & Rutgers Fire Ins. Co. v Lesher, Whitman & Co., 215 N.Y.S. 225, 126 Misc. 874, 1926 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1135 (N.Y. City Ct. 1926).

Under an order directing plaintiff to reply to new matter in answer, a denial is a sufficient compliance; but it will only avail at the trial to enable plaintiff to controvert the new matter and not to prove an avoidance thereof. WINCHESTER v BROWNE, 26 Abb. N. Cas. 387, 1891 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 3304 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Feb. 1, 1891).

It is doubtful whether court is authorized to direct codefendant to serve answer to cross-complaint. Smith v Benjamin, 147 N.Y.S.2d 524, 1955 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 3040 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1955), aff'd, 2 A.D.2d 666, 153 N.Y.S.2d 545, 1956 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5064 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 1956).

17. Discretion

The right to require the plaintiff in an action to reply to new matter set out in the answer, is within the discretion of the court, and will not be exercised where the only purpose subserved thereby is to avoid the necessity of taking testimony by commission. Toplitz v Levering, 71 A.D. 37, 75 N.Y.S. 678, 1902 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 906 (N.Y. App. Div. 1902).

Discretion reviewable on appeal, Porter v American Tobacco Co., 140 A.D. 871, 125 N.Y.S. 710, 1910 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3063 (N.Y. App. Div. 1910).

It is only where a defendant set up new matter by way of avoidance that the court has discretionary power to order a reply. Fragner v Fischel, 141 A.D. 869, 126 N.Y.S. 478, 1910 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3979 (N.Y. App. Div. 1910).

Discretion to compel a reply to new matter contained in answer is freely exercised when the court can see that the new matter, if true, is of such a character as may possibly avoid surprise

at trial, or entirely prevent or shorten the trial. Dittenfass v Horsley, 171 A.D. 507, 157 N.Y.S. 632, 1916 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5323 (N.Y. App. Div. 1916).

A reply will be ordered where necessary to prevent surprise, narrow the issues, expedite the trial, or where it is just and reasonable that such a reply should be made, depending upon the sound discretion of the court. Barker v O'Grady, 162 N.Y.S. 262, 98 Misc. 42, 1916 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 745 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1916).

Where in action for declaratory judgment that policy does not cover claim involved defendant pleads defense that plaintiff was member of association which had agreed to include such coverage in all policies written after a certain date, even though not specifically included by the express terms of the policy, and therefore that plaintiff's policy must be so read, defendant will be granted order directing plaintiff to reply thereto showing grounds of avoidance, to prevent surprise at trial. Phoenix Assurance Co. v De Lair, 17 Misc. 2d 391, 190 N.Y.S.2d 781, 1959 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 4311 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1959).

Court directed a reply to defense of laches where it found that a useful purpose would be served thereby by limiting and defining the issues. Bleakney v Schrauff, 18 Misc. 2d 919, 186 N.Y.S.2d 412, 1959 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 3735 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1959).

The court may, in its discretion, require a reply to new matter set up by way of avoidance, and such discretion should be exercised to promote the interest of justice. Cauchois v Proctor, 29 N.Y.S. 770, 79 Hun 388 (1894), app. dismissed, 144 N.Y. 655, 39 N.E. 857, 144 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 655, 1895 N.Y. LEXIS 580 (N.Y. 1895).

Generally, the discretion of the court cannot be invoked, unless it appears that the defense is sufficient, not a pleading of evidence in support of a general denial; that the issue be one not already framed; and that its purpose is not to save defendant the difficulty of proving his defense. These tests should be applied to the various defenses to determine whether a reply should be ordered. Merinos Viesca y Compania, Inc. v Pan American Petroleum & Transport Co., 40 F.2d 928, 1930 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2087 (D.N.Y. 1930).

18. —Reply to amended answer

It is discretionary with the court to grant an order that a reply be made to an amended answer after a cause has been set down for trial. Hallenborg v Greene, 87 A.D. 259, 84 N.Y.S. 319, 1903 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 2629 (N.Y. App. Div. 1903).

19. —Executors and administrators

The court should require plaintiff to reply to a defense in an action by an heir against the executor for fraud of his testator, as to an agreement between the testator and the plaintiff on the settlement of a prior action, these matters being peculiarly within his personal knowledge. Richards v Greason, 128 A.D. 320, 112 N.Y.S. 675, 1908 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 463 (N.Y. App. Div. 1908).

Where an executor has no personal knowledge of the matters pleaded, his motion for a reply to new matter will be granted with more than ordinary liberality. Mogilevich v Grayzel, 228 A.D. 821, 240 N.Y.S. 540, 1930 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 13516 (N.Y. App. Div. 1930).

Where the answer sets up new matter, the fact that plaintiffs are administrators is no reason why they should not be ordered to reply. McGin v Torren, 5 Month L Bull 29.

20. Time for application

An order requiring a reply can be granted only upon the application of the defendant and he waives the right by delaying to make the motion until the action has been referred and noticed for hearing. Sterling v Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. 6 NYSR 96. But a motion to compel a reply need not be denied simply because a defendant has served a cross notice of trial. Cavanagh v Oceanic S. S. Co., 9 N.Y.S. 198, 56 Hun 641, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 84 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1890).

21. Application by plaintiff

The defendant alone has the authority to apply to the court to compel a reply to the new matter constituting a defense by way of avoidance. McRoy Clay Works v Naughton, 84 A.D. 477, 82 N.Y.S. 979, 1903 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 1801 (N.Y. App. Div. 1903).

Plaintiff may not make application to compel reply. Taubenfeld v Taubenfeld, 100 N.Y.S.2d 546, 197 Misc. 1072, 1950 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2154 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1950).

22. Counterclaim

Where matter pleaded as a separate defense was in substance a counterclaim, plaintiff was justified in serving a reply. Hume v Woodruff, 197 A.D. 510, 189 N.Y.S. 382, 1921 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7492 (N.Y. App. Div. 1921).

Plaintiff cannot be compelled to reply to a counterclaim. Hansen v Storm, 21 Misc. 2d 561, 195 N.Y.S.2d 814, 1959 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2843 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1959).

When the new matter as set forth in an answer approaches too closely the boundary line between new matter constituting an affirmative defense and new matter setting forth a counterclaim, the pleader must label his plea if he desires a reply without an order of the court; a prayer for affirmative relief is not sufficient to force a reply. Wood v Gordon, 13 N.Y.S. 595, 1891 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1582 (N.Y. City Ct. 1891), aff'd, 18 N.Y.S. 109 (N.Y.C.P. 1892).

CPA § 274 did not authorize the court to require a reply to a counterclaim. Adams v Robert, 25 Hun 118 (N.Y. 1881).

CPA § 274 did not require a reply to an answer averring new matter but not containing a counterclaim. Springer v Bien, 10 N.Y.S. 530, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 834 (N.Y.C.P. 1890), aff'd, 128 N.Y. 99, 27 N.E. 1076, 128 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 99, 1891 N.Y. LEXIS 963 (N.Y. 1891).

23. Review of order

The appellate division will not interfere with the discretion of the special term in denying a motion to compel the plaintiff to reply unless a clear case for such interference be presented. Porter v American Tobacco Co., 140 A.D. 871, 125 N.Y.S. 710, 1910 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3063 (N.Y. App. Div. 1910).

Exercise of discretion may be reviewed on appeal. Linzee v Frankfort General Ins. Co., 162 A.D. 282, 147 N.Y.S. 606, 1914 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6024 (N.Y. App. Div. 1914).

The court on appeal cannot determine whether the plaintiff was bound to reply to an amended answer where such amended answer does not appear in the case. Lamberty v Roberts, 9 N.Y.S. 607, 56 Hun 644, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 288 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1890).

24. Voluntary reply to defense

CPA § 274 did not authorize a voluntary reply to new matter by way of avoidance, but only where the new matter is in the nature of a counterclaim; if no reply be required the new matter in the answer is deemed to be controverted. Davis Confectionery Co. v Rochester German Ins. Co., 141 A.D. 909, 126 N.Y.S. 723, 1910 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3990 (N.Y. App. Div. 1910).

Where defense or partial defenses would not constitute counterclaims, an order to make them more definite and certain does not authorize plaintiffs to reply. Simmons v Simmons, 4 N.Y.S. 221, 1888 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1104 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1888).

Plaintiff, unless so ordered, is not required to reply to a defense, but without a reply may introduce evidence either in denial or avoidance. Union Trust Co. v Barber, 177 N.Y.S. 590 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1919).

A reply voluntarily submitted to a defense constituting new matter will be stricken on motion of defendant. Union Trust Co. v Barber, 177 N.Y.S. 590 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1919).

A reply to new matter in avoidance, put in without the direction of the court, will be stricken out as irregular. Dillon v Sixth Ave. R. Co. 46 Super Ct (14 Jones & S) 21.

II. Defenses by Way of Avoidance; Particular Actions

25. Generally

Where the allegations of the answer are simply specific denials of the allegations of the complaint, supplementing a general denial incorporated in the answer, such denials do not consist of new matter and the defendant is not entitled to require the plaintiff to serve a reply. Burr v Union Surety & Guaranty Co., 86 A.D. 545, 83 N.Y.S. 756, 1903 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 2413 (N.Y. App. Div. 1903).

As a general rule, when the new matter set forth in a plea in bar is of such a character that, if true, it will constitute a complete defense to the action unless avoided, the ordering of reply will clarify the issues and prevent surprise. Schweitzer v Hamburg-Amerikanische Packetfahrt Actien Gesellschaft, 149 A.D. 900, 134 N.Y.S. 812, 1912 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6533 (N.Y. App. Div. 1912).

New matter alleged in the answer by way of avoidance is admitted by failure to reply where reply has been ordered, but its legal sufficiency to constitute a defense is not waived. Humboldt Exploration Co. v Fritsch, 150 A.D. 90, 134 N.Y.S. 747, 1912 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7060 (N.Y. App. Div. 1912).

If matter can be proved under a denial, it is not new matter. O'Keefe v Young & Rubicam, Inc., 257 A.D. 141, 12 N.Y.S.2d 31, 1939 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7691 (N.Y. App. Div. 1939).

A reply will not be required to so called "defenses" the subject matter of which may properly be proved under a general denial. Johnson v Andrews, 68 N.Y.S. 764, 34 Misc. 89, 1901 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 151 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1901).

The court will compel the plaintiff to reply to new matter set up by way of defense in an answer where a reply may result in the disposition of the case by motion for judgment on the pleadings. Lincoln Trust Co. v McVickar, 123 N.Y.S. 723, 68 Misc. 132, 1910 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 365 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1910).

Where separate defenses may constitute a complete defense, a motion by the defendants to compel the plaintiff to reply should be granted. Livingston v A. B. Dick Co., 256 N.Y.S. 755, 143 Misc. 490, 1932 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1024 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1932).

No reply is necessary where the defendant sets up a settlement and discharge as a defense to an action on contract for labor and services. Maricle v Brooks, 5 N.Y.S. 210, 51 Hun 638, 1889 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2892 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1889).

Where an answer is lengthy and contains detailed statements many of which can be proved without inconvenience by the production of words, a motion to require the plaintiff to reply to the new matter set up in the answer will be denied. Columbus, H. V. & T. R. Co. v Ellis, 11 N.Y.S. 768, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2351 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1890).

Where an answer consists of the setting forth of evidentiary facts and not the tendering of an issue of fact, or the allegation of a conclusion of fact, a reply should not be compelled as a party is not required to admit or deny evidence set out in a pleading. Steinway v Steinway, 22 N.Y.S. 945, 68 Hun 430 (1893).

New matter not constituting a defense by way of avoidance was not within CPA § 274. An avoidance implies that, but for the avoiding matter, the plaintiff would be entitled to judgment. 63 How. Pr. 159, 1882 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 153.

26. Bankruptcy

A reply will be ordered where an answer sets up discharge in bankruptcy. Poillon v Lawrence (1879) 43 Super Ct (11 Jones & S) 385, revd on other grounds 77 N.Y. 207.

Errors in account stated, and fraud in a discharge in bankruptcy, pleaded by the defendant, may be shown by the plaintiff, without a reply. Welsh v German American Bank (1878) 42 Super Ct (10 Jones & S) 462, affd 73 N.Y. 424.

27. Contracts

In this action for damages for breach of contract defendants are entitled to an order striking out, as irrelevant, redundant and unnecessary, certain affirmative defenses contained in plaintiff's reply to defendants' answer alleging two affirmative defenses where it appears that the service of the reply was compelled by an order and that the defenses therein, which are designated as "affirmative replies," do not allege facts by way of avoidance, but merely enlarge upon the denials contained in the reply. O'Keefe v Young & Rubicam, Inc., 257 A.D. 141, 12 N.Y.S.2d 31, 1939 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7691 (N.Y. App. Div. 1939).

In action against contractor by subcontractor's assignee, reply compelled to defense that plaintiff failed to file its assignment as required by Lien L § 15. Charles C. Kellogg & Sons Co. v De Lia, 262 A.D. 803, 28 N.Y.S.2d 4, 1941 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5923 (N.Y. App. Div. 1941).

28. —Professional services

Motion in action for professional services to compel plaintiff to reply to separate defense of accord and satisfaction granted where plaintiff received and collected check "in payment" and retained proceeds thereof. Dennett v Goelet, 256 N.Y.S. 393, 143 Misc. 195, 1931 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1007 (N.Y. City Ct. 1931).

29. —Rescission

Plaintiff in an equitable action to secure rescission of a contract held properly required to reply to affirmative defense setting up a waiver of the right to maintain the action by subsequently instituting an action for damages on the same contract in another jurisdiction. Clark v Kirby, 204 A.D. 447, 198 N.Y.S. 172, 1923 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 9493 (N.Y. App. Div. 1923).

30. Insurance

A plaintiff suing on a policy of burglary insurance should be compelled to reply to a defense of breach of warranty and to a defense of an attempt to defraud the defendant by exaggerating the

claim. Shaff v United Surety Co., 142 A.D. 465, 127 N.Y.S. 8, 1911 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 332 (N.Y. App. Div. 1911).

Answer in action on burglary policy that insured had overestimated loss by fraudulent claim to defraud insurer did not set up new matter. Linzee v Frankfort General Ins. Co., 162 A.D. 282, 147 N.Y.S. 606, 1914 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6024 (N.Y. App. Div. 1914).

Defendant's denial of performance by plaintiffs in action on insurance contract put in issue the performance of conditions precedent so that a breach of warranty or condition constituting a condition precedent would not be a defense of new matter by way of avoidance, but facts showing fraud or the breach of warranties other than conditions precedent would ordinarily be new matter constituting a defense by way of avoidance. Goldberg v Great Eastern Casualty Co., 169 N.Y.S. 113 (N.Y. App. Term 1918).

In an action against an assessment insurance company, brought by a beneficiary to recover on a certificate of membership where the defendants' answer alleged new matter, i. e., the making and nonpayment, on motion of defendants' counsel, the court will require the plaintiff to reply to the new matter set up in defendants' answer. Rogers v Mutual Reserve Fund Life Asso., 1 How. Pr. (n.s.) 194.

Where a defendant insurance company set up false statements on the application, and also the lapse of the policy. Schwan v Mutual Trust Fund Life Asso..

31. Mortgages

Where in an action to foreclose a mortgage the defendant, by a separate defense and set-off, seeks a judgment declaring that the plaintiff is not entitled to a deficiency judgment, the plaintiff is not required to serve a reply. Title Guarantee & Trust Co. v Harris, 243 A.D. 619, 276 N.Y.S. 522, 1935 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7363 (N.Y. App. Div. 1935).

32. Necessaries of infant

In action by stepfather against natural father for necessaries furnished to infant son, and father pleaded that he was performing separation agreement, reply to new matter was directed. O'Brien v Springer, 107 N.Y.S.2d 631, 202 Misc. 210, 1951 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2400 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1951).

33. Negotiable instruments

In action by drawee of bill of exchange against acceptor, a counterclaim of a right of action against the drawer must be held good on motion by plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings, in the absence of a reply. Anglo & London-Paris Nat'l Bank v S. A. Jacobson Co., 196 A.D. 51, 187 N.Y.S. 508, 1921 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 5476 (N.Y. App. Div. 1921).

34. Partnership

Where an action has been brought against parties who claim to be copartners and one or more of them answer that they were only special partners, such defendants claiming to have been special partners are entitled to an order of the court requiring the plaintiff to reply to their allegations that they were special partners. Hartford Nat'l Bank v Beinecke, 15 A.D. 474, 44 N.Y.S. 486, 4 N.Y. Ann. Cas. 219, 1897 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 481 (N.Y. App. Div. 1897).

Where defendant answered a limited partnership instead of a general one as alleged, being necessary to raise a definite issue as to what violation of the statute was relied on. Williams v Kilpatrick, 21 Abb NC 61.

35. Pendency of prior action

If the pendency of a prior action upon the cause set up as a counterclaim does not appear upon the face of the counterclaim, plaintiff may set it up by a reply. Ansorge v Kaiser, 3 N.Y.S. 785, 1889 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 86 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1889).

36. Prior judgment conclusive

Where, in an action for a tort the answer alleges that a former recovery was had for the same tort against a joint tort-feasor, the failure of the plaintiff to reply to the answer is not an admission of the allegation that such judgment was paid, as such defense is not a counterclaim and requires no reply; it merely sets out matter in avoidance. Reno v Thompson, 111 A.D. 316, 97 N.Y.S. 744, 1906 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 153 (N.Y. App. Div. 1906).

Plaintiff will not be compelled to serve a reply to new matter in an answer which sets up the statute of limitations and an adjudication in another state, which is claimed to constitute a res adjudicata. N Y., Lake Erie & Western R.R. v Robinson, 12 N.Y.S. 208, 25 Abb. N. Cas. 116, 1887 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 158 (N.Y. App. Term 1887).

Plaintiff cannot be compelled to reply to allegations of new matter in the answer setting forth the details of legal proceedings had in another state. Winchester v Browne, 11 N.Y.S. 614, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2261 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1890).

Where the answer sets up a judgment in favor of plaintiff the effect of which will be to lead to judgment in favor of defendant, a reply may be required. Mercantile Nat'l Bank v Corn Exchange Bank, 25 N.Y.S. 1068, 73 Hun 78 (1893).

37. Real property

In an action of trespass the complaint alleged entry on the lands of the plaintiff, and cutting and carrying away trees and timber; the answer alleged that one W became the owner and possessor of the land in 1831, and that he and his successors had owned and occupied it since that time. Held, that a reply was not required. Argotsinger v Vines, 82 N.Y. 308, 82 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 308, 1880 N.Y. LEXIS 359 (N.Y. 1880).

In action to remove cloud on title, where it was alleged that drainage proceedings were void, a paragraph in answer setting out drainage proceedings in detail, with conclusion that it was legal, did not constitute a counterclaim and reply was not necessary. Whitney v Considine Investing

Co., 173 N.Y.S. 66, 104 Misc. 688, 1918 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 896 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1918), aff'd, 187 A.D. 960, 175 N.Y.S. 926, 1919 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7036 (N.Y. App. Div. 1919).

38. Release

Where defendant in an action for breach of promise of marriage pleaded a release and plaintiff served no reply, but offered evidence in support of her claim that her signature to the release was procured by fraud, the new matter thus set up by the defendant was deemed to have been controverted by avoidance rather than by traverse, and the burden of establishing the claim of fraud was upon the plaintiff. Lynch v Figge, 200 A.D. 92, 192 N.Y.S. 873, 1922 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 8129 (N.Y. App. Div. 1922).

In action for personal injuries where defendant set up release, plaintiff should have been required to file a reply. Writting v New York & Long Island Traction Co., 153 N.Y.S. 1081, 91 Misc. 231, 1915 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 880 (N.Y. County Ct. 1915).

39. Sales

CPA § 274 authorized the court, in its discretion, to compel a reply to new matter by way of avoidance contained in an answer. When, in an action for goods sold and delivered to a woman, the defendant answers that the plaintiff had accepted part payment by her husband and his confession of judgment for the balance of the value of the same goods prior to the action, the plaintiff should be ordered to reply to the new matter. Seaton v Garrison, 116 A.D. 301, 101 N.Y.S. 526, 1906 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 2657 (N.Y. App. Div. 1906).

40. Statutes generally

A plaintiff is not obliged to reply to an answer setting forth a statute as a bar. Atkins v Hertz Drivurself Stations, Inc., 261 N.Y. 352, 185 N.E. 408, 261 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 352, 1933 N.Y. LEXIS

1293 (N.Y. 1933), aff'd, 291 U.S. 641, 54 S. Ct. 437, 78 L. Ed. 1039, 1934 U.S. LEXIS 527 (U.S. 1934).

41. —Foreign statute

In an action by an employer against a steamship company for personal injuries, as answer setting up that the contract of employment was governed by the German law and that under that law no action would lie, requires an order for a reply. Schweitzer v Hamburg-Amerikanische Packetfahrt Actien Gesellschaft, 149 A.D. 900, 134 N.Y.S. 812, 1912 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6533 (N.Y. App. Div. 1912).

42. —Statute of Frauds

Where the complaint in an action upon the contract which must be in writing does not state whether the contract is in writing or not, the plaintiff will be required to reply to a separate defense pleading the statute of frauds. Guinzburg v Joseph, 141 A.D. 472, 126 N.Y.S. 324, 1910 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 3892 (N.Y. App. Div. 1910).

Motion to compel plaintiff to reply to a separate defense of the Statute of Frauds granted where the defense is valid if the promise relied on was oral. Palmer v Anderson, 243 A.D. 618, 276 N.Y.S. 478, 1935 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7356 (N.Y. App. Div. 1935).

A reply will be ordered to compel a plaintiff to state whether or not a contract sued upon is in writing, where the defendant sets up the statute of frauds, and the reply may enable the defendant to move for judgment on the pleadings and thus expedite the determination of the case without a trial. Barker v O'Grady, 162 N.Y.S. 262, 98 Misc. 42, 1916 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 745 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1916).

Court directed a reply to defense of statute of frauds. Bleakney v Schrauff, 18 Misc. 2d 919, 186 N.Y.S.2d 412, 1959 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 3735 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1959).

43. —Statute of Limitations

Even the statute of limitations is no bar to a counterclaim unless set up by reply. Isham v Davidson, 52 N.Y. 237, 52 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 237, 1873 N.Y. LEXIS 243 (N.Y. 1873).

The plea of the statute of limitations is a plea of avoidance and, therefore, the court may require the plaintiff to reply to the plea of a foreign statute of limitations, asserted by a foreign corporation, where such statute may be material. Olsen v Singer Mfg. Co., 138 A.D. 467, 122 N.Y.S. 822, 1910 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 1555 (N.Y. App. Div. 1910).

Complaint construed as stating causes of action in contract, and not in fraud, and motion for order directing reply to special defenses of limitations. Koerner v Apple, 198 A.D. 540, 190 N.Y.S. 619, 1921 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 8138 (N.Y. App. Div. 1921).

Where plaintiff pleads limitations as defense to counterclaim, defendant was directed to reply to plaintiff's defense by way of avoidance. Rosner v Globe Valve Corp., 276 A.D. 462, 95 N.Y.S.2d 531, 1950 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 4890 (N.Y. App. Div. 1950).

Where the answer set up the statute of limitations, the complaint having alleged that a former suit was dismissed solely for want of jurisdiction, so that plaintiff might have the question of the bar of the statute determined without the expense of preparation for trial. Cavanagh v Oceanic S. S. Co., 9 N.Y.S. 198, 56 Hun 641, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 84 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1890).

It is within the discretion of the court to require a reply to the statute of limitations, and it will not be done to save the defendant from proving its defenses. Perls v Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., 8 N.Y.S. 532, 1890 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1661 (N.Y.C.P. 1890).

44. Ultra vires

Neither CPA § 243 (§ 3018 herein) nor CPA § 274 required plaintiff to plead that the defendant was estopped to raise the defense of ultra vires. Salmon v Rochester & Lake Ontario Water Co., 197 N.Y.S. 769, 120 Misc. 131, 1923 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 1488 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1923).

45. Usury

In mortgage foreclosure against mortgagor and his grantee, allegation of usury held affirmative defense which required no reply. Edelman v Cymberg, 261 A.D. 698, 27 N.Y.S.2d 151, 1941 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 7413 (N.Y. App. Div.), reh'g denied, 262 A.D. 921, 29 N.Y.S.2d 909, 1941 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 6485 (N.Y. App. Div. 1941).

46. Wills

In an action to determine the validity of a will plaintiff may be compelled to reply to new matter contained in the answer. Twamley v McKennell, 137 A.D. 574, 122 N.Y.S. 237, 1910 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 733 (N.Y. App. Div. 1910).

Research References & Practice Aids

Cross References:

Interpleader, CLS CPLR 1006.

Service of pleadings and demand for complaint, CLS CPLR 3012.

Statements, CLS CPLR 3014.

Particularity in pleadings as to specific matters, CLS CPLR 3015.

Particularity in specific actions, CLS CPLR 3016.

Motion to correct pleadings, CLS CPLR 3024.

Amended and supplemental pleadings, CLS CPLR 3025.

Motion to dismiss, CLS CPLR Rule 3211.

Judgment upon part of cause of action, CLS CPLR 5012.

Insolvency proceedings, objections to discharge, CLS Dr & Cr §§ 69., 70., 105.

Foreclosure of mechanics lien in court not of record, CLS Lien § 48.

Claim against vessel, answer to, CLS Lien § 96.

Pleadings in answer to determine claim to real property, CLS RPAPL § 1517.

Foreclosure of lien of water rents, CLS Vill § 11-1118.

Pleadings, CLS Unif Rls for NYC Civil Ct § 208.7.

Pleadings, CLS UDCR § 212.7.

Federal Aspects:

Pleadings, generally, Rules 7 to 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, USCS Court Rules.

Pleadings allowed, Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, USCS Court Rules.

Form of pleadings, Rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, USCS Court Rules.

Jurisprudences:

8B NY Jur 2d Automobiles and Other Vehicles § 962.

9 NY Jur 2d Bailments and Chattel Leases §§ 127., 138.– 140., 142.

13 NY Jur 2d Businesses and Occupations §§ 539., 652.

14 NY Jur 2d Business Relationships §§ 51., 208.

14A NY Jur 2d Business Relationships §§ 546., 554., 603., 695., 740., 742., 747., 782., 789.

15 NY Jur 2d Business Relationships §§ 1033., 1042., 1054., 1169.

15A NY Jur 2d Business Relationships §§ 1216., 1455., 1458., 1459., 1467., 1490., 1506.,

1507., 1544., 1547., 1548., 1556., 1558., 1578., 1589., 1614., 1616., 1624., 1647., 1652., 1654.,

1655., 1657., 1663., 1667-. 1669., 1676., 1725., 1727., 1730., 1745.

16 NY Jur 2d Business Relationships §§ 1806., 1878., 1885.

16 NY Jur 2d Cancellation and Reformation of Instruments §§ 39., 66., 68., 70., 72., 75., 78., 86.

21 NY Jur 2d Contempt § 74.

22 NY Jur 2d Contracts §§ 39., 45., 66., 233., 288., 302., 319., 322., 342., 343.

22A NY Jur 2d Contracts §§ 370., 384., 409., 420., 422., 424., 436., 437., 439., 444., 456., 472., 473., 596., 621.

36 NY Jur 2d Damages §§ 52., 77., 80., 169., 186., 192.— 196., 198., 199., 201.

37 NY Jur 2d Death § 463., 466.

44 NY Jur 2d Defamation and Privacy §§ 340., 343.

45 NY Jur 2d Domestic Relations §§ 149., 152., 163., 193., 209., 214., 247., 249., 290., 292., 301.

47A NY Jur 2d Domestic Relations § 1971.

49 NY Jur 2d Domicil and Residence § 47.

49 NY Jur 2d Easements and Licenses in Real Property § 227.

50 NY Jur 2d Elevators and Escalators §§ 9., 10., 16., 20., 22., 30., 33., 35., 41., 49.

52 NY Jur 2d Employment Relations §§ 67., 68.

82 NY Jur 2d Parties §§ 69., 72.

84 NY Jur 2d Pleading §§ 5., 6., 27., 99.— 101., 114., 125., 168., 188., 191., 195., 200.— 203., 207.

84 NY Jur 2d Pleading §§ 4., 5., 26., 69., 94., 118., 156., 176., 179., 183., 188., 189., 191., 195., 195., 198.

96 NY Jur 2d Specific Performance §§ 4., 43., 44., 46., 64., 65., 67., 68.

104 NY Jur 2d Trespass §§ 10., 12., 13., 15., 39., 44.

1 Am Jur 2d, Accord and Satisfaction § 53.

9 Am Jur 2d, Bankruptcy §§ 214 et seq.

25 Am Jur 2d, Duress and Undue Influence §§ 36., 37.

27A Am Jur 2d, Equity §§ 159., 160., 161., 196.

28 Am Jur 2d, Estoppel and Waiver §§ 161.– 165., 220.

36 Am Jur 2d, Foreign Corporations §§ 561.– 566.

61A Am Jur 2d, Pleading §§ 1 et seq.

3 Am Jur Trials 681., Tactics and Strategy of Pleading.

Treatises

Matthew Bender's New York Civil Practice:

Weinstein, Korn & Miller, New York Civil Practice: CPLR Ch. 3011, Kinds of Pleadings.

2 Lansner, Reichler, New York Civil Practice: Matrimonial Actions §§ 34.01, 36.04.

1 Cox, Arenson, Medina, New York Civil Practice: SCPA ¶302.01, 302.02; 2 Cox, Arenson, Medina, New York Civil Practice: SCPA ¶508.02; 4 Cox, Arenson, Medina, New York Civil Practice: SCPA ¶1807.06, 1808.06; 5 Cox, Arenson, Medina, New York Civil Practice: SCPA ¶2103.12, 2104.07.

Matthew Bender's New York CPLR Manual:

CPLR Manual § 6.01. Joinder of claims and consolidation of actions; joint trials.

CPLR Manual § 10.06. Cases Construing CPLR Article 16.

CPLR Manual § 19.04. Types of pleadings permitted.

CPLR Manual § 19.10. Responsive pleadings.

CPLR Manual § 19.11. Counterclaims.

CPLR Manual § 19.12. Cross-claims.

Matthew Bender's New York Practice Guides:

1 New York Practice Guide: Domestic Relations §§ 3.04, 4.09; 2 New York Practice Guide: Domestic Relations § 34.16.

2 New York Practice Guide: Business and Commercial § 12.16.

Matthew Bender's New York AnswerGuides:

LexisNexis AnswerGuide New York Civil Litigation § 3.05. Answering Complaint.

Annotations:

Propriety of attaching photographs to a pleading. 33 ALR3d 322.

Matthew Bender's New York Checklists:

Checklist for Answering Complaint LexisNexis AnswerGuide New York Civil Litigation § 3.04.

Forms:

Bender's Forms for the Civil Practice Form No. CPLR 3011:1 et seg.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:1.— Complaint Skeleton Form.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:10.— Skeleton Form of Answer Setting Forth Several Counterclaims.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:11.— Answer Setting Forth Cross-Claims; Answer of Third-Party Defendant (Proposed Official Form 24).

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:12.— Skeleton Form of Answer to Cross-Claim.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:13.— Notice of Motion for Permission to Answer Cross-Claim Where No Demand Made.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:14.— Affidavit in Support of Motion for Permission to Answer Cross-Claim Where No Demand Made.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:15.— Order Permitting Answer to Cross-Claim Where no Demand Made.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:16.— Answer to Interpleader Complaint.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:17.— Reply Skeleton Form.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:18.— CPLR 3011 Notice of Motion to Compel a Reply to Defense.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:19.— CPLR 3011 Affidavit in Support of Motion to Compel Reply to Defense.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:2.— Skeleton Form of Complaint Joining Two Causes of Action.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:20.— CPLR 3011 Order Directing Plaintiff to Reply to Defense.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:21.— CPLR 3011 Skeleton Form of Reply to Affirmative Defense.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:3.— Complaint Joining Several Persons as Plaintiffs; General Form.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:3A.— General Form of Complaint in Action Against Defendants Severally Liable.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:4.— Interpleader Complaint; General Form.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:4A.— Defensive Interpleader Complaint (Official Form No. 18).

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:4B.— Defensive Interpleader Complaint (Proposed Official Form No. 20).

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:5.— Third-Party Complaint (Proposed Official Form No. 24).

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:6.— Skeleton Form of Answer Setting Forth Denials and Affirmative Defenses.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:7.— Answer to Complaint, Counterclaim and Cross-Claim; Official Form 17.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:8.— Skeleton Form of Answer to Several Causes of Action.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 3011:9.— Answer to Complaint, Counterclaim and Cross-Claim; Proposed Official Form 18.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV26:1.— Complaint to Recover for Unlawful Arrest While Attending Court Under Subpoena.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV40:1.— Complaint to Recover for Unlawful Discrimination in Public Place.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV42:1.— Complaint in Action Against Utility Company Alleging Discrimination in Employment.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV43:1.— Complaint in Action Against Officer of Labor Union Alleging Discrimination.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV47:1.— Complaint Against Restaurant for Denying Admission to Blind or Deaf Person.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV51:1.— General Form of Complaint in Action to Recover Damages for Invasion of Privacy in Violation of New York Civil Rights Law.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV51:2.— Complaint by Physician Against Medical Facility for Unauthorized Use of Photograph and Name in Calendar.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV51:3.— Complaint in Action by Dancer Against Adult Nightclub for Unauthorized Use of Picture and Videotape.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV51:4.— Third-Party Complaint Against Website Administrator in Action by Dancer Against Adult Nightclub for Unauthorized Use of Picture and Videotape.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV70:1.— Complaint to Recover for Unauthorized and Vexatious Suit.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV74:1.— Complaint in Action for Libel for Erroneous Report of Judicial Proceeding.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 1434-19181.— CPLR 3011: Complaint - Skeleton Form.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV18-c:1.— Complaint in Action Against Owner of Publicly Assisted Housing Accommodation for Discrimination.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV40-a:1.— Complaint in Action Against Board of Education for Inquiry Regarding Religion of Plaintiff.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV40-b:1.— Complaint in Action to Recover Penalty for Denial of Equal Rights in Theater.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV40-c:1.— Complaint in Action to Recover Penalty for Unlawful Discrimination.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV47-a:1.— Complaint Alleging Employment Discrimination Against a Deaf or Blind Person.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV76-a:1.— Action by Public Applicant or Permittee for Damages Resulting from Malicious Communication of Defendant.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 70-CV80-b:1.— Complaint Against Former Fiancee for Return of Engagement Ring.

1 Medina's Bostwick Practice Manual (Matthew Bender), Forms 14:101 et seq. (remedies and pleadings).

Texts:

2 Bergman on New York Mortgage Foreclosures (Matthew Bender) §§ 16.01., 17.02.

Gerrard, Ruzow, Weinberg, Environmental Impact Review in New York (Matthew Bender) § 7.10.

Hierarchy Notes:

NY CLS CPLR, Art. 30

Forms

Form 1

Skeleton Form of Complaint

SUPREME COURT,	COUNTY	
ALBERT ADAMS,		
Plaintiff,		
against	Complaint	
BENJAMIN BATES,	Index No.	[if assigned]
Defendant		
Plaintiff, complaining of defendant, by		, his attorney, alleges:

1. [In this and succeeding numbered paragraphs, set forth the facts constituting the cause of action.]

WHEREFORE,	plaintiff	demands	s judgn	nent	again	st t	he d	lefend	lant	in th	e su	ım	of
	_ dollars	with	interest	ther	eon	from	n the	e			_ da	ay	of
	, 20		, tog	ether	with	the	costs	and	disb	urseme	ents (of tl	his
action.													
									A	ttorney	for F	Plain	itiff
								(Office	& P.	O. Ad	dre	SS,
											;	Stre	et,
											_, Ne	v Yo	ork
					Tele	ephoi	ne No)					
Form 2													
	_		_										
Introductory Sta	atement in	Compla	int										
The plaintiff, con	nplaining o	f the defe	endant, a	lleges	s:								
Form 3													
Introductory Sta	atement in	Compla	int; Ano	ther F	orm								
The plaintiff, co	omplaining	of the	defenda	ant, b	у					, h	is att	orne	∋у,
respectfully show	s to this co	ourt [on ir	nformatio	n and	belie	f] and	d alleg	es as	follo	ws:			
Form 4													
Verification of C	omplaint												
STATE OF NEW	/ YORK												
COUNTY OF													

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the plaintiff in the
above-entitled action; that he has read the foregoing complaint and knows the contents thereof
and that the same is true to his own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be
alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, he believes it to be true.
[Print name to be signed]
[Jurat]
Form 5
Verification of Complaint; Another Form
STATE OF NEW YORK
CITY OF
COUNTY OF
, being duly sworn, states that he is the attorney for plaintiff in this
action and that the foregoing complaint is true to his own knowledge, except as to matters
therein stated on information and belief and as to those matters he believes it to be true; that the
grounds of his belief as to all matters not stated upon his knowledge are correspondence and
other writings furnished to him by plaintiff and interviews with officers and employees of plaintiff;
and that the reason why the verification is not made by plaintiff is that [e.g., plaintiff is a foreign
corporation].
[Print signer's name below signature]

Form 6

Skeleton Form of Complaint Alleging Two Causes of Action

[Title of court and action]	Index No	[if assigned]	
Plaintiff, by	, his attorn	ey, complaining of the defendar	nt, alleges:
	FOR A FIRST CAUS	SE OF ACTION	
[Here are alleged, in numbe	red paragraphs, the fa	acts constituting the first cause o	of action.]
FOR A SECO	ND SEPARATE AND	DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION	I
[Prior statements in the fin	rst cause of action a	are deemed repeated or adop	ted whenever
express repetition or adoptio	n is unnecessary for a	a clear presentation of the subse	equent matters
(CPLR R 3014). Therefore,	, it is unnecessary to	allege in second cause of a	ction "Plaintiff
repeats etc." unless such a	recitation is needed	for clarity (Leg Doc (1957) N	o. 6(b) p 61).
However, if such recitation is	deemed necessary, a	allege as shown in paragraph 11	, infra.]
11. Plaintiff repeats and re-	alleges each of the al	llegations contained in paragrap	phs numbered
	and	of this complaint	with the same
force and effect as if fully set	forth herein.		
[In additional numbered pa	aragraphs are alleged	whatever additional facts are	necessary to
constitute the second cause	of action.]		
•		against the defendant in	
dollars w	ith interest from the	day of	
20, on the first	st cause of action above	ve stated, and in the sum of	
dollars with interest from the	e day	of, 20	, on
the second cause of action	above stated, togeth	ner with the costs and disburse	ements of this
action.			
[Endorsement, address and	verification.]		

Form 7

Title of Action, Generally

SUPREME COURT,	C	OUNTY				
ALBERT ADAMS,						
Plaintiff,						
against	Complaint					
BENJAMIN J. BATES,	Index No		[if assigned]			
Defendant.						
Form 8						
Allegations Showing Residence of	Parties					
SUPREME COURT,	C	OUNTY				
Comple	aint					
[Title of cause] Index	No	[if assigned]				
The plaintiff, by	, his	attorney,	complainin	ng of the	defenda	nts,
alleges:						
1. The plaintiff is a resident of the Co	unty of		,	State of N	lew York.	
2. The defendant		_, is a	resident	of the	County	of
, State of	New York.					
3. On information and belief, the de	efendant			_, is a res	sident of	the
County of	, State of New	York.				
Form 9						
Title of Action Where Party Is Knov	vn by More Th	nan One N	ame			
SUPREME COURT	C(DUNTY				
Albert Adams,						
Plaintiff,						
against	Complaint					
Benjamin J. Bates, also known as B Joseph Bates,	. Index No	[if a	assigned]			
Defendant.						

Form 10

Title of Action and Necessary Allegations Where the First Name of a Party Is Unknown

SUPREME COURT	COUNTY
Albert Adams,	
Plaintiff,	
against	
Benjamin Bates and "Mary"	
Bates, the first name "Mary"	Complaint
being fictitious and intended to designate the wife of the	Index No [if assigned]
said Benjamin Bates,	
Defendants.	

[Introductory paragraph]

1. The party designated herein as "Mary" Bates is the wife of the defendant Benjamin Bates, whose true first name is unknown to plaintiff, and the first name "Mary" is fictitious.

Form 11

Title of Action and Necessary Allegations Where the Name of a Defendant Is Entirely Unknown to Plaintiff

SUPREME COURT	COUNTY
Albert Adams,	
Plaintiff,	
against	
Benjamin Bates and "John Doe"	
the name "John Doe" being fictitious and intended	
to designate the person operating the automobile of the	Complaint
said Benjamin Bates at the time and place herein alleged,	Index No [if assigned]
Defendants	

[Introductory paragraph]

1. The party designated herein as "John Doe" is the person who, at the time and place herein mentioned, was operating an automobile belonging to the defendant Benjamin Bates, as hereinafter described. The true name of said person is unknown to plaintiff, and the name "John Doe" is fictitious.

Form 12

Allegation That Party Is a Domestic Corporation

1. The plaintiff [or defendant] is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a domestic corporation.

Form 13

Allegation That Party Is a Domestic Corporation, Incorporated Under a Special Act

1. The plaintiff is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a domestic corporation	on, o	duly (organiz	:ed
and existing under and pursuant to Chapter	of	the	Laws	of
18				
Form 14				
Allegation That Party Is a Foreign Corporation				

1. On information and belief the defendant ______ is a corporation duly

Form 15

Allegations Where Party Is a Corporation Which Has Changed its Name

organized and existing under the laws of the State of ______.

Plaintiff [or defendant] is a domestic	corporation, h	naving been duly	incorporated	under the
corporate name of	Corpc	ration, and therea	after and on or	about the
day of	, 20	, having c	duly changed i	ts name to
, Inc., under	which latter na	ame it has since c	onducted busi	ness.

Form 16

Defendant.

Allegations Where Party Is a C	onsolidated Corporation	1	
1. Prior to the	day of	, 20	, the
Co	rp. and	, Inc., we	re domestic
corporations, and on or about s			
Article 9 of the Business Corpor	ration Law of the State of	f New York, into a singl	e corporation
under the name of		Cor	poration, the
plaintiff [or defendant] herein.			,
Form 17			
Allegation That Plaintiff Is a Fo	reign Corporation Entitle	ed to Do Business in N	ew York
Plaintiff is a corporation do	_	_	
, and			
Article 13 of the Business Corpo			
Secretary of the State of New York	ork a certificate of authori	ty to do business in the	State of New
York.			
Form 18			
Title and Allegations Where Pla	aintiff Is an Unincorpora	ted Association	
SUPREME COURT	COUNTY		
Albert Adams, as President			
[or Treasurer] of the XYZ Asso	ociation,		
Plaintiff,	Complaint		
against	Index No	[if assigned]	
Benjamin Bates,			

The plaintiff, complaining of the defendant, by ______, his attorney, alleges:

- 1. At all times hereafter mentioned the XYZ Association, hereinafter referred to as the Association, was and now is an unincorporated association.
- 2. The plaintiff, at the time of the commencement of this action, was and is the president of said association.

Form 19

Title and Allegations Where Defendant Is an Unincorporated Association

SUPREME COURT	COUNTY
Benjamin Bates,	
Plaintiff,	
against	
Albert Adams, as President	Complaint
[or Treasurer] of the XYZ Association,	Index No[if assigned]
Defendant.	

1. At all times hereinafter mentioned the XYZ Association, hereinafter referred to as the Association, was and now is an unincorporated association duly existing under and by virtue of the General Association Law of the State of New York.

The plaintiff, complaining of the defendant by ______, his attorney, alleges:

2. At the time of the commencement of this action, Benjamin Bates was the President of the said XYZ Association.

Form 20

Title, Identifying Allegations and Prayer in Complaint on Contract Against Unincorporated Political Committee

[Title of court and cause]

Plaintiff,						
against		(Complaint			
,		1	ndex No			
	Committee,					
Defendant.						
	nd belief, a		ne times		·	the
	Committee	was and	is an uninc	orporated ass	ociation, having	g an
office and place for the	e transaction of	business in	the city of		, r	New
York.						
On information and be	elief, at the time	of the com	nmencemen	t of this action	i, the above-nai	med
	was the tre	asurer of sa	aid		Committee	€.
[Follow with allegations	s in usual form a	Illeging cont	ract and bre	ach thereof.]		
WHEREFORE, plaint	iff demands ju	dgment ag	jainst said			the
defendant herein, in the	e sum of		dollars [\$		_], with interest f	from
	, together w	rith the cost	s and disbur	sements of thi	s action.	
Form 21						
Allegations for Dissol	lution of Volunt	ary Associ	ation, for G	ood Cause SI	hown	
1. On or about the	da	y of		, 20	, the pla	intiff
and the defendants	formed a partr	nership or	joint-stock	association for	or the purpose	e of
	Istate purp	ose such	as establish	ing and publis	shing a daily ar	nd a
weekly, and other new						
of association of whicl	h a copy is her	eto annexe	d, marked E	Exhibit A, and	made part her	reof.
[Copy of articles annex	ked, which includ	ded a provis	sion that no	sale of any po	ortion of the inte	erest
of any of the parties sh	ould be made w	rithout notic	e to the othe	ers and an opp	ortunity given tl	hem
to purchase.]						

2. The plaintiff and the defendants subsequently made their contribution	s to the capital of the
partnership or association, in pursuance of the aforesaid articles and the b	ousiness contemplated
in them was commenced [State activity, such	as, by the publication
of a daily newspaper in the city of	, called "The
," and of a weekly newspaper in the same city	y, called "The Weekly
	paper called "The
Evening"; al	lso, a semi-weekly
newspaper called "The Semi-Weekly";] that	the association's said
business continued under and in pursuance of the said articles	
20, when the defendant, havin	g purchased from the
plaintiff and the defendant [state amount, such	as, twenty-four of the
one hundred] shares of the capital stock mentioned in the articles of partr	nership or association,
with the assent of the parties interested therein, the said articles were mod	lified by the addition of
the following clause: [set forth clause].	
3. Thereafter the business of the partnership or association has been con-	ducted under the style
of, and that it has continued the said business	; that by various sales
and transfers, the proprietorship of the [one h	nundred] shares of the
association now stands upon the books of the association as follows:	
4 [Show a state of affairs existing within t	the association which
produces discord, or inability advantageously to conduct its affairs, and	
equity a ground for adjudging its dissolution, as: Although twenty-five sha	
stock stand in plaintiff's name, five of the said shares are held by h	•
, and equitably belong to him; and that although	
said capital stock stand in the name of the defendant	
and the defendant	Gaini mai lwenly-

6. In	pursuance	of t	he said	agreement,	the	plaintiff	and	the	defendant
		h	ave, since	it was made, h	ad the	care and	direction	n of th	e business
of the sai	d association,	and ha	ave continu	ed the busines	ss upor	account	thereof		
7. The s	aid associatio	n now	owns			[List pro	perties	. such	as: a large
				aratus, and pri					
	_	-		reat value; tha	_				
		•		ge circulation,					
			-			, ,			_
_				said newspape		, ,			
•	•		·	tal and prope	•			-	
oroperty	of the associa	ation, a	and its good	d will, are of fa	ar great	ter value,	taken t	ogethe	er and as a
whole, th	an they would	be if s	separated a	and divided; ar	nd that	no equita	ble divi	sion of	the capital
and prop	erty of the sa	id asso	ociation car	n be made wit	hout gr	eat loss t	o the p	ersons	interested
therein, e	excepting by a	sale tl	hereof and	a division of it	s proce	eds amo	ng then	n, in p	roportion to
their resp	ective interes	ts.							
8. Being	desirous of	discont	tinuing his	connection wi	th the	said asso	ciation	as a	partner, on
account o	of the difficulti	es exis	ting betwe	en some of the	partie	s as afore	said, a	nd to c	dissolve the
partnersh	nip and have	the affa	airs of the	association po	ut into	liquidatior	n, on th	ne	
and			days	of		, 20			_, plaintiff
dissolved	the partnersh	nip exis	sting betwe	en himself and	the de	efendants	by ser	ving u	pon them a
notice, of	which the fo	llowing	is a copy:			[C	opy no	tice of	dissolution
pursuant	to provision ir	n Article	es of Assoc	iation.]					
9. Plaint	iff and the de	fendan	t		ar	e now co	ntinuing	the b	usiness on
				nefit of, the pe					
				perty of the sa				•	
			-	be appointed					
	ler in relation t					,	_		

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- 1. That the said partnership or association, under the style of ______, be dissolved.
- 2. That the rights of the plaintiff and defendants respectively therein be declared, and, if necessary, that any of the parties to this action may interplead for the purpose of ascertaining their several rights.
- 3. That pending this action a receiver be appointed of the property, rights and good will of the association, with power to sell and dispose of the same for the benefit of all the parties to this action entitled thereto.
- 4. That a division of the proceeds of such property, rights, and good will, after the payment of all just debts of the association, be made between the parties entitled thereto, in the proportion of their respective rights.
- 5. That the plaintiff may have such other or further order or relief as may be proper.

Form 22

Title and Allegations Where Partnership Sues in Firm Name, Pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 1025

SUPREME COURT	COUNTY
Albert Adams & Co.,	
Plaintiff,	
against	Complaint
Benjamin Bates,	Index No[if assigned]
Defendant.	

At all times herein mentioned plaintiff was and still is a partnership, duly organized and

The plaintiff complaining of the defendant, by ______, its attorney, alleges:

existing under the laws of the State of New York, the members of which were and are Albert Adams and Charles Coe.

Form 23

Title and Allegations Where Partners Are Sued Under Their Individual Names

SUPREME COURT	COUNTY
Albert Adams,	
Plaintiff,	
against	
Benjamin Bates and Charles Coe,	Complaint
co-partners doing business under the firm name of The XYZ Co.,	Index No [if assigned]
Defendants.	
alleges:	ts were and are co-partners, doing business under
Form 24	
Title and Allegations in Action Against Fo	ormer Partners
SUPREME COURT	COUNTY

,	
Plaintiff,	
against	
and	Complaint
individually and as co-partners formerly doing business under the firm	Index No [if assigned]
Defendants.	

[Int	trodu	uctor	y parag	raph.]										
1.	At	all	times	herein	mention	ned, ur	itil on	or	about	the			da	ıy of
				_, 20		, th	e det	enda	nts, _					and
				,	were	co-partn	ers d	oing	busine	ss un	ider t	the firm	nam	ne of
				•										
For	m 2	5												
Alle	egat	ion i	n Actio	n by or	Against	Survivii	ng Par	tner						
1.	At a	ll tim	es here	ein menti	oned unt	il the de	ath of					, whic	ch occ	urred
on	or a	bout	the		day	of		-	, 20			, the	plaint	iff [or
def	enda	ant] a	and the	said				_ wer	e co-pai	rtners	doing	busines	s und	er the
firm	n r	ame	of				 ,	and	since	the	deat	th of	the	said
								:	, the pla	aintiff	[or de	efendant] has	been
and	l is tl	ne so	ole surv	iving me	mber of t	he said _l	oartnei	ship.						
For	m 2	6												
Alle	egat	ions	Where	Partner	Refuses	s to Joir	ı Copa	ırtner	as Plai	ntiff				
De	fend	lant				is jo	ointly in	nteres	sted witl	h plair	ntiff, a	ıs copar	tner, i	n the
cau	ise c	of act	ion here	ein set fo	rth, and b	oefore c	ommer	ncing	this acti	on pla	intiff re	equeste	d defe	ndant
					to join as	a copla	intiff h	erein	but he r	efused	d so to	do and	theref	ore is
ma	de a	defe	endant.											
For	m 2	7												
Alle	egat	ions	in Con	nplaint A	against F	artner ı	not Jo	ined	in Prior	Actio	n on I	Partners	ship	
Lia	bilit	y												

On	, 20	, an action was commenced by pl	aintiff
herein upon a partnership liabili	ity against	·	
Defendant herein, one of the action.	partners in said pa	artnership was not made a defendant ir	ı said
Final judgment was rendered	I in said action in	favor of plaintiff and against the defe	ndant
[defendants] therein, by the $_$		court for	
County, on	, 20	·	
Said judgment remains wholly	unsatisfied.		
[st	ate facts constituting	ig cause of action].	
Form 28			
Title and Allegations in Actio	n by an Executor		
SUPREME COURT	C	COUNTY	

Albert Adams, as executor of the last will and		
testament of John Adams, deceased,		
Plaintiff,	Complaint	
against	Index No [if assigne	d]
Benjamin Bates,		
Defendant.		

The plaintiff, as executor of the las	st will and testament of Joh	n Adams, deceas	ed, complaining
of the defendant by	, his attorney, a	alleges:	
1. On or about the	day of	_, 20	_, John Adams
died, a resident of the County of _	,	leaving a last will	and testament
wherein the plaintiff was appointed	sole executor thereof.		
2. On or about the	day of	_, 20	_, said will was
duly admitted to probate by the S	surrogate of the County of		, and
letters testamentary upon said will	were duly granted to the p	laintiff by said Sur	rogate, and the
plaintiff thereupon duly qualified as	such executor and has eve	er since been and r	now is acting as
such executor.			
Form 29			
Title and Allegations in Action Aç	gainst Administrator		
SUPREME COURT	COUNTY		

Albert Adams,		
Plaintiff,		
against		
Benjamin Bates, as administrator of the goods,	Complaint	
chattels and credits of John Bates deceased,	Index No[if assigne	:d]
Defendant		

[In	trodu	ctory	paragra	ph.]
L			1	

1. On information and bel	ief, John Bates died	intestate, a resident	of the County of
	on or about the	day of _	
20			
2. On information and belie	f, on or about the	day of	
20, the defend	ant was duly appointed	administrator of the g	oods, chattels and
credits of the said John Ba	ates, deceased, by ord	er of the Surrogate	of said County of
, a	nd defendant duly quali	fied as such administra	ator and ever since
has been and now is acting as	such administrator.		
Form 30			
Allegations in Action By Lim	nited Administrator		
1. On or about the	day of	, 20	, died intestate,
a resident of the County of		_, and on or about the _	day
of, 20	, letters of a	dministration limited to	the prosecution of
this action were duly issued	to the plaintiff herein by	the Surrogate's Court	t of said County of
, a	and the plaintiff duly qua	lified as such administr	ator and has since
been acting and is now acting	as such.		
Form 31			
Allegations in Action Agains	st Temporary Administ	rator	
1. On or about the	day of	, 20	, the above
named	died a resident of	the County of	
and on or about the	day of		, the
defendant was duly appointed	temporary administrato	r of the goods, chattels	and credits of said

decedent by the Surrogate's	, and thereupon		
duly qualified and has ever si	nce and is now acting as	such temporary admi	nistrator.
2. On or about the	, by an order of		
the Surrogate's Court of said	d County of	, plain	tiff was duly granted
leave to bring an action agai	nst defendant, as such te	emporary administrato	or, upon the cause of
action herein alleged.			
Form 32			
Allegations in Action By Ar	ncillary Administrator		
1. On or about the	day of	, 20	, the above-
mentioned	, died inte	estate, a resident	of the State of
,	and on or about the	day of	,
20, letters of a	administration upon the e	state of said deceden	t were duly issued to
the plaintiff by the	Court	of	County in
said State, which was and is	a court of competent juris	sdiction.	
2. On or about the	day of		, a duly
exemplified copy of said lette	ers of administration was	filed in the office of t	he Surrogate's Court
of the County of	, State	e of New York, and	ancillary letters of
administration were thereup	on duly issued by said	Surrogate's Court	to the plaintiff, who
thereupon duly qualified as s	uch and has ever since b	een acting as such ar	ncillary administrator.
Form 33			
Allegations in Action by Ad	Iministrator With the Wi	II Annexed	

1. [Allege death of testator, probate of his will and granting of letters testamentary as in Form 28, supra.]

2. On or about the	day of	, 2	0,	the said
executor died [or resigned], a	and thereafter and	on or about th	ie	day of
, 20	, letters of ad	ministration with	the will annexed	upon the
estate of the said	, dec	eased, were duly	/ issued to plainti	ff by the
Surrogate of the County of		, and plaintif	ff thereupon duly	qualified
and has ever since been acting	as such administrato	r with the will ann	exed.	
Farm 24				
Form 34				
Allegations in Action by Admi	nistrator de Bonis r	non		
1. [Allege death of intestate and	l issuance of letters of	of administration a	as in Form 28, sup	ra.]
2. On or about the	day of	, 2	0,	the said
administrator died, leaving certa	ain assets of the est	ate of the said _		
unadministered, and on or	about the	day	of	,
20, letters of adm	ninistration de bonis i	non of the goods,	chattels and cred	its of the
said	_, deceased, were do	uly issued to the	plaintiff by the Su	rrogate's
Court of the County of		, and plaintiff the	ereupon duly qual	ified and
has ever since been acting as su	uch administrator.			
Form 35				
FOIIII 33				
Allegations in Action by Ancil	ary Executor			
1. On or about the	day of	, 2	20,	died a
resident of the State of		, leaving a last v	vill and testament	wherein
plaintiff was appointed sole ex	ecutor thereof, and	thereafter said	will was duly adı	mitted to
probate by the	Court of		Count	y in said
state, which was and is a cour	t of competent juris	diction, and letter	rs testamentary w	ere duly
issued to the plaintiff by said cou	ırt upon said will.			

2. On or about the	day of	, 20	, plaintiff filed
and recorded in the office of the Su	rrogate of the County of _		, State of
New York, a duly exemplified copy	of said will, and ancillary	letters testamenta	ry thereon were
thereupon duly issued by the Su	rrogate of said		County to the
plaintiff, who thereupon duly qualifi	ed and thereafter acted a	nd is now acting a	s such ancillary
executor.			
Form 36			
Title and Allegations in Action by	General Guardian of Inf	ant	
SUPREME COURT	COUNTY		

, as general guardian of the person and property of		
, an infant,		
Plaintiff,	Complaint	
against	Index No	[if assigned]
Defendant.		

[Introductory paragraph]			
1. On or about the	day of	, 20	, plaintiff was
duly appointed general guar	dian of the person and p	property of	, an
infant, by the Surrogate's C	Court of the County of _		, and letters of
general guardianship were d	uly issued to the plaintiff	by the said Surrogat	e's Court, and plaintiff
duly qualified as such genera	al guardian and is now ac	ting as such.	
Form 37			
Title and Allegations in Act	ion by Guardian of Inca	pacitated Person	
SUPREME COURT	col	JNTY	

, as guardian of	
, an incapacitated person,	
Plaintiff,	Complaint
against	Index No[if assigned]
,	
Defendant.	

[Introductory paragraph]						
1. On or about the	day of				, ir	ı a
proceeding duly instituted in the		Court	,			
County, the above named		_ was duly f	ound to b	oe an ir	ncapacita	ted
person, and plaintiff was, by order o	f said Court, duly	made and e	ntered on	the		
day of, 20	, appoint	ed guardian	of the per	son and	d property	y of
the said	_, and duly qualif	ied as such	and is n	ow act	ing as si	uch
guardian.						
Form 38						
Necessary Allegations in Action A	gainst Guardian	of Incapacit	ated Pers	son		
1. [Allege appointment of guardian a	s in Form 37, sup	ra.]				
2. By an order duly made by this	court on the		day of _			,
20, and duly enter	ered in the off	ice of the	clerk c	of the	County	of
, on	the	day	of _			,
20, plaintiff was grante	ed leave to bring t	his action.				
Form 39						
Title and Allegations in Action By	Testamentary Tr	ustee				
SUPREME COURT	COU	NTY				

	, as trustee under the last will and testament of		
	_, deceased,		
Plaintiff,		Complaint	
against		Index No[if	f assigned]
	_1		
Defendant.			

[Introductory paragraph]

1. On or about the		day of			,	20		, the ab	ove
named		, d	ied	а	resident	of	the	County	of
	, leaving	a last	will	and	testament	which	was d	uly admitted	d to
probate by the Surrogate	of the	County	of				Or	n or about	the
day of		,	20_		, a	and lett	ters tes	tamentary ι	ıpon
said will were thereupon du	ly issued t	by the s	aid S	Surro	gate to the e	executo	or name	d in said will	-
2. By said will, the said				, (gave, devis	ed, and	d beque	eathed certain	in of
his property to the plain	ntiff as t	rustee,	and	d on	or about	the _		day	of
, 20		, lette	rs of	trust	eeship were	e duly i	ssued t	o the plainti	ff by
the Surrogate's Court of th	e County	of				, an	d plaint	iff duly qual	ified
and is now acting as such t	rustee.								
Form 40									
Title and Allegations in A	ction by T	rustee	of N	onte	stamentary	Trust			
SUPREME COURT				COU	NTY				

, as trustee under instrument made by	
dated,	
Plaintiff,	Complaint
against	Index No [if assigned]
Defendant.	

[Introductory paragraph.]			
1. At all times herein mentions under the laws of the State of Ne	·	·	duly authorized
2. On or about the	day of	, 20	, the above
named	executed an inst	rument, a copy of which is h	nereto attached,
marked "Exhibit A", and made	a part hereof, where	eby he transferred to the p	laintiff, in trust,
certain property described in sa	id instrument, and pl	aintiff thereupon accepted t	he trust created
by said instrument and now hol	lds the said property	subject to the terms and p	rovisions of the
said instrument.			
Form 41			
Allegations in Action by or Ag	ainst Surviving Tru	stee	
1. [Allege appointment of all orig	ginal trustees, substa	intially as in Form 39, supra.]
2. On or about the	day of	, 20	, the said
, on	e of said trustees,	died, and since that date	the plaintiff [or
defendant] has been and now is	s sole surviving trust	tee under the last will and to	estament of the
said	<u>.</u> .		
Form 42			

Title and Allegations Where Party Is a Trustee in Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the

SUPREME COURT _____ COUNTY

Bankruptcy Act

	_,		
Plaintiff,			
against			
	_, as Trustee of	Complaint	
	_, Inc., in reorganization under	Index No.	[if assigned
Chapter 11	•		
of the Bankruptcy Act of the	e United States,		
Defendant.			

[Introductory paragraph.]				
1	, Inc., is a domestic co	poration.		
2. On or about the	day of		_, 20	, the said
	, Inc., duly filed in the offic	e of the Cle	rk of the Unite	d States District
Court for the	District of No	ew York a pe	etition for re-orç	ganization of the
said corporation pursuant t	o the provisions of Chapt	er 11 of the	Bankruptcy A	ct of the United
States, and thereafter suc	h proceedings were had	upon said	petition that c	on or about the
day of	, 20			was
duly appointed by said cou				
Inc., and thereupon duly qu	alified as such and ever	since has be	en and now is	acting as such
trustee.				J
Form 43				
Allegations as to Appoint	nent, etc., of Plaintiff as	Receiver of	Rents in Fore	eclosure Suit
On the day	y of,	20	, plaintiff	was appointed
receiver of the rents	of premises known	ı as		, by
	court of		County, in a	foreclosure suit
pending in said cour	in which		was	plaintiff and
	was defendant; plaintiff			
form and filed within		days,	with the	clerk of the
	court of	, ;	a bond, with ຣເ	reties approved
by said court, conditioned for	or the proper discharge of	his duties as	such receiver	, and to account
for all funds coming into hi	s hands according to the	orders of s	aid court, in th	ne penal sum of
G	and has ever since bee			·
discharge of his duties as su			J	5 5

Allegations as to Appointment, etc., of Receiver in Supplementary Proceedings

1. On the	day of,	20, plaintiff was duly
appointed receiver of	all the property of	and
	judgment debtors, in	proceedings pending in the
	Court of	County, entitled "In the matter
of the examination of	,	judgment debtor, in proceedings
supplementary to executi	on," upon the application	of and
	judgment creditors, by an or	rder in said proceedings made by the
		in the office of the clerk of the County
		day of,
20		
the faithful discharge of the	in the penal sum of	d his bond, approved by Mr. Justice dollars, and conditioned for eiver, which bond was duly filed in the Court on the day of qualified and is now acting as such
3 On the	day of	20, plaintiff was duly
		der made and signed by Hon.
	-	court of
		00011 01
Form 45		
Title and Allegations in Ac	tion by Assignee for Benefit	of Creditor
SUPREME COURT	COUNT	Y

	, as assignee for the benefit of creditors of		
Plaintiff,	,	Complaint	
against		Index No	[if assigned]
Defendant.			

[Allege cause of action of	assignor against defendant.	.]	
On or about the	day of		, said
	_ duly executed and delive	ered to plaintiff an as	signment of all his
property, including the cl	aim hereinbefore set forth	, for the benefit of h	is creditors, which
assignment was thereupo	n duly filed and recorded i	in the office of the cle	rk of the county of
	<u>-</u> ·		
On or about the	day of	, 20	, and before the
commencement of this ac	ction, plaintiff duly qualified	as such assignee and	d entered upon his
duties as such, and made	and filed the inventory and b	oond required by law.	
Form 46			
Title and Allegations Who	ere Public Officer or Board	d Members Are Sued	Under Their
Individual Names			
SUPREME COURT	COU	INTY	

Plaintiff,		
against		
	, as Superintendent	Complaint
	,, constituting the Civil Service Commission of	Index No [if assigned]
the State of New York,		
Defendants		

[Introductory paragraph.]		
1. On information and belief, defer	ndant	, at all times hereinafter
mentioned has been and is the Supe	erintendent of Insurance of th	ne State of New York, and the
defendants	,	and
have be		
Commission of the State of New York		ochoutaming the civil convice
Form 47		
Title of Action Where Public Office	r or Body Is Sued Under Hi	s or Its Official Title,
Pursuant to Civil Practice Law and	Rules § 1023	
SUPREME COURT	COUNTY	
, Plaintiff,		
against		
The Superintendent of Insurance	Complaint	
and the Civil Service Commission	Index No.	_ [if assigned]
of the State of New York,		
Defendant.		
Form 48		
Allegations That Parties Are Munic	ipal Officials	
1. At all times herein mentioned th	ne defendant	was and now is
mayor of the City of	, duly elected, qua	alified and acting as such, and
the defendants	and	were and are the
Commissioners of Elections of the	County of	, duly appointed,
qualified and acting as such.		

Allegation That Plaintiff Is an Attorney

_____, an infant, by

Allegation that Flamin is an Attorney
At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was and still is an attorney and counselor at law duly
licensed and admitted as such and practicing his profession at
Form 50
Allegation That Plaintiff Is Duly Licensed to Practice a Profession
1. At all times herein mentioned, the plaintiff was and now is a physician and surgeon [or
dentist, registered professional nurse, practical nurse, civil engineer and surveyor, etc.] duly
licensed to practice as such.
Form 51
Allegation That Plaintiff Is Licensed as a Real Estate Broker or Salesman
1. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was and now is a duly licensed real estate broker [or
salesman] having his office at, in the City of
Form 52
Allegation That Plaintiff Is a Licensed Employment Agency
1. At all times herein mentioned the plaintiff was and still is engaged in conducting an
employment agency in the City of, and prior to all the times herein
mentioned plaintiff had duly obtained from the Commissioner of Licenses [or Mayor] of said City
a license to conduct such agency.
Form 53
Title and Allegations in Action by Infant Plaintiff
SUPREME COURT COUNTY

, his [general guardian, guardian ad litem, or parent, as the case may be],	
Plaintiff,	Complaint
against	Index No. [if assigned]
Defendant.	
[Introductory paragraph.]	
1. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was ar	nd now is an infant, under eighteen years of age.
2. [By order of this court, made on the	e day of,
20, and entered in the	office of the clerk of the county of
on the same	date (or on the day of
	was duly appointed
guardian ad litem of the plaintiff for the purpose	
	or
2. [That no guardian of the property of said infa	ant or guardian ad litem for said infant has been
appointed and this action is brought on behalf of	of said infant by, the
father (or as the case may be) of said infant, wit	h whom said infant resides.]
	or
2. [As the case may be if a guardian of the infar	nt's property has been appointed.]
Form 54	
Jurisdictional Allegations Where Action Is B	rought in County Court
The defendant is a resident of the County of	·
C	DR .
The defendant has an office for the tra	nsaction of business within the County of
, and the cause of a	ction alleged herein arose in said County.

OR

The defendant is a domestic corporation, whose	principal place of business is located within the
County of, [or mai	intaining an office, factory or shop within the
County of].	
0)R
At all times herein mentioned the defendant wa	as and now is a domestic railroad corporation,
and a portion of the railroad operated	by defendant is within the County of
Form 55	
Jurisdictional Allegations Where Action Is Bro	ought in a City Court
At all times herein mentioned the plaintiff [or def	fendant] was and now is a resident of the City of
, County of	and State of New York.
[In each case the statute creating the particular	r city court must be consulted to determine the
exact jurisdictional requirements.]	
Form 56	
Jurisdictional Allegations Where Action Is Bro	ought in Justice's Court
The plaintiff [or defendant] is a resident of the 1	Town [or City] of, in
the County of, State	of New York.
[The town or city named must be either the one town or city.]	e in which the action is brought or an adjoining

Form 57

Jurisdictional Allegations in an Action Against a Foreign Corporation, Brought by a Nonresident or Another Foreign Corporation

This action is brought to recover damages for breach of a contract made within the State of New York.

OR

This action is brought to recover damages for breach of a contract relating to property which was situated within the State of New York at the time said contract was made.

OR

This action is brought to recover real property situated within the State of New York.

OR

This action is brought to replevy a chattel within the State of New York.

OR

The cause of action herein alleged arose within the State of New York, and the object and effect of this action will not affect the title to any real property situated outside the State of New York.

OR

The defendant is a foreign corporation, duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of ______, and at all times herein mentioned was and now is doing business within the State of New York.

OR

The cause of action herein alleged arose out of the transaction of business by the defendant within the State of New York.

The cause of action herein alleged arose out of the commission, by the defendant, of a tortious act within the State of New York.

OR

The cause of action herein alleged arose out of the ownership [use or possession], by the defendant, of real property situated within the State of New York.

Form 58

	Allegation	of	Leave	to	Sue
--	------------	----	-------	----	-----

Court, _	Cou	inty] duly made and entered
in the office of the County Clerk of	the County of	on or about the
day of	, 20	
Form 59		
Allegation as to City Ordinance or	Local Law	
At all times herein mentioned	there was in full force ar	nd effect in said City of
an ordina	ance [or local law], known as O	rdinance No
[or Local Law No	of], section
of which	h provides as follows:	[quote

Allegation as to Statute of Foreign State

At	all	times	hereinafter	mentioned	there	was	in	full	force	and	effect	in	said	State	of
				_ a statute l	known	as se	ctic	on			_ of the	e G	enera	l Laws	of
said	d Sta	ate, wh	ich provides	as follows: _						[quo	te the s	stati	ute].		

Allegations as to Existence and Terms of Private Statute	
By an act of the Legislature of the State of New York, passed on the	day of
, 20, constituting chapter	of the
Session Laws of that year, and entitled, it was ena	acted that
[quote the material portion of the statute].	
Form 62	
Allegations as to Legal Effect of Statutes of Sister State as to Bequests to Religi	ous
Society	
By the laws of the State of, plaintiff is now, and always	has been,
competent to take and hold said legacy and to sue for and recover the same;	
At the time of the death of said, it was and still is the la	aw of said
State of, that incorporated and unincorporated religious	societies
may appoint trustees, not exceeding five in number, to hold and manage property bequ	leathed to
them.	
Before the commencement of this action, plaintiff duly appointed three trustees to	hold and
manage said bequest; each of said trustees has accepted his said appointment,	and said
trustees are ready and prepared to receive said bequest and administer it according to	law.
Form 63	
Allegations as to Law of Sister State as to Trustees	
On information and belief, by the law of the State of, the	parties to
said indenture who received or who had in their possession or control the proper	ty therein

provided to be held in trust, became trustees ad interim for the purposes expressed in said

indenture until the qualification of the trustees named in such indenture or the appointment of others in their place.

Form 64

Allegations as to Law of Sister State as to Priorities Between Successive Assignees of Chose in Action

At	all	of	the	times	herein	mentioned	it	was	and	is	the	law	of	the	State	of
					that a	ıs between s	ucc	essive	assig	nme	ents o	f a ch	ose	in ac	tion due	e to
the	sam	e as	signo	or, the o	ne which	n being acqu	ired	l witho	ut not	ice (of pric	or one	es, is	first	brough	t to
the	knov	vled	ge of	the del	otor, is e	ntitled to prid	ority	; and	that c	laim	s of c	ompe	eting	assi	gnees o	of a
cho	se in	act	ion ra	ınk as b	etween	themselves i	not	in the	order	of th	ne da	tes of	the	assig	nments	s to
the	n, b	ut a	ccord	ding to	the date	e when they	/ re	especti	vely (gave	noti	ce to	the	deb	tor of	the
ass	ignm	ent	of the	eir chos	se in acti	on; and that	tif	an ass	signee	of	a cho	se in	acti	ion fa	ails to g	jive
noti	ce to	the	debt	or owing	g the san	ne, a subseq	uer	nt assiç	gnee d	of the	e sam	ne cho	ose i	n acti	on with	out
noti	ce of	f the	form	er assig	jnment, v	vill upon givii	ng r	notice (of his	assi	gnme	nt ac	quire	prio	ity.	

Form 65

Allegations as to Law of Inheritance, etc., of Foreign Country

Under and by virtue of the laws of the Kingdom of
where the said intestates resided and whereof they were citizens,
and to the laws to which they were subject, the right of succession to the estate of both said
deceased members of said firm of who so died intestate as
aforesaid, vested immediately after their death as to one-half thereof in
, their mother, and as to the remaining one-half as aforesaid in
, their nephew as the only next of kin of the said intestates.
Under and by virtue of the laws of the Kingdom of
where the said instrument in writing herewith attached and marked

"Exhibit A" was executed, and in whi	ich the said contracting parties	resided and of which they			
were citizens, and to the laws of which they were subject, the said instrument in writing was a					
legal and valid agreement and the parties thereof had legal power to give a valid title and to					
dispose of the said rights in said in	dispose of the said rights in said intestate's estates of said and				
·					
Form 66					
Allegation of Statute of Foreign Co	untry				
That the laws of	provide that immedia	ately upon the death of a			
subject of	_, the legal title to the estate	of such deceased person			
vests in the	, and that the	, which is			
invested by the plaintiff with the exc	clusive jurisdiction and power o	over the interpretation and			
administration of all laws pertaining to	o religion and domestic relations	of the land, must assume			
physical control of all the property a	and estate of the said decease	d person and distribute it			
according to the Domestic Relations	s Law of	, which requires the			
heirs and other persons who may cla	aim any part of such property ar	nd estate to appear before			
the court at the place where the said of	deceased person departed this li	fe and prove their claims.			
Form 67					
Allegation as to Judicial Constructi	ion of Foreign Statute				
The highest court of appellate juris	sdiction of said State of	, has			
decided that the effect of said statut	e is that	[give construction of			
statute as so decided].					

Allegation as to Existence of Prior Judgment

In an action in the Suprem	e Court, County of	, wherein
was	plaintiff and	was defendant, a
judgment was duly rendered or	n or about the day	of,
20, in favor of	the said	, against the said
, for th	ne sum of dollars,	which judgment was duly
docketed and the judgment rol	I duly filed in the office of the	Clerk of the County of
on the	e same date. Said judgment has not b	peen modified, vacated or
reversed, and is still in full force ar	nd effect.	
Form 69		
Allegation as to Jurisdiction of	Foreign Court	
Said court [of sister state] had jur	isdiction and was duly authorized and	d empowered by the laws
of the State of	to issue said letters as afore	esaid.
	OR	
Under the laws of the State of	said co	ourt [of sister state] had
jurisdiction to hear and determine	said action and to render said judgmo	ent.
Form 70		
Allegation as to Filing Transcrip	ot of Judgment	
On or about	, 20, a transci	ript of said judgment was
duly filed and said judgment wa	s duly docketed in the office of the	e clerk of the County of
·		
F 74		

Form 71

Allegation as to Issuance of Execution

An execution upon said	judgment was de	uly iss	ued, on	or abou	t the		da	y of
, 20	0,	to	the	sheriff	of	the	County	of
	_, where the said	d t				[judgme	nt debtor]	then
resided, a copy of which e	execution is heret	to anne	exed, m	arked "Ex	hibit	A", and ı	made a pa	rt of
this complaint.								
		OR						
As avecution upon poid	indoment was d	ulvi iss	ممامين	or obou			مام	
An execution upon said								
, 20								
resided, commanding him				-				
	_ in said county,	or if su	ufficient	personal	prope	erty could	d not be fo	und,
out of the real property be	longing to him on	the da	ıy said j	udgment v	was r	endered,	or at any	time
thereafter.								
Form 72								
Allegations as to Levy of	Execution							
	_ County as su	ch lev	ied upo	on and to	ok p	ossessic	n of the	said
	_ under an exec	cution	issued	upon a j	udgm	ent reco	overed by	one
	$_$ against plaintiff	in the				C	ourt, Coun	ty of
Form 73								
10111173								
Allegation Where Writter	Instrument Is A	nnexe	d to Co	mplaint				
On or about the	day of _			, 20			_, plaintiff	and
defendant entered into a	contract in writing	g, a tru	ие сору	of which	is he	ereto ani	nexed, ma	rked
"Exhibit A".								

Allegation That Cause of Action Was Assigned to Plaintiff

[Allege facts constituting assignor's ca	ause of action aga	inst defendant.]	
On or about the d	lay of		, the said
[the assign	gnor] duly assigno	ed, for a valuable	consideration, all his
rights, title and interest in and to the co	ontract hereinbefo	re specified [or	dollars
of the amount due or to become due t	o him under said	contract heretofore	e specified — or — his
right of action against the defendant	by reason of the	e foregoing facts],	, to the plaintiff by an
instrument in writing signed by the sai	d	, a cop	by of which is annexed
to this complaint and made a part here	eof.		
Form 75			
Allegation in Action on Contract Ma	de by Agent of D	efendant	
On or about	, 20	, the	defendant, by one
, his agent	t, duly authorized	thereto, entered in	nto an agreement with
plaintiff whereby,	[continue	in usual form].	
Form 76			
Allegation in Action by Principal on	Contract Made k	y His Agent	
On or about	, 20	, at	
plaintiff, through	, his du	ly authorized ag	ent, entered into an
agreement with defendant whereby		[continue	in usual form].

Form 77

Allegation of the Execution of a Contract for the Sale of Real Property

On or about the	day of	f	,	at			,
plaintiff and defendant en	tered into a wr	itten agreen	nent, of w	hich a c	opy is he	ereto anne	xed,
marked "Exhibit A", and m	nade a part of t	his complain	t, whereb	y it was	mutually	agreed tha	at on
the day of		, 20		_, plainti	ff would s	sell and cor	nvey
to the defendant by a goo	d and sufficient	warranty de	ed of con	veyance	the follow	wing descr	ibed
real estate, to wit: [describ	e property].						
Form 78							
Allegation of Terms of C	ontract, Where	no Copy A	ttached to	o Compl	aint		
1. On or about the	day	of		, 20		, defen	dant
entered into a contract w	vith plaintiff whe	ereby, in co	nsideratio	n of			
[here allege money to be	paid, services t	o be rendere	ed, or othe	er condit	ions to be	e performe	d by
plaintiff], defendant agre	ed to			[here al	lege cor	nditions to	be
performed by the defenda	nt].						
Form 70							
Form 79							
Allegation of Terms of C	ontract, Where	Copy Is Att	ached to	Compla	int		
On or about the	day of	f	,	20		_, plaintiff	and
defendant entered into a	written contract	t, a copy of	which is I	hereto ai	nnexed, v	whereby it	was
mutually agreed that on	the	day o	f		, 20_		,
plaintiff should sell and c	onvey to the d	efendant by	a good a	and suffic	cient warı	ranty deed	the
premises known as No.		_,			Street	in the Cit	y of
	_, and the	defendant	should	pay t	herefor	the sum	of
	_, as follows:			or	n the exe	ecution of	said
agreement,		in cash u	ipon the	deliver	y of sa	id deed,	and
	_ by the defer	idant execut	ing and c	delivering	to plain	tiff a purch	nase
money bond and mortgage	e, as more fully	set forth in s	aid contra	ict.			

General Allegation of Cor	sideration		
On or about the	day of	, 20	, for a valuable
consideration, defendant er	ntered into an agreement with pl	aintiff wh	erein defendant agreed that
	[state terms of agreement].		
Form 81			
Allegation of Consideration	on Paid at Date of Contract		
On or about the	day of	_, 20	, in consideration
of the sum of	dollars then paid to him by	y plaintiff	, defendant entered into an
agreement with plaintiff wh	erein defendant agreed that		[state terms
of agreement].			
Form 82 Allegation of Consideration	on Payable in the Future		
_	day of	_, 20	, in consideration
of the sum of	dollars which plaintiff agree	ed to pay	v, defendant entered into an
agreement with plaintiff	wherein defendant agreed	that	
	[state terms of agreement].		
Form 83			
General Allegation of Due	Performance by Plaintiff		
Plaintiff has duly performed	d all the conditions of said contra	ct on his	part.

Form 84

Allegation of Tender of Performance

On or about the	day of	, 20	, plaintiff duly
tendered to the defer	ndant the sum of	dollars and	demanded that defendant
perform the condition	s of said contract on his pa	art, but the defendant	wholly failed and refused to
do so and still fails an	d refuses to do so.		
Form 85			
Allegation of Tender	r of Performance by Plai	ntiff	
On the	day of	, 20	, at the office of
	, plaintiff was prese	nt and was ready, will	ing and able to perform the
conditions of such co	ntract on his part, that is,	to pay to defendant th	e sum of
dollars [or to deliver	to defendant the said		, or as the case may be]
and duly tendered pa	yment [or delivery] thereo	of to defendant, and th	en and there demanded of
defendant that he pe	rform the conditions of sa	aid contract on his pa	rt, and particularly that the
defendant	[allege o	concurrent conditions	which the contract requires
the defendant to perf	orm], but defendant then	refused and has ever	since failed and refused to
do so.			
Form 86			
Allegation of Perfor	mance Except as Preven	ted by Impossibility	
Plaintiff has duly per	formed all the conditions o	of said contract on his	part except that he failed to
give notice of said a	accident to the defendant	within	days after it
occurred, as required	I by said contract, and the	e reason for plaintiff's	failure to do so was that it
was impossible for I	him to give notice to de	fendant within such	time in that said accident
rendered plaintiff und	conscious and he remain	ned unconscious for	
days thereafter. Plair	ntiff duly gave notice of sa	aid accident to defend	ant as soon as reasonably
possible after he re	gained consciousness, to	o wit, on or about t	he day of
	20 .		

Allegation of Performance Except as Prevented by Defendant

Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of said contract on his part except that
[state the acts of defendant preventing performance by the plaintiff,
for example, "plaintiff did not complete the agreed services by the day of
, 20, because defendant prevented him from doing so in that
defendant failed to procure the materials to be used by plaintiff in performing said services until
the day of, 20"].
Form 88
Allegation of Performance Except as Waived by Defendant
Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of said contract on his part except that he did not
[state particular conditions not performed, for example, "notify
defendant of the delivery of the said, by registered mail, as agreed
in said contract"], because defendant waived performance of said condition by plaintiff in that
[state particular facts constituting waiver, for example, "he advised
plaintiff on or about the day of, 20, that he
had already learned of such delivery and that written notice thereof would be unnecessary"].

Form 89

Allegation of Waiver of Performance by Defendant

Plaintiff duly performed all the conditions of said contract on his part up to the time of the breach of said contract by defendant, as hereinafter more specifically provided, save and except only the stipulation to abstain totally from the use of intoxicating liquors during the continuance of said contract, and at the time of such breach by defendant the plaintiff was able, ready and

willing to perform all the stipulations and conditions upon his part, and would have performed the same except for said breach by defendant.

Plaintiff did not totally abstain from the use of intoxicating liquor during the continuance of said contract, but such use by plaintiff was not excessive and did not prevent or interfere with the due and full performance by plaintiff of all the other stipulations and conditions upon his part in said contract.

Defendant waived plaintiff's breach of the stipulation to abstain totally from the use of intoxicating liquors during the continuance of said contract; long prior to the completion of said manuscript, and its delivery to and acceptance by defendant, defendant had full knowledge and well knew of plaintiff's said use of intoxicating liquor during the continuance of said contract, but nevertheless acquiesced in and failed to object thereto, and did not terminate the contract on account thereof; with full knowledge of said breach by plaintiff, defendant continued to exact and require of plaintiff performance of all the other stipulations and conditions of said contract and treated the same as still in force, and continued to and did receive installments of manuscript under said contract, and continued to make and did make payments to plaintiff by way of advancements, and finally accepted and published said manuscript as aforesaid; at no time during the performance of said contract by plaintiff did defendant notify or intimate to plaintiff that defendant would insist upon strict compliance with said stipulation to abstain totally from the use of intoxicating liquor, or that defendant intended to take advantage of plaintiff's said breach, and on account and by reason thereof refuse to pay plaintiff the royalty stipulated in said contract; on the contrary, and with full knowledge of plaintiff's said use of intoxicating liquors, defendant repeatedly avowed and repeated to plaintiff that he was entitled to and would receive said royalty payments, and plaintiff believed and relied upon said representations, and in reliance thereon continued in the performance of said contract until the time of the breach thereof by defendant as hereinafter specifically alleged, and at all times during the writing of said treatise and after as well as before publication thereof as aforesaid, it was mutually understood, agreed and intended by the parties hereto that notwithstanding plaintiff's said use of intoxicating liquors,

he was nevertheless entitled to receive and would receive said royalty as the same accrued under said contract.

Form 90

Allegation of Breach by Defendant

The defendant failed and neglected to perform the conditions of said contract on his part in that
he failed to [state the particular terms of the contract which
defendant failed to perform, for example, "pay plaintiff the said sum of dollars,
or any part thereof, although payment thereof was duly demanded by plaintiff"].
Form 91
Breach of Contract in Writing
[Caption]
The plaintiff, by his attorney, for his complaint against the defendant, alleges:
1. That at, on or about,
20 the defendant [or "defendants"] for a valuable consideration, entered into an
agreement in writing with plaintiff, a copy of which is hereto annexed, marked "Exhibit A" and
made a part of this complaint [or, where copy of contract is not annexed, substitute after word
"plaintiff" a clause such as "wherein and whereby it was agreed between plaintiff and defendant
that" etc., "stating the material parts of the contract so far as they relate to the cause of action
sued on"], wherein the defendant agreed to [state legal effect of
contract].

2. That plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of such agreement on his part [or state the facts to show performance on the part of plaintiff]. [If performance by plaintiff waived or excused, state facts to show such waiver or excuse.]

3. That defendant has failed and neglected	ed to [state breach of
contract relied on. If breach is failure to pa	ay money, insert the words "pay the said sum of
dollars, or any part the	ereof, although payment thereof has been duly
demanded by plaintiff"].	
4. That plaintiff has been damaged in the	sum of dollars by reason of the
breach of the agreement aforesaid.	
[Prayer for relief]	
	Attorney for Plaintiff
	[Attorney's address]
	Telephone No
[Verification]	
Farm 00	
Form 92	
Breach of Contract in Writing—Another Fo	orm
[Caption and introductory paragraph]	
1. That on the day	of, 20, at
, the defendant a	nd the plaintiff entered into an agreement in writing,
dated that day, of which a copy is hereto ar	nnexed, marked "Exhibit A," and made part of this
complaint.	
2. That therein the defendant on his part a	agreed [specify in legal
effect what defendant agreed to do].	
3. In consideration of which plainting.	iff on his part promised and agreed to

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

4. That the plaintiff on his part has duly performed all the terms and conditions of said
agreement by him to be performed [or, allege that the plaintiff on his part has ever been and still
is ready and willing to perform said agreement].
That the latest had been set to be found to be the second of the second
5. That the defendant has not performed said agreement in this, to wit:
·
6. That by reason of such breaches the plaintiff has sustained damages in the sum of
dollars.
[Prayer for relief]
[i Tayor for Tollor]
[Attorney's address]
Telephone No.
[Varification]
[Verification]
Form 93
December of the Engineer Original
Breach of an Express Contract
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. That at all the times hereinafter mentioned the plaintiff was and still is a resident of the
Borough of Manhattan, City, County and State of New York.
borough or Marmattan, Oity, County and State of New York.
2. That heretofore and on or about the day of,
20, the plaintiff and one entered into an agreement
whereby the said then and there promised and agreed to pay to the
whereby the said then and there promised and agreed to pay to the plaintiff the sum of, each and every month during her natural life, in
plaintiff the sum of, each and every month during her natural life, in

, and the cures and treatments to be administered to her by an
under the supervision and direction of the said, for the serious an
dangerous illness and ailment and diseases and grievous bodily infections, from which, at the
said time, the plaintiff was suffering and from which for a period of about six years prior thereto
the plaintiff had been suffering, as a direct result and consequence of the dangerous injections
inoculations and germs administered to and introduced into her body and system by the sai
during a period of about six years prior to about the
day of, 20
3. That thereafter, and after the making of the said agreement, the plaintiff did, at all times
upon the demand or request of the said, consent to and subject
herself to, the control of the said and to the attempted an
purported cures and treatments administered to her by and under the supervision and directio
of the said for the said illness, ailments, diseases and bodil
afflictions resulting as aforesaid from the said injections, inoculations and germs.
4. That the plaintiff duly performed all the terms and conditions on her part to be performe
under the said agreement.
5. That the said has breached the said agreement and the term
thereof in that, among other things, since the month of
20, he has failed and refused to pay to the plaintiff the said sum of
a month each and every month during the remainder of the
plaintiff's natural life, pursuant to the terms of the said agreement or any part thereof, although
the plaintiff has duly demanded the said payment from him.
6. That since the making of the aforesaid agreement, and as a result of isolating herself from
her friends and giving up her occupation and livelihood, and as a result furthermore of the sai
breach of the said agreement and the nonpayment of the said monthly payments, the plainti

has suffered and still suffers great mental anguish and pain.

7. That as a result of the said attempted and purported cures and treatments administered to
the plaintiff by and under the supervision and direction of the said
and as a result also of the said breach of the said agreement, the plaintiff has suffered and sti
suffers great and serious bodily pain and mental anguish.
8. That by reason of all of the foregoing, the plaintiff has been damaged to the extent of the company of the foregoing.
WHEREFORE the plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant in the sum of, with interest thereon from
20, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.
Attorney for Plaintif
[Attorney's address
Telephone No
[Verification]
Form 94
Allegation of Breach by Defendant in That he Failed to Pay the Installments of Principal,
Interest, Insurance, and Taxes
The defendants have made default in the terms and conditions of said contract in that the
failed to pay the installment of principal and interest due and payable on the day
of, 20, and they have also failed to pay the installment of
principal and interest due and payable on the day of
20 Defendants have also failed to pay the insurance premiums due and payable
upon the insurance on the building on the land described in paragrapl
of this complaint, and plaintiff was required to and did on the
day of, 20, pay the said insurance

premiums, being the su	m of \$; defendant also	failed to pay	the taxes lev	vied
and assessed against	said land, and plaintiff	was required to a	nd did pay th	ne same on	the
day	of		, being	the sum	of
\$					
Form 95					
Allegations as to Nonp	ayment				
No part of said sum has	s been paid, although pa	ayment thereof has	been duly der	manded.	
Form 96					
Allegations as to Partic	al Payment				
No part of said sum h	as been paid, except	the sum of	do	llars paid or	n or
about the	day of	, 20	, and	the balance	e of
dollars	s remains due and owir	ng to plaintiff.			
Form 97					
Allegations as to Dema	and for Payment				
Before the commence	ement of this action	[or "on or about	the	day	of
	0"] pla	intiff demanded	payment of	said sum	of
dollars	s but that defendant ha	s refused [or "failed	"] to pay the s	ame or any	part
thereof.					
Form 98					
Allegation of Demand					
On or about the	day of	, 20)	, plaintiff of	duly
demanded of defendant	that he	[allege	particulars of	demand].	

All	gations Anticipating and Avoiding Defense of Payment	
Or	or about, 20, defendant delivered to plaintif	ff as
in	payment for, his promissory note due	on
	, 20; said note was duly presented for paymer	nt to
def	ndant on the day of its maturity but the same was not paid, and is now in plain	tiff's
pos	session and will be produced and surrendered at the trial of this action.	
Fo	m 100	
All	gations as to Agreement to Pay in Specific Property	
In	ayment and consideration of said services to be performed by plaintiff, defendant agree	d to
ass	gn and deliver to plaintiff shares of the common stock	k of
	Corp.	
Fo	m 101	
All	gations in Action to Recover Liquidated Damages	
lt '	as provided in said contract that if [specify the breach for which liquidated damages	are
pay	able], defendant would pay to plaintiff the sum of dollars as liquidate	ated
dar	ages, and not as a penalty, for his violation of said contract, and that upon such viola	ation
sai	sum of dollars should become immediately due and payable f	rom
def	ndant to plaintiff.	
Fo	m 102	
All	gation as to Loss of Profit on Resale	
Ву	reason of the defendant's refusal to complete the transaction and to convey the premise	s by
him	contracted to be conveyed, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of \$	

due to the fact the plaintiff had agreed to	resell the said p	property to a	another part	y, the	befor	e-
mentioned sum being the difference betw	een the contract	price and the	e price the p	olaintiff	f was	to
sell to the other party, and plaintiff has in-	curred an additio	nal expense	of \$		f	or
attorney's fees in connection with s	aid transaction	and has	expended	the s	sum	of
\$ for broker's commission	ons.					
Form 103						
Allegations as to Revival of Debt by Ne	w Promise					
[The following paragraph may be neces	sary where defe	ndant's debt	has been	discha	arged	in
bankruptcy or barred by the statute of limit	tations.]					
Thoroafter on or about	20		dofondon	t prop	ninad	in
Thereafter on or about			_, derendan	ıt pron	iisea	III
writing that he would pay such indebtedne	ess to the plaintiff.					
Form 104						
Allegations as to Custom of Trade or B	usiness					
At the time when said agreement was i	made, there was	a general o	custom and	usage	e in th	ne
trade [or "pro	ofession," or as th	ne case may	y be], well ι	ınders	tood I	οу
both the plaintiff and the defendant, to the	effect that					
		_				
In accordance with such custom,		[state	facts in re	egard	to ac	ts:
done].						
Form 105						
Allegation of Money Loaned, Payable o	n Demand					
1. On or about the da	y of	, 2	0	,	plaint	iff
loaned defendant \$ at	his request and	upon his pro	omise to rep	oay the	e san	ne
upon demand with interest at the rate of _	% r	er annum.				

Allegation as to Plaintiff's Reliance Upon Defendant's Representation

In reliance upon defendant's repr	esentations afor	esaid, and	in ignora	nce of th	ne facts so
concealed by defendant, plaintiff	paid to			, Inc., tl	ne sum of
\$ for an assignmen	nt of said contrac	t, and there	eafter purc	hased sa	id premises
from said	pursuant	to said	contract	for the	price of
\$ stipulated in said	contract.				
Form 107					
Allegation as to the Execution of a	Negotiable Inst	rument			
On or about the da	y of	, 2	0	, the	e defendant
made and delivered to plaintiff, for v	alue received, hi	s promisso	ry note in	writing, da	ated on that
day, whereby he promised to pay to	the order of the	plaintiff, at			the
sum of on the	day	of		_, 20	,
[or " mon	ths after date", o	r "on demaı	nd", or as t	he case r	nay be] with
interest at the rate of	% per annum.				

Form 108

Allegations Incorporating Schedule of Goods Sold in Accordance With CPLR 3016(f).

A schedule of the merchandise so sold and delivered to defendant, and containing the items of plaintiff's claim and the agreed price of each item, is hereto annexed, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part of this complaint.

Form 109

Allegations Where Seller Fails to Deliver and Purchaser Buys in Open Market

Within a reasonable time a	fter the defendant fa	iled to ship or deliv	ver said goods, plaintiff
purchased similar goods in	the open market at f	the lowest price for	which he was able to
purchase the same, and pai	d therefor the sum of	\$, which was the sum of
\$ in excess	of the price for which	n defendant agreed	to sell and deliver said
goods to plaintiff.			
Form 110			
Complaint on Account State	ed; Copy Attached as	Exhibit	
[Caption and introductory par	agraph]		
1. On or about the	day of	, 20	, an account
was stated between the plain	tiff and the defendant,	a copy of which is I	hereto annexed, marked
"Exhibit A" and made a	part of this compla	int; upon such sta	atement a balance of
dollars was	found and agreed to b	e due to the plaintiff	from the defendant.
2. The defendant then agreed	d to pay the same, but	no part thereof has I	been paid.
[Demand for judgment, endo	rsement, address, tele	phone number and v	verification.]
Form 111			
Complaint on Account State	ed; No Copy of Acco	unt Attached to Cor	mplaint
[Caption and introductory par	agraph]		
1. Prior to the	day of	, 20	, the plaintiff had
loaned to the defendant varie	ous sums of money a	nd had received fror	m the defendant various
partial repayments thereof, a	nd on or about said d	ate an account was	stated between plaintiff
and defendant upon which a	balance of	dollars was	found to be due to the
plaintiff from the defendant, v	vhich sum the defenda	ant promised and ag	reed to pay, but no part
thereof has been paid.			

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number and verification.]

Complaint in Action on Account Stated by Operation of Law; Statement Receiver by Defendant and Accepted Without Objection

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. At all the times hereinafte	er men	tioned the p	olaintiffs v	were a	nd still a	are copa	rtners ir	n the Cit	y of
	as	brokers,	under	the	firm	name	and	style	of
·									
2. At various times during	the ye	ears 20		and	I 20		, pla	aintiffs w	/ere
employed by defendant, as I	oroker	s, to make p	ourchase	s and s	sales of	stock ar	nd secu	rities for	the
account of and at the risk of	defend	dant on com	nmission.						
3. At various times during	the p	eriod afore	said the	se plai	ntiffs p	urchased	d and s	sold for	the
defendant under said emplo	yment	t, at his rec	uest and	l for hi	s accou	unt and r	isk, var	ious sto	ocks
and securities, of which pure	hases	and sales	defendan	t was c	duly not	ified.			
4. In making such purchas	ses an	d sales as	aforesai	d plain	tiffs, at	the risk	of the	defend	ant,
advanced large sums of m	oney	which with	their co	nmissi	ons an	d other	expens	es incu	rred
therein amounted in the	aggre	gate, on t	he		da	ay of _			,
20, to the su	ım of		do	ollars o	ver an	d above	all sun	ns recei	ved
from defendant or on his acc	ount.								
5. From time to time during	g their	said emplo	oyment th	nese pl	aintiffs	made a	nd rend	lered to	the
defendant accurate stateme	nts of	the transac	ctions be	tween	the def	endant a	and thes	se plaint	tiffs,
which statements showed t	he wh	ole amount	paid, la	id out	and ex	pended I	by plair	ntiffs for	the
defendant at his request an	d for h	nis benefit,	together	with th	e amo	unt of pu	ırchase	s and sa	ales
made by plaintiffs for defend	lant's a	account witl	h the con	nmissio	ons the	reon and	other c	harges	and

expenses made and incurred therein as well as all moneys received from the defendant or for

which he was entitle	d to credit, which stateme	nts were received b	y the defendant and ret	ained
by him without object	ion being made thereto or	any item thereof.		
6. On or about the _	day of	, 20_	, a full, jus	st and
true account was ma	ade and stated between th	e defendant and th	ese plaintiffs which show	ved a
balance of	dollars due to the	ese plaintiffs from o	defendant, over and abo	ve all
sums received from	defendant and for which h	e was entitled to c	redit, which said accoun	t was
delivered to and rec	eived and accepted by d	efendant and by h	im retained without obje	ection
being made thereto o	or to any item thereof.			
•	e premises the defendar		·	
20				
	glected and refused to pay	/ these plaintiffs, al	though due demand has	been
made for payment.				
[Demand for judgme	nt, endorsement, address,	telephone number	and verification.]	
Form 113				
Complaint on Acco	unt Stated; Attorney's Fe	es and Disbursem	ients	
[Caption and introdu	ctory paragraph]			
1. At all times herei	nafter mentioned plaintiff v	vas and still is an a	attorney and counselor a	t law,
duly admitted to prac	tice as such in the State of	New York.		
2. Between the _	day of	,	20, and	d the
day	of, 2	, t	he plaintiff herein perfo	rmed
work and rendered s	services to the defendant	above named, as a	ttorney and counselor a	t law,
and in the course of	performing such services,	advanced and laid	out various sums of mon-	ey for
and on behalf and for	r the benefit of the said def	endant.		

3. On or about the	e day of _	, 20_	, an account
was duly stated be	tween the plaintiff and the	defendant for such wo	rk, labor and services, and
money advanced, a	as aforesaid, and upon suc	h account stated there	was found to be due to the
plaintiff from the o	defendant the sum of	dollars,	which the defendant duly
promised and agree	ed to pay.		
4. No part of the	said sum has been paid b	y the defendant to the	plaintiff except the sum of
0	dollars on the	day of	, 20,
leaving a balance o	lue and unpaid to the plain	tiff herein of the sum of	dollars.
[Demand for judgm	nent, endorsement, addres	s, telephone number, ar	nd verification.]
Form 114			
Complaint in Actio	on on Account Stated; En	nployer Against Sales	man, for Amounts Drawn
in Excess of Com	missions Earned		
[Caption and introd	ductory paragraph]		
1. At all the times	hereinafter mentioned the	olaintiff was engaged in	the buying and selling and
delivery of coal at	wholesale and retail in t	ne City of	, under the
name and style of _			
2. Heretofore, and	d on or about		, the plaintiff
entered into a cont	ract in writing with defenda	ant, by which defendant	t agreed to sell coal for the
plaintiff exclusively	for a period of one	year, which was to l	begin as of the date of
	, 20	_, a copy of which is	hereto annexed, marked
Exhibit A," and ma	de a part of this complaint.		
3. Under said agre	eement, "Exhibit A," defen	dant entered into the e	employment of this plaintiff,
and continued in sa	aid employment during the	year 20	, and thereafter the plaintiff
and defendant by a	an agreement in writing sig	gned by plaintiff and de	fendant, agreed to and did
renew said agr	eement, "Exhibit A,"	for a further terr	n of one year from

	, 20	, l	upon th	ne same	terms	and cor	ndition	ıs in	all
respects, and said	defendant did o	continue in	said p	olaintiff's	employr	ment ur	nder t	he s	said
agreement, until		, 20		·					
4. During said perio	od, the defendant	t from time	to time	e drew, a	s he de	sired, di	ivers	sums	s of
money, on account o	of commissions ea	arned, and	to be e	arned an	d to be r	eceived	by hi	m un	nder
said agreement.									
5. On or about		, 20		, the	e plaintif	f and de	fenda	nt ha	ad a
settlement of all thei	r transactions aris	sing out of	their bu	siness re	elations,	as well	as un	der s	said
agreement, "Exhibit A	4," up to			, 20		, and a	a disp	ute t	hen
arose as to the balar	nce then due fror	n said defei	ndant to	this plai	ntiff, and	the acc	count	s of s	said
parties were then sta	ited, whereby in s	ettlement o	f said a	ccount it	was the	n and the	ere aç	greed	yd b
and between this pla	aintiff and defenda	ant that the	re was	due and	owing fr	om said	l defe	ndan	nt to
this plaintiff on that	day the sum of _		c	dollars, w	hich said	d defend	dant t	hen a	and
there promised and a	agreed to pay.								
6. On	, 20		, de	fendant l	eft the pl	aintiff's e	emplo	y.	
7. Between		, 20		, and	d				,
20, the	e sales made and	d contracted	to be r	nade by t	he defer	ndant am	nounte	∍d to	the
sum of	dollars, u	pon which	defend	dant's co	mmissio	ns purs	suant	to s	said
agreement amounte	d to	dolla	ars, bu	t during	said pe	riod, de	fenda	nt d	rew
moneys from time to	time in the total a	amount of _			dollars,	leaving a	a bala	ınce	due
and owing plaintiff	from defendant	t on the			day of				,
20, ar	nounting to		dollars	s, no part	of which	has be	en pai	d.	
[Demand for judgme	ent. endorsement.	address, te	lephone	e number	. and ve	rification	ı.1		

Complaint on Account Stated; Agreement to Deliver Corporate Bonds in Payment

[Caption and introductory paragra	aph]		
1. Prior to the	day of		, the plaintiff
rendered and performed certain	work, labor and service	es for and on account of	of and for the
benefit of the defendant herein.			
2. On or about the said	day of		, an
account was stated and had between	veen the plaintiff and th	e defendant and, upon s	aid statement
and accounting, there was found	to be due and owing by	the defendant to the pla	intiff, the sum
of, which sum t	the defendant then and	there promised and agr	eed to pay to
the plaintiff in% fi	rst mortgage bonds of		Company,
which bonds were due on the	day of	, 20	and
which bonds were alleged by the s	said defendant to be of t	he value of \$	·
3. The defendant promised and a has neglected and refused to do s [Demand for judgment, endorsem Form 116	50.		·
Complaint on Account Stated; L	₋iquidating Partner afto	er Dissolution of Partne	ership
[Caption and introductory paragra	aph]		
1. At all times hereinafter mentio	ned, and until the	day of	
20, plaintiff and or	ne	were partners, d	oing business
under the firm name and style of _		·	
2. Defendant is a domestic corpo	ration, duly organized a	nd existing under the law	s of the State
[Caption and introductory paragra 1. At all times hereinafter mentio 20, plaintiff and or under the firm name and style of _	aph] ned, and until the	day of were partners, d 	oing business

3. Prior to the		day of		, 20		, said p	artnership
had sold to the	defenda	ınt large quanti	ties of			and had rec	eived from
the defendant va	arious p	ayments on ac	count.				
4. On or abo	out the		_ day of _.		, 2	0	, said
partnership was	s dissol	ved and on o	r about the		day c	of	,
20	_, an a	ccount was sta	ated betwee	n said partne	ership and	the defenda	nt, a copy
of which is here	eto anne	exed, marked "	Exhibit A", a	and made a p	part of this	complaint,	and it was
then found and	agree	d that the def	endant was	indebted to	said part	nership in th	ne sum of
	_ dolla	rs, which the c	lefendant pr	omised and	agreed to	pay as soon	as it had
received payme	nt of ce	rtain accounts	then owing t	o it by certair	other per	sons and co	porations,
to wit,					and		·
5. On information6. Upon the displaintiff was ma	solutior	n of said partne	ership, and b	y the terms	of the diss	olution agree	
owing to said pa	•			•		-	
7. No part of the payment thereof	f has be	en duly demar	nded.		·	·	, although
Form 117							
Complaint in A	ction b	y Stockbroker	on Accoun	t Stated			
[Caption and in	troducto	ory paragraph]					
1. Heretofore	and p	orior to and	on the _		_ day of	·	,
20	, th	ne plaintiff,	together	with			and
		were co-p	artners eng	aged in the b	ousiness c	of bankers ar	nd brokers

in the City of		, under	the fi	rm name	and	style	of
	and Co.						
2. Thereafter and	prior to the month of			, 20		, s	said
	retired from suc	ch firm and said	d t			_ and	the
plaintiff acquired an	d succeeded to all his in	terests in the s	aid firm a	and continue	ed to co	onduct	the
said business und	der the said firm nam	e until on or	about	the		_ day	of
	_, 20 whe	n		died a	and the	plainti	ff is
now the sole survivi	ng partner of the said fire	n.					
3. Prior to the	day of		, 20		_, the	defend	lant
employed the firm	of	and Co	. to buy	and sell ce	ertain s	tocks a	and
bonds for him and	at his risk upon commis	sion, and pron	nised and	d agreed to	pay sa	aid firm	ı all
sums advanced by	the firm in the purchase	thereof and a	lso all co	ommissions	for the	purcha	ase
and sale thereof. The	he said firm did purchas	e and sell such	n stocks	and bonds f	or the	defend	lant
and on or about the	e day of		, 20)	, th	e acco	ount
between the said fi	rm and the defendant wa	as duly stated	and it wa	as agreed th	at the	defend	lant
was indebted to the	said firm in the sum of \$		·				
4. No part of the s	sum of \$	_ has been pa	aid by th	e defendan	t to the	said f	firm
although at the tim	e the account was state	ed, the defenda	ant agree	ed to make	payme	nt of s	aid
sum of \$	to the firm.						
[Demand for judgm	ent, endorsement, addre	ess, telephone	number,	and verificat	tion.]		
Form 118							
Complaint in Actio	on to Correct Account S	Stated					
[Caption and introd	uctory paragraph]						
1. On the _	day	of		, 20		,	at
	, an account wa	s stated betwe	en plaint	tiff and defe	ndant.	relating	g to

certain dealings theretofore h		-	
2. Thereafter, on the	day of	, 20	, the plaintiff
ascertained that the said ac	count was by mistake of	on his part erroneousl	y stated, in that,
[s	pecify the error showing	it to be of fact, as for e	example "an item,
the sum of	dollars for goods, wares	and merchandise which	ch were sold and
delivered by the plaintiff to the	ne defendant on the	day of	
20, had been ii	nadvertently omitted in th	e statement of said acc	count, and should
have been included, as part of	the dealings and transac	tions intended to be inc	luded therein"].
3. Immediately upon discover	ering said error and omis	ssion and on the	day of
, 20	, the plaintiff info	rmed the defendant of	the said error and
demanded a restatement of s	said account, and reques	sted the defendant to	correct the same,
which he refused and still refus	ses to do.		
4. Said account should be cor	rected by	[state how	, for example, "by
adding the omitted item afores	said to the charges agains	st the defendant, and m	aking the balance
due to the plaintiff thereon	the sum of	dollars instead	d of the sum of
dollars, as n	low erroneously appears t	hereby"].	
WHEREFORE, the plaintiff de	emands judgment against	the defendant:	
1. That said error in said acco	ount stated may be correct	ted as above set forth.	
2. That he have judgment ag	gainst the defendant for the	ne sum of	dollars with
interest thereon from the	day of	, 20	, with the
costs and disbursements of the	is action.		
[Endorsement, address, telep	hone number, and verifica	ation.1	

Complaint in Action By Contractor On Account Stated and For Unpaid Labor, Material, Services, and Improvements

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

1. That plaintiff,	. That plaintiff, , at all times hereinafter mentioned was and still is a				
corporation organized and existing unc	der and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, with				
its principal place of business situate	ed in the County of and the				
State of New York.					
2. That, upon information and be	elief, the defendants, and				
, at all time	es hereinafter mentioned were, and remain, residents of				
the State of New York.					
3. Commencing on or about	, 20, and continuing				
through and including	, 20, defendants repeatedly				
requested plaintiff to perform cert	ain work, labor and services and otherwise make				
improvements to, on, and about prope	erty owned by the defendants and located in the Town of				
, County of	, State of New York.				
4. That plaintiff has substantially and	d completely performed all of the above-described and				
requested work, labor, services and im	provements to the defendants' property.				
5. To date, the total agreed price, and	fair and reasonable value, of the work, labor, services and				
improvements described above is \$					
6. To date, on account of the above	ve-described work, labor, services, and improvements,				
defendants have paid plaintiff a total of	\$				
7. Despite due demand, defendants h	nave failed, neglected, and/or refused to pay plaintiff the				
balance due on account of the a	foresaid work, labor, services and improvements, of				
\$					

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

8. Between	, 20	, and	
20, at least two s	statements of accoun	t were rendered to de	efendants by plaintiff
on account of the above-describe	ed work, labor, service	es and improvements.	
9. To date, defendants have f	ailed, neglected, and	d/or refused to respo	nd to the aforesaid
statements of account.			
10. By reason of the above, an	account has been sta	ted by plaintiff to defe	ndants in the sum of
\$			
	THIRD CAUSE OF	ACTION	
11. Plaintiff repeats and reallege	s paragraphs 1 throu્	gh 10 as though set fo	rth at length herein.
12. By reason of the above \$, defendants have	been unjustly enrich	ed in the sum of
[Demand for judgment, endorser	ment, address, teleph	one number, and verif	cation.]
Form 120			
Complaint in Action by Hospit	al To Recover Mone	y Owed For Professi	onal Services
Rendered by Hospital in Medic	cal Treatment of Dec	eased Patient	
[Caption and introductory paragr	aph]		
1. Plaintiff	Memorial Hosp	ital is a corporation or	ganized and existing
under the laws of the Sta			
, Flo	rida, and is authorize	d to operate a nospit	al under the laws of
the State of Florida.			
2. Upon information and be			
, are	the President and T	reasurer, respectively	, of the Health and
Welfare Fund of Hospital, Sur	gical, Dental, Optica	and Major Medical	Plan of the United

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

Workers Union Local	(hereinafter
referred to as the "Fund"), an unincorporated association wi	th its principal place of business at
Avenue,	, New York, and is engaged in
the business of administering health insurance plans.	
3. Upon information and belief,	was a resident of
, New York, County of	and died on or
about April 9, 1990.	
4 was granted Limited Letters	of Administration for the Estate of
on January 10, 1991 by the	County
Surrogate's Court.	
5. Upon information and belief, the Fund issue	ed a policy of insurance to
, covering her and her husban	nd,, for
hospital and major medical benefits.	
6. On, 20,	executed an
Admission Agreement with Mer	norial Hospital (hereinafter referred
to as the "Hospital"), whereby he agreed to be liable for all	hospitalization charges in the event
such charges were not covered by insurance benefits.	
7. Mr also authorized payme	ent directly to the Hospital of the
insurance hospital benefits and major medical benefits due to	him.
8. On or about March 20, 1990, the Hospital obtained appr	oval from the Fund for coverage of
benefits for Mr for fourteen (14)	days of stay at 100% coverage.
9. From March 20, 1990 through April 9, 1990,	was treated at the
Hospital for rheumatoid lung disease.	
10. The Hospital rendered professional services in	the medical treatment of Mr.
at the request of Mr	, and the
reconnected walking of excels complete was 4,004,44	

11. On or about April 22, 1990, the Hospital billed the Fund for the services provided to Mi
from March 20, 1990 through April 9, 1990 for 1,221.14.
12. Payment has been demanded from the Fund, but no amount has been paid.
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
13. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-12 as if set forth fully herein.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
14. Pursuant to the Admission Agreement executed with the Hospital
is liable to the Hospital for the services provided to him, from March
20, 1990 through April 9, 1990; totaling 1,221.14.
15. No amount of that sum has been paid to the Hospital.
16. Wherefore,, as Personal Representative and Limited
Administratrix of the state of, is liable to the Hospital for 1,221.14
plus interest from April 9, 1990.
SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-16 as if set forth fully herein.
18. Pursuant to the policy of insurance issued by the Fund to Mrs
which covered the hospitalization and medical services provided to Mi
at the Hospital from March 20, 1990 through April 9, 1990, the Fund
is liable to the hospital for 1,221.14 plus interest from April 9, 1990.
19. Plaintiff demanded said amount, and defendant refused to pay the same.
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
20. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-19 as if set forth fully herein.

- 21. On or about April 22, 1990, a full, just and true account was made and stated between the Hospital and the Fund which showed a balance of 1,221.14 due from the Fund, which said account was delivered to and received and accepted by the Fund and retained without objection.
- 22. Accordingly, the Fund is liable to plaintiff for 1,221.14 plus interest from April 22, 1990.
- 23. The Fund has neglected and refused to pay this amount to the Hospital, although due demand has been made for payment.

Complaint By Banking Corporation to Recover Money Owed for Credit Card Charges

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. Plaintiff was and is a national banking corporation organized and existing under the laws of
the United States of America with its principal office and place of business a
, New York.
2. On information and belief, defendants reside at Avenue
, New York.
3. Prior to the commencement of this action, this account was assigned to plaintiff by th
Bank & Trust Co. for a good and valuable consideration and notice
were given to defendants of plaintiff's rights to payments.
4. At the defendants' request, plaintiff's assignor issued a Cred
Card, Account Number to defendants on or about
20 whereby defendants agreed to pay to plaintiff or plaintiff's assignor i
consideration for loans granted and/or goods, wares and merchandise purchased or service
rendered to the defendant by use of said credit card including service charges and/or lat
charges, if any, within the past four years. See Exhibit A attached hereto.

5. The plaintiff's assignor's Credit Card Agreement provides for the "addition of attorneys' fees
to the extent permitted by law". It is requested that the attorney fees be fixed at 20% of the
balance due.
6. On information and belief, thereafter, there were charges made by defendants by use of said
credit card, and there is now due from defendant who are in default the sum of \$
See Exhibit B attached hereto and made a part hereof.
7. Plaintiff requests attorney fees of \$ for a total of \$
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 122
Complaint On Account Stated for Goods, Services and Wares Delivered
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. That the plaintiff is and was at all times material to this cause of action a corporation
organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York with offices to do business
in the County of and State of New York.
2. [Allege status of defendants].
3. That prior hereto the plaintiff delivered to the defendants the special instance and request of
the defendants certain goods, wares and services for an agreed price and upon an open
account.
4. That at various times during the delivery of such goods, war and services and on numerous
occasions thereafter, accounts were stated between the plaintiff and the defendants; that there
is presently due and owing from the defendants to the plaintiff for such goods, wares and
services delivered, after the deduction of all amounts paid by the defendants to the plaintiff in
partial satisfaction thereof the sum of \$ with interest thereon from
, 20, representing the agreed price and reasonable
value of such services delivered by the plaintiff and to the the deduction of all payments made
by the defendants in partial satisfaction thereof.

5. That an account was stated between the	e plaintiff and the defendants in the amount of \$
with interest thereon from _	, 20
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, addres	s, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 123	
Allegations in Action by Assignee	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]	
[State in separate paragraphs the cause of	action sued on the same as if there had been no
assignment of the cause of action.]	
5. Heretofore and on or about the	day of,
20, the said	, duly assigned the contract hereinbefore
specified and all his rights, title and interests	thereto to the plaintiff [by an instrument in writing
signed by the said	_, a copy of which is annexed to this complaint and
made a part hereof].	
6. Written notice of the said assignment was	given to the defendant by the plaintiff on or about
the day of	_, 20
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, addres	s, telephone number and verification.]
Form 124	
Allegation that Assignment Has Been Con	sented to
The said assignment was duly consented	to by the defendant, as required by the contract
hereinbefore set forth, by an instrument	in writing duly made and executed on the
day of,	20, a copy of which is annexed
hereto and made a part hereof.	

Allegation of Assignment of Moneys Due Under a Contract

On or about the	day of	. 20	, the said
rights, title and interest in			
_	•		
contract, and the plaintiff is	s now the owner and holds	er or said ciaim.	
Form 126			
Complaint in Action by A	Assignee of Judgment		
[Caption and introductory	paragraph]		
1. On the	day of	, 20	, the
	_ Co. duly commenced	an action against the	defendant in the
	_ Court, County of		and State of New
York, by the service of a			
day of	, 20	such proceedi	ings were duly had
in such action and a judg	ment was duly given by	the said court against the	e defendant for the
sum of	, with interest ther	eon from the	day of
, 20	, amounting	in all to the sum of \$	plus
the sum of \$	costs and c	lisbursements making	a total sum of
\$			
	appeal from the said judge	ment and the time to do s	o has expired.
3. Heretofore and on the	e day of _		, for a
valuable consideration, th	ie	Co., by an instrum	ent in writing, duly
assigned, transferred and	set over to the plaintiff,	all its rights, title and int	erest in and to the
aforesaid judgment and cl	aim against the defendan	t and the plaintiff is and	has been since the
said date the lawful owner	and holder thereof.		

4. Payment of said judgment was duly demanded by the	Co. prior
to the aforesaid assignment and was duly demanded by the	plaintiff after the said assignment
but no part of said judgment has been paid.	
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone nu	mber, and verification.]
Form 127	
Complaint by Payee Against Acceptor of Bill of Exchange	e; Copy of Bill Included in
Complaint	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]	
1. On or about the day of	, 20, at
, one	drew his draft or bill of exchange
directed to the defendant and delivered the same to the plain	tiff for value; the following is a copy
of said draft or bill of exchange:[insert copy].
2. On or about the day of	_, 20, the defendant
accepted said bill of exchange, and the following is	s a copy of said acceptance:
[insert copy].	
3. Thereafter and on or about the	day of,
20, the plaintiff duly presented the said draft of	or bill of exchange to the defendant
for payment but the defendant has refused and neglected to r	nake payment.
4. Plaintiff is the owner and holder of said draft or bill of exch	ange.
5. There is now due and unpaid on the said bill the sum of _	dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone nu	mber, and verification.]

Complaint by Payee Against Acceptor of Bill of Exchange Where Legal Effect of Bill Is Pleaded

[Caption and intro	ductory paragraph]			
1. On the	day	of	,	20, at
			duly drew his	certain bill of exchange
in writing dated	on that day directe	ed to the de	efendant,	, at
	, and therel	by ordered th	ne defendant to pa	ay to the order of the
plaintiff	dollars,		days aft	er said date [or "three
days after sight of	said bill of exchange	," or otherwise	e as the case may	be], and duly delivered
the same to this pla	aintiff for value receive	ed.		
2. On the		day of _		_, 20,
	, the defenda	ant,	(duly accepted said bill.
3. Thereafter and	on the	_ day of	, 20	, plaintiff
duly presented sa	id bill of exchange f	or payment a	and payment there	of was refused by the
defendant, and no	part thereof has b	een paid [in	a proper case ac	dd "except the sum of
	dollars, paid on	the	day of	·,
20	ļ.			
4. Plaintiff is the ov	wner and holder of sa	id bill of excha	ange.	
5. There is now du	ue and unpaid on the	sum of	dollars.	
[Demand for judgn	nent, endorsement, a	ddress, teleph	one number, and v	rerification.]

Form 129

Complaint by Payee of Bill of Exchange Against Acceptor for Honor

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

1.	On	the	day	of	f,	20, at
			, A duly drev	v an	nd delivered to the plaintiff	his bill of exchange in
writ	ing dat	ted on	that day and directed to	ο В,	and thereby ordered B to p	pay to the order of this
plai	ntiff the	e sum o	of doll	ars, _.		days after sight of said
bill,	for val	ue rece	eived, and plaintiff is now	the /	e owner and holder thereof.	
2.	On	the	day	of	f, :	20, at
			, said bill was	dul	ly presented to B for accept	tance, but B refused to
acc	ept the	e same	e, of all of which due	notio	ce was thereupon given to	o A and said bill was
ther	eupon	duly p	rotested for nonacceptar	ice.		
3.	On	the	day	of	f,	20, at
			, with plaintiff	s co	onsent, the defendant duly a	ccepted said bill for the
hon	or of A	١.				
4.	At the	time s	aid bill was payable by	the	e terms thereof, the same v	was duly presented for
					y the same and it was there	
non	payme	ent, of a	III of which due notice wa	as gi	iven to the defendant and to	the said A.
5. l	Plaintif	f is the	owner and holder of said	llid k	I, no part of which has been	paid.
6.	Гhere i	s now (due and unpaid on the s	aid b	oill the sum of \$	·
[De	emand	for jud	gment, endorsement, ad	dres	ss, telephone number, and v	erification.]
For	m 130					
Cor	nplain	t by Pa	ayee for Breach of Con	trac	et to Accept Bill of Exchanç	ge
[Ca	aption a	and intr	oductory paragraph]			
1.	On o	or abo	ut the	day	y of,	20, at
			, the defenda	ant,	for value, unconditionally	promised in writing to

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

accept certain drafts to the amount of dollars to be drawn by
, as drawers, on him, the defendant, as drawee.
2. In pursuance of said unconditional promise the said, at
, on the day of,
20, drew their certain draft or bill on the defendant, of which the following is a
сору:
2. The plaintiff relying upon the faith of the upconditional promise of defendant to accept the
3. The plaintiff, relying upon the faith of the unconditional promise of defendant to accept the
said bill, received the same for a valuable consideration and paid therefor the sum of
dollars and is now the owner and holder of said bill.
4. Thereafter and on or about the day of,
20, the said bill was duly presented to the defendant for acceptance and payment
out defendant declined and refused to accept or pay said bill [whereupon said bill was duly
protested for nonacceptance, at a cost of dollars which plaintiff was required
o pay], and notice of said presentment, nonacceptance and nonpayment was duly given to
.

5. Said bill remains wholly unpaid and there is now due and owing thereon the sum of
dollars, with interest from the day of,
20
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 131

Complaint in Action on Nonnegotiable Order

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. Upon information and belief that at all the times	s hereinafter mentioned the defendant was
and still is a corporation, organized and exi	sting under the laws of the state of
2. That at all the times hereinafter mentioned, R.	T and A T were engaged in business as
copartners under the firm name and style of	
3. That on or about the day of	, 20 made and
delivered to plaintiff an order, of which the following i	s a copy [copy of order].
4. Upon information and belief that the defendants	duly accepted said order and promised to
pay said sum to plaintiff from the first money due	on account of the
said agreement existing between	and the defendant.
5. Upon information and belief that the paymen	t of said was
postponed and extended by said	and the plaintiff herein to the
second payment due on account of the	e said agreement existing between
and the defendant,	on or about
6. Upon information and belief that the said pos	tponement and extension to said second
payment, due and payable on or about	, on account of the said
contract between said	and defendant, was accepted by the
defendant.	
7. Upon information and belief that thereafter, to	wit, on or about the day of
, 20, the afor	esaid second payment from the said
to the said	
and applicable to the payment of the said sum of	
plaintiff herein; and the said second payme	
to the said	on the day of
exceeds the sum of	dollars.

8. Upon information	on and belief that t	he defenda	ant appropri	ated out of the	e said secon	d payment
due on or about t	he	day of		the su	m of	
dollars to the use of	of the defendant.					
9. Upon information	on and belief that t	hereafter a	and before t	he commence	ement of this	action the
plaintiff duly dema	nded payment of th	ne sum of _		dollars f	rom the defe	ndant.
10. Upon informa	tion and belief tha	t no part c	of the said s	sum of	(dollars has
been paid, and tha	at by reason of the	above the	defendant b	ecame indebt	ed to the pla	intiff in the
	dollar , 20		nterest fro	om the		day of
[Demand for judgi	ment, endorsement	t, address,	telephone n	umber, and v	erification.]	
Form 132						
Complaint by Pay	ee of Bill of Exch	ange Agai	nst Drawer	of Bill for No	nacceptano	e:e
[Caption and intro	ductory paragraph]					
1. On the		day of			20	, at
	, the defe	ndant drev	v and delive	red to the plai	ntiff for value	e received,
his bill of exchange	e in writing dated o	n that day,	and directe	d to		, and
thereby ordered sa	aid		_ to pay to	the order of	this plaintiff t	the sum of
	dollars,		days	after the date	[or sight] of	said bill.
2. On the		day of			20	, at
	said	bill of	exchange	was duly	presented	to said
	for accep	otance, but	the said _			refused to
accept or pay	the same and	due no	tice of sa	aid presenta	tion and r	refusal of
	to accep	t or pay sa	id bill was g	iven to the de	fendant [if a	foreign bill
of exchange add	"and said bill was	thereupon	duly protes	sted for nona	cceptance at	t a cost of
	dollars, which plain	tiff was rec	uired to nav	/"]		

3. Plaintiff is now the owner and holder of said bill, and no part of the same has been paid and
there is now due and payable thereon the sum of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 133
Complaint by Payee on Bill Payable at Sight or on a Day Certain
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On the, day of, 20, at
, defendant drew and delivered to plaintiff his bill of exchange in
writing, of which plaintiff is now the owner and holder, in words and figures as follows:
[set out bill of exchange payable in such time and manner that no
acceptance is required].
2. On the, 20, at
, said bill of exchange was duly presented to
, drawee, for payment, but was not paid, of all of which due notice
was given to defendant.
3. The same has not been paid, nor any part thereof and there is now due and payable on the
said bill the sum of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 134
Complaint by Payee Against Drawer of Bill Where Drawee Is a Fictitious Person
[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1.	On	the		day	of		,	20	 ,	at
			, d	lefendant ma	ade an	d delive	ered to plaintiff	a draft or b	oill of excha	ange
in w	ords a	and figu	res as follow	S:			[Set forth	copy of bill	l.]	
2.	Said _.			, nan	ned th	erein a	s drawee, was	a fictitiou	ıs person,	and
kno	wn by	defend	dant to be suc	h.						
3. 1	No pa	t of the	same has be	en paid, and	d plaint	tiff is no	w the owner ar	nd holder tl	nereof.	
4. 7	Γhere	is now	due and paya	able on the s	aid dra	aft or bil	I the sum of		dollar	S.
[De	emand	for jud	gment, endor	sement, add	lress, t	elepho	ne number, and	l verificatio	n.]	
For	m 135	;								
Cor	nplair	nt in Ad	ction by Ende	orsee of Bill	of Ex	change	e Against Acce	eptor		
[Ca	ption	and int	roductory par	agraph]						
1.	On	the		day	of		,	20	,	at
							_ drew and deli	vered his b	oill of excha	ange
dire	cted	to the	e defendant	and there	by re	quired	defendant to	pay to	the order	r of
							_ days after the	date of s	aid bill the	sum
of _			dollars.							
2.	On or	about	the	day	of		, 20_		, defen	dant
duly	acce	pted sa	aid bill in writin	ng.						
3.	There	after a	nd before ma	aturity the sa	aid			[name	of payee]	duly
end	orsed	said bi	II of exchange	e in blank an	d deliv	ered sa	ame to plaintiff,	for value r	eceived.	
4. \$	Said b	ill was	duly presente	d to defenda	int on t	the date	e of its maturity	and paym	ent thereof	was
duly	dem:	anded,	but was refus	ed.						

5. Plaintiff is now the owner and holder of said bill.

6. No part of said bill ha	as been paid and there is	now due and payab	le thereon the sum of
dollars.			
[Demand for judgment, e	ndorsement, address, telep	ohone number, and ve	erification.]
Form 136			
Complaint in Action by	Remote Endorsee of Bill	of Exchange Agains	t Acceptor
[Caption and introductory	v paragraph]		
1. On the	day of		20, at
	, defendant,	, acc	epted and delivered to
	, the payee therein name	ed, a bill of exchange	, of which the following
is a copy:	[insert copy o	f the bill and acceptar	nce].
2. The said	[name of p	eayee] thereafter duly	endorsed said bill and
delivered it so endorsed	to	, and thereafter a	and before maturity the
same was delivered to an	d came lawfully into the po	ssession of this plaint	tiff, for value.
3. Said bill was duly pre	sented to defendant on th	e date of its maturity	and payment of same
demanded, but was refus		,	,
4. Plaintiff is now the own	ner and holder of same, an	d no part thereof has	been paid.
5. There is now due and	payable on said bill the sur	n of	_ dollars.
[Demand for judgment, e	ndorsement, address, telep	ohone number, and ve	erification.]
Form 137			
Complaint in Action by	Endorsee of Foreign Bill	of Exchange Agains	t Drawee after
Acceptance of Bill			

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. The	plainti	iff is a corp	ooration o	organiz	ed unde	er the	laws of			and
having	its	principal	office	and	place	of	business	at	No.	
			street	in City	of			·		
2. On o	r abou	ut the		_ day c	of		, 20)		, at the City of
			, one A	A drew	his certa	ain dra	aft or bill of	excha	nge in	writing, dated on
that day	, and	directed to	the defer	ndant a	t			, a	nd the	reby required the
defenda	nt to p	pay to the o	order of A	the su	um of		li	re sixt	y days	after the date of
said dra	ft or bi	ill of exchar	nge.							
3. The	ereafte	er and c	on or a	about	the _		d	ay of	f	
							d draft or bil			
possess holder th		•	for value	e, and t	he plain	tiff the	reupon bec	ame a	nd still	is the owner and
5. Thei	reafter	, on the _		(day of _)	, at the
maturity	of the	e said draft	or bill of	excha	nge, the	same	e was duly _l	oresen	ted to	the defendant at
			, for p	aymen	t but pa	ıymen	t was refus	ed, a	nd no	part thereof has
been pa	id.									
6. The	value	of the lira	in Unite	ed Stat	tes curre	ency i	s and was	, at th	ne mat	urity of said bill,
			cents,	, and th	ne sum (of		lire	, direct	ted to be paid by
said dra	aft or	bill of exc	change,	is and	then v	vas e	quivalent ir	n Unit	ed Sta	ates currency to
		dollars								
[Demar	nd for i	udament e	andorsem	ent ad	ldress te	elenho	ne number	and v	erificat	ion 1

Complaint in Action by Endorsee of Bill of Exchange Against Drawer and Acceptor

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1.	On	the				day	of					., 20)		:	at
				,	the d	lefenda	ant, A	, duly	drew	his b	oill of	excha	inge b	earin	ng da	te on
that	day	addre	essed	to	the	defe	ndant,	В,	and	orc	lered	the	said	В	to	pay,
					days	after s	sight,	to the	orde	r of (C,			d	lollars	and
there	eupon,	for val	ue rece	eive	d, the	said A	duly c	delive	red sai	d bill	of ex	chang	e to sa	aid C		
2.	On	the				day	of					, 20)		!	, at
				,	the s	aid bill	of ex	chan	ge wa	s dul	y pres	sented	l to B	for a	ссер	tance
and	was dı	uly acc	epted b	y hi	m.											
3. C	n or a	bout th	ne			_ day o	of			:	, 20_			_, an	d bet	fore it
beca	me du	ue, C c	duly en	dors	ed sa	id bill	of exc	hange	e and	deliv	ered	the sa	me to	the	plaint	iff for
value	e and t	the plai	intiff is	still t	the ow	vner ar	d hold	der the	ereof.							
4. (On the)			day d	of			,	20			_, wh	en s	aid I	oill of
exch	ange	becam	e due	by t	he ter	ms the	ereof,	the s	ame v	vas p	reser	nted to	B, th	e ac	cepto	or, for
payn	nent, a	and pay	ment v	vas	thereu	upon d	emano	ded a	nd refu	ısed,	wher	eupon	said l	oill of	exch	ange
was	duly p	oroteste	ed for i	nonp	oayme	ent and	l notic	e of	said d	emar	nd, re	fusal	and p	rotest	t was	duly
giver	n to A	at a co	st of				dollars	s, whi	ch plai	ntiff v	vas re	equire	d to pa	ι y .		
5. N	lo par	t of sai	id bill c	of ex	chanç	ge has	been	paid	, and	there	is no	w due	e there	eon t	he sı	um of
					dolla	ars, v	with	intere	est fr	om	the				da	y of
			, 20_													
[Der	mand f	for judg	gment, (endo	orsem	ent, ac	ldress	, telep	ohone	numl	oer, a	nd ver	ificatio	n.]		

Form 139

Complaint in Action by Endorsee of Bill of Exchange Against Drawer and Acceptor;
Another Form

[Caption and introductory	paragraph]			
1. On the	day of		, 20	, at
	_, the defendant	A drew and delive	ered to C his ce	rtain bill of
exchange in writing in wor	ds and figures as fol	lows:	·	
2. On	, 20	, C endo	rsed the same to	plaintiff [or
"endorsed the same in bla	ank and delivered it	so endorsed"], and	thereafter and befo	ore maturity,
the same came lawfully in	to the possession of	plaintiff for value.		
3. Thereafter, on the	day	, of	, 20	, at
	_, the defendant E	3, upon sight there	eof, duly accepted	said bill in
writing.				
4. At maturity, and on th	ne d	ay of	, 20	, the
same was duly presented	to B for payment ar	nd payment duly de	manded but the sa	me was not
paid [if a foreign bill, ad	d "and was thereup	oon duly protested	for nonpayment a	it a cost of
dollars	which plaintiff was	required to pay"], o	of all of which due	notice was
given to the defendants A	and C.			
5. Plaintiff now lawfully ov	wns and holds said b	oill, and no part ther	eof has been paid	and there is
now due and payable on s	aid bill the sum of $_$	do	llars.	
[Demand for judgment, er	ndorsement, address	s, telephone numbe	r, and verification.]	
Form 140				
Complaint in Action by E	Endorsee Against Γ	rawers and Endor	ser of Bill of Exch	ange for
Refusal of Drawee to Ac	cept			
1. On the	day of		, 20	, at

writing in words and figures as follows: ______.

2. The said A and	B then and	here for value o	delivered the sar	ne to the defe	endant C.	
3. Afterwards, o	n the	day	/ of	, 2	20	, at
	, th	e defendant C	endorsed the s	ame to the p	olaintiff [or "e	ndorsed
the same in blank	and deliver	ed it so endors	ed"] and thereat	fter and befor	re maturity th	ne same
was delivered to a	nd came law	fully into the po	ssession of the p	plaintiff for va	lue.	
4. On the	day	of	, 20	, t	the said bill v	was duly
presented to		for a	cceptance, but	was not acce	pted [if a for	eign bill,
add, "and was th	ereupon du	y protested fo	r nonacceptanc	e at a cost	of	
dollars, which plain	ntiff was req	uired to pay"], c	of all of which du	ue notice was	given to eac	ch of the
defendants.						
5. Plaintiff now la	wfully owns	and holds said	bill and no par	t of the same	e has been p	oaid and
there is now due a	nd payable t	hereon the sum	of	dollars.		
[Demand for judgr	ment, endors	ement, address	s, telephone nun	nber, and veri	fication.]	
Form 141						
Complaint by Dra	wer of Bill o	of Exchange A	gainst Accepto	r		
[Caption and intro	ductory para	graph]				
1. On the	da	y of			_, plaintiff d	rew and
delivered to the pa	yee therein i	named, his certa	ain bill of exchar	nge in writing,	, in words and	d figures
as follows:		·				
2. Thereafter, ar	nd on the		day of		_, 20	,
defendant, upon	sight and	for value rec	eived, accepte	d said bill	in writing,	payable
	da	ys after the date	e thereof.			
3		days after the	date of said bil	I the same w	as duly pres	ented to
the defendant for p	payment, but	was not paid in	whole or in part	t.		

4.	Or	1	the				day	′ (of _				,	20			,	at
					!	said	bill wa	as re	eturne	d to	plaint	iff for	non	payn	nent,	and _l	olaint	iff as
draw	er	the	reof	was	then	and	there	COI	mpelle	ed to	and	l did	tak	e up	and	d pay	/ to	said
						[or "	to the	holo	der th	ereof	"] the	sum	of _				_ do	ollars,
being	j th	e aı	mour	nt of s	aid bill	[with	interes	st, et	tc.].									
5. PI	ain	tiff	has (duly n	otified	defer	ndant c	f his	s payr	nent	of said	d bill	and o	dema	anded	that	defei	ndant
repay	/ to	pla	intiff	the sa	aid sur	m of _	·			dolla	ırs, bu	ıt no p	oart c	of sar	ne ha	s bee	en rep	oaid.
[Den	nan	d fo	or jud	dgmer	nt, end	orsen	nent, ad	ddre	ess, te	lepho	ne nu	ımber	, and	l veri	ficatio	on.]		
Form	14	12																
Com	pla	int	by C	rawe	r of Bi	ill of E	Exchar	ige .	Agair	ıst Dı	awee	afte	r Acc	epta	ınce;	Anot	her l	Form
[Cap	tior	n ar	nd in	troduc	tory p	aragra	aph]											
1. At	all	the	time	es her	einafte	er mer	ntioned	the	plain	tiff wa	ıs and	l still i	s a d	ome	stic c	orpor	ation.	
2. U	por	inf	orma	ation a	and be	lief, a	t all the	e tim	nes he	ereina	ıfter m	nentic	ned	defei	ndant	was	and :	still is
a fore	_			ation	-	rganiz	ed and	d exi	isting	unde	r and	by vii	rtue (of the	e laws	of th	e Sta	ate of
3. O							_ day	of _				, 2	0			_, pla	intiff	drew
a dra	ıft ı	ıpo	n the	e defe	ndant	for th	ne sum	of						_ do	lars,	payal	ole o	n the
					f			 ,	20			_, of	whic	h the	follo	wing	is a	сору:
								day	/ of _				,	20_			,	for a
valua	ble	СО	nside	eration	n, defe	ndant	duly a	cce	pted s	aid d	raft ar	nd ag	reed	to pa	y the	same	€.	
5. O	n .						, 20				, saic	l drat	ft wa	s du	ıly pr	esent	ed to	o the
defer	nda	nt f	or pa	aymer	nt in a	ccord	ance v	/ith	the te	rms t	herec	of, bu	t the	sam	e wa	s not	paid	, and
said o	dra	ft w	as d	ishono	ored a	nd wa	s duly	prot	ested	for no	onpay	ment						

6. Said draft has not been paid, nor has any part thereof, and plaintiff is now the owner and holder of said draft.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 143

ΑII	legati	ons	in (Comp	lain	t of	Excus	e for	· Fai	lure	to	Present	for A	Accept	ance
-----	--------	-----	------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	------	----	---------	-------	--------	------

On or about the	day of _		, 20		, diligent s	search
and inquiry was made	for said drawee at			, the add	ress given (of said
drawee on said bill o	f exchange, in ord	der that th	ne said bill n	night be pres	sented to h	im for
acceptance, but he co						
notice was thereupon						
could not be found ar	-					
protested for non-			_	vas given	to the	said
	"]					
On or about the	day of		, 20_		_, and befor	e said
bill could be presented	for acceptance in c	due course	to the one to	whom the sa	ame was dir	ected,
the defendant,		_, the mak	er of said bill	, counterman	ded the sam	ne and
instructed and directed	d		not to accep	t or pay the	same, where	eupon
said bill was not preser	nted for acceptance) <u>.</u>				
On the	day o	of		, 20	,	, at
	, (date and pla	ace where	presentment	should have	been made), said
	, the drawee o	f said bill,	was dead, of	all of which d	lefendant ha	ad due
notice.						

Draw	ee under disability.						
On	the	day	of		20	,	at
		, (date and	place at wh	ich presentmen	t should hav	e been n	nade)
said		, the dr	rawee therec	of, was an infan	t (or name w	hatever s	status
creat	es disability) and v	without capacity	to contract	by bill, of all o	f which defer	ndant had	due
notice	э.						
Form	ı 144						
Alleg	ations in Complai	int to Show Wa	iver of Prese	entation for Pa	yment and N	otice of	
Dish	onor						
At th	ne time of such del	ivery defendant	waived the	presentation of	said bill of ex	kchange 1	to the
	ee for payment and	-					
Form	ı 145						
Com	plaint by Accomm	odation Accep	tor Against	Drawer			
[Cap	tion and introducto	ry paragraph]					
1. O	n	, 20_		plaintiff and	defendant e	ntered in	to an
agree	ement whereby pla	intiff agreed to	accept for d	efendant's acco	mmodation a	a certain	bill of
excha	ange in the sun	n of \$		drawn by defe	endant on p	olaintiff,	dated
		, 20	, an	d payable in			
mont	hs from date to the	order of defend	lant, and to d	leliver the same	to be by him	negotiate	ed for
his o	wn benefit [add, i	f desired: a cop	py of which	bill of exchang	ge is hereto	annexed]	; and
defer	ndant agreed to inc	lemnify and sav	e plaintiff ha	rmless from any	loss or dam	age by re	ason

of his acceptance of said bill of exchange.

2. On or about	, 20	, plai	ntiff accer	pted said b	ill of
exchange and delivered the same s	so accepted to	defendant who	thereupon	negotiated	the t
same.					
3. On or about	, 20	, plain	itiff as suc	ch acceptor	was
called upon and obliged to pay, and					
said bill of exchange the sum of mo					
action before then brought					of
, on said b					
4 Di : ::	_		Φ.		
4. Plaintiff became obligated					
, his attorn	ey for his legal	services rendere	d to defen	d plaintiff in	said
action brought by	, said h	older of said bill o	of exchang	je.	
5. By reason of the foregoing pla	intiff has beer	n damaged by (defendant	in the sui	m of
\$, no part of which h					0.
φ, no part or winding	as been paid by	derendant, attit	Jugii duly (demanded.	
[Demand for judgment, endorsement,	address, telepl	none number and	l verification	on]	
Form 146					
Complaint in Action on Undertaking	រ្វ for Payment «	of Money Only			
[Caption and introductory paragraph]					
1. On or about the da	y of	, 20		_, for a valu	ıable
consideration, defendant made and	delivered to pla	aintiff his bond, a	a copy of	which is he	ereto
annexed and made a part hereof, mar	ked "Exhibit A."				
2. Plaintiff is now the owner and holde	er of said bond.				
3. No part of said bond has been pa	id, and there is	now due to the	plaintiff on	said bond	from
the defendant the sum of		dollars,	with	interest	from
, 20	·				

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 147

Complaint in Action on Undertaking for Payment of Money Only; Another Form

[Caption and introductory paragraph]	
1. On or about the, 20	, at
, the above-named defendant made, executed and	delivered to the
plaintiff his certain bond under his hand, dated that day, wherein he acknowledg	ged himself firmly
bound to pay to the said plaintiff, his executors, administrators or assig	ıns, the sum of
dollars; said bond being conditioned that	if the said
, defendant, should pay or cause to be pain	id the sum of
dollars, to the plaintiff, his executors, administrator	rs or assigns,
days after date, with interest at the rate of per	cent per annum,
then in that case the said bond should be void, but otherwise to be in full force a	and effect, a copy
thereof being attached hereto, and made a part hereof.	
2. The plaintiff is now the holder of the said bond and has duly performed all	the conditions of
the bond as required of him.	
3. The said defendant has failed to perform the conditions of said bond, by	y neglecting and
refusing to pay the same or any part thereof; and there is now due to the pla	aintiff the sum of
dollars and interest thereon, from the	day of
, 20	
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification	tion.]

Form 148

Complaint on Bail Bond of Defendant Arrested in Matrimonial Action

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

2. On or about the	day of		, 20		_, in an action ir
the	Court,			County, I	by this plaintif
against one	, said	I		was	arrested by the
sheriff of the County of		purs	uant to an c	order of ar	rest theretofore
duly granted in said action.					
3. On or about the	day	of		_, 20	, the
defendant, as bondsman	of said		, exe	ecuted an	d delivered its
undertaking in the amoun	t of	dollars,	, whereby it	undertoo	k that the said
	would obey an o	order, decre	e or judgme	nt of said	court or of ar
appellate court directing hi	m to do an act sp	ecified in su	ch order or	judgment,	or in default o
obeying the same would s	urrender himself to	proceeding	s to punish h	nim for fai	lure to obey the
same. A copy of said unde	ertaking is hereto	annexed and	d made a pa	art of this	complaint. Said
undertaking was duly filed	in the office of the	clerk of the	County of		
State of	and s	said			was thereupor
discharged from arrest on t	he	day of		, 20	·
4. Thereafter, in said action	on a final decree	of divorce w	as made an	d entered	in favor of the
plaintiff against the sai	d		on the		day o
, 20	, direct	ing the said			to pay the
plaintiff alimony of _		dollars p	er month	on or	before the
	day of each montl	n thereafter.			
5. Said	failed to	obey such	direction co	ntained in	said decree o
divorce, in that he faile	d to pay the pl	aintiff the	alimony dire	ected for	the month o
, 20	, and a	all succeedir	ng months, a	nd on the	
day of					
					of said court

adjudging the said guilty of contempt of court and committing him to
ail for his failure to obey said decree.
6. Thereafter said order was duly issued to the sheriff of the County of
, where the said then resided, and on
the, 20, said sheriff duly made his
return thereof, certifying that the said could not be found within said
county; and said has failed to surrender himself as provided in said
undertaking.
7. On or about the day of, 20, plaintiff duly
demanded of defendant the performance of said undertakings; a copy of said demand is
annexed hereto and made a part of this complaint.
8. Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions required of her in the said undertaking, but
defendant has wholly failed to perform the conditions required of him therein, to plaintiff's
damage in the sum of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 149
Complaint in Action on Jail Limits Bond After Escape of Defendant Arrested
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. At all times herein mentioned the defendant surety company was
and now is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of
2. In an action in this court, brought by this plaintiff against the defendant
, plaintiff recovered a judgment against the said
for the sum of dollars, which judgment was duly
entered and docketed, and the judgment roll duly filed, in the office of the Clerk of the County of

	on the		day	of _		,
20						
3. On or about		, 20	, p	olaintiff is	ssued a	n execution
against the person of the	said defendant			_ to the	Sheriff of	the County
of	, directing	him to commi	t the said def	endant t	o jail unt	il he should
pay the said judgment or						
4. On or about the same	e day the said o	defendant			was	arrested by
the Sheriff of the County	of		.•			
5. On or about		,	20		, the	defendant
	surety	company,	together	with	the	defendant
					s undert	aking to the
Sheriff of the County of _						
made a part of this compl		,	17			
6. Thereupon the said of	lefendant		was	admitte	d to the	jail limits of
the County of		_, which then	were and no	w are th	ne bound	aries of the
City of	in said	d County.				
7. On the	day of		, 20		, the	said sheriff
delivered to the attorne						
undertaking and executio			·			•
3						
8. Said plaintiff's attorne	ys did not, withir	າ		days	thereafte	er, or at any
other time, serve a notice	upon the sherif	f, that they, or	the plaintiff of	did not a	ccept the	said surety
or bail.						
9. Thereafter and c	on or about	the	da	y of _		,
20, the s	aid jail limit un	dertaking was	s allowed ar	nd appro	oved by	one of the
justices of this court.						

10. On the	day of	, 20	, the said defendant
	. <u> </u>	, went at large	e beyond the liberties of
	City of		
State of			
44.0:1			
	ge beyond the said jail limi	ts was without the ass	sent of plaintiff, at whose
instance the said priso	ner was in custody.		
12. On said day and	while the said prisoner was	s beyond the jail limits	as aforesaid, this action
was commenced by se	ervice of the summons here	ein upon the defendan	t surety company.
40 Caid data adout			
		nas never been disc	narged by due course of
law, or otherwise.			
[Demand for judgmen	t, endorsement, address, te	elephone number, and	verification.]
Form 150			
Form 150			
Complaint on Undert	aking Given to Release A	attachment	
[Caption and introduct	tory paragraph]		
1 [Allogo corporate ox	xistence of defendant suret	ty company l	
1. [Allege Corporate ex	risterice of defendant suret	ty company.j	
2. On or about the	day of	, 20	, in an action
then pending in the		Court,	County,
wherein the plaintiff he	erein was plaintiff and one	9	was defendant, a
warrant of attachn	nent was duly issue	d to the sheriff	of the County of
	, and thereafter was	duly levied by said sl	neriff upon certain goods
and chattels of the said	d		
3. To procure the dis	charge or release of said	attachment, defendar	t made and delivered its
undertaking, on the _	day of	, 20	, whereby it
undertook that the said	d	would pay to the p	laintiff the amount of any

judgment which the plaintiff might recover in said action, not exceeding
dollars, with interest and costs; and said undertaking was duly filed with the clerk of said court,
and thereupon the said attachment was discharged and released. A copy of the said
undertaking, marked "Exhibit A", is hereto annexed and made a part of this complaint.
4. Thereafter plaintiff recovered judgment in said action against the said
in the amount of dollars, and on the
day of, 20, the judgment roll was duly filed
and said judgment was duly docketed in the office of the clerk of the County of
5. Payment of said judgment has been duly demanded, but no part thereof has been paid,
either by the said or by the defendant herein.
6. Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of said undertaking on his part.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 151
Complaint in Action on Undertaking to Secure Performance of Building Contract
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On the day of, 20, the plaintiff and
defendant,, entered into an agreement in writing for the construction
of a certain building in; the defendant, in consideration of the
payment of \$, to be made to him, agreed to furnish all the materials and labor
to fully build, pursuant to the plans and specifications therefor which were attached to and made
a part of the said contract, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, so as to
fully carry out the design of the work of construction of said building, as set forth in said
specifications, plans and drawings, in a workmanlike manner to the satisfaction of

, the architect and superintendent of said building, on or before th
day of, 20
2. In and by said contract the plaintiff on his part agreed to and with the defendan
, that in consideration of his furnishing all of the materials and full
executing and performing said work of construction and completing said building to the full an
complete satisfaction of the said architect, and at the time in said contract mentioned, th
plaintiff would pay to him therefor the sum of dollars; that it would pay th
same on the estimates of work done and materials furnished and used in said building made b
the said architect, reserving per cent therefrom until said work on said buildin
was finished in every particular and approved; and that it would pay the balance of said sur
when said building should be accepted by said architect, and he should certify, in writing, that
defendant,, was entitled thereto.
,, <u></u> ,
3. Among other things, it was in and by said contract agreed and provided that the payment
made by the plaintiff to defendant,, on account of said work durin
the progress thereof, should in no case be construed as an acceptance of the work done.
4. It was further therein agreed that in case said building should not be completed in the time
fixed therefor in said contract, that damages arising from the nonfulfilment of the contract, a
regards time of completion, should be equal to a fair rent of said building and the lands upo
which the same is situated, for each and every day after the time of completion the wor
remained unfinished on said building.
5. It was further in and by said agreement and specifications agreed that the sai
, as such architect, should superintend the work on said building
that he should have power to adjust all claims of the defendant,
against the plaintiff, and to decide upon the fitness of the material used in said building, and the
in all cases of difference of opinion between the plaintiff and defendan
, in any matter connected with the construction of said building, th
decision of said architect should be final and binding.

6. For the purpose of securing to this plaintiff the due performance of said agreement, the
defendant,, at the request of the plaintiff, and in consideration of
said agreement, and pursuant to the specifications therein, executed and procured to be
executed by the defendants, and
, under his and their respective hands and seals, an undertaking
bearing date the day of, 20, in and by which
undertaking the defendant, as principal, and said
, as sureties, acknowledged themselves to be held and firmly bound
unto the plaintiff, in the sum of dollars, for the payment of which
sum well and truly to be made, they bound themselves in and by said undertaking jointly and
severally, the condition of said undertaking being such that if the defendant
, should faithfully keep and perform the agreement above
mentioned, the said undertaking should be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue
which said undertaking the defendant then and there delivered to the plaintiff, a copy of which is
attached hereto, and made a part hereof.
7. The defendant,, did not faithfully keep and perform said
agreement above mentioned; in the erection and construction of said building, defendant
, used and put into the same inferior, imperfect, unseasoned lumber
timber and material, and not such lumber, timber and material as was and is required by said
agreement and said specifications; said lumber, timber and materials have so shrunken as to
leave the woodwork and finish of said building in a very imperfect and entirely different condition
from that required by said agreement and specifications, and the work done by defendant
, is inferior, unskillful, unworkmanlike and not such as is required by
the said agreement, plans and specifications in many respects and particulars, among which the
plaintiff alleges the following: [state points required by the contract
and specify wherein performance is imperfect].

8. On information and belief, by reason of the failure of the defendant
, to use proper materials and do proper, skilful and workmanlike
work in the construction of said building, the plaintiff has sustained damages in the sum of
dollars.
9. Defendant,, wholly failed to complete said building within the
time fixed by said agreement; he did not complete the same, even in the imperfect manner
above specified until months after the time so agreed, and by
reason of such delay in completion the plaintiff was kept out of the use and occupation of said
building for more than months; and a fair rent for said building and
premises for the time the plaintiff was so deprived of and kept out of the use and occupancy o
the same is the sum of dollars.
10. Before said building was completed and during the months of
and, 20, plaintiff (after the time for completion had
passed) was obliged, in order to keep the plaster from freezing and spoiling and the building
from being damaged by dampness, to procure coal and keep said building warm during the
drying of said plaster, and paid for coal and for a laborer to attend the fires and keep said
building warm, the sum of dollars.
44 Dy recent of the failure of defendant
11. By reason of the failure of defendant,, to keep and perform said
contract in time and manner as by said contract agreed and according to its conditions and
terms the plaintiff has suffered damages in the sum of dollars [the total of the
amounts stated in paragraphs 8, 9 and 10].
12. Plaintiff has duly performed all of the conditions of said contract on his part.
13. There now remains unpaid on said contract and to be due upon due performance thereo
the sum of, dollars; and the defendant,, has done
extra work and furnished extra materials not required by said contract, of the value of
dollars, making together the sum of dollars, and the

damages sustained as here	ein alleged, after deductir	ng said sum of	dollars, is
the sum of	_ dollars.		
14. The said defendants,	·	_	
jointly and severally indebte	d to the plaintiff in the sai	d sum of	dollars.
[Demand for judgment, end	orsement, address, telep	hone number, and ve	rification.]
[Another form]			
1. The plaintiffs,	and		, at all times
hereinafter mentioned were	and now are a duly org	anized copartnership	carrying on business
as engineers and contractor	ors, under the firm name	of	, in various
parts of the United States ar	nd elsewhere.		
2. The defendant,	Si	urety Company, at	all times hereinafter
mentioned was and now is	a corporation duly organi	zed and existing unde	er and by virtue of the
laws of the Commonwealth	of	, having its chi	ef office and principal
place of business	n the City of		, State of
	, and was duly authorize	d to carry on busines	s in the State of New
York as a surety company.			
3. On or about	, 20		, the plaintiffs entered
into a certain contract	with	, wherein	and whereby said
	agreed to well and suffic	ciently furnish and pro	ovide all the work and
materials necessary or requ	uired to fully do, perform	and complete all struc	ctural steel erection in
and connecting with		grain ele	vator, situate in
	street, in	, consist	ing of working house,
train shed, galleries and ro	oof over concrete tank,	steel hopper bottom	in concrete tank and
marine tower in connection	therewith, for certain cor	mpensation, as show	n and agreed upon in
said contract, a copy of wh	ich is hereto annexed, m	narked Exhibit "A," an	nd made a part of this
complaint.			

,	of the terms and conditions
of said contract on its part to be performed, and for a valual	ole consideration to the defendant in
hand paid, the defendant did execute and deliver to the plai	ntiffs its certain bond or obligation in
writing, sealed with its seal, a copy of which is hereto annex	ked, marked Exhibit "B" and made a
part of this complaint.	
5. Said failed to fulfill the term	s and conditions of said contract of
, 20, but aba	ndoned said construction in an
incomplete condition, whereby the plaintiffs were caused	great delay and damage, and were
forced to and did expend large sums of money, and work,	labor and services completing said
work as in said contract specified, and in so completing sa	id work said plaintiffs expended the
sum of dollars, being	dollars in excess of the amount
unpaid to the said upon the said	aid contract, and to be paid to him
upon due performance thereof.	
6. The defendant although duly notified of the default of said	d did not
6. The defendant although duly notified of the default of said itself undertake the completion of said contract	
•	
itself undertake the completion of said contract	of,
itself undertake the completion of said contract 20 7. The plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and	every condition of said contract of
itself undertake the completion of said contract	every condition of said contract of
itself undertake the completion of said contract 20 7. The plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and	every condition of said contract of m performed.
itself undertake the completion of said contract 20 7. The plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and e, 20, to be by then	every condition of said contract of m performed. every condition of said bond of
itself undertake the completion of said contract 20 7. The plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and one of the contract c	every condition of said contract of m performed. every condition of said bond of m performed.
itself undertake the completion of said contract 20 7. The plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and each each and each each and each each e	every condition of said contract of m performed. every condition of said bond of m performed. intiffs from the defendant the sum of
itself undertake the completion of said contract 20 7. The plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and o, 20, to be by ther 8. The plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and, 20, to be by ther 9. By reason of the premises there is due and owing the plaintiffs due and	every condition of said contract of m performed. every condition of said bond of m performed. intiffs from the defendant the sum of

Complaint in Action Against Surety on Contractor's Bond

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. At all times nerein mer	itioned defendant is	and was a c	corporation	organized un	der the laws
of the State of	and	doing busine	ess as a sur	ety company	
2. On or about		, 20		, plaintiff	and one
	_ entered into	a written	contract	by which	the said
	_ agreed to erect a	dwelling hou	se on prem	ises of the pla	aintiff known
as No			_ Street,	in the	City of
	_, for which the pla	intiff agreed	to pay the	sum of	
dollars. A true copy of said	contract is hereto a	nnexed and r	made a part	of this compl	laint.
3. To secure the performa	nce of said contract	by the said _			, and for a
valuable consideration,	the defendant	on or	about		
20, execute	ed and delivered to	the plaintiff a	certain bor	nd or underta	king, a copy
of which is hereto annexed					
4. The said	failed	d to perform	the terms a	and conditions	s of his said
contract, and on or abo	out	 ,	20	, abar	ndoned said
contract and ceased work	thereunder and faile	d to complete	e the same.		
5. Notice of such default	by the said		was	s duly given t	o defendant
on or about			, and pla	aintiff then de	emanded of
defendant that it complete	the work under said	d contract, bu	ut defendan	t failed and re	efused to do
so. [This allegation need b	e inserted only if the	bonds requi	re notice an	d demand.]	
6. By reason of the failu	re of the said		,	and of the d	efendant, to
perform said contract, pla	intiff was required	to and did e	xpend the	sum of	
dollars to complete the wo	ork left incomplete b	y the said _			Said sum
was the reasonable cost o	f completing said wo	ork.			

7. Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of both said contracts on his part.
8. No part of the said sum of dollars has been paid, although payment thereof
has been duly demanded of defendant, all to the plaintiff's damage in the sum of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 153
Complaint in Action by General Contractor Against Subcontractor's Surety
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. Plaintiff is and at all times herein mentioned was a corporation duly organized and existing
under the laws of the State of New York.
2. Defendant is and at all times herein mentioned was a corporation organized under the laws
of the State of, and engaged in business as a surety company.
3. On or about, 20, plaintiff and one
entered into a written contract whereby the plaintiff agreed to erect
upon premises owned by the said at
Street in the City of an office building in
accordance with the plans and specifications set forth in said contract.
4. On or about, 20, the plaintiff, as contractor,
entered into a contract with, Inc., as sub-contractor, whereby the
said, Inc., agreed to perform all the
work, and furnish all incidental materials necessary for the construction of said apartment house.
A copy of said contract is hereto annexed and made a part of this complaint.
5. To secure the performance by the said, Inc., of the terms and
conditions of said sub-contract, and for a valuable consideration, the defendant executed and

delivered to the plaintiff its bond, dated		, 20	_, a copy of
which is hereto annexed and made a part of the	is complaint.		
6. The said, Inc.	, failed to perform	the conditions of s	aid contract
and ceased all work thereunder when the sam	e was wholly incom	plete.	
7. On or about	20	, the plaintiff duly	notified the
defendant of such default on the part of	the said		_, Inc., and
demanded that the defendant com	plete the said	contract of	the said
, Inc., but the defe	ndant failed and ref	used to do so.	
8. By reason of the failure of the said		_, Inc., and of the	defendant to
perform said contract, plaintiff was required t	o expend the sum	of	dollars to
complete the work left incomplete by the sai	d	, Inc. Sa	id sum was
the reasonable cost of completing said wo	ork, all to the plai	ntiff's damage in	the sum of
dollars.			
9. Plaintiff has duly performed on its part	all conditions of in	ts said contract w	ith the said
, Inc., and of the sa			
	ala bona made ana	executed by the de	, chairt.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address	s, telephone numbe	r, and verification.]	
Form 154			
Complaint in Action on Injunction Bond, Ag	gainst Reinsurer o	f Original Surety	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]			
At all the times hereinafter mentioned the a	bove-named defen	dant was and still is	s a domestic
corporation, engaged in a general surety busir	ness.		
2. On or about,	20,	judgment was dul	y made and
given by the cou	rt of	, in	an action in
which the plaintiff herein was the plainti	ff therein and		was

defendant, in favor of the said plaintiff, for the	sum of	dollars damages and
costs; the defendant therein appealed from said	judgment to the App	ellate Term of the Supreme
Court, Department,	and duly filed an ur	ndertaking, executed by the
company of		, to the effect that the
above-named surety would pay to the plaintiff th	e amount of said jud	dgment, if said appeal were
dismissed.		
3. Thereafter said appeal was duly dismissed	by the said Appella	te Term, and an order and
judgment of dismissal was duly entere		of the clerk of the
4. The said defendant and the said surety failed	to pay the amount o	f said judgment, or any part
thereof; whereupon an action was duly commer	iced in the	court of
by the plaintiff hereir	ı, against	company,
as surety upon the afore-mentioned undertaking.		
5. Thereupon in and about the month of	, 20	, an action was
commenced in the	_ court,	County,
wherein the aforesaid	was plaintiff ar	nd the plaintiff herein was
defendant, to enjoin the latter from "taking any	proceedings to enf	orce said judgment" of the
court and from pros	ecuting his suit upor	n the aforesaid undertaking
given upon the said appeal.		
6. Issue was duly joined in the said injunction ac	tion and such proce	edings were thereupon had
that, on or about, 20	an c	order was duly made by the
court,	Coun	ity, and duly entered in the
office of the clerk of the County of	, dire	cting the entry of judgment
on the pleadings in favor of the defendant,		, directing that the
complaint be dismissed; on or about	, 20	, a judgment
was duly entered in the office of the clerk of the C	County of _	in favor of

said defendant	, dismissin	g plaintiff's cor	nplaint, accord	ling to the
terms of the foregoing order, and said con	nplaint was the	ereupon duly di	smissed.	
7. Such proceedings were thereafter	er had, on	or about		,
20, the plainting	ff in said action	on,		_, obtained
an injunction order in said action and ca	used the sam	e to be duly er	ntered in the o	ffice of the
clerk of Coun	ty, which orde	er enjoined and	restrained the	defendant,
, from taki	ng any pro	oceedings to	collect the	aforesaid
court judgme	ent and from	prosecuting the	action in the	said court
against the surety on said undertaking, du	ıring an appea	ıl, taken or to be	taken, from ju	dgment on
the pleadings in the Supreme Court, and	d the said		was	thereupon
enjoined accordingly.				
8. Annexed hereto and made a part he marked Exhibit "A" and included herein as			said restrainin	g order, is
9. Pursuant to said order and on or abo	out		, 20	, the
plaintiff in the	said injunctio	n suit caused to	be duly filed in	n the office
of the clerk of the County of		, an undertak	ing duly execu	ited by the
Surety Comp	pany, in the	sum of	dol	lars to the
effect that the said surety would pa	ay all costs	and damages	which the	defendant,
, in said action	on, might sus	stain by reasor	of the granti	ng of said
injunction order, if the last-mentioned ju	ıdgment on tl	ne pleadings e	ntered or to b	e entered,
should be finally affirmed on appeal; the	reafter the sai	id		caused to
be delivered to the said	, a	duplicate origin	al of the said u	ndertaking
with notice of filing, and duly en	ndorsed by	a judge of	the Supren	ne Court,
County, as to	form and suff	iciency.		
AO Americal bonds on London C.			on destable	
10. Annexed hereto, and made a part h	ereor, is a tru	e copy of said	undertaking, a	na marked
Exhibit "B."				

_, 20	, the plainti	ff in said action
the Appellate	Division of the	Supreme Court,
the judgment on	the pleadings ar	nd from the order
,	20	_, an order was
rk of the Appella	te Division, affir	ming in all things
from and the	nereafter, and	on or about
_, a judgment of	affirmance was	duly made and
Cour	nty, and duly ent	ered in the office
, and	d said judgment	of the Supreme
	, plaintiff	in said action,
Court of Appea	ls from the afor	resaid order and
ourt of Appeals to	have the said a	appeal dismissed
pon a hearing du	ıly had, said mo	tion was granted
ound the same v	vas frivolous and	d an order to that
c of the Court of	Appeals.	
, 20_	, ;	and on or about
, respectively, jud	dgment was duly	made and given
County, and	d was duly enter	ed in the office of
, dismissi	ng said appeal o	n the ground that
as thereby duly d	smissed as frivo	lous.
ked respectively	Exhibits "C" an	d "D" are hereto
duly served upor	l	, the
	Surety Con	npany, and upon
	the Appellate the judgment on the judgment on the Appellate from and the analysis and the court of Appearendant in said account of Appearendant in said accoun	the Appellate Division of the the judgment on the pleadings ar, 20

Surety	y Company, the defendan	t herein, prior to the commencement
of this action and prior to the grant	ting of the order of referen	ce hereinafter mentioned.
16. On or about	, 20	, a motion was duly made in the
Supreme Court,	County, retur	nable at special term, for an order
vacating and setting aside the in	junction order above refe	erred to, which was granted; and an
order vacating and setting aside	the injunction order was	s duly entered with the clerk of the
County of	, and copies thereof v	with notice of entry were duly served
respectively on	, the	Surety Company
and the defendant in this action.		
17. Thereafter proceedings were	duly had which resulted in	n an order being entered on or about
, 20	, appointing	a referee, and directing said referee
to ascertain and determine the da	amages sustained by this	plaintiff, by reason of the injunction
order aforesaid, and such proceed	edings were thereupon d	luly had by reason of said order of
reference, thereafter said referee	duly filed his report, toge	ther with the testimony taken before
him duly signed, and the exhibits of	offered in evidence.	
18. Said report found that the pla	uintiff's damages, sustaine	ed by reason of said injunction order,
amounted to the sum of	dollars, togethe	er with referee's and stenographers'
fees.		
19. Said report was duly filed in	the office of the clerk of	County,
and a copy thereof with notice of	filing was duly served up	oon, and
notice of filing thereof was serve	d upon the	Surety Company and
the defendant in this action.		
20. Such proceedings were	e thereupon duly ha	d in the Supreme Court of
Coun	ty, and said report was,	by an order of the said court, duly
made and entered in the office	e of the clerk of	County, on

	, 20	, duly confirmed in	all respects, and said	order of
confirmation now remains	in full force and eff	ect.		
21. The said referee's	fees upon the a	bove-named reference	ce amounted to the	sum of
dollars;	which sum was do	uly agreed upon by st	ipulation on the record	d before
the referee, by and be	tween	and	l	,
through their respective a	ttorneys.			
22. The stenographer's fe	es on the referenc	e amounted to the su	m of	dollars,
which sum is the result of	of a stipulation duly	agreed upon in the	manner indicated in t	he next
preceding paragraph; the	e referee's stenog	rapher's fees for pre	paring the report are	of the
reasonable value of	dollars	S.		
23. On or about			the aforesaid referee	e's fees
and the stenographer's	charges were dul	y passed upon and	duly taxed by the	clerk of
	County, after du	e notice of said taxati	on had been duly serve	ed upon
the attorneys for		_, and the aforement	oned sums were foun	nd to be
correct, and that a true of	opy of said bill of	costs, so taxed, is he	reunto annexed, and	made a
part hereof, and marked E	Exhibit "E."			
24. Prior to the commend	ement of this actio	n, a copy of said orde	r of confirmation with r	notice of
entry was duly served u	pon	and th	e	
Surety Company, and the	defendant in this a	ction.		
25. On or about		, 1		Surety
Company, i.e., the su	arety on the sa	iid undertaking, du	ly filed and delive	red by
		, as a co	ndition for the granting	g of the
injunction order of			, 25	
	, entered into a c	contract in writing for	the benefit of the plain	tiff, with
		Surety Co	ompany, the defendant	herein,
wherein the said			Surety Compa	anv dulv

assigned, transferred and set over to the defendant, the
Surety Company, all its right, title and interest in and to certain
surety bonds and undertakings, and the premiums due thereon or to become due, including the
one filed and delivered by, as above
stated.
26. Annexed hereto and made a part hereof, as is herein fully set forth, and marked Exhibit "F
is a true copy of the said agreement between the said surety companies.
is a true copy of the said agreement between the said safety companies.
27 Surety Company, for the valuab
considerations mentioned in the said contract, reinsured the undertaking now sued upon, an
agreed to fulfill the obligations incurred by
Surety Company under said undertaking, to the plaintiff herein; an
expressly assumed all the liability of
Surety Company thereunder in the manner and form, and to the same extent as contracted for
by the last-named company and agreed to pay to the plaintiff any of the sums found due him
under such contract of surety, in the manner, form and terms provided for therein.
28. The undertaking upon which this action is brought was expressly mentioned in Schedul
"A," referred to in paragraph one of said contract, marked Exhibit "E," as one of the surety bond
formerly insured by Surety Compar
and reinsured by the defendant herein.
29. Plaintiff has duly demanded of, that
he pay the sum of dollars as found by the referee heretofore mentioned
composed of the following sums, to wit:
, and which sum was duly confirmed in the manner and for
heretofore stated; but said has failed to
pay any part of the said sum.

30. The said Surety Company, and
, the defendant herein, have wholly
failed and neglected to perform the terms of the undertaking sued upon and assumed by it, as
aforesaid, and to pay to the plaintiff all damages sustained by plaintiff, including the referee's
and stenographers' fees, as set out heretofore, and the full amount thereof is now due and
unpaid.
31. The plaintiff herein has duly performed the terms and conditions of the bond sued upon
herein by him, but neither the defendant company nor its assignor, nor
, the principal in said bond, has duly performed the terms and
conditions of the bond, on its or his part to be performed respectively, to the damage of the
plaintiff as before stated in the sum of dollars.
32. Plaintiff has duly demanded of said defendant, Surety
Company, the aforesaid sum of dollars, and said defendant has wholly failed
and refused to pay the same, and no part thereof has been paid by the defendant or any other
person or company.
[Demand for judgment, and recoment, address, telephone number, and varification]
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 155
Complaint in Action on Employee's Indemnity Bond
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiffs were, and now are, co-partners doing business
under the firm name and style of
2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant was, and now is, a corporation duly organized
and existing under the laws of the State of, and duly authorized to
engage in business in the State of New York as a surety company.

3. The defendant, on the	day of	, 20	, at the
City of	.,	County, State of	of New York, was
engaged by and through	, its a	gent, in bonding a	and indemnifying
employers against pecuniary loss	by reason of any act or a	cts of larceny or e	mbezzlement on
the part of an employee while in the	e service of an employer.		
4. On and prior to said		day of	,
20, plaintiffs were	engaged in and carrying	on a	
business in the City of		and had in the	eir employ one
as	their night watchman,	and it was the	duty of said
to rem	nain in and about the build	dings of the plainti	ffs in which their
saidb	ousiness was being condu	cted for the purpo	se of preventing
the goods and chattels of the plair	ntiffs from being carried av	vay, stolen or othe	rwise taken from
the premises of the plaintiffs.			
5. Defendant was requested to, a	nd in nursuance of such re	auget did furnish :	to the plaintiffs a
bond guaranteeing the fidelity of	-	-	-
plaintiffs, a copy of which is attached			simployee of the
plaintins, a copy of which is attache	su nereto anu made a part	nereor.	
6. Between the said	day of _		and the
day of		, plaintiffs sus	tained a loss of
personal property belonging to	them, and located in	said buildings,	of more than
dollars in value,	by and through the act or	acts of larceny an	ıd embezzlement
on the part of the said	while in	the performance	of his duties as
night watchman at the buildings of	the plaintiffs.		
7. Upon discovering said act or	acts of larceny and emb	ezzlement on the	part of the said
, plainti	•		•
the defendant, as required by the t			
and embezzlement of the	said		•
	s after such discovery, file		·

the terms	and	conditions	of said	bond,	an	itemized	statement	of	claim,	and	plaintiffs	have	duly
performed	d all th	ne conditio	ns of sa	id bon	d or	n their pa	rt.						

8. The loss sustained by plaintiffs by reason	of the said act or a	cts of larceny and
embezzlement of exce	eds the amount of the	ne said bond, and
plaintiffs have not received or recovered of or from	m the said	, or
anyone else, the said personal property, or any pa	rt thereof, or any mone	ey or other property
therefor.		
9. The defendant has not paid to the plaintiffs, pu	rsuant to the terms and	d conditions of said
bond, the amount for which the said bond indemnifie		
the same has long since been due and plaintiffs have		
plaintiffs in the sum of dollars.		
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telep	hone number, and verif	ication.]
Form 156		
Complaint in Action on Bond of Bank Cashier		
[Caption and introductory paragraph]		
[Allege corporate existence of plaintiff bank.]		
2. [Allege corporate existence of defendant surety co	ompany.]	
3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, until the _	day of _	,
20, one	was the duly appoin	ted cashier of the
plaintiff.		
4. On or about the day of	, 20	, for a valuable
consideration, defendant as surety executed ar	nd delivered to plaint	iff a fidelity bond
guaranteeing the faithful performance by the said _		of his duties as
cashier of plaintiff. A copy of said bond is hereto anne	exed and made a part o	f this complaint.

5.	The	condition	of	the	said	bond	was	that	the	defend	lant	would,	within
				mont	hs nex	t after p	oroof o	f loss a	as set	forth in	said b	ond, rei	imburse
the	plaintif	f to the exte	ent of					_ dolla	ırs, an	d no fur	ther, f	or all pe	cuniary
loss	sustai	ned by the	plainti	iff of ı	noney	, securit	ties or	other p	erson	al prope	rty in	the pos	session
of th	ne said	I				or for	the pos	ssessic	n of v	vhich he	was	respons	sible, by
any	act or	acts of frau	ıd or c	dishor	nesty c	ommitte	ed by h	im in t	he pei	formand	e of t	he dutie	s of his
offic	e or po	osition in the	e serv	rice of	plaint	iff as af	oresaid	d, and	occurr	ing durir	ng the	continu	ance of
said	bond	, and disco	vered	l and	notifie	ed to th	ne defe	endant	withir	ì			
mon	ths aft	ter the expi	ration	or ca	ancella	tion of	said bo	ond, or	within	າ			
mon	ths aft	ter the deat	h, res	ignati	on or	removal	of the	said _				,	prior to
the	expirat	ion or cance	ellatior	n of sa	aid bor	nd.							
6. T	herea	fter, on the			da	ay of			, 2	20		, the	plaintiff
caus	sed sa	id bond to b	oe ren	ewed	for a	period o	of one	year e	nding	on the _			_ day of
		, 2	20		,	by payr	ment of	the ar	nual p	remium	to the	defend	lant and
by t	he acc	eptance the	ereof b	by sa	d defe	ndant a	and the	issuai	nce of	its cont	inuatio	on certif	icate or
rece	ipt the	refor. A cop	by of s	said c	ertifica	ite or re	ceipt is	s heret	o ann	exed an	d mac	le a par	t of this
com	plaint.												
7.	Γherea	fter the pla	intiff o	cause	d said	bond t	to be f	urther	renew	ed for a	a peri	od of o	ne year
endi	ng on	the		_ day	/ of			, 20)		_, by	like pay	ment to
the	defend	lant of the a	annual	l pren	nium th	nerefor,	and th	e issua	ance b	y the de	efenda	ant of its	further
cont	inuatio	on certificate	or re	ceipt	therefo	or, a cop	y of wl	nich is	hereto	annexe	d and	made a	a part of
this	compla	aint.											
8. <i>A</i>	After th	e execution	of sa	id boı	nd, and	d prior to	o the _			_ day of			,
20_		, tł	ne s	aid					fra	udulently	y an	d dish	nonestly
misa	approp	riated and o	convei	rted to	his o	wn use					_ dolla	ırs beloı	nging to
the	plaintif	f, but in his	s pos	sessio	on or f	or the	posses	sion o	f whic	h he wa	as res	ponsible	e in the
perf	orman	ce of his du	ties as	s casl	nier, ar	nd the s	aid				h	as neve	r repaid

the same or	any part thereof to th	ne plaintiff, a	although due	demand for suc	ch repayment h	as been
made.						

9. Plaintiff has o	duly performed	all the conditi	ons of the sai	d bond on pai	rt, and duly (gave notice
to defendant, o	on the	day	of		20	, and
promptly after	discovering	such misa	appropriation	and conve	ersion by	the said
	, sa	aid notice havi	ng been given	by registered	letter addre	ssed to the
defendant at its	home office,	and did within			days after	the date of
said notice file v	vith the defend	dant an itemiz	ed claim unde	er said bond,	duly sworn t	to, and has
produced for inv	estigation by t	he defendant,	as required b	y said bond, a	all books, vo	uchers and
other evidence re	equired by it.					
40 The left of	() (.))			La la la la decentra	. (1 . 1	
10. The defend				•		·
plaintiff through	said misappro	priation and c	onversion by	the said		,
although plaintiff	has duly dem	anded that def	endant do so.			
[Demand for jud	gment, endors	sement, addres	ss, telephone i	number, and v	erification.]	
Form 157						
Complaint in Ad	ction on Bond	of Bank Offic	cer; Another	Form		
[Caption and int	roductory para	graph]				
1. On		, 20	, and	ever since tha	it time, the p	laintiff was,
and has been a	nd now is, a b	anking corpora	ation, organize	ed and existing	g under the	laws of the
state of Ne	w York, a	and doing	business a	t		, in
	Co	ounty in said st	ate and defen	dant		was
and is a corpora	tion organized	d under the lav	ws of the state	e of New York	k, duly autho	rized to do
business as a su	rety company					
2. At that ti	me and cor	ntinuously up	and until	about,		,
20	_, the defenda	ınt,		was an er	nployee of p	laintiff, and

on	_, 20	_ was required by	the plaintiff to gi	ve security to
plaintiff, against pecuniary los	ss it might sustain l	by any act or acts	of fraud, dishon	esty, forgery,
theft, embezzlement, wrongfu	ıl abstraction or wilf	ful misapplication c	on his part, direc	tly or through
connivance with others while	in any position or a	t any location, in th	e employ of plai	ntiff.
3. In pursuance of said	requirements of	the plaintiff, on		,
20, at		_,	Cou	unty, State of
New York, the defendants	made, executed	and delivered to	the plaintiff, fo	r a valuable
consideration, their surety bo	ond, a copy of whi	ch is hereto anne	ked, marked "Ex	khibit A," and
made a part of the complaint.				
4. At the time of the making	of said surety bond	d or contract of ind	emnity by the de	efendants, as
aforesaid, and from the	day of _		_, 20	, until the
happening of the p	ecuniary loss	and damage	hereinafter	mentioned,
r	emained continuous	sly in the service a	nd employ of the	plaintiff.
5. At the time of the pecuni	ary loss to the pla	intiff as hereinafte	r mentioned, the	e said surety
bond or contract of indemnity				•
defendant				-
the plaintiff, or in any other wa				
6. The pecuniary loss he	reinafter stated to	o the plaintiff w	as partially dis	scovered on
defendants in said surety bon				oonganen e
7. Notice of such loss was d	elivered to the defe	endant		company, at
its home office in the City of _		within		days
of such partial discovery of lo	ss, and a claim for	such loss, so far a	as then known b	y the plaintiff,
in writing, showing the items	and dates of said lo	oss, so far as then	known, was sub	mitted by the
plaintiff to the defendant,		compar	ny, at its hom	e office, on
	20	at its request.		

8. Plaintiff did not make and submit such itemized claim of its said loss, v	vithin
[period limited in policy for making notice] months after such p	artial
discovery thereof because it was impossible so to do, as it did not and could not ascertain	n the
facts upon which to make said claim within said months' time	e, as
the defendant company well knew, and because the defendant	ndant
company, after the receipt by it of said notice	e of
, 20, and before the da	y of
, 20, waived the delivery of said claim within	said
months' time.	
9. After the said day of, 20, and before	e the
day of, 20, and while said surety bo	nd or
indemnity contract was in full force and effect,, while such emp	loyee
of the plaintiff, by his acts of fraud and dishonesty, embezzled a large sum of money, to	o wit,
dollars, lawful money of the United States, the property of the plaintiff	, that
came into his possession by virtue of said employment, whereby the plaintiff suffered loss	s and
damage in said amount.	
10. Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of said contract on its part, except as to claim within months, which defendant	
company waived as aforesaid.	
11. No part of the loss and damage sustained by plaintiff as aforesaid has been paid, alth payment thereof has been duly demanded.	ough
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]	

Form 158

Complaint in Action on Auctioneer's Bond

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. At all the times hereina	after mentioned the d	efendant was and is a	foreign corporation,
organized and existing unde	er the laws of the Stat	e of	, engaged in
the business of guaranteei	ng the faithfulness of	persons holding positi	ons of trust and the
performance of contracts ot	her than insurance po	licies and executing and	d guaranteeing bonds
and undertakings required o	r permitted in all action	s or proceedings at law.	
2. On or about the	day of ₋	, 20), one
	made application to 6	, then and now the city	y clerk of the City of
,	for a license permit	ting the said	to
carry on the business and o	ccupation of an auction	eer in the City of	,
pursuant to the statutes of th	is State in such case n	nade and provided.	
3. The said	therewith	presented his bond duly	executed by himself
as principal and the defen	dant,	, as surety	in the penal sum of
dollars, in	accordance with the s	statutes of this State in s	such cases made and
provided, of which said bond	l a copy is hereto anne	xed and made a part he	reof.
1 Thereafter and upon the	filing of the aforessis	t hand in the aforesaid	office, and the same
 Thereafter and upon the having been duly accepted a 	_		
	•	•	-
		, 20	
oorry on the business and o			
carry on the business and o	occupation of an auction	meer, for a period of or	ie year nom me said
date.			
5. Thereafter upon the exp	iration of the said year	r, and on or about the $_$	day of
, 20	, the said _		made application
to the said city clerk for the	continuation of the sa	id license or a new lice	nse permitting him to
carry on the said business a	and occupation of an a	auctioneer, and therewith	h presented his bond
duly executed by himself	as principal and the	defendant as surety i	n the penal sum of
dollars, in	accordance with the s	statutes of this State in s	such cases made and
provided, of which said bond	l a copy is hereto anne	xed and made a part he	reof.

6. Pursuant to the said licenses and	each of them the	said			dic	ı enter
into and carry on said business and	occupation of an	auctionee	r at			
street, in the City of	, and on or about the			day of		
, 20	, this	plaintiff	dealt	with	the	said
as such a	auctioneer, and co	onsigned t	o him for	sale at a	uction a	a large
quantity of goods and merchandise o	f the value of		dollar	S.		
7. Thereafter and between the r	nonths of		and			,
20, the said		sold a	t auction	the sai	d good	s and
merchandise so consigned for sale b	y this plaintiff, but	t during sa	id times a	ınd ever	since re	efused
and neglected to turn over to this plai	ntiff or to account	to him for	part of th	e money	s arisin	g from
the said sales, to the amount of abo	ut	dollars	and conv	erted the	e same	to his
own use.						
8. By reason of such neglect, refu	ısal and convers	ion, the s	aid			
cheated and defrauded this plaintiff,	and did not wel	l and truly	carry on	his said	d busine	ess or
occupation of auctioneer, and did no	t in all things obe	y and conf	form to al	I the law	s of the	State
of, and a	all ordinances and	d resolution	ns of the i	municipa	ıl assem	nbly of
the said City of	, relating e	, relating especially to the business of auctioneer in				
the said City of	, according	, according to the conditions of the said bonds.				
9. Thereafter and prior to the	day of _		,	20		, the
plaintiff, pursuant to the statutes of the	his State in such	cases mad	de and pr	ovided, (complai	ned in
writing of the fraud thus practiced up	oon him by the sa	aid auction	eer			
to the president of the municipal cou	uncil of the City o	of			_, and (on the
said day, pursuant to the said statute	, the said preside	nt of the m	unicipal c	ouncil, d	ue notic	ce and
opportunity of defense having been g	given, took the tes	stimony of	both part	ies, the p	olaintiff	herein
and said	, under oath relat	ting to the	said cha	ge of fra	aud con	tained
in the said complaint; both the plainti	ff and said			_ were	present	at the
said hearing and were represented h	w counsel: the sa	id nrasidar	nt of the n	nunicinal	counci	l after

such hearing, pursuant to the authority	vested in him by se	ection	of
the charter of the City of	, four	d and determined the	ne said charges
were in his opinion sustained, and revol	ked the license grar	nted to said defenda	nt auctioneer as
hereinbefore set forth, and directed hi	s bonds hereinbefo	ore referred to and	set forth, to be
forfeited, and an order to the foregoing	effect signed by th	e said president wa	s entered in the
office of the clerk of the City of	·		
10. Plaintiff has duly requested and de	manded of the said		, that he
account for and pay said moneys to thi	s plaintiff, but the s	aid	, has
wholly neglected and refused to do so.	The plaintiff gave of	lue notice of all the	aforesaid to the
defendant,,	and thereupon dem	anded payment by t	the defendant of
the said sum of dollars	s, but the defendant	has wholly refused a	and neglected to
pay the same, and the same has not,	nor has any part th	ereof, been paid all	to the plaintiff's
damage in the sum of	dollars.		
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, a	ddress, telephone n	umber, and verificati	on.]
Form 159			
Complaint in Action on Bond of Execu	utor		
[Caption and introductory paragraph]			
1. On or about	, 20	, one	,
died a resident of the County of		, leaving a last wil	I and testament
which was duly admitted to probat	e by the surroga	ate of said county	on or about
, 20	, and thereaft	er letters testamenta	ary thereon were
issued by said surrogate on or abo	out	, 20	, to
and to the p	plaintiff herein, the e	xecutor and executri	x named in said
will, who duly qualified as such.			

2. The said	was then and	l is now a non-resider	nt of this state, and
before said letters were issued	to him the said		_ together with the
defendant made and executed a	bond, a copy of which	ch is hereto attached	and made a part of
this complaint. The defendant he	erein is a domestic c	orporation duly autho	orized to engage in
business as a surety company.			
3. Said bond, after being duly	acknowledged, was	thereupon filed in the	e office of the said
surrogate, and letters tes	•	thereafter issued	to the said
4. On or about	, 20	, a decree w	vas duly made and
entered by said surrogate, revoki	ing the letters testame	entary which had prev	viously been issued
to the said	No success	or was appointed in	his place and the
plaintiff is now the sole executrix	of the last will and test	ament of said testator	
5. Thereafter said	made	and filed with said s	urrogate's court an
account of his proceedings as e	executor, and a petiti	on for settlement the	reof, upon which a
citation was duly issued to and se	erved upon all persons	interested, including	the defendant.
6. On or about	, 20	, a decree w	vas duly made and
entered by said surrogate's co	ourt, finally settling a	and allowing the acc	counts of the said
as ex	xecutor, and directing	him to pay over to p	plaintiff as executrix
the sum of dol	llars, being the funds	of the said estate the	en remaining in his
hands.			
7. On information and belief, sa	aid	is not within	n the State of New
York, and has no property within	the State of New York	out of which said sur	m due from him can
be collected.			
8. No part of said sum has been	paid although paymer	nt thereof has been du	ly demanded.
[Demand for judgment, endorsen	nent, address, telepho	one number, and verific	cation.]

Form 160

Complaint in Action on Undertaking Given as Security for Costs

[Caption and introducte	ory paragraph]			
1. On the	day of		, 20	, one
	commenced an	action against	the plaintiff	herein, in the
	court of		County; ar	nd therein such
proceedings were duly	had that the said		was order	ed by said court
to give security for co	sts in said action, by	an order of the c	ourt dated and	entered on the
day of _	, 20			
2. Thereupon, the d	efendant herein, on t	he	_ day of	
20, duly	made and caused to b	oe filed in the offic	ce of the clerk	of said court, his
undertaking in writing	under his hands where	by he undertook,	pursuant to the	statute, that he
would pay all costs tha	t might accrue in said a	action not exceedi	ng the sum of _	
dollars.				
3. Afterwards such pro	oceedings were duly ha	nd in said action th	nat a judgment	for costs therein
was duly rendered in t	favor of this plaintiff an	d against said		in the
sum of	dollars.			
4. Thereafter, on the _	day of		_, 20	, the plaintiff
herein duly demanded	payment of the said su	m of	dollars fro	m the defendant
herein, but he has not p	oaid the said sum nor ar	ny part thereof.		
[Demand for judgment	, endorsement, address	s, telephone numb	er, and verificat	ion.]

Form 161

Complaint in Action by Sheriff Against Surety on Bond of Deputy Sheriff

1. Upon information and	belief, on or	about the	day of	,
20, at	the City	of _		, County of
	, and	State	of	, one
	_, the then sh	eriff of the	County of	, duly
elected at a general elect	ion held in the	County of		, on or about the
day of _		, 20	, being ab	out to appoint and
employ the defendant _			_ as a deputy sheriff	of the County of
	_, the said de	efendant _		_, as principal, and
the defendants		and _		_, as sureties, duly
executed and delivered to	the said		their joint a	and several bond, in
the sum of	dollars,	conditione	d that the said	
should in all things well ar	nd truly execute	and perfo	rm the duties of the offic	e of deputy sheriff of
the said County of		, dur	ing continuance in said	office, and should at
all times save and keep	harmless and	indemnifie	ed the said	, his
heirs, executors and adm	inistrators, of, f	rom and a	gainst all issues, deman	ds, damages, costs,
liabilities and charges v	whatsoever to	be produ	ıced, imposed, prosecu	uted, demanded or
demandable of, or again	nst the said ₋		, his h	eirs, executors and
administrators, for or b	y reason of	any negle	ect of any kind whats	soever of the said
	_ in executino	g wrongfull	y, and neglecting to ex	ecute said office of
deputy sheriff and also f	or or by reaso	on of any	manner of nonfeasance	or misfeasance or
malconduct of the said			in anywise touching the	execution of his said
office of deputy sheriff dur	ing the time afo	oresaid; an	d which said bond furthe	r expressly provided
that the recovery against	said		[sheriff], of any	judgment for or by
reason of any of the matt	ers aforesaid,	should be	conclusive evidence of	the liability to him of
the defendants herein, fo	r the full amou	ınt which l	ne might by the terms of	of such judgment be
adjudged or required to p	ay, together w	ith lawful in	nterests thereon, and all	costs, counsel fees
and expenses incurred by	him in the de	fense of ar	ny such suit, action or pr	oceeding. A copy of
said bond is hereto annex	ed and made a	part of this	s complaint.	

2. Upon informa	ation and belief, o	n or about	t the	day	of	,
20	_, the said		dul	y entered upo	on the perforr	mance and
discharge of the	duties of deputy s	heriff, and	continued the	erein down to	the	day
of	, 20	, at	which date	the said		
ceased to hold th	e said office of she	eriff of the C	County of			
3. Upon informa	ation and belief, b	etween		 ,	20	, and
	, 20	0	, bo	oth days	inclusive	e, one
	, at th	e special	instance ar	nd request o	of the said	defendant
	, acting	as such	deputy sheri	ff, as afores	aid, performe	ed for and
rendered		nights'	services in	watching, ca	ring for and	protecting
certain property	levied upon by t	he said de	efendant,		,	as deputy
sheriff, under and	d by virtue of certa	in writs or v	warrants of a	ttachment iss	ued out of the	e Supreme
Court, County	of		, directed	I to and d	uly delivered	d to said
	, as su	ıch sheriff,	and by hin	n duly given	for execution	on to said
defendant		, as	his depu	ıty. Such	employment	of said
	by sa	aid		, as	above set	forth, was
wrongful and unn	necessary.					
4. Upon informa	ation and belief, th	e said def	endant,		, w	holly failed
and neglected to	pay to the said _			his reaso	nable charge	s for such
	nights'	services r	endered him	as aforesaid	, or any part	or portion
thereof.						
5. Upon inform	ation and belief,	thereafter	, and on or	about the		day of
	, 20	, by rea	ason of said	failure and ne	glect on the	part of the
said defendant,		,	to pay to	said		his
reasonable charg	ges for the services	s rendered	as aforesaid,	the said		
commenced an	action in the ci	ty court c	of		, againsf	t the said
	, as she	eriff of the	County of			to recover

the value of such _		nights of	service	rendered	by said
	to such deputy sheriff,			; there	eafter and
on or about the	day of	, 20_		, judg	ment was
duly obtained therein	n by the said			against	the said
	, as sheriff of the C	County of			, fo
dollars	s, and was by said		c	luly entered	d with the
clerk of the city court of _		on the same o	day.		
6. On or about the	day of		_, 20	·	, the saic
	died, leaving a last will	and testamen	t, which v	vas thereaft	ter and or
or about the	day of	, 20		, duly a	dmitted to
probate in the office of th	e surrogate of the County o	f		·	
7. Thereafter and o	on or about the	,	day of		
	on or about the				
	s testamentary were duly				
	ppointing her executrix of				
	, deceased, and there		d plaintif	f duly qua	lified and
entered upon the dischar	ge of her duties as such ex	ecutrix.			
8. On or about the	day of		_, 20		, the saic
plaintiff was compelled to	o and did pay to the said _			, or his a	attorney o
record, the aforement	ioned judgment of			_, plaintiff	, agains
	, as sheriff of the Count	y of		, c	defendant
with interest thereon to t	the date of payment, amou	inting in all to	the sum	of	
dollars, and the said judg	ment was thereupon discha	arged of recor	d.		
9. By reason of said	action between the said			, pla	intiff, and
	, as sheriff, etc., defenda	ant, and by re	ason of t	he said jud	gment the
said	has necessarily in	curred various	s disburse	ements and	I has paid
counsel fees in defending	g the same, amounting in al	I to the sum o	f	d	ollars.

10. The said defendant	and	the	said	defend	dants
and	, have,	and	each of	them	has,
wholly failed and neglected to pay to said plaintiff the said	sums s	so paid	d by rea	ason of	said
judgment and of said disbursements and fees, as stipulat	ed in sa	aid bo	nd afor	ementio	oned,
though payment thereof any of each of said sums has been	heretof	ore du	ıly dema	anded c	of the
said defendants and each of them, and the same remain w	holly du	e and	payable	to the	said
plaintiff.					
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone nur	nber, an	d verif	ication.]		
Form 162					
Complaint in Action by Town on Bond of Town Treasurer					
[Caption and introductory paragraph]					
1. The above-named defendant	_ was d	uring	the peri	od fron	n the
, day of, 20	, to tl	ne		da	ay of
, 20, the duly qualified a	and acti	ng tov	vn treas	surer o	f the
plaintiff town, a municipal corporation, duly organized and exis	sting as	such.			
2. Upon his election thereto, and before entering upon the o	duties of	said o	office, a	nd to q	ualify
himself to enter thereon, the said defendant, as	principa	l, an	d the	defend	dants
and,	as sure	eties, c	duly exe	cuted u	ınder
their hands and seals and delivered to the plaintiff the official l	ond rec	uired	by law, v	wherein	they
bound themselves, their heirs, executors and administrators,	jointly ar	nd sev	erally, to	the pla	aintiff
in the sum of dollars, to be paid to said tow	n; a cop	y of s	aid bond	d is atta	ched
hereto and made a part of this complaint.					
3. During the term of office of said defendant			, there	came t	o the
hands of said defendant, and	was re	eceive	d by h	im as	such
treasurer of said town, the plaintiff, the sum of		_ dolla	ars; and	there	was

disbursed and paid	out by him the sum of $_$	do	llars, leaving a balance in his
hands of	dollars, which sho	ould have been acco	ounted for to said town by him
upon the expiration of	of his term of office.		
4. On the	day of	, 20	, the term of office of the
said	expired	and he was suc	cceeded in said office by
	, who was the duly	elected and qualifie	ed successor of said defendant
as such treasurer.			
5. Upon the se	ettlement of the said	defendant, on	the day of
	, 20, the sa	aid balance above s	tated remained in his hands of
the moneys of said t	own.		
6. Said defendant,	in breach of the said bond	d, has failed and re	fused, and still refuses, to pay
over to his successo	or, according to law, or to	account for said su	m of dollars,
although demand wa	as made therefor by		, his successor, and by the
plaintiff on the	day of	, 20); and no part
thereof has been pa	id, all to the plaintiff's dam	age in the sum of $_$	dollars.
[Demand for judgme	ent, endorsement, address	s, telephone numbe	r, and verification.]
Form 163			
Skeleton Form of C	Complaint in Action on O	fficial Bond of Pub	lic Officer
[Caption and introdu	uctory paragraph]		
1. On or about	, 2	.,	was
duly elected [or "app	ointed"]	[title of c	office] of the county [or "city" or
"town" or as the	e case may be] of		, for the term of

2. On	, 20	,	, as principal,
and	company	[or "	and
	_"] as surety [or "sureties	s"] duly executed and deliv	ered to the city [or
as the case may be] of _		, a bond in the sum of	
dollars guaranteeing the	faithful performance	by the said ¹ of his	duties as such
	_ [or as the case may be	pe]. A copy of said bond is	s hereto annexed,
made a part hereof.			
3. Said	, between _	,	20
and	, 20	_,	[state facts to
show breach of bond].			
4. On or about		, by an orde	er duly made and
entered in this court, leav	e was granted to this pl	aintiff to maintain an action	n on the aforesaid
bond.			
[Demand for judgment, er	idorsement, address, tele	ephone number and verifica	ation.]
Form 164			
Complaint in Action on S	Sheriff's Official Bond		
[Caption and introductory	paragraph]		
1. On or about the _	day of _		, one
		was duly elected sh	eriff of the County
of	for a term of	years.	
2. On or about the	day of _		, said
	_ as principal and defen	dant as surety executed ar	nd delivered to the
	_ of said county their bo	and in the sum of	
dollars, conditioned upon	the faithful performan	ce by the said	

	of his duties a	s sheriff of said co	ounty. A copy	of said bond is
attached hereto and mad	e a part of this com	plaint.		
3. On the	_ day of	, 20	, the pl	aintiff recovered
a judgment in the		Court, County of		, in
his favor against one		for the sum o	of	dollars, and
the judgment roll was du	ly filed and said ju	dgment was duly d	ocketed on the	said date in the
office of the clerk of the C	ounty of	·		
4. An execution against	the property of the	e said		was duly issued
upon said judgment, on	ihe	day of	, 20	, and
directed to the said		as such sherif	ff, by which he	was directed to
satisfy the said judgmen	t and collect the s	aid sum of	dolla	rs, with interest
thereon from the	day of _		, 20	, out of the
personal property of the	said judgment deb	tor, and if sufficient	personal prope	rty could not be
found, out of the real prop	perty belonging to h	nim at the time when	said judgment	was docketed in
the clerk's office of the C	ounty of		or at any time th	nereafter; and to
return said execution to t	he clerk of the Cou	inty of		within sixty days
after the receipt thereof.				
5. Acting under and by	virtue of said execu	ution, the said		, as such
sheriff, on or about the	day	y of	, 20	, levied
upon and seized certain	chattels of the said		, of a v	alue equal to or
greater than the sum he	vas directed by said	d execution to collec	t.	
6. No part of said sum	has been paid to t	he plaintiff by the s	said	
	, although payme	ent thereof has been	duly demanded	l.
7. On the	_ day of	, 20	, the pl	aintiff recovered
a judgment in the		Court, County	of	,
against the said		, as such	sheriff, for	the sum of

dollars, damages	which the plaintiff had sustained by reason of the
failure of this said	as such sheriff to pay to the plaintiff the sum
directed by said execution, and also for the su	ım of dollars as costs
and charges of the plaintiff in said last-mention	oned suit, whereby the plaintiff sustained further
damages to the amount of the said costs and c	harges.
8. Leave has been granted to the plainti	iff by an order of this court, entered in the
County Clerk's	s office of the day of
, 20, to mai	intain an action upon the said official bond of the
said as such	h sheriff, for the default of the said
	as aforesaid.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address	, telephone number, and verification.]
Complaint in Action by Sheriff on Bond to Ir	ndemnify Him for Levy Upon Property Claimed
by Third Parties	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]	
1. From, 20_	, to,
20, plaintiff was the d	uly elected sheriff of the County of
2. On information belief defendant is and a	t all times herein mentioned was a corporation
existing under the laws of the State of New Yor	rk and engaged in business as a surety company.
3. On or about	
,	20, the defendant executed and
	20, the defendant executed and the sum of dollars, a

4. Said bond recited a judgment obtained by one against
in the Supreme Court, County of, and
the issuance of an execution thereunder to plaintiff as sheriff, and further recited that certain
property which said judgment creditor believed to be the property of said judgment debtor might
be claimed by other parties. The condition of said bond was that if the defendant should
indemnify and save harmless the plaintiff as sheriff against all liability, costs and expenses
arising out of a levy by him upon said property under the execution aforesaid, then said
obligation was to be void, but otherwise to remain in full force and effect, all as more fully set
forth in the copy of said bond annexed hereto.
5. After the execution and delivery of said bond, and at the request of the said judgment
creditor, the plaintiff as sheriff levied upon said property for the purpose of satisfying in whole or
in part the execution as aforesaid.
6. Thereafter and on or about, 20, by reason of the
said levy, one, commenced an action against this plaintiff as sheriff
in the Supreme Court, County, wherein such proceedings were had
that on or about, 20, the judgment was rendered
against this plaintiff in favor of the said, in the sum of
dollars, based upon the said levy by this plaintiff.
7. When said action against this plaintiff was begun, and on or about
, 20, plaintiff notified defendant thereof and requested
defendant to defend the same. Said request was repeated on or about
, 20, before the trial of said action, but defendant
failed and refused to defend the same or to give plaintiff any assistance in the defense thereof.
8. In the defense of said action against him, plaintiff necessarily incurred costs, counsel fees
and expenses in the amount of dollars.

- 9. Defendant has wholly failed to indemnify the plaintiff against liability under said judgment and the said costs, counsel fees and expenses, and no part of the sum stipulated in said bond has been paid, although payment thereof has been duly demanded.
- 10. Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of said bond or contract on his part.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 166

Complaint in Action on Undertaking; Special Damages

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Plaintiff is a domestic corporation	ation.		
2. Defendant	Surety Company	is a domestic corporation	n.
3. On or about the	day of	, 20	, one
ma	ade his certain promissory	note in writing, wherek	by for value
received he promised to pay to	the order of the	Compa	any the sum
of	dollars, three months after	said date. Thereafter ar	nd before its
maturity said note was duly	endorsed and delivered	by said	
Company to one	, who likev	vise thereafter and befo	ore maturity
endorsed and delivered the sai	d note to plaintiff, and, as	collateral security for the	payment of
the said note, deposited with p	laintiff certificate No. 14 for	three hundred shares o	of the capital
stock of the	Manufacturing Co	mpany in the name of th	e defendant
, an	d which had, endorsed upo	n it, an assignment exec	uted by said
defendant, in blank, of one hund	dred shares of said stock rep	oresented by said certifica	ate.
4. No part of said note had be	en paid to plaintiff, except	the sum of	dollars,
noid	20	and avacant the further	or our of

dollars, re	ceived from the sale of the	collateral deposited v	with said note as
hereafter more fully alleged.			
5. On or about the	day of	, 20	, defendant
	commenced an action at law	w in the Supreme Cou	irt of the State of
New York, seeking to recove	er of plaintiff said one hundr	ed shares of the capit	al stock, and the
certificate therefor, being	numbered 14, of the		_ Manufacturing
Company, deposited as colla	ateral for the payment of the	note described in para	graph marked "3"
herein.			
6. During the pendency of s	aid suit, plaintiff advertised f	for sale said stock held	d as collateral for
said indebtedness, and de	efendant	, thereupon	applied to the
Supreme Court in and for the	ne county of New York, stoo	ck until the determinat	tion of the action
brought by him for the recov	ery of such stock. Upon suc	h application for an in	junction the court
made and entered an orde	r, dated	, 20	, a copy of
which is annexed hereto and	marked "Exhibit A."		
7. Subsequently and pursu	ant to said order defendant	··S,	and the
	Surety Company, made and	d executed an undert	aking filed in the
office of the clerk of New	York county, on the	day of	
20, whereby	they jointly and severally	agreed to pay to pla	intiff the sum of
dollars, la	wful money of the United St	tates. The said undert	aking recited the
said order of the Supre	eme Court of New York	c, dated	
20, and it wa	as therein provided that if	defendant	,
should indemnify and hold	plaintiff, the	National	Bank, harmless
from any and all damage, ir	terest, costs or other expen	ise, by reason of or g	rowing out of the
issuance or continuance of	the injunction and as secur	ity for the amount of t	the indebtedness
claimed to be due this plair	ntiff and on which it claimed	I to hold the certificate	e of stock above
referred to, as collateral, the	n the obligation was to be vo	id; otherwise, to remai	n in full force and
effect. A copy of said underta	aking is annexed hereto and	marked "Exhibit B "	

8. The said action brought by	against this plaintiff was tried at a trial
term of the Supreme Court of the State of New Y	ork, and resulted in a judgment for this plaintiff,
and an adjudication that defendant	was not entitled to the
possession of said shares of stock, until the inc	debtedness due this plaintiff was paid, and the
said action was dismissed upon the merits, with	costs. No appeal from said judgment has been
taken by defendant,	and the action is now fully determined. A copy
of said judgment is annexed hereto and marked "	Exhibit C."
9. Since the final determination of said action,	plaintiff has sold, at public sale, to the highest
bidder, the one hundred shares of stock deposit	ed with it as collateral, and there was realized
from such sale the sum of dol	lars, less the expenses of said sale amounting
to dollars. The amount of ind	ebtedness for which said stock was originally
deposited was the sum of	dollars, with interest from
	subject to a credit of dollars,
paid, 20	<u></u> .
10. Plaintiff has not collected any other portion of	•
therefor, and there remains now due upon said	·
particularly set forth, for which said stock	·
dollars with interest from _	, 20,
subject to a credit of	dollars, paid,
20, and less the sum of	dollars, received from the sale of said
collateral.	

11. Defendants have failed to perform the undertakings of the said bond in that they have refused and failed to pay to plaintiff, although duly demanded, the sum of [fifteen hundred] dollars, due to plaintiff as provided in said undertaking.

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

12. Plaintiffs were compelled to and did retain attorneys to defend the cause for an injunction
restraining plaintiff from selling and disposing of the said application for an injunction made by
defendant, and were compelled to and did pay out sums of money
for advertising the sale of the collateral, and the adjournment thereof pending the decision upon
the application for a preliminary injunction. The one hundred shares of stock of the
Manufacturing Company, deposited as collateral for said
indebtedness at the time of the issuance of said order; viz.,,
20, was of sufficient value to have paid the indebtedness for which plaintiff held
such stock as collateral, which was the sum of dollars, with interest from
, 20; but, pending the trial, the said stock became
much less valuable, and plaintiff was only able to obtain for the said one hundred shares which it
so held, at public auction, after the termination of the said action brought by defendant
the sum of dollars, by all of which plaintiff has
been damaged and has been put to expense and cost by reason of and growing out of the
issuance of such injunction in at least the sum of dollars. Payment has been
duly demanded of the defendant, and the defendant
Surety Company, of the said sum of dollars, and,
although long past due, the defendants and each of them have refused to make such payment,
or any part thereof.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number and verification]
Form 167
Occupation to Action on Board of Administrator
Complaint in Action on Bond of Administrator
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On or about the day of, 20, defendants,
together with one M.N., made and delivered to the surrogate of the county of
their bond in writing, under their hands and seals, a true copy of

which is hereto annexed marked "Exhibit A" [or allege legal effect of bond, whereby they jointly
and severally bound themselves to the state of in the sum of
dollars, conditioned that if the said M.N. should faithfully discharge the trust
reposed in him as administrator of all and singular the goods, chattels and credits of 7, of the city
of, county of, and state of
, deceased, and should obey all lawful decrees and orders of the
surrogate's court touching the administration of the estate committed to him then the obligation
to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect].
2. On or about the day of, 20, and after the
making and delivery of the bond as aforesaid, letters of administration upon the said estate of
said decedent were duly issued and granted by the said surrogate of the county of
to the said M.N. and the said M.N. thereupon duly qualified and
acted and is still acting as such administrator.
3. On or about the day of, 20, the said M.N.
duly presented to the said surrogate of the county of, an account of
his proceedings as such administrator, together with a petition for a judicial settlement of such
account, and a citation thereon was duly issued by the said surrogate addressed to all of the
persons required by law to be cited in such a proceeding including the defendants herein as
sureties upon the said administrator's bond as aforesaid, and the said citation was duly served
upon all of the persons including the defendants herein.
4. Thereafter such proceedings were had upon such accounting, that on or about the
, day of, 20, a decree was duly made and
entered by the surrogate of the county of, by which it was duly
adjudged and decreed that the said administrator had in his hands the sum of
dollars as the balance of the assets of the said decedent that had come into his hands as such
administrator, and the said administrator was ordered and directed to pay and distribute said
balance as follows, to wit: [insert provisions of decree].

5. Thereaf	ter and	on or	about	the _				day	of			,
20	, a t	ranscript c	f said c	lecree	was c	duly f	iled i	n the	office	of the o	clerk c	of the
county of			, an	d said	decre	e duly	/ dock	keted i	n said	d office.		
6. Execution	thereon	was duly is	sued to	the she	eriff of	the c	county	/ of				,
commanding	y him t	to satisfy	the	same	out	of	the	perso	nal	property	of	said
		, and	if suffic	ient pe	rsona	l prop	perty	could	not b	e found,	then o	out of
the real prop	erty belor	nging to him	n in said	county	at the	time	of the	e filing	and	docketing	g there	of.
7. At the tim	e of the is	suance of	the said	execut	ion, th	ne sai	id M.N	۱. was	, and	now is, a	reside	ent of
the said cour	nty of			·								
8. The said	sheriff ha	as returned	l said ex	xecutio	n who	lly ur	nsatis	fied aı	nd th	e same s	still rer	nains
unpaid and u	ınsatisfied	d.										
[Demand for	r judgmen	t, endorser	nent, ad	dress,	teleph	one i	numbe	er and	verif	ication]		
•	, 0	•	,	,	•					•		
Form 168												
Complaint i	n Action	on Official	Bond									
[Caption and	d introduc	tory paragr	aph]									
1. Plaintiff	is a resid	dent of				,					Cc	ounty,
New York.												•
2 Defendan	1			ic o d	omoct	io co	rnarat	ion				
2. Defendan	ıl			_ 15 a u	omesi	ic coi	ιρυιαι	.1011.				
3. At the tin	ne hereina	after menti	oned, de	efendar	nt					was, a	and no	ow is,
		[shei	riff] of					Cou	ınty.			
4. At the tim	ne hereina	after mentic	oned, de	fendan	t					_ was, a	nd still	l is, a
		[de	puty sł	neriff]	of _					Co	unty,	duly
appointed by	defendar	nt			a	nd ac	ting a	as suct	٦.			

5. Before entering upon and assuming the duties of such office, defendant,
, as [sheriff] of ¹ County, and defendant
, as his surety, jointly and severally duly executed his official bond
which was duly approved and filed in the county clerk's office, a
copy of which, marked Exhibit A, is annexed hereto and made a part hereof.
6. On information and belief, on or about, 20,
defendants, acting as
[sheriff and deputy sheriff] respectively, as aforesaid, were
[searching for a prisoner who, on that day, had escaped from the
custody of said ² [sheriff] where he had been committed on conviction of a felony].
7 [In the course of, and as a part of such search, defendants
and broke into and entered the home of
the deponent at, New York, without legal right, and wrongfully and
unlawfully assaulted, beat, and struck plaintiff about the head, face, back, and other parts of the
body, with fists, blackjacks, and other instruments].
8 [No escaped prisoner then was, or had been, in plaintiff's home].
9 [As a result of such assault, beating, and striking, plaintiff
suffered serious personal injuries which are of a permanent nature, and from which plaintiff
suffered and continues to suffer great pain. Plaintiff was confined to the hospital and to his bed
for a considerable time, was compelled to pay large sums for medical attendance and was
prevented from performing his usual occupation], all in the plaintiff's damage in the sum of
\$
10. On or about, 20, by order of Hon.
, Justice of the Supreme Court, plaintiff was granted leave to
maintain an action on the official bond of defendant,

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number and verification]

Form 169

Allegation of Lea	ve to Sue		
On the	day of	, 20	, an order was duly made
and entered in the	said Supreme Court, p	ermitting the plaintiffs	s herein to maintain an action in
their own name	s on the said under	rtaking hereinbefore	set forth, against the said
	, and against	each, every, and	all of the other above-named
defendants, in this	court, upon the causes	of action herein set fo	orth.
Form 170			
Complaint in Acti	ion by Contractor for A	greed Compensatio	n on Completion of Contract
[Caption and intro	oductory paragraph]		
1. The plaintiff	and the defendant or	n the	_ day of,
20,	at	, entered into	a certain contract in writing, for
the erection of	a	on the	premises of defendant at
	, a copy of whi	ch contract is hereto	annexed and made a part of this
complaint.			
2. Plaintiff has dul	ly performed all of the co	nditions of said contra	act on his part to be performed.
3. No part of the	sum required to be paid	I to plaintiff by defend	dant under the said contract has
been paid except t	the sum of	dollars.	
[Demand for judge	ment, endorsement, add	ress, telephone numb	per, and verification.]
Form 171			
Complaint in Acti	ion by Contractor for S	ervices in Excavatir	ng

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. On the, day of, 20, at
, plaintiff and defendant entered into an agreement in writing, under
their hands and seals, of which a copy is hereto annexed and made a part of this complaint,
whereby the plaintiff on his part undertook and agreed to do all the excavating which the
defendant might desire to have done on certain premises known as,
such excavation to be done under the direction of the defendant and his engineer, and the
plaintiff to be paid for his said work by the defendant as the work progressed, on estimates to be
furnished by said engineer showing the amount actually done and to be paid for.
2. Defendant, in consideration of the premises, did, for himself, his heirs and assigns, covenant
and agree, in said agreement, that he would pay the plaintiff for such excavation at the rate of
cents per cubic yard, per cent thereof to
be as the work should progress, upon the production by the plaintiff of the said engineer's
estimates and certificate showing the work to be properly done and the amount of earth
removed by the plaintiff, and defendant therein agreeing to pay the plaintiff
per cent of the full price, the remaining
per cent to be paid in cash at the expiration of six months from the completion of the work.
3. It was further agreed that the plaintiff would and should fully complete such excavation on or
before, 20, and would furnish delivered upon said
premises, as said engineer or defendant should direct, as much gravel as said defendant should
desire at the rate of cents per cubic yard, to be paid, as aforesaid,
upon the certificate and estimates of said engineer.
4. In said agreement the plaintiff agreed to pay to defendant as liquidated damages the sum of
dollars per day for every day after said,
20, that the work should remain incomplete and that he should place all earth
removed from any part of the premises on any other part of the premises as defendant or city
engineer should direct, as by said contract will more fully appear.

5. Pursuant to said contract the plaintiff was requested by the defendant to commence work on
the, day of, 20, and not before, and
thereupon immediately entered upon the performance thereof and executed the same under the
direction of the defendant and his engineer, and in all things duly performed the terms of said
contract, on his part to be performed, until on or about the day of
, 20, on which day the defendant discharged the plaintiff from
said work and refused to permit him to do any further excavating or further perform any of the
provisions thereof.
6. The total amount excavated by the plaintiff under said contract was cubic yards; and the performance of said contract was duly
accepted by defendant, and his said engineer thereupon gave his estimates and certificates
showing such quantity of excavation to have been done, and said certificates and estimates
were duly produced and presented to the defendant, long before the commencement of this
action.
7. No want of acid area has been maid area at the areas fallowing maid respectively at the dates
7. No part of said sum has been paid except the sums following paid respectively at the dates
hereafter named, to wit:
There is a balance justly due and owing to the plaintiff from the defendant, under said contract,
of the sum of dollars with interest thereon from the
day of, 20, and although the same became due and payable
long before the commencement of this action, yet the defendant, although said estimates and
certificates have been produced and presented to him, and though often requested, neglects
and refuses to pay the same.
8. By reason of the above, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Complaint in Action by Contractor Where Building Not Completed Within Time Fixed by Contract

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

1. On or about the	day of	, 20	, at the City of
	, the plaintiff and defe	endant entered into	an agreement in writing
whereby the plaintiff ag	greed to tear down and r	emove the building	on the premises at No.
	street	, in the City of	, to
make all the excavations	s for cellar and foundations	of a new proposed	building on said premises
and erect, complete ar	nd finish the proposed ne	w building for the	defendant upon the said
premises at No		street, a	nd make all the proposed
alterations and addit	ions in the building	of the defendant	, Nos,
	and	stree	t, and the connections
between said last-ment	ioned building and the pro	oposed new building	g, and to provide on said
premises all the materia	ls for the foregoing; all of t	he foregoing was to	be done by the plaintiff in
the best workmanlike n	nanner and to the best of	its ability, and in a	accordance with specified
drawings, plans and spe	ecifications of	, the	architect of the defendant.
In and by said contract	it was provided that all of	said work, except th	ne mason work, which the
plaintiff agreed to perfor	m itself, was to be sublet b	by the plaintiff to sub	ocontractors, selected in a
manner satisfactory to	the defendant and approv	ved by it, and the p	proper performance of all
contracts made with sub	ocontractors was to be gua	ranteed by the plaint	iff.
2 In and by said cont	ract the plaintiff guarantee	nd to the defendant	that the total cost to the
•			
defendant of said new	building and alterations	of the existing bu	liding should not exceed
dollars	s and agreed to exert its b	est efforts to reduce	the cost to the defendant
of any and all items of e	expense, and to reduce the	cost of said work as	s far as may be, all saving
of expense below said	guaranteed amount of cos	st to enure to the be	enefit of the defendant. In
and by said contract th	ne plaintiff further agreed	that said building a	and alterations should be

erected	and	completed	according	to	the	terms	of	said	conti	ract	on	or	before
			, 20		, ε	except fo	or dela	ay cau	used I	by fir	e or	strike	es, not
occasione	d by	the fault o	f the plain	tiff, o	r its	subcont	ractor	s. In	and	by s	aid d	contra	act the
defendant	agre	ed to pay to	the plaintiff	upor	the	certificat	te of th	ne ard	chitect	t the	amou	ınts a	as they
fell due ar	nd bed	came payab	le accordin	g to th	ne tei	rms resp	ective	ly of t	the co	ontrac	ts of	the v	/arious
subcontra	ctors	aforesaid a	nd also to	pay m	nonth	ly upon	such	certifi	cate t	the a	ctual	cost	of the
mason wo	ork do	ne and mate	rials furnish	ned by	the /	plaintiff.	Defen	dant	furthe	r agre	eed t	o pay	to the
plaintiff, in	n add	lition to the	foregoing	, the	sum	of			d	ollars	, in	full f	or the
performan	nce of	said contrac	ct, in install	ments	as f	ollows: _			<u>.</u>	dolla	rs wh	nen th	ne roof
was tight;	and th	ne remainino	9		do	llars whe	en the	work	was o	comp	letely	finis	hed.
3. The pla	aintiff	duly perform	ned all the c	onditi	ons o	of said co	ontrac	t on it	s part	. exc	ept th	nat it	did not
		buildings											
		, 20											
		ne time spec											
		but was ca											
		said premis	-			•							
		, 20											
		the specific											
in the spe	ecifica	tions, and ir	n making ot	her s	ubsta	intial cha	anges,	, addi	tions	and a	altera	itions	in the
plans and	speci	fications; in	interfering v	with th	ne wo	ork as it p	orogre	ssed;	in de	laying	g dec	ision	s upon
modification	ons, c	hanges and	alterations	and	upon	questio	ns rel	ating	to the	e met	hods	of w	ork as
they aros	e; in	failing to for	urnish pron	nptly	nece	ssary in	nforma	tion a	and d	lata 1	for th	ne w	ork; in
deviating	from	the original	l plans and	d spe	cifica	ations ar	nd in	maki	ng su	ıch s	ubsti	tution	ns and
additions	to said	d plans and	specificatio	ns an	d suc	ch chang	jes in :	said r	new bu	uildin	g and	d alte	rations
as necess	sarily p	orevented th	e completion	on of s	said l	ouilding a	and al	teratio	ons by	y the	time	spec	ified in
said contr	act. T	he defenda	nt, by reas	on of	the f	acts afo	resaid	duly	waive	ed the	e req	uiren	nent of
said contr	act th	at the said b	ouildings an	d alte	eratio	ns shoul	d be d	compl	eted o	on the	e		
day of			. 20			and sai	d buil	dina	and a	altera	itions	wer	e fullv

completed within a reasonable time in view of the facts and circumstances hereinbefore stated
and were so completed on the day of, 20
4. The defendant has paid to the plaintiff the sum of dollars, as provided in
such contract, but has failed to pay to the plaintiff the residue of dollars
stipulated in said contract, although the said building was completely finished and said
alterations were completely made long before the commencement of this action and the
payment of said sum became due and was duly demanded on or about the day
of
FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
The plaintiff, repeating the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint
and hereby realleging the same as if repeated in full, further alleges as follows:
5. The defendant made numerous additions to and alterations in said contract of the
day of, 20, many of them of a radical nature
the performance of which involved a greater amount of services and for a longer period of time
than was contemplated between the parties at the time said contract was entered into, or than
was expressed within the terms of said contract; the plaintiff has performed extra work and
rendered extra services to the defendant in superintending and completing the large amount of
additions, substitutions and alterations in and to the original plans and specifications ordered by
the defendant and such extra work and extra services are reasonably worth the sum of
dollars, no part of which has been paid although duly demanded.
Wherefore, the plaintiff demands judgment for the sum of dollars, with
interest on dollars from the day of
20, on the first cause of action, and the sum of dollars, with
interest from the day of, 20, on the second
cause of action, making together the sum of dollars, with interest as aforesaid
together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

[Endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 173

Complaint in Action by Contractor Prevented from Completing Contract

[Ca	ption ar	nd intro	ductory pa	ragraph]								
1.	On	the			day	of			;	20		,
			,	this plaint	iff, and	the	defendan	t entere	d into a	contra	act, a co	py of
whic	ch, mark	ked "Ex	hibit A", is	hereto an	nexed a	and n	nade a pa	art of this	compla	aint.		
2. I	n pursu	iance d	of the term	s of said	contrac	ct, ar	nd of the	specific	ations th	nereto	attached	d and
mad	le a pa	irt there	eof, plainti	ff entered	l into t	he w	ork there	ein spec	ified up	on giv	ing the	bond
requ	uired the	ereby,	satisfactory	y to the s	aid en	ginee	r and sai	id defen	dant, ar	nd cont	tinued o	n the
wor	k provid	ded for	in and b	by said c	ontract	up	to on or	about	the		da	ay of
			_, 20									
3.	In prep	paring	to perform	n and in	perfor	ming	the wo	rk spec	ified in	said	contract	and
spe	cificatio	ns, the	plaintiff ne	cessarily	expend	led th	ne sum of	about _			dollar	s. All
of th	ne work	done a	and perforr	med by sa	aid plaiı	ntiff v	vas perfo	rmed str	cictly in	accord	ance wit	h the
tern	ns of sa	id cont	ract and sp	pecificatio	ns, to t	he er	ntire satis	faction o	of the er	ngineer	of defe	ndant
in c	harge o	of the s	aid work, a	and in a r	manner	acce	eptable to	the def	endant,	and s	aid work	was
acc	epted by	y said d	defendant a	as satisfad	ctory, a	nd as	s having b	oeen dor	ne in a v	workma	anlike ma	anner
and	in acco	rdance	with the te	erms of sa	id contr	act a	nd specif	ications.				
4.	On or	about	the		_ day	of			, 20_			, the
defe	endant,	without	any fault,	cause or	reason	for t	he same,	of or on	the pai	rt of the	e said pl	aintiff
stop	ped the	work u	under the s	aid contra	ct and	prev	ented said	d plaintif	f from co	ontinuir	ng any fu	ırther
wor	k under	, and co	ompleting t	he same,	and sa	id pla	aintiff, con	npelled t	hereto b	y the c	lefendar	ıt, did
disc	ontinue	and su	spend the	said work	k under	said	contract	although	n plaintif	f was f	ully equi	ipped

and prepared to continue said work, and had expended a large amount of money in material and supplies necessary to complete the same.

5.	From	the	time	of	the	commend	ement	of	the	said	work	of	constructin	g the
					_ prov	vided for in	said co	ontra	ct, th	e said	plainti	ff pe	erformed wo	rk and
labo	or in ac	corda	nce wi	th th	e terr	ms thereof	to the a	amou	ınt of				_ dollars, fo	which
esti	mates v	were f	furnish	ed to	ther	n and to th	e said o	defer	ndant	by the	engin	eer (of the defen	dant in
cha	rge of	said	work,	on v	which	said estir	mates t	he c	defend	dant p	aid sa	id p	laintiff the	sum of
			_ dolla	ırs, le	eavin	g a balance	e due fro	om d	lefenc	dant or	n said e	estim	ates of the	sum of
			_ dolla	ars,	which	n defendar	nt agree	ed to	o pay	, but	has s	ince	refused, a	nd still
refu	ises, to	pay	/, whi	ch s	said	estimates	are in	the	wor	ds ar	d figu	res	following,	to wit:
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_•									
6.	Plaintiff	has	duly	perf	orme	d all the d	condition	ns o	of said	d con	tract o	n hi	s part, exc	ept as
pre	vented	by the	e defer	ndan	t as a	aforesaid, a	and at t	he ti	me de	efenda	ınt so p	oreve	ented plaint	iff from
con	npleting	perfo	rmand	e the	ereof,	plaintiff wa	as and s	still is	s read	ly, able	e and v	villin	g to perform	all the
con	ditions	of sai	d cont	ract	in full	, and duly	tendere	ed pe	erform	ance	thereof	to t	he defendar	nt, who
refu	ised to	permi	t the p	lainti	ff to c	complete pe	erformai	nce.						
7.	No part	of th	ne amo	ount	payal	ble to plain	ntiff purs	suan	t to th	ne terr	ns of s	said	contract ha	s been
paid	d, excep	ot the	sum	of			dollars,	, and	d payı	ment o	of the b	oalar	nce has bee	n duly
den	nanded													

Form 174

Complaint in Action on Construction Contract for Instalments Due Where Contract Terminated Because of Failure to Pay Instalments

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. On or about	, 20	, plaintiff and defendant entered
into a contract in writing, a co	py of which is hereto ann	nexed, marked "Exhibit A," and made a
part hereof, wherein and where	eby the plaintiff agreed to p	perform certain work, labor and services
and furnish certain materials fo	or the masonry and for the	foundation called for in the plans for the
improvement of the defendar	it's water power at the n	orth end of the dam in the Village of
, N	. Y., for the cost of all labo	or, coal and other materials furnished by
the plaintiff in and about said v	work, plus	per cent of the cost of said
coal, labor and materials, the	plaintiff to furnish without	t extra charge all necessary machinery
and the defendant to furnish w	rithout extra charge any ar	nd all electric power required to operate
the said machinery, the tools	to be furnished by the	plaintiff not to include the sheet piling
necessary in and about said w	ork.	
2. The defendant under and	by virtue of conditions of	said contract agreed to make monthly
payments on or before the t	iifteenth of each month t	for all work and labor performed and
materials furnished by the p	laintiff in and about the	performance of said work during the
preceding month, plus	per ce	ent of said amount, less the amount due
the defendant, if any, for elect	ric power furnished by the	e defendant to the plaintiff in and about
their work on contract number		_ of the Barge Canal.
3. During the progress of said	d contract the defendant,	by its officers and agents, changed the
said contract and included mor	e work under said contrac	t.
4. Said contract was not comp	pleted by the plaintiff for th	e reason that the defendant, its officers
and agents, failed to comply w	ith the condition thereof, i	n that the defendant, by its officers and
agents failed and refused to	pay to the plaintiff as spe	ecified in said contract on or about the
of	each and every month, o	r at any other time, the amount due for
the preceding month for work,	labor and materials furnis	hed, although a statement for the same
has been rendered by the pla	aintiff to the defendant ar	nd the defendant requested to pay the
same, which the defendant,	through its officers and a	agents, refused and neglected to pay.
Plaintiff is now and always ha	is been ready and willing	to complete said contract according to

said agreement, but the defendant, its officers and agents, have failed and refused to perform its part of said agreement, and failed and refused to pay the plaintiff as they by the terms of said agreement had agreed to.

5. The plaintiff commenced work under and pursuant to said contract on or abo
, 20, and duly performed all the conditions thereof
his part until and for some time after the defendant neglected and refused to pay the month
installments due thereunder; the actual cost to the plaintiff for the labor, coal and other materia
furnished by the plaintiff under and in pursuance to said contract, plus the p
cent stipulated in said contract and the reasonable value thereof was dollar
no part of which has been paid, except the sum of dollars, included in which
sum is all the cash paid to the plaintiff by the defendant on said contract, and also the value ar
agreed price of all labor and materials, light and power furnished by the defendant to the
plaintiff, up to and including, 20; the defendant fu
paid either by cash or by light, power, labor and materials furnished to the plaintiff, all sums du
under and pursuant to said contract up to and including
20; but the defendant neglected and refused to pay the monthly installments du
thereunder for work done, and materials furnished, after
20, although the same was frequently demanded of the defendant by the plainti
and although the defendant was notified by the plaintiff that unless the same was paid the
plaintiff would cease work; the plaintiff did finally, on or about
20, cease work under said contract, and notified the defendant that he would n
complete the same unless he was paid what was due under the said contract; there was o
, 20, and there is now due and owing to the plaint
from the defendant for work done, and materials furnished, upon said contract, the sum
dollars, with interest from, 20, r
part of which has been paid.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 175

Complaint in Action Against Contractor for Failure to Complete Building Already Leased to Third Person

[Caption and introductory paragraph]		
1. On the day	of	_, 20, at
, the plaintiff a	nd the defendant entere	d into a written agreement,
whereby the defendant agreed to erect, in	a substantial manner, a t	wo-story frame house in the
Village of, Co	unty of	, and to have the
said house completed and ready for or	ccupancy on or before	the day of
, 20, a	copy of the contract bei	ng annexed hereto, marked
"Exhibit A," and made a part hereof.		
2. The plaintiff duly performed all the cond	tions thereof on his part.	
3. The defendant entered upon the performance of the control of th	mance of the work unde	r said contract, and laid the
foundations of the said house, and comm	enced the erection of the	e first story thereof; but has
neglected to finish the said building pursu	ant to said contract, and	I has left the same with the
foundations laid, and the walls of the fi	rst story partly up, and	although the time for the
completion of said building expired before t	his action, he refuses to o	complete the same.
4. The plaintiff on the	day of	, 20, at
, made an agre	ement with one	whereby
he agreed to let, and said	agreed to hi	re, the said building for one
year from the day of	, 20	, at the yearly rent of
dollars, of which the de	efendant had due notice	at the time of making said
contract.		
5. By reason of the defendant's failure to	complete the contract	aforesaid upon his part, the
plaintiff has been unable to complete said	house so as to give said	

occupancy thereof, and has been thereby deprived of the profits of said lease, and has been
otherwise greatly injured, to his damage in the sum of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 176
Complaint in Action Against Roofing Contractor for Unworkmanlike Job
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On, 20, plaintiffs were the owners of premises
known as No, Street in the City of
, and plaintiffs were then engaged in rebuilding and remodeling the
dwelling house on said premises.
2. The defendant was then doing business under the name and style of Company and engaged in business as a roofing contractor, and
also in building, erecting and equipping gutters, downspouts and flashings, and held himself out
to the public as one possessed of ordinary skill and ability in said business.
3. On or about the day of, 20, defendant
entered into a contract with plaintiffs to install upon the dwelling house on said premises a tile
roof with gutters, downspouts and flashings. A copy of said contract, marked "Exhibit A" is
hereto annexed and made a part of this complaint.
4. Plaintiffs have duly performed all the conditions of said contract on their part.
5. The defendant entered upon the performance of said contract but he carelessly, negligently,

and improperly erected, installed, built and equipped the said roof and the gutters, downspouts

and flashings, and carelessly and negligently selected inferior, unsuitable and defective material

and performed his services in such a careless, negligent and unworkmanlike manner that the

said roof and the gutter, downspouts, and flashings were inadequate for the purpose for which

they were intended and used, in that they leaked in a great many places and permitted large quantities of water to seep through said leaks, holes and openings upon, through and into the walls and ceiling of the said dwelling house, and as a result the plaster, stucco and decoration on the walls and ceilings were damaged and destroyed, and the plaintiffs were required to and did expend and will in the future be required to expend large sums of money in having the same repaired and replaced.

7. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiffs have been damaged in the sum of ______dollars.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 177

Particular Allegations in Complaint as to Modification of Building Contract

Thereafter, and before the ______ day of ______, 20______, the plaintiff duly performed all the conditions thereof on his part, except that at the request of the defendant he covered the roof of the building in the above contract mentioned with slate instead of shingles, for which the defendant promised to pay a reasonable sum in addition to the price named in said contract; and at the like request he omitted to put blinds upon the rear of the building, on the agreement with the defendant that a reasonable deduction should be made from the price named in said contract for such omission; and by the consent of the defendant the time for completing said work was extended for one month after the day named in said contract to the _____ day of _____, 20_____, on which day the whole of said work was completed by the plaintiff.

The s	sum of			dollars	is a r	easo	nable p	payment t	o be n	nade in	additio	n to the
price n	named in sa	id co	ntract,	for coveri	ng sai	d roof	with s	late instea	d of sh	ningles.		
The s	sum of			dollars	is a	reaso	nable	deduction	to be	made	from tl	he price
named	d in said cor	ntract	for the	e omissior	to pu	t blind	ds upor	n said buil	ding.			
On	the			_ day	of				_, 2	0		, at
			 ,	payment o	of the	sum c	of		dol	lars, be	ing the	balance
due or	n said conti	ract a	fter m	aking suc	h allov	vance	and s	such dedu	ction,	was dul	y dema	anded of
the o	defendant	by	the	plaintiff,	but	no	part	thereof	has	been	paid	except
Form	178											
Partic	ular Allega	itions	in Co	omplaint i	n Acti	on by	/ Cont	ractor for	Value	of Extr	a Worl	‹
While	plaintiff wa	as so	enga	ged, defer	ndant i	reque	sted a	nd ordere	d of th	e plaint	iff certa	ain extra
and ac	dditional wo	rk to	be do	ne, which	was n	ot pro	ovided	for by the	said c	ontract,	said a	dditional
work a	and the rea	asona	ble va	alue of the	labo	r and	mater	ial used ii	n conn	ection t	therewit	th being
itemize	ed as follow	ws: _					Plainti	ff duly pe	rforme	d said (extra w	ork and
defend	dant promis	ed an	id agre	eed to pay	the re	eason	able va	alue thered	of.			
Form	179											
Partic	ular Allega	itions	in Co	omplaint E	Based	on G	iuaran	ty of Wor	k			
The c	defendant o	on th	e		_ day	of _			, 20	0		_, for a
valuab	ole conside	ration	, guai	anteed in	writin	g the	tile ro	oof applie	d on t	he plair	ntiff's re	sidence
agains	st any defe	ects i	in ma	terials or	work	mans	hip foi	r a perio	d of f	ive yea	rs and	further
guarar	nteed that	shoul	d any	leaks de	velop	in sa	aid roc	ofing same	e woul	ld be re	paired	without
charge	e. A copy of	f said	guara	anty, mark	ed "Ex	chibit .	A," is h	nereto atta	ched a	and mad	de a pa	rt of this
compla	aint.											

[Allege defects or leakage, demand upon the defendant that he repair the same, and his failure to comply.]

Form 180

Particular Allegations in Complaint to Recover Claim for Extras and Damage Caused by Owner's Delay

Defendant hindered, delayed, embarrassed and interfered with plaintiff and with the work being carried on by plaintiff; prevented plaintiff from going on and finishing the same in a reasonable and proper manner; suspended the entire work under said contracts from time to time, forced plaintiff to keep its working forces and various employees idle for long periods of time; compelled it to rent space for the storing of completed trim, woodwork and materials; to procure additional insurance on trim and materials; to pay wages of carpenters, painters and others, largely in excess of the wages that would have been necessary to have been paid had plaintiff been allowed to complete its work within a reasonable time; put plaintiff to a large additional cost of putting hardware on said trim and woodwork instead of _____; largely increased the cost to plaintiff of the labor and materials used in the manufacture of said trim and woodwork; caused plaintiff the loss of the use of a large part of the capital invested in its business; caused plaintiff a large additional expense because of door bucks not being properly set and grounded, and sliding door pockets being too small in the said apartment house; for extra work in making patterns for trim, on account of plasterers not following grounds first put on; for putting on glass plaques throughout the building; for extra work on account of inability to do work by reason of the failure of defendant to prepare whole floors at one time ready for trim, and by reason of the orders of defendant to finish certain apartments out of the regular order; all to the loss and damages of plaintiff in the sum of _____ dollars, the particulars of which are stated as to each item in the account hereto annexed and marked "Exhibit A."

Form 181

Allegation in Complaint by Contractor as to Unreasonable Refusal of Architect to Give Certificate

Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of such contract on his part except that he has not obtained the certificate of the architect as to the completion of the work; after plaintiff had fully completed said work in accordance with the terms of said agreement, he requested said architect to make and deliver to him his certificate thereof, but said architect unreasonably refused and still unreasonably refuses so to do.

Form 182

Complaint in Action by Assignee for Sum Due on Contract

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1.	Heretofore	and	on	or	about	the			day	of			,
20_		_, the			(day of			_, 20			_, and	d the
	(day of				, 20		, A	entere	ed into	an origi	nal w	ritten
cor	tract and var	ious su	upple	men	tal cont	racts v	vith B, wh	nerein A a	greed	to fur	nish the	labor	r and
ma	terials for	the t	rim	and	interi	or wo	oodwork	supplied	to	and	erected	d in	the
				Hot	el, in _				_ Cit	y, as	provide	ed in	said
cor	tracts and th	ne plar	ns an	ıd sp	ecificat	ions fo	orming a	part ther	eof; fo	or whic	ch said	labor	and
ma	terials B agr	eed to	pay	A tl	ne sum	of		do	llars.	No pa	rt of sa	id su	m of
		_ dolla	rs ha	s be	en paid	to A,	except th	e sum of			d	ollars	; and
the	re is now due	and u	npaic	d unc	der the s	said co	ntract and	d the cont	racts	supple	mental	theret	o the
sur	n of		do	llars.									
2.	A afterward	s duly	perf	orme	ed all o	of the	terms a	nd condit	ions	of the	said o	contra	ct of
			,	2	.0			,	and	the	said	cont	racts
sup	plemental the	ereto, d	on its	part	to be o	done a	nd perfor	med; and	the sa	ame w	as fully	comp	leted
on	or about the ₋			c	lay of _			, 20			Within	thirty	days
the	reafter, to wit	, on or	aboı	ıt the	e		day of			 ,	20		,

A was entitled to have and receive from B, upon the said contract for the said labor performed
and materials furnished, the sum of dollars, but no part thereof has been paid.
3. Heretofore and on or about the day of,
20, A duly assigned to the plaintiff herein all of its right, title and interest under
the said contracts with B, and the moneys due and unpaid thereunder.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 183
Complaint in Action for Sum Due on Municipal Contract
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On or about, 20, plaintiff duly made and entered
into a contract in writing with the defendant, the City of, acting by
and through thereunto duly authorized by law, wherein and whereby
for certain prices to be paid and considerations therein contained plaintiff agreed to perform
certain work and furnish certain materials for the construction of the portions of
, all as more particularly set out in said contract, and to perform the
said work and furnish the materials required all in accordance with and in conformity to the
terms of said contract and the specifications and plans made a part of said contract.
2. In and under the terms of the said contract defendant promised and agreed to pay to plaintiff
the following prices for the work and materials furnished by him under said contract, to wit:
3. After the execution and delivery of said contract, and as soon as permitted by defendant,
plaintiff proceeded to carry out and perform the terms and conditions of said contract, and has
duly performed all the terms and conditions of said contract on his part to be performed, and has
substantially completed the entire work under said contract, and the same has been accepted

by defendant.

4. It is provided in said contract as foll	ows:	[provision as to
certificate of city engineer as to performance	of contract, etc.].	
5. After the complete performance of the sa	aid contract on the part of the p	laintiff, the Engineer
of the Board of	referred to in said contract and	the provision above
set out herein, duly certified in writing to th	e Board of	from actual
measurements the whole amount of the wor	k done by plaintiff and the value	e of such work under
and in accordance with the terms of the said	contract.	
6. Thereafter a certificate of the said comp	letion and acceptance of the s	aid work under said
contract, duly signed by the chief enginee	r under said contract, and du	lly approved of and
adopted by the said board of	as aforesaid, w	vas duly filed in the
office of the comptroller of the City	of	_ and more than
days have expire	ed since such filing.	
7. In accordance with the terms of the said	d certificate of the said chief er	ngineer and the said
approval and acceptance by the said Board	d of	_, filed as aforesaid,
there has been earned under said contract the	ne sum of doll	ars.
8. No part of the said sum of	dollars has been paid, e	xcepting the sum of
dollars, and there is nov	v due and unpaid on account	of said contract, in
accordance with the terms of said certificate	and resolution of acceptance	and approval by the
said Board of,	the sum of	dollars with interest
from, 20	·	
9. More than thirty days have elapsed since	e the claim here sued upon in	this action was duly
presented to the comptroller of the City	of	for settlement and
adjustment, but no part of said claim has b	peen adjusted, settled or paid,	and settlement and
adjustment thereof has been refused.		

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 184

Complaint in Action on Contract with Public Corporation

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. That the defendant at all the times hereinafter mentioned was and still is a municipal
corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of New York, and in particular
under an act entitled, ¹ , passed
2. That on or about the, in the
year, plaintiff entered into a contract with the Board of Water
Commissioners of the said village of, New York, acting for and as
agents of defendant, a copy of which contract is hereto attached marked Schedule A, and made
a part of this complaint.
3. That thereafter plaintiff entered upon the performance of the said contract and duly
performed all of the conditions of said contract on his part to be performed, except excavating
for and backfilling trenches in Avenue, such work having been
stopped by order of the said Board of Water Commissioners of the said village of
, although plaintiff was ready and willing at all times to perform the
same, and thereupon became entitled to and there was due and owing to him from the said
defendant under the terms and conditions of the said contract the sum of
dollars.
4. That no part of said sum has been paid, except the sum of dollars, and
there is now due and owing to the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of
dollars.
5. That thereafter plaintiff presented to the Board of Water Commissioners of the said village of
a written claim for said sum which remained due and owing to him

and the said Board of Water Commissioners unreasonably refused to audit and allow same, and unreasonably cancelled said contract and declared it to be null and void.

6. That thereafter plaintiff presented to the Board of Trustees of the said village of ______ a written verified claim for the amount above set forth and the Board of Trustees unreasonably refused to pay same.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 185

Complaint in Action Against City to Recover Amount Improperly Withheld as Liquidated Damages for Delay in Completing Contract

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. The plaintiff is a domestic corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State
of New York and having its principal place of business in the City of
County of and State of New York and the defendant is a
municipality duly organized under the Laws of the State of New York.
2. On or about the day of, 20, the plaintif
duly made and entered into a contract with the defendant through
whereby the plaintiff agreed to furnish at its own proper cost and expense and in conformity to
the specifications in said contract contained, all the necessary labor and materials necessary
and proper for the purpose and in a good, substantial and workmanlike manner to construct and
complete in place the steelwork of a certain bridge, in accordance with the drawings and
specifications for the work to said contract annexed, a copy of which said contract is hereto
annexed marked "Schedule A," and by reference made a part hereof. In and by the said
contract, defendant agreed to pay plaintiff the sum of dollars in fu
compensation for furnishing all materials and labor and for performing and completing all the
work which was necessary and proper to be furnished or performed, in order to complete the

entire work in said contract described and specified and in said specifications and drawings described and shown, and including all expenses for machinery, tools, materials and labor requisite for the full completion of the work to the satisfaction of the commissioners, as in said contract provided.

- 3. In and by the said contract, plaintiff further agreed that it would so conduct the work that the same should be completed within ______ months after service of notice that all necessary preliminary work had been completed, or within the period for which the time to complete the same was extended if it should be extended by the said commissioners, as in said contract provided, including the removal of all plaintiff's materials, tools, etc., as provided in said specifications.
- 4. One of the conditions upon which plaintiff entered into the contract, and one of the obligations of the defendant to the plaintiff, was that the defendant should do or cause to be done the work preliminary to that to be done by the plaintiff under the contract, in orderly sequence, so that, before the commencement of work by plaintiff, the other contractors who preceded the plaintiff in the work upon the said bridge should have completed their part of the work and removed their workmen, implements, machinery and other appurtenances for doing the work so as to permit the plaintiff to commence and proceed with its work, and before requiring the plaintiff to commence its work upon the said bridge, the defendant would have the work preliminary to that to be done by the plaintiff so prepared as to permit the plaintiff, upon the receipt of the notice hereinbefore referred to, to proceed with its work under the contract freely and in accordance therewith and with safety, advantage and economy.
- 5. In and by said contract, it was agreed by and between the parties thereto, that the chief engineer of the ______ commissioners should in all cases for the purpose of partial payment and also for the purpose of final payment, where the amount to be paid depended upon the amount or quantity, determine the amount or quantity of the several kinds of work, which were to be paid for under said contract; that he should determine all questions in relation to said work and construction thereof and in case any question should arise between the

parties to said contract touching the same, his estimate and decision should be a condition
precedent to the right of the party of the second part to receive any money under said contract;
that whenever in the opinion of the engineer the plaintiff should have completely performed said
contract, on its part to be performed, the said engineer should so certify in writing to said
commissioners and his certificate should state, from actual measurement, the whole amount of
the work done by the party of the second part and also the value of said work, under the terms
of the said contract, and the amount or sum that the contractor was entitled to receive therefor,
and that on the expiration of days after acceptance of the work
herein agreed to be done by plaintiff, the said commissioners should direct to be paid to plaintiff,
in cash, the amount remaining after deducting from the amount or value contained and stated in
the last-mentioned certificate such sums as should theretofore have been paid to the plaintiff
under any of the provisions in said contract contained.
6. In and by the said contract, the plaintiff further agreed that the defendant should be and it
was thereby authorized to deduct and retain out of all the moneys which might be due to the
plaintiff, under said contract, as liquidated damages, so called, and not by way of penalty, the
sum of dollars for each and every working day the time consumed in the
execution of the work might exceed the time stipulated for its completion or such stipulated time
to which the same might be extended by said commissioners, as aforesaid.
7. [Set forth all the preliminary steps required to permit the municipality to enter into the contract
as] the council of the defendant city duly resolved on the to
authorize to execute the aforesaid contract and the comptroller of
the defendant, City of, duly endorsed upon the said contract a
written certificate that there remained unexpended and unapplied a balance of the appropriation
provided for by the sale of bonds applicable to the said contract,
sufficient to pay the estimated expenses of executing the same, to wit dollars.

8. On or about the, day of, 20, the
commissioners gave plaintiff notice that all necessary preliminary work on said bridge had been
completed and required plaintiff to commence work under its said contract.
9. One of the conditions upon which the plaintiff entered into the contract and one of the
obligations from the defendant to the plaintiff, was that, before the plaintiff should be required to
commence its work under the contract, the defendant should cause to be built the abutments of
said bridge, and to have the said abutments completed and the contractors who should build the
same and their workmen through and away, and the plant, machinery, implements and other
appurtenances of the work used by said contractors removed, and the space about the foot of
the abutments free and clear to permit the plaintiff to place advantageously its plant, machinery,
implements, and material necessary and proper for its work, and its workmen to have room for
freely, safely, advantageously and economically doing their work.
10. At the time of giving the said notice of, 20, the
preliminary work on said bridge and abutments necessary to be done by the defendant before
the plaintiff could commence and proceed with its work freely and in accordance with the
contract, and with safety, advantage and economy, as hereinbefore stated, had not been done
and it was impossible for the plaintiff at that time, therefore, to commence and proceed with its
work freely and in accordance with the contract and with safety, advantage and economy. On
, 20, and afterward for a long period of time, to wit,
until on or about the, the abutments were not ready so as to permit
the plaintiff to prosecute its work freely and in accordance with the contract and with safety,
advantage and economy. On the contrary, other contractors and their workmen were still
employed about the abutments.
11. Upon receipt of said notice of, 20, the plaintiff
protested that such notice was not in accordance with the terms of the contract or the conditions
upon which the plaintiff entered into the contract; that the plaintiff should not be required to
proceed with its work under the contract; and that the " months" of

the contract ought not, by said notice, to be deemed to have been set running, or the plaintiff herein be put in jeopardy of sustaining the penalty of ______ dollars a day.

- 12. The defendant by its engineer nevertheless required the plaintiff to proceed with its said work with all possible dispatch notwithstanding the failure of the defendant to comply with the terms and conditions before mentioned upon which the plaintiff entered into the contract in that it had failed to do the preliminary work hereinbefore referred to.
- 14. By reason of the failure of the defendant to complete the preliminary work necessary and proper to be done before the plaintiff could commence and prosecute its work under the contract freely and in accordance therewith, and with safety, advantage and economy, as hereinbefore stated, and by reason of the incomplete condition of the work upon the bridge abutments, the plaintiff was wrongfully hindered, delayed and interfered with by the defendant in the commencement and prosecution of its work under the contract.
- 15. By reason of such interference with the work of the plaintiff by the defendant, and the delay to the plaintiff's work thereby occasioned, the plaintiff was prevented from completing the work within the time provided for in the contract, and as extended by the said commissioners as hereinafter stated, and the time limit provided in the contract became thereby abrogated. The plaintiff completed its work under the contract within a reasonable time after such obstruction to and interference with its work ceased.

16. Before the plaintiff could proceed with the erection of any steelwork, it was necessary to
have certain barges. As one of the conditions upon which the plaintiff entered into the contract,
and one of the obligations of the defendant to the plaintiff, the defendant was to provide the
same. The defendant failed to provide such barges on or before, on
or before which date the plaintiff was ready to commence the erection of steel, and therefore to
use the said barges, and did not furnish the plaintiff suitable and proper barges until on or about
, 20 The defendant thereby wrongfully and unlawfully
delayed the plaintiff in the performance of the contract from,
20, and made it impossible for the plaintiff to complete its work under the contract
within the time prescribed by the contract, and as extended by the commissioners, as
hereinafter stated.
17. The defendant by the said commissioners wrongfully claimed that the months, within which the plaintiff was to complete its work under the
said contract, commenced to run, and expired
; on or about said latter date, the defendant by said commissioners
requested that the plaintiff apply for an extension of time to complete the work under the
contract; the plaintiff thereupon stated to said commissioners that it would be impossible to
complete the work before the end of Said commissioners,
nevertheless, passed a resolution, extending the plaintiff's time to complete the work under said
contract for months from Immediately
upon being notified of the passage of such resolution, the plaintiff protested against such
extension being limited to months and reiterated its previous statement that the
work could not be completed before the end of, and refused to
accept the said months' extension.
18. The plaintiff completed its work in accordance with the terms of the contract and in strict

18. The plaintiff completed its work in accordance with the terms of the contract and in strict conformity to the specifications in said contract contained and the drawings thereto attached, within a reasonable time, after suitable and proper barges were provided by the defendant; and

upon the completion of said work by the plaintiff on or about,
20 the defendant accepted the same and since that date has had the sole,
exclusive, full and complete use, possession and enjoyment thereof.
19. Plaintiff fully and duly performed all the other terms, conditions and covenants of the
contract, on its part to be performed.
20. Notwithstanding plaintiff fully performed said contract and completed its work thereunder as
aforesaid, the engineer's final certificate mentioned in the contract wrongfully undertakes to
deduct from the amount due plaintiff dollars, being dollars
per diem for days' alleged overtime, and the engineer wrongfully
refuses to give plaintiff a final certificate for the full and true amount due it on the alleged sole
ground that the plaintiff failed to complete its work within the time provided for such completion
by said contract, and as extended by the commissioners as hereinbefore set forth.
21. Upon the expiration of thirty days after the completion of the said work by the plaintiff and
acceptance thereof by the defendant, there became due from the defendant to the plaintiff, in
accordance with the terms of the said contract, the sum of dollars, and no part
thereof, except the sum of dollars, has been paid, although often duly
demanded.
22. On or about, 22, the plaintiff caused to be
presented to the comptroller of the City of, pursuant to law, a claim
against the defendant for said balance of dollars, with interest from
, duly verified by the plaintiff; more than
days have elapsed since the presentation of said claim to said comptroller, and said comptroller
has neglected and refused to make any adjustment or payment of said claim or any part thereof.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 186

Complaint in Action for Damages From Failure to Perform Contract for Excavating Within Time Agreed, Thereby Delaying Other Work

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

1. On or about the	day of		, 20	, the	defend	ant
entered into an agreeme	nt with the plaintiff w	hereby he	agreed to mal	ce or to cause to	be ma	ade
the necessary excavation	n for building purpos	es, of eart	h and rock fror	n the premises	of the C	City
of	, situate	on the			side	of
	between	1			ε	and
	streets, according	g to the pl	ans and surve	s for said work	, upon	the
terms hereinafter set fort	h, to provide at least			trucks per da	ay, until	l all
earth and rock should ha	ave been excavated,	, to work a	at least		dı	rills
simultaneously while exc	cavating rock, to wo	rk night a	nd day and ge	nerally to use t	he utm	ost
diligence and despatch s	so as to complete sai	id contract	t at the earliest	time possible, t	o use c	auk
diligence in the blasting	of said rock in the	course o	of said excavat	ion and to be	persona	ally
responsible for all dama	ge which might ther	eafter acc	rue by reason	of such excava	ation. S	aid
contract consists of se	everal written instru	ments be	aring date the	e	_ day	of
	, copie	es of whic	ch said written	instruments, m	arked "	'A,"
B," and "C" respectively	, are hereto annexe	d and ma	de a part of th	is complaint. Th	ne price	of
said excavation fixed by	said agreement was	S		cents per	cubic y	ard
of earth and	cents per cubic	yard of ro	ck actually and	necessarily ex	cavated	ni k
the course of said work.						

2. The defendant thereafter undertook the work of excavating said premises, but failed to perform said contract or agreement in all respects upon his part in that he did not use due diligence in the prosecution of said work, but delayed the same, did not at all times provide a sufficiency of materials and workmen, did not conduct said work during the nighttime, did not excavate the uniform depth agreed upon, did not perform said work as rapidly as possible, and

caused the blasting of rock to be done in a negligent, improper and dangerous manner and thereby caused substantial damage to the adjoining premises.

3. By reason of the afo	resaid delay, default	and negligence of	the defendant, p	olaintiff was
obliged to employ other	workmen to complete	said excavation an	d was obliged to	make good
the damage caused by th	e negligent blasting a	foresaid to the dam	age of the plaintiff	in the sum
of dolla	ars	dollars of said sum	n of	dollars
was paid by the plaintiff	at the request of the	defendant to prever	nt litigation on acc	ount of the
damage caused by the r	egligent blasting done	e by the defendant	on said premises,	as follows:
	·			
4. The plaintiff duly perfo	rmed all the terms an	d conditions of said	agreement or con	ntract on his
part.				
	FOR A SECOND	CAUSE OF ACTION	J	
	TORROLOGIAD	0,1002 01 7,01101	•	
5. On or about the	day of	, 20_	, the	e defendant
entered into a further ag	reement with the pla	intiff to make the a	dditional excavati	ons for the
swimming pool and for t	ne boilers to be erec	ted upon said prem	ises, at the same	prices per
cubic yard of earth and re	ock actually and neces	ssarily excavated as	were fixed by the	contract or
agreement above set fort	n and to furnish additi	onal workmen so tha	at there should be	no delay in
completing said agreeme	nt and the agreement	hereinafter set forth	. A copy of said a	greement of
the day	of	_, 20	, is hereto annex	ed, marked
"D" and made a part of th	s amended complaint			
6. The defendant therea	fter undertook the wo	ork provided for by	said agreement, b	out failed to
perform said agreement	in all respects on his	s part, according to	the tenor thereof	, in that he
caused such additional e	xcavations to be mad	de in a negligent an	d improper mann	er, failed to
provide a sufficiency of m	aterials and workmen	, failed to complete	said work within th	ne time limit
fixed by the said agreeme	ent and delayed other	contractors upon sa	id premises to the	damage of
the plaintiff in the sum of	doll	ars.		

7. The plaintiff duly performed all the terms and conditions of said agreement or contract on his part.

FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 to 7 of this complaint, inclusive, with the same force and effect as if here set forth in full, and further alleges as follows.

as follows.			
8. Plaintiff has caused accu	urate surveys of said premi	ses to be made by a c	competent surveyor
and has caused accurate of	calculations based on such	surveys to be made	for the purpose of
determining the exact respe	ctive amounts of earth and	rock actually excavate	d by the defendant
and the total amount earne	d by the defendant for the	work performed by hi	m under all of said
written agreements at the pr	rice per cubic yard of earth	and rock excavated wh	nich is fixed by said
agreements does not excee	d the sum of	dollars.	
By reason of erroneous ce	rtifications made to the plai	ntiff, the plaintiff inadv	ertently paid to the
defendant from time to time	sums largely in excess of	the amount actually du	ue to the defendant
for the said work; said sums	s so paid to the defendant b	by the plaintiff amount	in the aggregate to
the sum of	_ dollars; the excess of said	d sum of	dollars paid to
the defendant aforesaid, ov	er and above said sum ear	ned by defendant as	aforesaid, amounts
to the sum of	dollars, which sum be	ecame and was due	and payable to the
plaintiff from the defenda	nt on or about the	day of _	,
20, and payr	nent thereof was demanded	l and refused.	
FC	OR A FOURTH SEPARATE	AND DISTINCT	
	CAUSE OF ACT	ION	
9. On or about the	day of	, 20	, and at the

9. On or about the	day of	, 20	, and at the
special instance and req	uest of the defendant, the	plaintiff entered into	a certain other and
further agreement with the	e defendant, in consideratior	n of the plaintiff paying	g to him in advance,
the sum of	dollars, by the provis	ions of which agree	ment the defendant

agreed to complete all of the work covered by his various prior agreements with this plaintiff within twenty days thereafter and to provide additional employees and equipment for that purpose, and to do and perform certain other things more particularly specified in said agreement, a copy of which is hereto annexed and marked "Exhibit F."

10. Thereupon and in consideration of said agreement of the	
day of, 20, plaintiff pai	d to the defendant, the
sum of dollars, and performed all the conditions a	nd covenants in said
agreement contained on his part to be kept and performed; but the	defendant failed and
neglected to keep and perform the terms of said agreement of the	day of
, 20, in that he failed and neglected	to provide additional
employees and equipment as agreed, and wholly failed to complete a	ll of the work on said
premises including blasting and drilling, digging, cutting of rock and the	ne removing from the
premises of all of the same, within twenty days from the date of the sa	id agreement, and he
utterly failed and neglected to complete the said work at all.	
11. By reason of the said delay of the defendant in completing the terms	of the said agreement,
the plaintiff's work, the steel contractors and all the other contractors upon	the said building were
hindered and delayed and the construction and completion of the said	d building was greatly
hindered and delayed.	

12. By reason of such default on the part of the defendant, and as a result of the plaintiff's

contractors being unable by reason of such delay to complete the said building for a long period

of time after the same should have been completed, the plaintiff has been damaged in the sum

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 187

of _____ dollars.

Complaint in Action on Guaranty of Performance by Subcontractor

[Caption and introductory paragraph] (P) 1. That the plaintiffs,	and
, at all times hereinafter mentioned were and now are a duly	
organized copartnership carrying on business as engineers and contractors, under the firm	
name of, in various parts of the United States and elsewhere.	
2. That the defendant, the Company, at all times herein	nafter
mentioned was and now is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue	of the
laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, having its chief office and principal pla-	ce of
business in the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania, and was duly authorized to carry on business	ess in
the State of New York as a surety company.	
3. That on or about the day of, 20	_, the
plaintiffs entered into a certain contract with Compar	ny of
Philadelphia, wherein and whereby said Company agreed to	well
and sufficiently furnish and provide all the work and material necessary or required to full	ly do,
perform and complete all structural steel erecting in and connected	with
Co. grain elevator, situate in Stre	et, in
, N.Y., consisting of working house, train shed, galleries and	l roof
over concrete tank, steel hopper bottom in concrete tank and marine tower in conne	ection
therewith, for certain compensation, as shown and agreed upon in said contract, which	said
contract is here specifically referred to and a copy thereof is hereto annexed, marked Sch	edule
"A," and made a part of this complaint.	
4. That to secure the performance by said Co. of the terms	s and
conditions of said contract on its part to be performed, and for a valuable consideration t	o the
defendant in hand paid, the defendant did execute and deliver to the plaintiffs its certain bo	nd or
obligation in writing, sealed with its seal, a copy of which is hereto annexed, marked Sch	edule
"B," and made a part of this complaint.	
5. That said Company failed to fulfil the terms and condition	ns of
said contract of the, day of, 20	, but

abandoned said construction in an incomplete condition, whereby the plaintiffs were caused
great delay and damage, and were forced to and did expend sums of money, and work, labor
and services completing said work as in said contract specified, and in so completing said work
said plaintiffs expended a sum exceeding the sum of \$ in excess of the sum
remaining unpaid to the said Co. upon said contract of the
, day of, 20, to wit, the sum of
in excess of the sum remaining unpaid to said
Co., upon said contract as aforesaid.
6. That the defendant although duly notified of the default of said
did not itself undertake the completion of said contract of the day of
, 20
7. That the plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and every condition of said contract of the
day of, 20, to be by them performed.
8. That the plaintiffs duly performed and fulfilled each and every condition of said bond of
, 20, to be by them performed.
9. That by reason of the premises there is due and owing the plaintiffs from the defendant the
sum of \$, together with interest thereon. That the same has been demanded,
but said sum has not been paid nor any part thereof.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 188
Complaint in Action Against Roofing and Waterproofing Contractor for Breach of
Contract
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. At all the times herein mentioned the plaintiffs were and are residents of the County of
, State of New York.

2.	Upon	information	and	belief,	at	all	the	times	herein	mention	ned,	the	detend	lant,
			\	WATERI	PRC	OFI	NG (CO., IN	C. ("				")	is a
do	mestic	corporation.												
3.	Upon	information	and	belief,	at	all	the	times	herein	mentio	ned,	the	defen	dant
				had a	а р	rinci	pal	place	of bu	siness	in t	he	County	of
	, State of New York.													
4.	Upon	information	and	belief,	at	all	the	times	herein	mentio	ned,	the	defen	dant
	was in the business of roofing and waterproofing.													
5.	On or	about				,	20_		th	ne plainti	iff an	d the	defen	dant
en	tered in	to an agreem	ent fo	r the def	end	ant t	o pro	vide ce	rtain wat	erproofin	ng an	d roo	fing wo	rk at
the	the premises of the plaintiff. The parties also executed certain other letter agreements and													
contracts and change orders for work at the premises of the plaintiff (all of the above referred to														
herein as the "contract").														
6. Pursuant to that contract, the defendant undertook obligations as set forth therein, including,														
among others:														
(a)	(a) To employ only skilled labor;													
(b)	(b) To do all work in a good and workmanlike manner;													
(c)	(c) That all materials supplied are to be of new and of first class quality;													
7.	7. Pursuant to said contract, among other things, the defendant warranted that "all work and													

8. Pursuant to the said contract, among other things, the defendant warranted that "all work and materials" were in "full and complete accordance with the contract and suitable for the use and purposes for which each and every part is intended."

materials" were in "full and complete accordance with the contract and that all work and

materials are free from any and all defects and imperfections and fully suitable for the use and

purpose for which each and every part is intended."

Pursuant to said contract, _	agreed, "should any defect develop or
appear, the Contractor shall pr	comptly, upon demand, fully correct substitute and make good any
such defective materials or wo	rkmanship without any cost to the owner "
10. Pursuant to the contract, th	ne warranty period commenced on the date the Owner certified all
contract work was completed a	and continued in force for two years.
11	did not perform the work pursuant to the contract and
specifications annexed to the o	contract.
12	_ did not properly perform all the work required by the contract.
13	did not comply with the terms of the warrant and/or guaranty.
14	_ agreed, by letter, to perform certain work after the conclusion of
work under the aforesaid con	tract and further agreed to do said work at no additional cost to
·	
15. The work performed by _	failed, had defects and imperfections
and was not done pursuant to	the requirements of the contract and the change orders, letters or
contract additions.	
16	_ breached its contract(s), representations, guaranties, warranties
and undertakings to	by, among other things, failing to perform the
work as required by the con-	tract; failing to complete the work as required by the contract;
substituting materials not allow	red under the contract; not providing materials, supplies, labor and
work as required and/or allowed	ed under the contract; failing to correct defects, work and/or punch
list work as required and/or allo	owed under the contract; by doing work not in accordance with the
contract and the specification	ns therein; in not providing good and workmanlike labor and
materials and work and in n	ot doing the work in a good and workmanlike manner; in not
supplying materials of new ar	nd/or of first class quality; in not supplying only skilled labor; in
providing defective materials	and/or workmanship; in doing work that was not free from all
defects and imperfections and	I was not fully suitable for the use and purposes for which each
and every part was intended;	in not installing the roof so that in would not leak; in installing a

roof that was not sealed in a waterproof manner; in not complying with the contract and the specifications thereof; in doing said work improperly and incorrectly and not in accordance with the agreed to contract and/or specifications; in not completing said work pursuant to the contract; in not repairing same as required under the warranty and contract and in being otherwise in breach of the terms and provisions of the contract.

Form 189

Same: Affidavit in Support of Assessment of Damages

_____, being duly sworn, deposes and states:

1. I am President of Park Corp., the Plaintiff herein and as such am fully familiar
with the facts and circumstances of this matter. In making this affidavit, I am not only making
same based on personal knowledge, but have reviewed the books and records of the Plaintiff. I
make this affidavit in support of the assessment of damages herein.

2. The original contract was entered into between the plaintiff and the defendant for certain waterproofing and roofing work and restoration of flashing. Specifically, the area and scope of work were to be (1) the removal of all coating from the entire inside parapet wall from the coping

stone down to the flashing level, wire brushing or scraping and coating with Thoroseal; (2) installation of scaffolding for testing and removal of all loose, hollow or disintegrated mortar joints, cleaning, tooling and repointing with a cement mortar and cemented with Thoroseal; (3) manufacturing and fabricating aluminum hoods to the existing louvers; (4) the removal of the existing roof above the stairwell bulkheads to the concrete deck with the installation of a new roof over the stairwell bulkheads of 15 lb. asphalt saturated felt and a full layer of roof cement and one layer of 65 lb rubberoid and building up of all flashings with new 3 ply builtup flashing; (5) the flashing of the main roof of each building with the freeing of all base flashing, cutting back defective flashing; installation of new base flashing and (6) the wire brushing of all coping stones which were then to be covered with Thoroseal. All cross joints of the coping stones were to be cut out, cleaned and caulked with a polysulfide sealing compound.

The job was performed negligently, carelessly and imprudently and not in conformity with the
requirements of the contract. Shortly after, among other defects, leaks appeared in
approximately 72 separate places in the 10 buildings of the plaintiff. We retained engineers to
review the work and we were provided with reports which confirmed the failure of
to adequately perform the work as well as failing to provide work
and materials that were "fully suitable for the use and purposes for which each and every part is
intended," i.e., to waterproof the roof and parapets and prevent the flow of water into the
apartments and buildings below. Work was not only performed negligently, but was not at all
performed in accordance with the contract's requirements.

4. The work that ______ was to perform as to the parapets was essentially the removal of all coating from the entire inside parapet wall from the coping stone down to the flashing level, wire brushing or scraping and coating with Thoroseal. _____ did not do this work properly and in fact, the work that they did as to the parapets not only was useless, but aggravated the situation. As our engineer stated shortly afterwards, "this coating is in an advanced state of failure and serious damages to the underlying masonry have been sustained." Thus, we sustained additional damages to our buildings. In addition, the "Thoroseal" coating did not adhere to the parapets, probably as a result of the failure to remove the original

coating material as called for in the contract. This is only part of the failure of
i's work which resulted not only in no value to what they did
regarding the parapets, but additional damages to our property. At this time, for the purpose of
this motion we are only seeking a return of the monies spent for the parapet and will withhold
any claim for damages. However, in the event for some reason, the default is vacated, we shall
pursue the balance of our claim at that time for the additional damages to our property as well
as for the additional parapet work that had to be performed. The portion of this contract with
that related to the Parapets was identified in the contract as Area #1
and we were charged 00,000.00. (See Exhibit A, contract, page
of the specifications showing the prices for the work). We thereafter had to bid
out the corrective and repair work to a different contractor,
Waterproofing and Restoration Corp. On a contract was entered into with
for parapet/copings work in the sum of 80,000.00. Thus, we had to
do all the work that was to have performed regarding the parapets
and copings, plus additional work, and at a substantially higher price. (Exhibit B) We should be
reimbursed for the sum of 00,000.00 that we paid for work that was
not performed pursuant to the contract (in addition to the additional 80,000.00 that we had to
incur, but as to which we are not making a claim at this time and understand that if we receive
the monies requested herein that will finish this matter).
5. Because of the continuing problems that were associated with the roof, we immediately
contracted with Restoration, Inc. to perform emergency repair work. This work
was necessary due to the failure of the work performed by and the
resultant leaks into various apartments. The cost of this initial repair work was 7,801.25. (Exhibit
C)
6. We retained an engineer to continue to review the other work, performed by
That engineer reported to us that there were the following
deficiencies in addition to those associated with the water penetration of the apartment interiors:
(1) plaster deterioration on the stair bulkhead plaster walls; (2) inadequate repair and/or

restoration work in the bulkhead walls allowing the continued penetration of water; (3) the failure
by to properly or at all apply a protective finish to the stair bulkhead
walls; (4) bubbling in the roofing material installed on the main roof which contained water, and
"fishmouths, blisters and ridging" throughout the roof; (5) rotting through the roof sheathing
requiring replacement; (6) inadequate installation of base flashings resulting in large quantities
of water under the flashings necessitating removal and replacement of base flashings; (7
improper covering of parapet walls which prevented them from being properly turned down over
the base flashings; (7a) the counterflashings were not properly turned down allowing water to be
wind driven under them and over the type of the base flashings into the interior construction
flexibility needed; (8) destruction of original insulation by the water trapped between the
installed materials and the original roof membrane; (9) the failure of
to remove the accumulation of materials previously applied to the
inner parapet wall surface resulting in the protective material applied peeling off; (10) lack of
pointing of masonry on exterior parapet wall surfaces below the through wall flashings; (11
parapet masonry spalling or completely losing the mortar joints.
7. In addition to the above, our engineer also advised us that a review of the site showed that
the roof work done by was deteriorating with base flashings badly
alligatored. At that time approximately 25% of the roof had failed. The pointing work done by
was improperly performed - joints had not been cut out to a
minimum depth of ½ ", repointed with a mortarproof mortar and tooled to a neat finish. In many
areas a caulking material was improperly substituted. The replacement roofing provided by
over the superstructures had failed because, among other things
improperly used roofing cement to seal the joints between the
adjacent terra cotta coping tiles and the joints were open and leaking. As to the repairs to the
base flashings provided by, they were exhibiting failures and the
engineer found that large areas of original base flashings that were to have been repaired by
had not been repaired at all. The areas that were supposedly

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

repaired by	exhibited serious defects. Pitch pockets for various roof
penetrations were not proper	ly filled and were also leaking.
8	also failed to comply with the contract requirements that all
foreign materials be remove	d from the parapets before the Thoroseal products were applied.
The engineer concluded	that the contract requirements were not fulfilled by
8	and the quality of work was deficient.
9. The work that was to be do	one regarding the bulkheads was not done pursuant to the contract.
One of the contract ite	ems was corrective pointing of bulkheads and chimneys.
	only did this work to the elevator bulkheads, not the stairway
bulkheads. As this work was	priced out at 4,750.00 and as only did
approximately one half of this	work, we overpaid 2,375.00 for work that was not done properly.
10. Our engineer advised us	that did not properly perform the base
flashing work. We had paid	5,000.00 for this work and estimate that approximately 20% of this
work was not completed, thus	s sustaining damages in the sum of ,000.00 for work not performed.
11. Thereafter, we retained	several different contractors to perform corrective temporary repair
work to the roof and the indiv	idual apartments. This work was done by
V	Naterproofing (Bills annexed): ,546.52
F	Roofing: (Bills annexed): ,476.00
12. In addition, we had to p	pay to repair the various leaks to the apartments that had been
damaged. The figures we ha	ave at this time are in the sum of 50.00. Although we believe that
these figures are in actuality	substantially higher since there were scores of apartments that had
substantial water problems, t	hese are the only bills that we have been able to secure at this time
and thus will only make that o	claim.
13. We also had to i	retain two engineers to evaluate the conditions left by
	and advise us as to what remedial steps had to be taken to cure the
damage that	had performed. The cost of these engineers was a
direct result of	's breach of the contract. The first engineer charged

,436.72 and the second engineer charged ,800.00, which also included a portion of the
supervision of the additional repair and renovation work, totaling 1,236.72.
14. Thus, we have damages claimed, for the purpose of this inquest, in the sum of 58,255.49
together with interest, costs and disbursements.
Wherefore, we respectfully request that the Court issue a Judgment against
Waterproofing Co., Inc. in the sum of 58,255.49 together with
interest, costs and disbursements.
[Jurat]
Form 190
Complaint By Builder In Action For Unpaid Labor, Materials, and Services Where Builder
Filed Mechanics' Lien Against Improved Property
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT
1. That at all times hereinafter mentioned plaintiff was and still is a domestic corporation, duly
organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
2. That upon information and belief, the defendant,, is now and at all
times hereinafter mentioned is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of
the State of New York.
3. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant, was and
still is the owner of and in possession of certain lands and premises in the City of
, State of New York, County of, more
particularly bounded and described as follows:

[set forth property description]

4. That heretofore and on or about the day of
20, the plaintiff and the defendant entered into an agreement in writing where
and whereby plaintiff agreed to do certain work and furnish certain materials for the construction
of a single family residence on the property of the said defendant hereinbefore described, ar
the defendant promised to pay to the plaintiff therefor, in the manner set forth in the sa
contract, the sum of \$; that a copy of said contract is hereto annexed, market
Exhibit "A" and made part of this complaint.
5. That thereafter the plaintiff entered into and performed all of the terms and conditions of the
said agreement.
6. That the first item of work was performed and the first item of material was furnished by the
plaintiff on the day of, 20, and the last ite
of work was performed and the last item of material was furnished on the day, 20
7. That the work, labor and services so performed by the plaintiff and the materials so furnished
by the plaintiff were performed and furnished for the improvement of the real proper
hereinbefore described and were performed and furnished with the knowledge and consent ar
at the request of the defendant,
8. That at the time of the filing of the lien hereinafter set forth, there was and still is justly du
owing and unpaid by the defendant,, to the plaintiff the sum of
, with interest thereon from the day of
20
9. That on the, 20, and within fo
months after the final performance of the work and the final furnishing of the material dating fro
the last item of work performed and materials furnished, plaintiff caused to be filed in the Office
of the Clerk of the County of, that being the county where the
property hereinbefore described is situated, a notice of lien in writing, which notice did state the
name and residence of the lienor and its business address and principal place of business; the
name and address of the lienor's attorney; the name of the owner of the real property again

whose interest therein a lien is claimed and the interest of the owner so far as known to lienor;
the name of the person by whom the lienor was employed and to whom it furnished the
materials and with whom the contract was made; the labor performed and materials furnished,
and the agreed price and value thereof; the amount unpaid to the lienor for such labor and
materials; the time when the first and last items of work were performed and materials were
furnished; the property subject to the lien, with a description thereof sufficient for identification
together with its location by street and number which said notice of lien was duly verified by the
plaintiff to the effect that the statements therein contained were true to his knowledge except as
to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters
he believed it to be true.
10. That on or about the day of, 20, the said
notice of lien was duly docketed in the said Clerk's office in the Lien Docket kept for the said
purpose and thereafter and on or about the day of,
20, plaintiff caused a copy of the said notice of lien to be duly personally served
upon the defendant,, and by registered mail, return receipt
requested, upon the defendant,
11. That the said lien has not been paid, waived, canceled or discharged.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number and verification]
Form 191
Complaint By Subcontractor Against Construction Company Alleging Breach of
Modification Agreement
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
[Caption and introductory paragraph] 1. Plaintiff is a domestic corporation.

domestic corporation.

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

3. Upon information and belief, defendant	Insurance Company of					
New York is a domestic corporation.						
4. Upon information and belief, defendant Insurance Company is a						
Pennsylvania corporation.						
5. Upon information and belief, defendant	Insurance Company of					
New York is a domestic corporation.						
6. Upon on information and belief, defendant	Insurance Company is					
a Kansas corporation.						
7. Upon information and belief, defendant	_ Reinsurance Corporation					
s a Kansas corporation.						
8. Upon information and belief, the said defendants	Insurance					
Company of New York,	Insurance Company,					
Insurance Company of New York, _						
Insurance Company and Reinsurance (Corporation are each duly					
icensed to carry on the business of sureties in the State of New York.						
9. Upon information and belief, defendant	_ Construction Co. entered					
nto a prime contract with the City of New York known as Contra	act No for					
construction of the Precinct Stations	and Service Station No.					
,, New York (hereinafter referred to as	"Project").					
10. Upon information and belief, the aforementioned defendants, as s	sureties, executed a certain					
payment bond on behalf of Construction	Co., as principal. The said					
oond provides, inter alia, as follows:						
The conditions of this obligation are such that if the Principal, his	s or its representatives or					
assigns and other Subcontractors to whom workunder this Contract	t is sublet and his or their					
successors and assigns shall promptly pay or cause to be paid all law	ful claims for					
a. Wages and compensation for labor performed and services rendered by all persons engaged						
n the prosecution of the Work under said Contract, and any amendment or extension thereof or						

addition thereto, whether such persons be agents, servants or employees of the Principal or of any such Subcontractor, including all persons so engaged who perform the work of laborers or mechanics at or in the vicinity of the site of the Project regardless of any contractual relationship between the Principal or such Subcontractors, or his or their successors or assigns, on the one hand and such laborers or mechanics on the other, but not including office employees not regularly at the site of the project; and

b. Materials and supplies (whether incorporated in the permanent structure or not), as well as teams, fuels, oils, implements or machinery furnished, used or consumed by said Principal or any subcontractor at or in the vicinity of the site of the Project in the prosecution of the Work under said Contract and any amendment or extension thereof or addition thereto; then this obligation shall be void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect.

11. Said payment bond further provides:

a. All persons who have performed labor, rendered services or furnished materials and supplies, as aforesaid, shall have a direct right of action against the Principal and his, its or their successors and assigns, and the Surety (Sureties) herein, or against either or both or any of them and their successors and assigns. Such persons may sue in their own name, and may prosecute the suit to judgment and execution without the necessity of joining with any other persons as party plaintiff.

12. On or about	, 20							
defendant	Construction	Co., as	contractor,	and plaintiff,	, as			
subcontractor, entered into three (3) s	eparate Purch	nase Order	-Subcontract	s ("Subcontra	icts")			
wherein and whereby in consid	eration of	sums to	be paid	to plaintiff	by			
Construction	n Co. as in s	aid purchas	se order sub	ocontractor pla	aintiff			
agreed to furnish certain labor and materials for the construction work at the Project. Said								
Subcontracts are in the following amounts:								

P.O.	Subcontract Price	
		70,000.00

		42,	00.00					
		60,	00.00					
13. D	uring the cours	se of perfo	rmance of	the wo	rk und	ler said Sub	contract, a dispu	ute arose
betwe	en plaintiff and			C	onstru	ction Co.		
14.	Thereafter,	Plaintiff	entered	into	а	Modificatio	n Agreement	dated
		, 20_		, a co	opy of	which is atta	iched hereto as E	Exhibit "1"
and m	nade a part here	eof. Said ag	reement m	odifies t	he rigl	nts and oblig	ations of the part	ies under
the af	oresaid Subcor	tracts.						
15. P	ursuant to said	Modification	n Agreemei	nt,			Construction	Co. was
obliga	ited, inter alia, t	o pay to pla	intiff the su	ım of 0,0	000 on	or before _		,
20	·							
16. P	laintiff has duly	performed	all of the to	erms an	nd con	ditions of sa	id Modification A	greement
on its	part to be perfo	rmed.						
17. A	lthough past du	e and dem	anded,			C	onstruction Co. I	nas failed
and r	efused to pay	said sum o	f 0,000.00	to plain	tiff, in	breach of _		
Const	ruction Co.'s o	bligation th	ereunder, a	and to _			's dama	ge in the
sum c	of 0,000.00.							
18. PI	aintiff is a perso	on entitled to	sue unde	r the afo	resaid	payment de	mand.	
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number and verification]								
Form	192							
Comp	plaint by Payee	Against N	aker of Ch	neck				
[Capt	tion and introdu	ctory parag	raph]					
1. Or	1		, 20		, the	e defendant o	duly drew and de	livered to
the p	plaintiff, for a	valuable o	consideration	on, a d	check	of which t	he following is	а сору:
		ſinse	ert copy of o	checkl.				

2. Said check was duly endorsed by the plaintiff and was duly presented to the said							
Bank for payment on or about the day of							
, 20, and said bank refused payment thereof.							
3. Notice of such presentation of said check and the refusal of the said bank to pay the same							
was duly given to the defendant.							
4. Plaintiff is the owner and holder of said check, no part of which has been paid.							
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]							
Form 193							
Complaint by Endorsee Against Maker of Check							
[Caption and introductory paragraph]							
1. On, 20, the defendant, for a valuable							
consideration, drew and delivered to, a check of which the following							
is a copy: [insert copy of check].							
2. Thereafter, the said duly endorsed the said check and delivered							
the same, so endorsed, to the plaintiff for value.							
3. Thereafter said check was duly endorsed by the plaintiff and was duly presented to said							
bank for payment on or about,							
20, and said bank refused payment thereof.							
4. Notice of such presentation of said check and of the refusal of the said bank to pay the same							
was duly given to the defendant.							
5. Plaintiff is the owner and holder of said check, no part of which has been paid.							
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]							

Form 194

Allegation Where Payment of Check Is Stopped by Drawer

Form 195

Allegation Excusing Presentment of Check Because of Insolvency of Bank

At the time said check was delivered as aforesaid the said bank was insolvent and had stopped payment, which facts the defendant well knew [as the plaintiff is informed and believes] and of which the plaintiff was ignorant.

Form 196

Complaint in Action by Endorsee Against Endorser of Check

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. On the		_ day o	of		, 20	0		, th	e defe	endant	duly
endorsed and	delivered	to the	plaintiff,	for a	valuable	con	sideratio	n, a	check	dated	the
	day	of			,	20_		,	dr	awn	by
		, on th	e				Bank, di	recting	g said l	bank to	pay
to the order of t	he defenda	ant,			, t	the s	um of			dolla	ars.
2. The plaintiff duly endorsed said check and on the day of,											
20	, duly p	resented	d the san	ne to t	he said _					_ Bank	, on
which the said	I check w	as drav	vn, and	payme	nt was t	hen	and the	re dei	mande	d but	said
	Bank refused payment thereof; thereupon said check was duly										
protested for no	onpayment	and no	tice of su	ch dem	nand, refu	ısal a	nd prote	st was	duly (given to	the
defendant and demand was duly made on him for the payment of said sum and no part thereof											
has been paid and the plaintiff is still the owner and holder of said check.											

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 197

Complaint in Action by Endorsee Against Maker and Endorser of Check

[Caption and introductory	paragra	ph]								
1. On		, 20		,	at					_, the
defendant			drew	and	delive	ered	to	the	def	endant
	_ a	check	of	which	the	follo	wing	is	а	сору:
	[insert	copy of	check].							
2. Thereupon said defen	dant				_ [name	of pa	ayee]	duly e	ndors	ed the
said check in		a	ınd deliv	vered it,	so endo	rsed,	to the	plaint	iff for	value.
3. Said check was then c	duly endo	orsed by	the pla	intiff and	d was th	ereaft	ter dul	y pres	entec	I to the
said bank for payment bu	t was no	t paid, w	hereup	on it wa	as duly p	rotest	ed for	nonpa	ayme	nt, and
due notice of such presen	tment, no	onpayme	ent and	protest	was give	en to e	ach o	f the d	efend	ants.
4. Plaintiff is the owner ar	nd holder	of the sa	aid che	ck, no p	art of wh	nich ha	as bee	n paid		
[Demand for judgment, er	ndorsem	ent, addr	ess, tel	ephone	number	, and	verific	ation.]		
Form 198										
Complaint by Depositor	Against	Bank fo	r Payir	ng Chec	ks on U	Inauth	norize	d End	orsei	ment
[Caption and introductory	paragra	ph]								
1. At all times herein n	nentione	d the de	efendar	nt was	and nov	w is a	a dom	nestic	corpo	oration,
organized and existing u	inder the	e Bankin	g Law	of the	State of	New	York	, and	enga	iged in
business as a bank, and d	luly auth	orized to	do so.							
2. Prior to		, 20)		_, the pla	aintiff	opene	ed a b	ank a	ccount
with, and became a depos	sitor of th	ne defend	dant, an	d from	time to ti	me de	eposite	ed vari	ous s	ums of

money with the defendant under the customary and ordinary rules and regulations applicable to banking institutions.

3. The defendant promised and agreed among other things to repay said moneys on demand,

to the order of the plaintiff, who has duly perform	ed all the terms and conditions of said
agreement on his part.	
4. On the date aforesaid the balance in said account	to the credit of the plaintiff was in excess
of dollars.	
5. On said date plaintiff drew his check upon the def	endant in the amount of
dollars to the order of the	Corp., a domestic corporation, and
delivered the same to said corporation.	
6. On information and belief, said check therea	fter came into the possession of one
, who endorsed the same	e as follows: "
Corp., by, Manager"; and	said check, so endorsed, was thereafter
presented to the defendant, which paid the same and	d charged the amount so paid against the
plaintiff's account.	
7. The said was	wholly unauthorized by the said
Corp. to endorse said che	eck in its name or to receive the proceeds
thereof, and said check was never endorsed of	r presented for payment by the said
Corp., or by anyone auth	orized to act for it, and defendant has not
paid the amount of the said check to the said	Corp., the payee
therein named, or its order.	
8. Thereafter and as soon as knowledge of the facts	alleged in the preceding paragraph came
to the plaintiff, plaintiff duly gave notice thereof to the	ne defendant, and duly demanded of the

defendant that it repay to him the sum so charged to his account, but the defendant failed and

refused to do so, and no part of said sum has been paid to the plaintiff or credited to his account

by the defendant.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 199

Complaint by Depositor Against Bank for Paying Checks on Forged Endorsement

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

funds of the plaintiff then on deposit with the defendant.

1. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was and still is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York. 2. The defendant, at all times hereinafter mentioned, was and still is a national banking corporation, organized and existing under the Laws of the United States, conducting its business as such and doing a banking business in the City of ______, State of New York. 3. At all times herein mentioned plaintiff had an account as depositor with the defendant bank and had on deposit with said bank, subject to the payment of checks of the plaintiff, a large sum of money in excess of the amount of the check hereinafter mentioned. 4. On or about ______, 20_____, plaintiff drew its certain check to the order of one _____ in the sum of ____ dollars, upon the defendant, and in and by such check directed the defendant to pay said sum to the order of the said _____, said check bearing No. _____. 5. Thereafter and prior to the commencement of this action, the name of the said _____, payee as aforesaid, was forged upon said check, and said check with the endorsement so forged was, on or about 20_____, unlawfully paid by the defendant to a person or persons not entitled to receive the proceeds of the same. Said check was paid by the defendant from and out of the

6. The name of	, payee as	aforesaid, was written upon	said check
without the authority, acqu	iiescence, knowledge or conse	ent of the said payee or of the	plaintiff.
7. The plaintiff has here	ofore and prior to the comm	encement of this action made	de due and
timely demand upon the	defendant for the return of	the money paid by the de	efendant as
hereinbefore set forth, wh	ich said demand was refused	, and defendant still refuses	to return or
pay said sum to the plaint	iff, and there is now due and	owing from the defendant to	the plaintiff
the sum of	dollars, with in	terest thereon from the	
day of	_, 20		
[Demand for judgment, er	ndorsement, address, telephor	ne number, and verification.]	
Form 200			
Complaint by Drawee Ba	ınk Against Bank Which Cas	shed Check on Forged End	orsement
[Caption and introductory	paragraph]		
1. Plaintiff and defendant	are domestic corporations, or	ganized and existing under f	the Banking
Law of the State of New Y	ork, and duly authorized to en	gage in the business of bank	ing.
2. On or about the _	day of	, 20	, one
	_ was a depositor having a ch	necking account with the plain	ntiff, and on
that date said	drew a check	directing the plaintiff to pay	to the order
of	the sum of	dollars.	
3. Thereafter said che-	ck came into the possessi	on of the defendant with	the name
	_ [name of payee] written u	upon the back of said chec	ck, and the
defendant thereafter end	orsed the same and presen	ted it to the plaintiff for pa	ayment and
received payment thereof	from the plaintiff.		
4. The said defendant did	d not receive said check from	the payee therein named, a	and the said

endorsement upon said check was not the endorsement of the payee therein named,

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

an	d said check	was not	endorsed	by the	payee	therein,
, bu	in fact the s	upposed or	pretended	endorse	ment up	oon said
check of the said payee was a	nd is a forgery	and in fact	the said			
bank had no valid or legal title t	o the said ched	ck when it p	resented th	e same t	o the pl	aintiff for
payment as aforesaid.						
5. Immediately upon its discover	ery of the fact of	of the forgery	y of the nar	ne of the	payee	upon the
back of said check, and that the	e supposed or	pretended e	ndorsemen	t upon th	e same	was not
the endorsement of the payee na	amed in said ch	eck, it gave	due notice	of the fac	t of sucl	h forgery
to the defendant, and demande	d the return to	the plaintiff	of the said	sum of _		
dollars so paid on said check t	o the defendar	nt, but that t	the defenda	ant refuse	d and	still does
refuse to pay the plaintiff the said	d sum of money	or any part	thereof.			
[Demand for judgment, endorse	ment, address,	telephone n	number, and	d verificati	on.]	
Form 201						
Complaint in Action by Depos	itor Against Ba	ank for Wro	ngful Refu	sal to Pa	y Checl	k
[Caption and introductory parag	raph]					
1. The defendant,		_ bank, is a	a national	banking (corporat	tion duly
organized under the laws of the	ne United State	es and cond	ducts its bu	usiness a	s such	banking
corporation at No.				Street ir	n the	City of
·						
2. At all the times hereinafter me	entioned the pla	aintiff was a	depositor in	said defe	endant b	oank and
the defendant agreed at all til	mes to pay or	ut the amou	unt so dep	osited by	the pl	laintiff in
accordance with checks drawn b	y the plaintiff o	n the said ba	ank when d	uly preser	nted.	
3. On the day of	of	, 20_		, plaint	iff drew	a check
in the sum of	dollars wherein	and whereb	y he directe	ed the def	endant	to pay to

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

the order of	, said sum of	dollars, and delivered
said check to said	·	
4. At the time of the delivery of	said check to said	, and when the
same was presented to said bar	nk as hereinafter alleged, this	s plaintiff had on deposit with the
defendant a sum in excess of	dollars and the	e defendant was justly indebted to
plaintiff in said amount.		
5. On information and bel	ief on the	_ day of,
20, said check	duly endorsed by the said	was
presented to the defendant ban	k for payment, and although	the plaintiff at said time had on
deposit with the defendant a sun	n largely in excess of the amo	ount of said check, said defendant
wrongfully refused to pay the s	ame, whereupon said check	was protested for dishonor and
nonpayment and the said check	was returned to plaintiff who p	aid the same.
6. Defendant bank at the time of	of refusing to pay said check,	marked, or caused to be marked
upon the face thereof in conspic	uous characters, the letters "I	N. G.", which letters or characters
when written upon the face of a c	check by a banker, mean "not	good" and that the drawer thereof
has not a sufficient sum on depo	sit to his credit to warrant the	bank in the payment thereof and
said letters and characters were	e so understood by the said	I, the
payee of said check, when the s	same was returned to him fro	m said defendant bank; and said
check when so returned to said _	ha	ad attached thereto a slip of paper
in which the words "insufficient f	unds" were underscored, mea	aning thereby that this plaintiff did
not have sufficient funds in said b	cank to meet said check and s	said words were so understood by
said		
7. Said writings by defendant, se	o intended and so understood	d, were false and defamatory, and
by reason thereof plaintiff has be	een and still is greatly injured	in his good name, reputation and
credit [if it be the case, add "and	has sustained a great loss of l	business and profits"].
[Demand for judgment, endorser	ment, address, telephone num	nber, and verification.]

Note: if any specific damage resulted directly from the dishonor of the check, it should be particularly alleged.

Form 202

Complaint Against Bank Which Refused to Pay Certified Check

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. The defendant is a domestic banking corporation duly organized and existing under and by								
virtue of the laws of the State of New York [or "the defendant is a national bank, duly organized								
and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the United States"] and conducts a banking								
business at No	stree	et, ir	n the	City	of			
·								
2. On the day of	_, 2	20		,	at			
, duly made	his cl	heck i	in writin	g bear	ing			
date on that day wherein and whereby he directed and ordered t	he de	fenda	ant to p	ay to t	his			
plaintiff or order the sum of dollars and the said								
duly delivered said check to this plaintiff for value.								
3. On the, 20		, t	he defe	endant	in			
writing at the request of this plaintiff duly accepted said check and ce	ertified	I the s	same.					
4. Thereafter the said check was duly endorsed by the plaintiff an	nd as	so en	dorsed	was d	luly			
presented to the defendant for payment and payment was refused and no part thereof has been								
paid.								
5. Payment is still the owner and holder of said check.								
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]								

Form 203

Complaint Against Bank for Failure to Give Prompt Notice of Protest

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. That the plaintiffs are	co-partners, doing	g business under th	ne firm name and style of
		their principal	place of business at
		corporation, having its	s principal place of business
at	·		
3. That on the	day of	, 20	, for a good and
valuable consideration,		executed and	delivered to the plaintiffs its
check, a copy of which is a	s follows:		
	[Reprod	luce check]	
4. That on the	day of	, 20	, the plaintiffs duly
endorsed said check as foll	ows:		
[Give endorsement] and a	ittached to said che	ck its invoice number	
covering	[identify n	nerchandise, as, for e	xample, automobile].
5. That on	, the	said bank duly end	orsed and transmitted said
check, with said invoice att	ached thereto, to th	ie Federal Reserve B	ank of New York, New York
City, together with instruction	ons to protest said c	heck and to provide n	otice of nonpayment thereof
if said check was not paid ι	ipon presentment of	f the defendant.	
6. That on	, the Fe	ederal Reserve Bank	of New York duly endorsed
and forwarded said check,	with invoice attache	ed, to the defendant,	together with instructions to
the defendant to protest sa	id check and provid	le notice of nonpaym	ent thereof in the event said
check was not paid upon pi	esentment to the de	efendant.	
7. That the defendant faile	d to provide notice	of nonpayment of sa	id check and did not protest
the same until	, w	hich said notice of p	protest was received by the

plaintiffs on		, the de	efendant	giving	as reas	son for	protest	"No
Instructions to Pay".								
8. That no part of sai	d check has been pa	aid.						
9. That by reason of	the defendant's fail	ure to pro	otest said	d check	accordin	g to law	and pro	vide
notice of non-payme	nt there as instructe	d, and b	y reason	of the r	negligen	ce on th	e part of	the
defendant in failing	to protest said ch	eck unti	l			, to	which	said
negligence the	plaintiffs contrib	uted i	no pa	rt wh	atsoeve	r, the	plain	ntiffs
	[allege facts	showing	injury to	plaintiffs	from th	e delay	in protes	sting
the check, such as lo	oss of rights against	drawer c	or endors	er, insol	vency of	f drawer	, that dra	wer
became unamenable	to court process dur	ing the d	lelay, or o	other fac	ts showi	ng loss o	of some r	right
of action or impairme	nt of some remedy,	or other	change c	of position	n to plai	ntiffs' inj	ury], and	the
plaintiffs were damag	ed thereby in the sur	m of		do	llars.			
[Demand for judgme	nt, endorsement, add	dress, tel	lephone r	number,	and veri	fication I	<r.]< td=""><td></td></r.]<>	
Form 204								
Complaint Against E	Bank on Certificate	of Depo	sit					
[Caption and introduc	ctory paragraph]							
1. The defendant is	a banking corporation	on duly o	organized	d and ex	isting ur	nder and	by virtu	e of
the laws of the State	of New York [or "of t	he Unite	d States"] and co	nducts a	banking	j busines	s at
No				Street,	in	the	City	of
	·							
2. On the	day of		, 20	0		the de	fendant (duly
made a certificate of	deposit dated on th	at day w	herein a	nd wher	eby the	defenda	nt agree	d to
pay to the order of th	ne plaintiff upon the	return of	said cert	tificate o	f deposi	t, duly e	ndorsed,	the
sum of	dollars, and the	hereupor	n for val	ue recei	ved dul	y delive	red the	said
certificate of deposit t	o this plaintiff.							

3.	On the	day of	, 20	, the plaintiff duly
				rsed to the defendant for
pay	ment, and the defendan	t failed and refuse	ed to pay the same, and	no part thereof has been
pai	d and the plaintiff is still th	ne owner and hold	er thereof.	
[De	emand for judgment, end	orsement, address	s, telephone number, and	verification.]
Foi	m 205			
Co	mplaint by Depositor A	gainst Bank to Re	ecover Deposit	
[Ca	aption and introductory pa	aragraph]		
1.	[Allege defendant's corpo	orate existence.]		
2.	Prior to the	day of	, 20	, plaintiff deposited
var	ious sums in a checking	account with the o	defendant, which the defe	endant agreed to repay to
plai	ntiff or his order on dema	and, and on said d	late the balance in said a	ccount to the credit of the
plai	ntiff was the sum of	dolla	ars.	
3.	On said date plaintiff duly	demanded that d	lefendant repay to him sa	id balance to his credit in
said	d account, but defendant	refused and still re	efuses to repay the same.	
[De	emand for judgment, end	orsement, address	s, telephone number, and	verification.]
For	rm 206			
Со	mplaint in Action on Gu	aranty of Future	Debts	
[Ca	aption and introductory pa	aragraph]		
1.	On or about the	day of	, 20	, the defendant
dul	y entered into an agre	ement in writing	with the plaintiffs herei	n, in and by which he
gua	aranteed the payment of	any bills that A m	ight contract with the plai	ntiffs from said date until
		20	, to the extent of	dollars.

2. Plaintiffs thereafter, in pursuance of said agreement, sold and delive	red to A goods, wares
and merchandise between about the day of	,
20, and the day of,	, 20, at
agreed prices amounting in the aggregate to the sum of	dollars.
3. The said A is entitled to a credit for payments heretofore made upor	the above-mentioned
purchases of dollars, leaving a balance due and o	wing thereon to said
plaintiffs of dollars, with interest, no part of which ha	s been paid, although
payment thereof has been duly demanded.	
4. Plaintiffs have duly performed all the conditions of said agreement of	on their part and have
duly notified the defendant from time to time of the amount of purchase	s made by A and duly
demanded from said defendant prior to the commencement of this action	n the payment of said
sum of dollars, but the defendant has wholly neglected	ed and refused to pay
said sum.	
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and ve	erification.]
Form 207	
Complaint in Action on Guaranty for Payment for Goods Sold, Given	as Inducement to
Such Sale	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]	
1. On or about, 20, the def	fendant requested the
plaintiff to sell to Corp., of which defendant w	as president, a certain
machine for the price of	dollars, payable
days after delivery.	
In order to induce plaintiff to sell said machine to said	Corp.,
defendant guaranteed to plaintiff in writing that said	Corp. would pay

the agreed price of said machine within			days after	the del	ivery
thereof.					
3. In reliance on said guaranty, plaintiff sold	said machine	to the			
Corp. for the price above stated,	and delivered	d the	same on	or a	bout
, 20					
4. More than day	s have expired	d since su	ch delivery	and pla	aintiff
has duly performed all the conditions of said co	ontract of guar	anty on his	s part, but n	o part o	f the
said price has been paid, either by the sa	id		Corp	o. or by	the
defendant, although payment thereof has been	duly demande	d.			
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address,	, telephone nur	nber, and	verification.]		
Form 208					
Complaint in Action on Guaranty of Paymen	it Under Contr	act for Pe	rsonal Serv	/ices	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]					
1. On or about	, 20		_, plaintiff	and	one
entered into a cer	rtain contract v	vhereby p	laintiff was	to rende	er to
said certain servi	ices more fully	set forth	n in said c	ontract,	and
whereby the saida	greed to pay p	aintiff for s	such service	s the su	ım of
dollars. A copy of said cont	tract is hereto	annexed a	and made a	ı part of	this
complaint.					
2. To induce plaintiff to enter into said contra-	ct with the said	d t		,	and
prior to plaintiff's execution thereof, defendar	nt executed a	nd deliver	ed to plain	tiff a wı	ritten
agreement whereby he undertook to pay to pla	intiff all sums v	hich migh	t become d	ue to pla	aintiff
from the said p	oursuant to th	e said c	ontract me	ntioned	and
described in paragraph 1 hereof. A copy of the	contract so ex	ecuted by	the defend	ant is he	ereto
annexed and made a part of this complaint.					

services to be po	erformed by him	for the said	, but	on or about
	, 20	, the said		notified
plaintiff that he no	longer desired pla	aintiff to perform said se	ervices and refused to	permit plaintif
to continue.				
4. Plaintiff on his	part has duly pe	rformed all the condition	ons of his said contract	with the said
	except	as prevented by the	said	as
aforesaid.				
5. Plaintiff has du	ly performed all th	e conditions of his said	contract with the defen-	dant.
6. By reason of t	he facts aforesaid	there is now due and	owing to the plaintiff of	under his saic
contract with the	said	the sum	of d	ollars, no par
of which has bee	n paid, although p	payment thereof has be	een duly demanded, bo	oth of the saic
	and of t	he defendant herein.		
[Demand for judg	ment, endorsemer	nt, address, telephone r	number, and verification	ı.]
Form 209				
Complaint in Act	ion on Guaranty	of Payment of a Pre-e	xisting Debt	
[Caption and intro	oductory paragraph	ո]		
1. On or about	the	day of	, 20	, one
	[name	primary debtor] was in	debted to the plaintiff	in the sum of
	dollars, which sun	n was then due and pay	able [or "to become du	e and payable
on the	day of	, 20	"], with inte	erest from the
da	y of	, 20	·	
2 The defender	nt an acid day n	anda aubaaribad and	delivered to the plain	ntiff a contain
Z. THE UEIGHUAL	it on salu day n	nade, subscribed and	delivered to the plan	iuii a Ceitall

agreed to be answerable for the payment of said	d debt and for value received	I guaranteed the
same, a copy of which agreement is hereto annex	ed marked "Exhibit A," and ma	ade a part of this
complaint.		
3. Neither said [nam	e original debtor] nor the def	endant has paid
said debt or any part thereof.		
4. The plaintiff has duly performed all the cond	ditions of said agreement, o	n his part to be
performed.		
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, tel	lephone number, and verificat	ion.]
Form 210		
Complaint in Action on Guaranty of Payment o	f Loans	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]		
1. The defendant, on or about	, 20	_, executed and
delivered to the plaintiff, for a valuable considerati	ion, his certain contract of gua	aranty, a copy of
which is hereto annexed and made a part of this	s complaint, by which contra	ct the defendant
promised and agreed to pay to the plaintiff any	liability or indebtedness up t	o the amount of
dollars, then due and owing to the	ne plaintiff by	·
2. Prior to said date the plaintiff had made various	loans to the said	,
in the total amount of dollars, where the total amount of	hich amount was due and owi	ng to the plaintiff
at the time of the execution and delivery by the def	fendant of his said contract of	guaranty.
3. Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions	of said contract on his part, b	out no part of the
said sum of dollars h	nas been paid, either	by the said
or by the defendant	t, although payment thereof	has been duly
demanded.		
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, tel	lephone number and verificati	on.]

Complaint in Action on Guaranty of Payment of Promissory Note

1. On the	day of	, 20	, at
	, one	made and	delivered his
promissory note,	in writing, bearing date on that day,	, whereby he promised, fo	r value received,
to pay the plainti	iff or his order [or "bearer"],	after	date the sum of
	_ dollars, with interest from date un	itil paid, at the rate of	per
cent per annum.			
2. Defendant at	the same time, for a valuable con	nsideration, at	,
guaranteed the	payment of the said note by writ	ting thereon the words f	ollowing, to wit:
	, and subscribed his name	e, and delivered the same	so guaranteed to
the plaintiff, who	is still the lawful owner and holder th	ereof.	
3. A copy of said	I note and guaranty is hereto attache	d and made a part hereof.	
4. Although said	note became due before the comme	encement of this action, the	e said maker has
not, nor has the c	defendant, paid the same nor any pa	rt thereof.	
5. There is now	due upon the same and upon said	guaranty from the defenda	ant to the plaintiff
the sum of	dollars and interest	thereon from the	day of
[Demand for judo	gment, endorsement, address, telepl	none number, and verificat	ion.]

Form 212

Complaint in Action by Bank on Guaranty of Future Loans to Corporation

1. The plaintiff is a domestic	banking corporation	duly created and	d existing under the laws of the
State of New York.			
2. On or about	······································	20	_, the defendant made and
delivered to plaintiff an	instrument duly	executed by	him, and bearing date
,	20, w	hereby, for a go	od and valuable consideration
he guaranteed and promise	d to be answerable	to plaintiff for	the payment of loans by it to
	Corp. to the extent	of	dollars, a copy of which
said guaranty is hereto annex	ked, marked "Exhibit	A," and made a	part of this complaint.
3. The plaintiff thereafter a	nd on the faith of	said guaranty,	oaned and advanced to said
(Corp. at its special in	stance and requ	est, sums of money amounting
to dollars,	which sums said _		Corp. promised and
agreed to return and repay to	plaintiff when due.		
			p., as aforesaid, amounting to
	dollars, became du	e and payable	to the plaintiff from the said
	Corp., on		_, 20; payment
of the same was on said		, 20	duly demanded of said
(Corp.; but the same	was not paid by	y it nor by defendant, nor was
any part thereof, and the sa	me is still due and o	owing to the plai	ntiff, of all of which due notice
was given the defendant.			
-			
-	uly performed all th	e conditions of t	he said agreement mentioned
-		e conditions of t	he said agreement mentioned
5. Plaintiff on its part has d	? hereof.		

Complaint in Action by Bank on Guaranty of Existing and Future Indebtedness of a Corporation

1. At the times hereinafter mentioned the plaintiff was a domestic corporation organized under				
the laws of the United States relating to banking and engaged in the business of banking.				
2. During the same period of time, Inc., was a domestic corporation				
duly organized under the laws of the State of New York and was doing business at				
3. Upon the, 20, for the purpose of				
securing the plaintiff for the indebtedness then and theretofore owing to it by the said				
, Inc., upon its own or its customers' paper; and for the purpose of				
securing payment to it of all indebtedness and liability of every kind of the said				
, Inc., to it that should arise thereafter, defendant, for a valuable				
consideration, executed and acknowledged and delivered to this plaintiff a written contract				
whereby the defendant did covenant and agree to and with plaintiff that he would guarantee and				
warrant unto the plaintiff the payment at maturity of each and all of the checks, notes, drafts,				
bills of exchange and other obligations in writing of every name and kind, made, signed, drawn,				
accepted or endorsed by the said, Inc., which the plaintiff then had				
or which it might thereafter have, hold, purchase or obtain within one year from the date thereof				
to an aggregate amount not exceeding dollars, and interest thereon; and				
further covenanting and agreeing that the defendant would pay to the plaintiff upon demand				
each and every of the said obligations in case of default of payment of the same at maturity.				
A copy of the said contract is hereto annexed and made a part of this complaint and marked				
"Schedule A."				
4. On or about the day of, 20, the said				
, Inc., made in writing and executed and delivered under its				
corporate seal and signed and attested by its president and treasurer,				
of its corporate bonds of the denomination of				

dollars each, in and by each of which is	promised to	pay, for	value rec	eived, to the	bearer
thereof, the sum of doll	ars on			_, 20	,
at, with inter	est at the ra	ite of six	per cent	per annum į	payable
semiannually at said bank on the first day	y of June and	d Decemb	oer in each	and every ye	ear; the
said bonds were so issued and were sold	and delivered	by the s	aid		,
Inc., to various persons who purchased th	e same for a	legal, pro	oper and va	aluable consid	deration
and in or about the month of July in the	year 20		,		of
said bonds of the denomination of	(dollars ea	ach and nu	ımbered resp	ectively
		_ and			_, were
sold and delivered by the then owners of	he same to p	laintiff wh	no thereupo	on became th	e lawful
owner and holder of the said bonds and h	as since conti	nued to b	e such law	ful owner and	d holder
of the same; no part of the said bonds h	as been paid	and no	part of the	interest there	eon has
been paid to this plaintiff since		, 20	0	, but the	e whole
amount of the said	bon	ds and	the interes	st thereon fr	om the
day of	_, 20	,	is still due	and owing fi	rom the
said obligor to plaintiff.					
5. Demand has been duly made upon the	defendant for	r said sur	n.		
6. The said bonds so issued by the said			, Inc.	, and which b	oecame
the property of this plaintiff in		, 20		, are a par	t of the
obligations in writing which are referred	to and whic	ch are co	overed by	the said con	tract of
guaranty given by the defendant herein	as aforesaid,	and plai	ntiff has du	uly performed	all the
conditions of said contract on its part.					
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, add	lress, telephor	ne numbe	er. and veri	fication.1	

Complaint by FDIC as Assignee of Insolvent Bank's Receiver Against Estate of Guarantor of Loans to Corporation

1. Federal Deposit Insu	rance Corporation (herein	nafter called "FDIC	") is an agency of the United
States Government orga	anized and existing under	an Act of Congres	SS.
2	[name of insolve	nt bank] was here	etofore declared insolvent by
the Comptroller of the C	urrency and FDIC was ap	ppointed Receiver	thereof by the Comptroller of
the Currency.			
3. FDIC, in its capacity	as Receiver, had exclusiv	e dominion and c	ontrol of certain of the assets
of	[bank].		
			States District Court for the
		_	ed all of the Receiver's right,
	e owner and holder there		ed, to plaintiff in its corporate
5. On information and I	pelief, defendant		_ [name of executor] resides
in	County, New York.		
6. On information and b	elief,	[name of	deceased guarantor] died on
or about	, 20	[date o	f death], and on or about
	, 20	_ [date of	appointment] defendant
	[name of executor]	was appointed	Executor of the Estate of
			County Surrogate's
Court [or as the case ma	ay be].		
7. On information and	belief,	[name c	of deceased guarantor] on or
about	, for a valuat	ole consideration,	executed and delivered to
	[bank] his written	guaranty whereir	n, among other things, he
absolutely and un	conditionally guarantee	ed payment	of the obligations of

[name of primary debtor] to said bank. A copy of said guaranty is
attached as Exhibit A.
8. On information and belief, [primary debtor] executed its
promissory note payable to [bank] for value received, promising to
pay the sum of \$ with interest thereon discounted [if applicable] at the rate of
percent per annum. A copy of said note is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
9. [If applicable:] On information and belief, the aforesaid promissory note was a renewal of a
promissory note of [primary debtor] dated
, 20 in the amount of \$
10. [If applicable:] On information and belief, in said note it was provided that after maturity
interest should be payable at a rate per cent per annum in excess of the interest
or discount rate in effect at maturity and that if the note were referred to an attorney for
collection, there should be due and payable an attorney fee of percent of all
sums due on the note and all costs and expenses of any action.
11. [If applicable:] On information and belief, the written guaranty referred to herein provides
that whenever an attorney is used to obtain payment under or otherwise enforce the guaranty,
whether by suit or by any other means whatsoever, an attorney fee of percent of
the principal and interest then due shall be payable by each guarantor.
12. No part of said note has been paid although duly demanded.
13. On information and belief, there is currently due and owing to plaintiff from defendant the
sum of \$ with interest thereon at the rate of per cent per
annum from, 20 [date], together with [if applicable]
attorney fees in the sum of \$
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number and verification. Attach
exhibits.]

Complaint in Action Against Guarantor of Collection of Debt

1. Between the	day o	f	, 20		and the
day of _		_, 20	, both da	ates inclusive,	plaintiffs
sold and delivered to one	e	, '	who was transact	ting business in	n the City
of	, goods	s, wares	and merchan	dise, consis	sting of
	, well and reas	sonably wort	h the sum of _		_ dollars,
and at that agreed price.					
2. Said goods, ware					
day of					
day of					
the delivery of said	d		_ by the pla	aintiffs to t	he said
amounts to become due		received, ge		ng me comoca	on or ano
3. The said	0	mitted and r	efused to pay for	the said good	ds, wares
and merchandise, or any	y part thereof, and	thereafter ar	nd subsequent to	the	day
of,	20	, an action	was duly comm	enced by the	plaintiffs
against the said		_ in the Nev	v York Supreme	Court for the (County of
	for the collecti	on of the sai	d sum of money	, and such pro	ceedings
were had therein, that a					
day of	, 20	, a judo	gment was duly	entered in sa	aid action
against the said		for the	sum of	do	llars, the
amount of verdict found	by the jury, and for	or the further	sum of	dolla	ırs, costs,
as taxed, amounting in a	ll to the sum of		dollars		

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

4. The defendant,, had due notice of the pendency of said action,
and was duly notified to appear and participate in the defense thereof, if any defense there
were, and he was in fact present at the trial thereof.
5. After the recovery of the judgment aforesaid, an execution was duly issued out of the New
York Supreme Court to the sheriff of the County of, in which county
the defendant in said action then resided and still resides, directing him to levy and collect the
amount of the said judgment, and said execution has been by the said sheriff duly returned
wholly unsatisfied, and said judgment remains wholly unpaid and no payment has been made
on account of said goods, wares and merchandise.
6. Payment has been duly demanded of defendant but he has paid no part of said sum of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 216
Complaint in Action on Guaranty of Collection of Promissory Note
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On the, 20, at
, one made his certain promissory note,
in writing, bearing date that day whereby, for value received, he promised to pay to the plaintiff
or his order [or "bearer"] one year after date, the sum of dollars with interest
after date till paid, at the rate of per cent per annum.
2. Defendant thereupon for a valuable consideration, on [the same day] guaranteed the
collection of the said note by writing thereon the words: "For value received, I guarantee the
collection of the within note, and the costs thereof," and subscribing his name thereto, and the
same so guaranteed was delivered to the plaintiff, who is now the lawful owner and holder
thereof.

3. The said note became	due and payable, as the	rein stated, on the	day of
, 20	, and was not	paid nor has yet been	paid, nor any part
thereof.			
4. A copy thereof is attach	ed hereto, marked "Exhibit	A," and made a part here	eof.
5. At maturity the plaintiff	commenced and prosecut	ed an action with all due	e diligence against
the said maker of	said note. In the		court for
	County, and on the	day of	,
20, judg	ment was entered	for this plaintiff	against said
	_ [maker] for the sum of _	dollars,	being the amount
due upon said note for pri	ncipal and interest, and	dollars a	nd
cents, costs.			
6. Thereafter, on the	day of	, 20	, the plaintiff
caused an execution to be	e duly issued out of said _		court, in which
said judgment was render	red, to the sheriff of	C	ounty, where said
	_ then resided and now res	ides.	
7. Thereafter, said executi	on was duly returned wholl	y unsatisfied.	
8. Payment thereof has be	een duly demanded of defe	endant but he has paid n	o part of said sum
of dollars	S.		
[Demand for judgment, en	dorsement, address, teleph	none number, and verifica	ation.]

Complaint in Action on Guaranty Bond

1. That at all the times hereinafter mentioned, and before the commencement of this action, the
plaintiff was and is a banking corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of New
York, including the banking laws of said state.
2. That at all the said times the defendant was and is a corporation duly organized and existin
under the laws of New York, and having a place of business within the county of New York.
3. That on or about the day of, 20, at th
city of New York, one duly executed and delivered to the plaintiff for
value received his certain promissory note in writing wherein and whereby he did promise to pa
to the plaintiff above named, four months after said date, dollars. That prior t
the delivery of the said note and its negotiation by the said plaintiff it, the plaintiff, had decline
to take said note without additional and collateral security therefor, and for the faithful paymer
to the plaintiff of said dollars by the said
4. That on the occasion of the delivery aforestated of said note, the sai
, solely as an inducement to the plaintiff to negotiate the sai
promissory note for him, caused to be deposited with the plaintiff as security for the amount of
said note a certain bond, which bond is hereinafter referred to, and also a certified check for
dollars, whereupon the plaintiff did duly negotiate the said note for the sai
5. That afterward the said note at maturity was duly presented for payment and wa
dishonored, and the same was duly protested, all before the commencement of this action.
6. That no part of the said note for dollars was paid by the sai
excepting the proceeds of the said certified check, viz
dollars, leaving a balance unpaid by the said o
said note of dollars with interest.
7. That upon the day of
20, at the city of New York, and concurrently with the delivery aforesaid of sai

promissory note, the defendant above named as its own act and deed duly executed and sealed, and duly delivered to the plaintiff its certain several bond or obligation in writing a true copy whereof marked Schedule A is hereto annexed and made a part of this complaint. And plaintiff avers that the note mentioned in the said bond is identical with and none other than the note mentioned in paragraph 3 hereof; and that the said bond was accepted by the plaintiff from the defendant upon the conditions therein expressed, and none other.

8. That the said	before the commenceme	nt of this action, wholly
failed to discharge and pay the said indebte	dness of	dollars under the said
note, excepting the amount of said certified	check for	dollars, and plaintiff
alleges that solely thereby there has been a b	reach of the condition mer	ntioned in said bond, all
before the commencement of this action.		
9. That before the commencement of this a	action every condition was	fulfilled, and all things
happened, and all times elapsed necessary	to entitle the plaintiff to	maintain this action for
recovery on said bond of the amount of said	note therein mentioned ar	nd interest and costs of
protest, less by the said dol	lars, yet the defendant has	wholly failed to pay the
same or any part thereof, although duly den	nanded before the comme	ncement of this action,
and that no part of the amount secured by s	aid bond has been paid b	y or on behalf of either
party to said bond or by any person, excepting	the amount of said certifie	d check.
10. That plaintiff claims from the defendant a	competent part of the amo	ount of the said bond to
cover said dollars and co	osts of protest and also i	nterest to the date of
judgment herein.		
11. That solely by reason of the premises t	he plaintiff has been dam	aged
dollars, including an amount to cover interest	already accrued and to acc	rue and costs of protest
of said note, to its damage in the sum of	dollars.	

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Complaint in Action by Owner Against Contractor on Contract of Indemnity Against Liability

At all times herein mentioned to	he defendant was and now	is a domestic corporation.
2. On or about	, 20	, plaintiff and defendant entered
into a contract whereby the defen	dant agreed to construct ce	ertain in
and adjacent to premises of	the plaintiff at No	Street in the City of
, and	whereby defendant further a	agreed to indemnify plaintiff against
all liability which plaintiff might in	cur to any person claiming	to have suffered personal injury or
property damage arising out of a	any acts or omissions of th	e defendant in the course of such
construction pursuant to said co	ontract. A copy of said co	ntract is hereto annexed, marked
"Exhibit A", and made a part of thi	s complaint.	
		ff in the Supreme Court, County of, alleging that she had sustained
		defendant in the course of such
construction, namely the acts of the	he defendant in making an	excavation immediately adjacent to
the sidewalk commonly used by	pedestrians on the south	side of
Street in the City of	, and the	defendant's omission in failing to
properly guard and light said exca	vation.	
4. Thereafter such proceedings	were had in said action the	at said
recovered a judgment against t	the plaintiff in the sum o	f dollars, which
judgment was duly entered in the	office of the Clerk of the Co	ounty of
on or about	20	
5. In the defense of said action	plaintiff necessarily incurre	d an expense of
dollars for counsel fees and incide	ental disbursements.	

6. When said action was commenced, and on or about,
20, plaintiff duly notified defendant thereof and requested defendant to undertake
the defense of said action, but defendant failed and refused to do so.
7. Plaintiff has duly performed all of the conditions of said contract on his part.
8. By reason of the facts aforesaid, there is now due and owing to the plaintiff from the
defendant the sum of dollars pursuant to the contract mentioned and
described in paragraph 2 of this complaint.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 219
Complaint in Action by Accommodation Endorser Against Maker of Note, on Agreement
to Indemnify Against a Loss
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On or about, 20, the defendant made, executed
and delivered his promissory note to in the sum of
dollars, a copy of which is hereto annexed, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part of this
complaint.
2. Before the delivery of said note to the payee, and at the request of the defendant, plaintiff
endorsed the same as an accommodation endorser.
3. To induce the plaintiff to so endorse said note, defendant then agreed that he would
indemnify the plaintiff against any and all loss which plaintiff might sustain by such endorsement.
4. Upon the maturity of said note the same was not paid by the defendant, and plaintiff was
compelled to pay the same, and thereby suffered a loss of dollars.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Complaint in Action by Surety Company for Indemnity Against Bonded Employee

1. At all times herein mentioned plaintiff was and now is a domestic corporation, do	uly authorized
to engage in business in the State of New York as a surety company.	
2. On or about, 20, at defendant's red	quest, plaintiff
executed and delivered to its bond or undertaking i	n the sum of
dollars, whereby plaintiff bound itself to pay any loss sustaine	d by the one
through any larceny, embezzlement, forgery o	r conversion
committed by the defendant while in the employ of the said	А сору
of said bond is hereto annexed, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part of this compla	int.
3. In consideration of plaintiff's execution and delivery of said bond, defendant	executed and
delivered to plaintiff, on or about, 20	, a certain
contract whereby he agreed to indemnify plaintiff against any and all loss which it	might sustain
under said bond, and further agreed that any payment by plaintiff	to the said
in satisfaction of plaintiff's liability under said bor	nd should be
deemed conclusive evidence of defendant's liability to plaintiff for the amount so pa	aid. A copy of
said contract, marked "Exhibit B", is hereto annexed and made a part of this compla	aint.
4. Thereafter, and on or about, 20,	by reason of
defendant's conversion of certain monies of said in t	he amount of
dollars, plaintiff was compelled to and did pay said amoun	t to the said
in satisfaction of its liability under said bond.	
5. By reason of the facts aforesaid, there is now due and owing from defendant to	o plaintiff said
sum of dollars, no part of which has been paid although paymer	nt thereof has
heen duly demanded	

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 221

Complaint in Action for Indemnity by Owner Against Lessee

[Caption and int	roductory parag	raphJ						
1. On or about	t		, 20	, pl	aintiff le	ased to	defen	dant
certain premi	ses known	as			for	а	period	of
	year	rs, to be use	ed by defenda	nt as a				_•
2. Thereafter de	efendant reques	ted plaintiff'	s permission	to install on	the exte	erior of	the buil	ding
on said premise	s a certain adv	ertising sig	n, and as ar	n inducemei	nt to pla	intiff to	grant s	such
permission defe	ndant agreed to	indemnify	plaintiff aga	inst any an	d all lial	oility wl	nich pla	intiff
might sustain or	incur through f	the installat	ion or mainte	enance of s	aid sign,	or in	any mai	nner
relating thereto.	A copy of said	agreement,	marked "Exh	nibit A", is h	ereto an	nexed a	and mad	de a
part of this comp	laint.							
3. Pursuant to	the said reques	t by defend	dant, and in	reliance upo	on said a	agreem	ent, pla	intiff
granted such pe	rmission and sa	id advertisi	ng sign was t	hereafter in	stalled b	y defer	ndant on	the
exterior of the bu	uilding on the pr	emises abo	ve mentioned	d, and overh	nanging t	he side	walk on	the
south side of		St	reet in the Cit	ty of			<u>-</u>	
4. Thereafter a	n action was c	ommenced	against plair	ntiff in the	Supreme	e Court	, Count	y of
	, by 0	one		, alle	ging that	she ha	ad susta	ined
personal injuries	resulting from	the collaps	se of said sig	gn installed	by defe	ndant,	and in	said
action said		rec	overed judgn	nent agains	t the pla	intiff in	the sur	n of
	_ dollars.							
5. When said	action was	commenced	d, and on	or about _				,
20	_, plaintiff duly	notified def	endant there	of and requ	ested de	efendar	nt to de	fend
the said action, h	out defendant fai	iled and refu	ised to do so					

6.	In	the	defense	of	said	action	plaintiff	necessarily	incurred	expense of	
Do	lars	s for	counsel f	ees	s and	incider	ital disbu	ursements.		·	

7. Defendant has failed to indemnify plaintiff against the liabilities incurred by plaintiff as aforesaid arising out of defendant's installation of said advertising sign, and no part of the said judgment or the said expenses incurred by the plaintiff has been paid by defendant, although payment thereof has been duly demanded.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 222

Complaint in Action by Principal Against Surety to Recover Funds Deposited With Surety as Indemnity

1. On information and belief, defendant is a domestic corporation, engaged in business as a

surety company.			-				
2. On or about		, 20	, a	t plaintiff's	request,	defend	dant
executed and delivered to	the city clerk of the	ne City of			its	bond in	the
sum of	dollars in the form	required for	r the issua	nce of a lice	ense to	the plai	ntiff
to engage in business as	a		in said city	/ .			
3. On the same date pomarked "Exhibit A", is here					а сору	of wh	ıich,
4. Pursuant to said ag	greement plaintiff	deposited	with the	defendant	on or	about	the
day	of		20	,	the	sum	of
	dollars as inden	nnity agains	t any loss	which defer	ndant mi	ght sus	tain
by reason of its execution	of said hand						

5. Thereafter a license was issued to the pl	laintiff by the City of	to
engage in business as a	and plaintiff engaged in suc	ch business at
premises known as No	Street in s	said city from
, 20	, to	,
20, on or about which date h	ne terminated said business and sur	rendered said
license.		
6. During the time aforesaid plaintiff complie	d in all respects with all laws and ord	inances of the
City of governing	the said business; he became liable	for no fines or
penalties; and there are not at present undete	ermined, in any court, any civil or crim	ninal actions or
proceedings arising out of, affecting, or in any	y way relating to the said business ca	rried on by the
plaintiff as aforesaid.		
7. Plaintiff has duly performed on his part	all conditions of the said contract n	nentioned and
described in paragraph 3 hereof, but defende	ant has failed to return to plaintiff the	sum deposited
by him with the defendant as aforesaid, or an	ny part thereof, although payment the	ereof has been
duly demanded.		
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address	ss, telephone number, and verification	n.]
Form 223		
Allegations of Cross Complaint by Munici	pal Corporation Against Impleaded	Defendant
for Indemnity Against Judgment for Perso	nal Injuries Recovered by Contract	tor's
Employee		
[Caption and introductory paragraph]		
1. At all times mentioned in the complaint the	e defendant city of	was
and still is a municipal corporation duly organ	nized and existing under and by virtue	of the laws of
the state of New York.		

2. ا	Jpon informa	tion and b	elief at all t	imes mentior	ned in the complain	int the implead	led defendant
			_ corporati	on was and	still is a corpor	ation organize	ed and doing
bus	iness by virtu	e of the la	ws of the st	ate of New Y	ork.		
3.	Heretofore	and on	or abo	ut the	day	v of	,
20_		_, the plai	ntiff above	named comm	nenced an action	in this court aç	gainst the city
of _			and ar	other to reco	over damages for	alleged perso	nal injuries to
the	plaintiff as al	lleged in t	he complai	nt, the conte	ents of which com	plaint the defe	ndant, city of
			_, begs lea	ve to refer to	o upon the trial o	f this action a	s if the same
wer	e set forth he	rein more	particularly	at length.			
4.	Heretofore	and on	or abo	ut the	day	, of	,
20_		_, the def	fendant, Ci	ty of		, by the D	epartment of
Sar	itation duly	entered	into a	contract in	writing with t	the impleade	d defendant
			_ corporation	n for the priv	vilege of clearing a	ınd/or removing	g from certain
Dep	artment land	fills such	articles an	d materials (except ashes and	garbage) as t	the contractor
may	desire, whe	erein and	whereby th	e said		corporati	on agreed to
and	with the	defenda	nt city o	f		to save	the city of
			_ free and h	narmless fror	m any and all dam	nages arising fr	rom each and
eve	ry act of omis	ssion and	commissior	mentioned	in the complaint, r	eference being	g made to the
saic	contract for	greater pa	rticularity.				
5.	It was provi	ded in sa	aid contrac	t hereinbefc	ore mentioned as	s follows: [aud	ote indemnity
	isions of con					[400	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
p.o		u dotj.					
lf t	he plaintiff s	ustained	the injuries	and dama	ges as alleged in	the complair	nt, they were
suff	ered or sust	ained wit	hin the pro	visions of s	said contract and	the terms ar	nd conditions
here	einbefore me	ntioned, a	nd any and	l all damage	s that may be aw	arded to the p	olaintiff herein
are	to be paid so	lely by the	said		corpora	tion.	

6. By reason of this suit and action and	the matters and things set forth in this complaint this							
defendant city of	has been put to costs and expenses none of which							
have been paid by said	corporation.							
WHEREFORE the defendant city of	demands judgment dismissing							
the complaint herein as to it, with costs,	and further demands that under the Civil Practice Law							
and Rules the ultimate rights of this d	efendant city of and the							
impleaded defendant	corporation as between themselves be							
determined and that the defendant city of	of have judgment over and							
against the impleaded defendant	corporation for any sum which							
shall or may be recovered herein	by the plaintiff against this defendant city of							
together with	the costs and disbursements of this action and for any							
expenses incurred in defense thereof.								
•	emnify Manufacturer Against Vendee's Failure to							
Accept and Pay for Goods Ordered								
[Caption and introductory paragraph]								
1. On or about	, 20, the							
Corp. ordered of the plaintiff a certain	to be manufactured pursuant							
to specifications of the said	Corp., and to be delivered not later than							
, 20								
·	said order and enter into a contract with said							
Corp. fo	or the manufacture and delivery of such							
, the defenda	ant agreed to indemnify the plaintiff against all loss							
•	of the failure of the said							
Corp. to accept or pay for such	A copy of said agreement, marked							
"Exhibit A" is hereto annexed and made a	a part of this complaint							

3. In reliance on said agreement by defendant, plaintiff accepted said order by the said
Corp. and entered into a contract with said corporation for the
manufacture and delivery of said, for which the said corporation
agreed to pay the plaintiff the sum of dollars.
4. Plaintiff duly performed on its part all the conditions of its said contract with the said
Corp., and manufactured the said in
accordance with the specifications of the said Corp., and on or
about, 20, duly tendered delivery thereof to said
Corp., but said Corp. refused to accept
delivery thereof or to pay for the same.
5. Thereafter and on or about, 20, plaintiff sold the
said for the sum of dollars, that being the highest
price plaintiff was able to obtain for the same after all diligent efforts to obtain the best price
possible.
6. Plaintiff has duly performed on his part all the conditions of said contract mentioned and described in paragraph 2 of this complaint.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 225
Complaint in Action on Contract to Indemnify Plaintiff Against Loss on Sale of Stock
Purchased by Plaintiff at Defendant's Request
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On or about, 20, the defendant requested the
plaintiff to purchase from one,shares of
the common stock of, Inc., to prevent the same being sold to other
stockholders of said corporation hostile to plaintiff, who was also a stockholder thereof.

2. At the time said request was made of the plaintiff, the defendant promised the plaintiff among
other inducements, that if plaintiff complied with said requests, defendant would, in
consideration of said complaints, pay to the plaintiff one-half of the losses in excess of
dollars, that plaintiff might sustain in the disposal or sale of said common
stock so purchased by him, the limit of said indemnity by defendant to be
dollars.
2. In compliance with said request and in consideration of said promise, plaintiff purchased on
3. In compliance with said request and in consideration of said promise, plaintiff purchased on
, 20,shares of the said
common stock from the said
4. Thereafter said shares were sold and disposed of by the plaintiff at a loss of
dollars.
5. Although plaintiff has demanded of defendant the payment of said dollars,
the amount payable under said agreement, no part thereof has been paid.
and amount payable ander said agreement, no part increof has been paid.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 226
Complaint by City for Indemnity Against Judgment in Favor of Pedestrian Injured in
Excavation Made by Defendant
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. The plaintiff is a municipal corporation of the State of, organized
and incorporated under chapter of the Laws of
and the several acts of the legislature of said State amendatory
thereof and supplementary thereto, and at all the times hereinafter stated was possessed of all
the powers, rights and privileges and subject to all the duties, obligations and liabilities by said
acts and by the laws of the land conferred and imposed.

2. The defendant is and was at the times hereinafter stated a corporation duly incorporated
under the laws of, and located and doing business at
, and authorized to purchase, hold and
convey real estate as should be necessary for its accommodation in the transaction of its
business.
3. In the year 20, the defendant was the owner of a plot of ground situate on the
[insert description] of the Village of, and
during the summer and autumn of that year defendant caused to be erected thereon a
building for its accommodation in the transaction of its business;
said street was and is one of the public streets of said village; in the
course of the erection of said building and in carrying out and completing the plans thereof the
defendant caused an excavation to be made in street along said lot
and in that part of said street occupied by the sidewalk thereon to the depth of
feet and to the width of feet from the
street line of said lot; it was the duty of defendant to cause said excavation to be covered and
guarded and to have suitable barriers and warnings to prevent passers-by on said street from
falling therein; the defendant neglected and failed to perform such duty and such excavation
was wrongfully and negligently suffered and permitted to be and remain uncovered and without
any proper or suitable guards, barriers or warnings to prevent passers-by or over said street
from falling therein, so that on the evening of, 20,
one A, while exercising reasonable care and prudence in walking along said street fell into this
excavation and thereby received great bodily injury and was made and has been ever since and
still remains sore, sick and disabled and has ever since suffered great pain and has been put to
great expense in trying to be cured of such injuries.
4. On or about, 20, said A duly commenced an
action against this plaintiff in the Court of the State of
to recover damages for such injuries. An answer was interposed to

such action by said village, and the action came on for trial and was tried in

county before Hon	·	and a jury on
	and said A recovered	a judgment therein of
dollars, damages and costs, w	hich judgment was duly	y entered in the office of
he clerk of	county on	
20 An appeal was duly taken f	rom said judgment to	the Appellate Division,
Judicial Department,	and such judgment wa	as by said court affirmed
and judgment for dollars, costs	s on such affirmance, d	luly entered in the office
of the clerk of the county of	on	,
20 An appeal from said judgmen	was thereupon taken	to the Court of Appeals
and such judgment was by said Court of Appeals	s duly affirmed and jude	gment of affirmance and
for dollars, costs, duly entere	d in the office of the	clerk of the County of
on	, 20	, in favor of such
respondent and against said appellant. On		, 20, this
plaintiff was forced to pay and did pay to said A	the whole amount of	said several judgments
with the interest accrued thereon to said date, be	ng the sum of	dollars, and in
addition to said sum so paid plaintiff had on	and prior to that date	expended the sum of
dollars for its necessary disburs	sements in the defense	of said action.
5. The defendant in this action had actual noti-	ce and knowledge of	such action against this
plaintiff from its commencement to its final termi	_	_
hat the damages therein claimed were cause		
defendant engaged in erecting said building ar	nd that the defendant	was liable over to this
plaintiff for any sum recovered therein and that it		
action and assume the defense thereof; the defer	ndant did not intervene	therein and has not paid

to this plaintiff any part of the amounts so paid on said judgments or in the defense of said

action and thereby a cause of action has accrued in favor of this plaintiff and against the

defendant for the amounts so paid by this plaintiff on account of said action.

6. Previous to the commencement of this action payment of the damages awarded by the verdict of the jury in said action, as well as of the several amounts hereinbefore stated as paid, with interest from the time of their respective payments, was duly demanded from the defendant but no part thereof has been paid.

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 227

Particular Allegations as to Judgment Obtained Against Party Indemnified

The	plaintiff	under	а	judgment	duly	re	ndere	ed a	igainst	him	on	or	about
			,	20		_,	in	an	action	br	ought	in	the
				court	for						Co	unty,	for
			[state the n	ature of	the	actic	n], w	as comp	elled	to pay	/ and	on or
about				, 20			_, di	d pay	the su	m of			
dollars	in discha	rge and s	satis	faction of sa	aid judgr	nen	t, and	plaint	tiff incurr	ed als	o nece	essary	/ costs
and ex	penses a	nd couns	sel fe	es in said	action to	the	amo	unt of	f		d	ollars	which
he was	s compelle	ed to and	did	pay.									

Form 228

Particular Allegations as to Notice to Defendant of Action Brought Against Plaintiff

Plaintiff duly notified the defendant of the commencement of said action and requested him to defend the same, but the defendant failed and refused to do so.

Form 229

Introductory Allegation in Action Against Insurance Company

Upon information and belief	, at all	the tin	nes hereinaf	ter r	nentioned	d, the	e det	fendan	t was	and s	still
is a corporation duly organi	zed ar	nd exis	sting under a	and	by virtue	of	the	laws o	f the	State	of
	and	duly	authorized	to	carry	out	on	the	busin	ess	of

[particular kind of] insurance in the State of New York, and at all										
times hereinafter mentioned was engaged in carrying on such business in the City of										
- -										
Form 230										
Particular Allegation as to Compliance by Plaintiff with Conditions Precedent in Policy										
Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of the said policy on his part.										
— or —										
Plaintiff and the said [the insured] have duly performed all the										
conditions of the said policy on their part.										
Form 231										
Particular Allegations as to Payment of Premiums Other Than First Premium										
Said policy of insurance was continued in force by the payment of each annual premium of										
dollars by the said to defendant and by the										
receipt of the same and the issuance of the regular receipt therefor and a certificate, duly										
countersigned by a duly authorized representative of the company, each year, continuing said										
policy in force by defendant up to noon, 20										
Form 232										
Complaint in Action by Insured on Accident Policy										
[Caption and introductory paragraph]										

1. Upon information and belief, at all times herein mentioned, the defendant was and still is a domestic corporation duly authorized to carry on the business of selling policies of accident insurance in the State of New York.

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

2. On or about, 20, at,
in consideration of a premium of dollars paid by plaintiff, defendant executed
and delivered to plaintiff its policy of insurance No, by the terms of which
defendant insured the plaintiff for the period of one year from noon, standard time, of the day
that said policy was dated, against bodily injury suffered through accidental means, and
defendant in said policy did promise and agree that, upon total disability of the plaintiff resulting
from such injuries during the period covered by said policy, it would pay him the sum of
dollars per week during the continuance of such total disability, not exceeding
weeks in all. A copy of said policy, marked "Exhibit A", is hereto
annexed and made a part of this complaint.
3. On or about, 20, and while said policy was in full
force and effect, the plaintiff received a bodily injury suffered through accidental means, namely,
through a collision of automobiles in one of which plaintiff was a passenger, and resulting
directly, independently and exclusively of all other causes in immediate, continuous, and total
disability that prevented the plaintiff from performing any and every kind of duty pertaining to his
occupation from, 20, to,
20
4. Thereafter and as soon as was reasonably possible, on or about the day of
, 20, written notice of said accident was given to the
defendant at its home office in, together with full particulars thereof
and the full name and address of the plaintiff, and affirmative proofs in writing of total disability
and the duration of said total disability were filed with the defendant within
months of the termination of the period of total disability for which
claim is made.
5. More than months have elapsed since the date of filing said final
proofs at the home office of the defendant and months have not
elapsed from the date specified in said policy of insurance for filing said final proofs.

6. Plaintiff has duly performed and complied v	vith all the co	nditions o	of said policy of	of insurance
on his part.				
7. By reason of said total disability from, 20				
terms of said policy, to receive from the defenda				
and every week in said mentioned period an	nounting in a	II to the	sum of	
dollars, the payment of which sum immediately	became due a	and payal	ole and for whi	ch payment
claim and demand were duly made and which	h sum or any	sum the	e defendant d	eclined and
refused to pay.				
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address,	telephone nu	mber, and	d verification.]	
Form 233				
Complaint in Action on Accident Policy Whe	re Accident I	Resulted	in Death	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]				
1. [Allege incorporation of defendant and autho	rization to enç	gage in in	surance busin	ess.]
2. On information and belief, heretofore	and on or	about		,
20, the defendant, in co				to it by
County, New York, of a premium of				
said its policy of in	surance, a co	py of whi	ch is annexed	hereto and
marked "Exhibit A" and is hereby made a part of	f this complair	nt.		
3. On information and belief, thereafter a	and on or a	about		,
20, for and in consideration of a	further paym	ent of the	sum of	
dollars to defendant, the defendant duly continu	ied in force sa	aid policy	of insurance f	rom noon of
	_, to noon	of		,
20, subject to all the terms, provi	sions and sta	tements c	of said policy.	

\S 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

4. On informati	ion ar	nd belie	f, on or abou	ıt				, 20_			_, an	d by
endorsement	of	that	date, the	resid	lence	or	post-o	office	addre	SS	of	said
			contained in	the sch	edule	of wa	ırranties	formir	ng a par	t of sa	aid po	olicy,
was changed	l to	No.							_ stre	et,	City	of
			. A copy of s	aid endo	orseme	ent is	annexe	d here	to and n	narke	d "Ex	hibit
B" and is hereb	y mad	de a pa	rt of this com	nplaint.								
5. The plaintiff	was	on or a	bout				_, 20		, r	marrie	ed to	said
			, and was	at all	times	there	eafter d	own 1	to the	death	of	said
			his wife, a	nd by e	ndorse	emen	t dated					,
20	, w	as, fro	m said				, 20)		_, an	ıd is,	the
person named	in sa	aid pol	icy as bene	ficiary tl	hereur	nder a	and the	perso	on to w	hom	any	sum
payable for los	ss of	life is	payable und	der the	terms	there	of. A c	opv of	said e	ndors	emer	nt is
annexed hereto												
armoxed herete	ana	marko	LAMBICO		ысыу	maac	a part) u 113	oompian			
6. On informat	ion a	nd belie	ef, on				, 20		,	and v	vhile	said
policy of insura	nce w	as in fu	ıll force and	effect, s	aid				SI	ustain	ed bo	odily
injuries by an a	ccide	ntal fall	into				_ street	from t	ne wind	ow of	his o	ffice
in the			Βι	uilding,	No			_ s	treet, ir	n the	City	/ of
			, which resu	ılted dire	ectly, i	ndepe	endently	and o	exclusiv	ely of	all c	other
causes, in the	e dea	ath of	said				on					,
20												
7. On informa	ation	and be	lief, thereaf	ter and	as so	on a	as reaso	onably	possibl	e and	d on	the
	day	of		, 20_			, wri	tten n	otice of	said	accio	lent,
containing fu	ll pa	articula	rs thereof,	and	the	full	name	and	addre	ess	of	said
			, was giv	en to	the	defe	endant	at i	ts hon	ne d	office	in
			; thereafte	r and	on	or	about					,
20	, ar	nd withi	n two month	s from th	ne dea	th of	said					and
more than				month	s befo	ore t	he com	menc	ement (of thi	s ac	tion

affirmative proofs in writing of said accident and of the death of said
were duly filed with the defendant, and the defendant received and retained said written notice
and affirmative proofs in writing without objection and as and for the notice and affirmative
proofs required by said policy, and the defendant has required no further or other notice or
proofs.
8. More than months have elapsed, and had elapsed before this
action was commenced, since the date of filing final proofs at defendant's home office and
months have not elapsed, and had not elapsed when this action
was commenced, from the date specified in said policy for final proofs.
9. The said in his lifetime, and plaintiff since his death, have each
duly performed all the conditions of said policy of insurance on their part.
10. Due and sufficient demand has been made upon the defendant for payment under said
policy; no part of the amount due thereunder has been paid, and there is now due and owing to
the plaintiff from the defendant thereunder the sum of dollars, together with
interest thereon from, 20
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 234
Complaint in Action on Accident Policy Where Accident Resulted in Death of Insured;
Another Form
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. [Allege corporate existence of defendant and authority to engage in insurance business.]
2. On or about the, day of, 20, the
defendant, for a good and valuable consideration, executed and delivered to
who was the husband of plaintiff, a policy of insurance wherein and

§ 3011. Kinds of pleadings.

whereby the said defendant did insure the said		for the term of o	ne
year from twelve o'clock noo	n standard time, on the	day of	,
20, and did t	hereby and therein promise a	and agree that in the event of boo	ylik
injuries effected directly and	d independently of all other c	auses, through external, violent a	nd
accidental means resulting in	n the death of said	, to pay the princip	pal
sum of	dollars to the beneficia	ary named therein, to wit:	to
,	the plaintiff therein.		
3. It was further provided	in said policy of insurance t	that for each consecutive full yea	ır's
renewal of said policy, if th	e premium should be paid a	nnually in advance, there should	be
added to the principal sum th	ne sum of ten per centum of sa	aid principal sum until there were fi	ive
full annual accumulations ad	ded to said policy.		
4. Said policy of accident ins	surance was duly renewed by	the payment of premiums annually,	, in
advance, for four consecutive	ve years, to wit:	, whereby the sum	of
	dollars for each of said years w	vas added to the principal sum of sa	aid
policy, making the total prin	ncipal of said policy the sum	of dollars, and	by
reason of said renewals the	said policy was and remained	d in full force and effect at the date	of
the death of	, as hereinafter me	entioned.	
5. On or about the	day of	, 20, the sa	aid
1	received bodily injuries effected	d solely, directly and independently	of
all other causes, through ext	ernal, violent and accidental n	neans which said injuries directly a	nd
independent of all other caus	ses, resulted in the death of sa	aid with	nin
	days of the date of the said a	ccident, to wit, on the	
day of, 2	20		
6. The plaintiff, the benefic	iary named in said policy, on	or about the day	of
, 20	, gave due notice ir	n writing to the defendant at its hor	ne
office in	of the said accident	t, and on the day	of
20	gave like notice to	the defendant of the death of the sa	aid

	and within	months of the	date of the
death of the said	, and on	or about the	day of
, 20	, the plaintiff duly	sent to the defendant write	ten proof of
the said accident and death	on blanks furnished by the	e defendant, and the plaint	iff has duly
performed all the conditions	of said policy on her part to b	e performed.	
7. More than	months have	ve elapsed since the rece	eipt by the
defendant of the said proofs	s of said accident and death	of said	at
the home office of the defend	dant.		
8. Demand has been duly	made for the payment of the	said sum of	dollars
which became due to th	e plaintiff on the	day of	,
20, and no pa	art thereof has been paid.		
[Demand for judgment, endo	orsement, address, telephone	e number, and verification.]	
Form 235			
Particular Allegations as to	Terms of Policy of Accide	ent Insurance Where Not S	et Out in
Haec Verba	•		
On or about		, the defendant ente	ered into a
written agreement or contract	ct to insure	, the husband of	plaintiff, for
the benefit of plaintiff, and di	d on said	, 20	_, issue and
deliver to the said	, its	policy of insurance conta	aining such
agreement, being No	,	dated on said date, w	hereby, in
consideration of the policy f	ee or premium of	dollars, then duly	paid by the
said	to the defendant, agree	ed to and did insure the pe	erson of the
said	against bodily injuries s	ustained by him during the	term of one
year from noon, standard tim	ne, of the date of said policy	through accidental means a	nd resulting
directly, independently and	exclusively of all other caus	es, among other things, in	death, and
against blood poisoning re-	sulting directly from any bo	odily injury which was prov	vided to be

Form 236
policy to the said
Said agreement was entered into and put in binding force by the issuance and delivery of said
week.
the date of the accident and the date of death an additional sum of dollars a
the defendant would pay the beneficiary dollars and for the period between
insured within ninety days from the date of the accident, irrespective of disability, suffered death,
included in the term "bodily injury"; it being provided in said policy and agreement that if the

Particular Allegations as to the Accident

On or about	, 20	, while said in	surance policy was in
full force and effect, plaintiff received	d serious bodily	injuries caused sole	ely and exclusively by
external, violent and accidental me	eans which did,	independently of a	all other causes and
immediately following the receipt th	ereof, wholly an	d continuously disa	able and prevent the
assured from performing any and	all duties pertai	ning to any busine	ess for the period of
consecut	tive months; which	ch said injuries did a	also immediately after
the expiration of said term of total d	isability immedia	tely, wholly and cor	tinuously disable and
prevent plaintiff from performing one	or more importar	nt daily duties pertai	ning to his occupation
as a fo	r the period of		consecutive
months; said injuries were cau	sed and occa	sioned on	
20, by plaintiff being	struck a violent	blow by a lever wh	nich was caused and
occasioned by the breaking of a chair	n attached to said	l lever, whereby the	bones in plaintiff's leg
were broken and he was badly bruise	d.		

Form 237

Complaint in Action on Fire Insurance Policy

[Allege corporate existe	nce of defendant and autho	rization to engage i	in insurance business.]
2. At all the times hereina	ifter mentioned the plaintiff	was and now is in	possession of and the
owner of and seized in fe	e, of certain real estate in	the town of	
	_ County, New York, knowr	n as the	Farm
and all the buildings and in	nprovements hereinafter me	entioned.	
3. On or about the	day of	, 20	, the defendant
herein duly issued and deli	vered to plaintiff at		, its policy of insurance
No, being	a standard form of fire ins	surance policy, wh	erein and whereby the
defendant, in consideration	of the sum of	dollars, prem	ium paid by the plaintiff
to the defendant, did insu	ure said plaintiff against lo	ss or damage by	fire in the amount not
exceeding	dollars for the term	n of	years, from the
day of	, 20	, as follows:	
The sum of	dollars on the two	o-story shingle roo	f, frame building with
additions, foundations and	all permanent fixtures while	occupied as a priv	ate residence.
The sum of	dollars on Barn, No. 1,	on diagram in said	policy, including sheds
and additions attached.			
The sum of	dollars on farm produ	uce and feed while	therein and in stacks
within one hundred feet of	said barn.		
The sum of	dollars on farming t	tools and utensils,	including mower and
reaper.			
The sum of	dollars on automob	iles, trucks, tracto	rs, wagons, carriages,
sleighs, and harnesses.			
The sum of	dollars on pine, shingles	s and lumber in sai	d barn.

4. Defendant in and by said policy of insurance	e did promise and agree to make good unto the
plaintiff for such loss and damage, not exceed	ling in amount the sum insured as aforesaid, as
should happen by fire as therein ar	nd herein specified, during the term of
years from the	day of,
20, noon, to the	_ day of, 20,
noon, such loss to be paid within sixty days after	er notice and proof of loss should be furnished to
the defendant.	
5. On or about the day of _	, 20, and while
said policy of insurance was in full force and eff	fect, barn No. 1 and the farm produce, farm tools,
automobiles, trucks, tractors, wagons, carriage	s, sleighs, harnesses, and the pine, shingles and
lumber in said barn were totally destroyed by	said fire. Said fire did not occur by any of the
causes excepted by said policy.	
6. The true and actual value of barn No. 1 so	insured at the time of the fire aforesaid, was at
least the sum of dollars; the	true and actual cash value of the contents of said
barn so insured at the time of the destruction t	hereof was at least the sum of
dollars; the total loss sustained by the plaintiff	by reason of such destruction as aforesaid was
more than the sum of	dollars, the amount of said policy in force at
the time of such destruction.	
7. At the time said policy of insurance was issu	ued as aforesaid and up to and including the time
of such loss this plaintiff was the true and law	ful owner of the personal property covered and
insured in and by said policy of insurance, and	I no other person or party had any interest in the
said premises and property or any part thereof.	
8. There was no other insurance upon said pr	operty or any portion thereof at the time of such
destruction.	
9. Heretofore and on or about the	day of,
20, due notice was given to the	e defendant of said fire and said loss, and on or

about the	day of	, 20	, the plaint	iff delivered	to
the defendant due	e notice and proof of said los	s as aforesaid, in	accordance with	the terms ar	nd
conditions of said	policy and the plaintiff has f	ully and duly peri	formed all the con	ditions of sa	iid
policy on his part	to be performed.				
10 More than six	xty days have elapsed since	he delivery to the	a defendant of said	d proof of lo	cc
		•		•	
	been made upon the defend	dani ioi ine sum	OI	0011815 1	OI
such loss as afore	esaid.				
11. This action v	was commenced within		months fro	m the time	of
said fire.					
12 Defendant ha	as paid to plaintiff no part of sa	aid sum of		dollare	
12. Delendant na	is paid to plaintill no part of sa	iid Suiii Oi		uoliais.	
[Demand for judg	gment, endorsement, address	telephone numb	er, and verification	ı.]	
Form 238					
1 01111 230					
Complaint in Act	tion on Fire Insurance Polic	y Including Mort	gagee Clause		
[Caption and intro	oductory paragraph]				
•	ion and belief, at all times he				
domestic insurand	ce corporation duly organized	d and existing un	der and by virtue	of the laws	of
the State of New `	York and duly authorized to ca	arry on the busine	ess of fire insuranc	e.	
2. In and by a ce	rtain policy of insurance numl	pered	ir	n the standa	rd
	te of New York, duly exec				
countersigned by	the agent of the defendant	at	, Nev	w York on th	ne
	ay of, 20_				
	consideration of the sum of \$				
	the plaintiff duly insure				
. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Savings Assoc		nd
	•		-		

	_, the mortgagees a	s their interest	ts may appe	ar, against all direct
loss or damage by fire to	an amount not exce	eeding		on the [here
describe insured propert	y] being situate or	n a private ro	oad on the	south side of the
	_ highway in the ${f V}$	/illage of		, Town of
	_ and County of		, Nev	v York.
3. In and by the terms of s	aid policy of insurance	ce defendant pr	omised and a	agreed to make good
to plaintiff and the aforeme	ntioned mortgagees	all such loss ar	nd damage no	ot exceeding the sum
of \$ as s	should be caused by	fire to the said	d real propert	ty during the term of
three years from the	day of		, 20	, to the
day of	, 20_	·		
4. At the time of the issua	nce of said policy of	insurance and	down to and	including the date of
the fire hereinafter mention	ned, plaintiff was the	absolute owner	of the prope	rty referred to in said
policy and destroyed	as hereinafter	mentioned,	save for	a mortgage to
	_ Building and	Loan Associ	ation with	balance due of
\$ and a s	second mortgage due	e to		with a balance of
\$				
5. On or about the	day of		, 20	, while said
policy of insurance was	in full force and ef	fect, the prope	erty covered	by said policy and
described therein was des	troyed or damaged b	y fire occurring	from a caus	e insured against by
said policy.				
6. The true and actual c	ash value of the bu	ildings destroy	ed in said p	olicy just prior to its
destruction or damage by	said fire was at least	the sum of \$		and the plaintiff's
direct loss and damage by	reason of the destru	iction or damag	ge of said pro	perty by the fire was
at least the sum of \$	·			
7. Immediately upon the	occurrence of said	fire the plaintif	f duly gave i	notice of loss to the

defendant and the plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions provided by said policy to be

performed on his part save	for such conditions	for the performance	ce of which	it wa	s nec	essary	for	
defendant and its authorized agents and adjusters to co-operate; and such further conditions								
were in fact duly waived b	y the defendant in th	nat defendant, its a	authorized a	agent	s and	adjust	ers	
failed to co-operate, partic	ularly in failing to na	me an authorized	appraiser to	o adju	ust the	e loss v	vith	
plaintiff's appraiser and fu	irther in prolonging	unduly and unreas	sonably the	exa	minat	ion of	the	
plaintiff under oath in rega	rd to the loss.							
8. More than	day	s have elapsed be	fore the co	mme	ncem	ent of	this	
action since the filing by p	laintiff of formal proc	of loss and bread	ch by defer	ndant	of the	condi	tion	
aforesaid, and this action	was commenced w	ithin		m	nonths	from	the	
time of said fire.								
9. Defendant has paid to	o plaintiff no part of	the said sum of 9	\$		wit	h inter	rest	
thereon from the					**.		001	
	day or			·				
[Demand for judgment, er	ndorsement, address	s, telephone numbe	er, and veri	ficatio	on.]			
Form 239								
Complaint in Action on F	ire Insurance Polic	y; Another Form						
[Caption and introductory	paragraph]							
[Allege corporate exist	tence of defendant)	and authority to	engage in	ingur	ance	husine	200	
[Caption and introductory]	-	and authority to	crigage iii	iriouri	arioc	Dusine	,00.	
[Oaption and introductory]	Jaragraprij							
2. On or about _		, 20	,	at	the	City	of	
	_, in consideration of	of the payment by	the plaintif	f to th	ne def	endan	t of	
the premium of	dollars, the	e defendant by its	agent duly	/ auth	norize	d there	∋to,	
made its policy of insurar	ice in writing, a cop	y of which is here	eunto anne	xed a	as a p	art of	the	
complaint and marked "Ex	chibit A," and thereb	y insured the plai	ntiff agains	t loss	or da	amage	by	
fire to the amount of	d	ollars, upon the	following	desc	ribed	prope	rty,	

3. At the time of making said insurance and	I from then	until the fire hereina	fter mentioned, the
plaintiff was the owner of said property, and	l its true a	nd actual cash value	was not less than
dollars at the time	ne the said	I property was destro	yed as hereinafter
specified.			
4. On or about	_, 20	, at	p.m., said
property was totally destroyed by fire which	did not ha	ppen by any of the o	auses excepted in
said policy.			
5. The plaintiff duly performed all the cond	litions of sa	aid policy of insurance	ce on his part and
within sixty days after the fire, to wit, on or ab	out		20,
at, gave defend	dant due i	notice and proof of	the fire and loss
aforesaid and duly demanded payment of th	e said sum	of	dollars, and more
than sixty days have elapsed since such	due notice	e and proof of loss	were received by
defendant.			
6. No part of said sum has been paid and the	ne said sur	m is now due thereon	from defendant to
plaintiff.			
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, addres	ss, telephor	ne number, and verific	cation.]
Form 240			
Complaint in Action on Fire Insurance Bin	der Where	Renewal Policy not	yet Issued at
Time of Loss			
[Caption and introductory paragraph]			
1. That the plaintiff is a corporation du	uly organiz	zed under the laws	s of the state of
and doing busine	ess in the o	city of	, state
of			

2. That the defendant is a domestic corporation, organized under the laws of the state of
, and was at all the times hereinafter mentioned and still is engaged
in the business of fire insurance within the states of,
and elsewhere, and has a place of doing business in the city of
, county of and state of New York.
3. That at the times hereinafter mentioned, down to the time of their destruction by fire, as
hereinafter set forth, the plaintiff was the owner of certain fixtures and other personal property in
a building then occupied by the plaintiff and known as
4. That in and by its certain policy No, duly executed on or about the
day of, 20, and delivered to plaintiff, the
defendant did insure plaintiff against loss or damage by fire to the amount of
dollars on the following property:
"On store and office furniture, fixtures and furnishings, counters, shelving, showcases, tables,
mirrors, partitions, cash carrier system, and appurtenances, gas and electric light fixtures,
chandeliers, globes, lamps and wiring, sewing machines, cash registers, typewriters, office
supplies, stationery, advertising material and all other furniture, fixtures, furnishings, tools,
implements and appliances, used in the furnishing and equipment of similar establishments,
including awnings and signs, and flags in or on the buildings described herein, all while
contained in the five-story and basement brick, metal-roofed buildings situate and known as
5. That the said defendant, in and by its said policy of insurance, did promise and agree to
make good unto said plaintiff all such loss or damage not exceeding in amount the sum insured
as aforesaid as should happen by fire as therein specified, during the term of one year from the
day of, 20, to the day of
, 20, and to be paid within sixty days after notice and proof
thereof.

6. That on or about the _____ day of _____, 20____, the

defendant by its agents, duly authoriz	ed thereto, exe	ecuted and del	ivered to plaint	iff its cer	tificate
of renewal in all respects agreeing	to renew said	policy of insu	rance for one	year fro	m the
day of	, 20	, t	o the	(day of
, 20	_·				
7. That on or about the	day of		the defendan	t, by its a	gents,
duly authorized thereto, executed a	nd delivered to	o plaintiff its	certificate of re	enewal c	of said
policy, said certificate being	known as			_ of	Policy
8. That said certificate of renewal was agreement to pay defendant the prem 9. That plaintiff duly tendered the ame	nium therefor, v	iz.,	dollars.		n of its
10. That the defendant refused to reconsaid contract of insurance.	ceive the said p	oremium, on th	e ground that i	t was no	t liable
11. That this plaintiff has ever since is said premium but the defendant has h		•		the defe	endant
12. That this plaintiff now brings the paid to the defendant if it will accept the			dollars into	this cour	t to be
13. That said binder was to the effective office furniture, fixtures and furnis			•		
partitions, cash carrier system and a	ippurtenances,	gas and elec	tric light fixture	s, chanc	leliers,
globes, lamps and wiring, sewing	machines, ca	ish registers,	typewriters, o	office su	pplies,
stationery, advertising material and	all other furnito	ure, fixtures, f	urnishings, too	ols, imple	ments
and appliances, used in the furnish	ning and equip	oment of simi	lar establishm	ents, inc	luding
awnings and signs and flags, in or on	buildinas desc	cribed therein.	all while contai	ined in th	e five-

story	and	basement	brick,	metal-roofe	d building	g, situate	e and	know	n as	Nos.
			to			;	for	one	year	from
			in the	amount of _		doll	ars, sub	oject to t	he con	ditions
of polic	ies of	insurance	issued by	defendant,	and to be v	oid upon	delivery	y of the	policy 1	to take
the plac	ce of t	the aforesai	d binder.							
14. Th	at the	conditions	of policie	es issued by	the defend	dant are t	hose pi	rovided	for in v	what is
known	as th	ne Insuranc	e Law a	as provided	for by Cha	apter		of	the La	aws of
			of the	state of Nev	York and	the acts a	mendat	ory there	eof.	
15. Th	at in	and by said	d binder (of insurance	the defend	lant did in	sure pl	aintiff a	gainst l	loss or
damage	e by fi	ire to the an	nount of _		dollars	, on its pro	perty a	s afores	aid.	
16. Th	at the	said defen	ıdant, in a	and by the sa	aid binder o	of insuran	ce did p	oromise	and ag	gree to
make g	jood i	unto said pl	aintiff all	such loss an	d damage	not excee	ding th	e amou	nt of th	ie sum
insured	as	aforesaid a	as should	d happen b	y fire duri	ng the te	erm of	one ye	ear fro	m the
		day of			20	, to	the _			day of
		, 20		, and to	be paid v	vithin sixty	days a	after not	ice and	d proof
thereof.										
17. Th	at on	or about t	the	da	y of		, 2	20		, the
property	y so	insured and	d mentior	ned in said	oinder of in	nsurance	was pa	artially d	estroye	ed and
largely	dama	ged by fire.								
18. Th	at sa	id fire did r	not occur	from any or	ne of the c	auses ex	cepted	in the s	aid bir	nder of
insuran	ce or	in the polici	es issued	l by the defer	idant.					
19. Th	nat th	e said bin	der or c	ontract of in	nsurance v	vas in ful	I force	and e	ffect o	n said
		day of		, 20		, and a	at the ti	me of th	e said f	ire.
20. Th	at the	said fire o	ccurred b	efore any po	licy to take	the place	e of sai	d binder	of ins	urance
had bee	en de	livered to pla	aintiff.							

21. That the true and actual cash value of the said property so insured at the time of the
destruction thereof and damage thereto was at least the sum of dollars and
that the loss sustained by plaintiff from such fire was at least the sum of
dollars and that the loss sustained by plaintiff from such fire was at
least the sum of dollars.
22. That plaintiff had insurance on the property insured under the binder or contract of
insurance herein referred to aggregating the sum of dollars, in addition to the
amount of said binder or contract of insurance issued by defendant upon said property.
23. That plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions of said binder or contract of insurance
aforesaid and of the policies of insurance issued by said on its part
to be performed, and that more than sixty days have elapsed since the delivery by plaintiff to
defendant of due notice and due proof of loss.
24. That the amount due from the defendant to the plaintiff under its said binder or contract of
insurance is at least the sum of dollars.
25. That the defendant has failed to pay said sum of dollars or any part
thereof, although payment thereof has been duly demanded from defendant.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]
Form 241
Complaint in Action by Owner and Mortgagee to Reform Fire Insurance Policy as to the
Name of the Insured and to Recover for Loss
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. On information and belief the defendant is a corporation duly organized and existing under
the laws of the State of, and duly authorized to carry on the
business of fire insurance in the State of New York

2. At all times herein mentioned plaintiff	was and now is the owner
of certain premises known as No	Street in the City
of, State of New	York.
3. At all times herein mentioned the plaint	iff was and now is the
owner and holder of a mortgage upon the sa	aid premises, upon which there is now due the sum
of dollars principal, with	interest thereon from the day of
, 20	
4. On or about	, 20, the defendant, in consideration
of a premium of dollars th	en paid by the plaintiff,
agreed to execute and deliver a policy of fi	re insurance in standard form insuring said plaintiff
against loss by fire to the building and the	premises above mentioned, and with a New York
Standard Mortgagee Clause, under wh	nich loss should be payable to the plaintiff
as his interest m	ight appear.
	efendant executed and delivered to the plaintiff of insurance, a copy of which, marked "Exhibit A," is
hereto annexed and made a part of this com	plaint.
6. In said policy the plaintiff	was named as owner of the insured
premises instead of the plaintiff	, and on information and belief the
plaintiff was so r	named as owner by error of the defendant.
7. Plaintiffs failed to notice that the said plain	ntiff was named in said
policy as owner of the insured premises until	after the fire hereinafter alleged.
8. On, 20	, the said policy had not expired, but was
in full force and effect, and on the said date	the building on the premises above mentioned was
totally destroyed by fire and its actual	cash value was then in excess of the sum of
dollars.	

9. On or about ² , 20	, the plaintiff	gave
the defendant due notice of said los	s by fire and thereafter duly filed w	ith the defendant proof of
such loss, and the plaintiff	has duly perfo	ormed on her part all the
conditions of said agreement desc	ribed in paragraph 4 hereof and a	all conditions of the said
policy to be performed by the insure	d. More than	days have expired
since proof of loss was filed with def	endant as aforesaid.	
10. The defendant has failed and re	efused to perform said agreement r	mentioned in paragraph 4
hereof, in that it has refused to issu	ue to the plaintiffs or either of them	n a policy of insurance in
accordance with said agreement, n	aming the plaintiff	as owner of
the insured premises, and defendar	nt has further failed and refused to	perform the said policy of
insurance heretofore issued by it,	in that it has failed and refused	to pay the amount due
thereunder to the plaintiffs or either	of them.	
Wherefore the plaintiffs demand jud	Igment:	
a. That the said policy of insuranc	e described in paragraph 5 hereof	be reformed so that the
name of the plaintiff	be substituted there	ein as the owner of the
insured premises and that a New Y	ork Standard Mortgagee Clause b	e included therein under
which loss shall be payable to th	e plaintiff	as his interest may
appear.		
b. That the plaintiffs have judgme	ent against the defendant in the s	sum of
dollars with interest thereon from _	, 20	, together with
the costs and disbursements of this	action.	
c. For such other and further relief a	as may be just and equitable.	
[Endorsement, address, telephone	number, and verification.]	

Form 242

Complaint in Action on Fire Insurance Policy by Assignee of Mortgagee

1. That at the times hereinafter mentioned the	ne defendant was and still is a domestic corporation
organized and existing under the laws of th	e state of New York, and having an office for the
transaction of business in the borough of Mar	nhattan, city and county of New York.
2. That on or about the o	day of , 20 the
defendant duly made, issued and delivered	its certain policy of insurance No
wherein and whereby, in consideration of the	e premium duly paid therefor and by it received and
ever since retained, it insured	for the term of three years from the
day of,	20, to the day of
, 20, at no	oon against all direct loss or damage by fire, to the
extent of dollars	on the brick and stone tin-roof and coped building
and addition, situate at No Av	venue A, New York City.
	of said policy, and for some time theretofore of the premises described in said policy and the
assured therein named; that by the mortgage	e clause attached to said policy the loss or damage,
if any, to the premises therein described was	payable to mortgagee,
as interest may appear, and said policy provi	ided that the insurance as to the interest of the said
mortgagee therein shall not be invalidated b	by any act or neglect of the mortgagor or owner of
property described therein, nor by any change	e in the title or ownership of the property.
4. That within the period covered by s	said policy of insurance, and on or about the
day of, a	a loss by fire occurred to the premises described in
said policy, whereby the same was damaged	and that the said fire did not occur from any of the
causes excepted in the said policy of insurance	ce.
5. That the actual cash value of the property	described in said policy and destroyed by fire was
at the time of said fire about the sum of	dollars and that the damage thereto
by said fire was the sum of	dollars; that the total amount of insurance thereon

insuring the interest of said	_ as mortgagee was the sum of
dollars and that there was other insura	nce upon said property aggregating
about dollars and that the de	efendant's proportion of said loss is
about dollars.	
6. That at the time of the issuance of said policy an	d at the time of the fire the said
was the owner of a bond secu	red by a mortgage on said premises
for dollars, which was unpaid, and that	prior to the commencement of this
action said duly assigned to	the plaintiff the aforesaid claim and
cause of action against the defendant under its policy	as aforesaid, by reason of the fire
hereinabove referred to; and that the plaintiff is now the law	vful owner of said claim and cause of
action.	
7. That plaintiff and said owner have duly performed all the or either of their parts to be performed.	conditions of the said policy on their
8. That said and the plainti	iff have made due demand of the
defendant for the payment of the sum of	_ dollars, but that the defendant has
refused to pay the same, and that there is due and owing	by the defendant to the plaintiff the
aforesaid sum, with interest from the day of	·
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone n	number, and verification.]
Form 243	
Allegations in Action by Owner and Mortgagee Where P	Policy Contains Standard
Mortgagee Clause	
[The following allegations should be included in the com	plaint, in addition to the allegations
necessary in an action by the owner alone.]	
At all times herein mentioned the plaintiff	was the holder of a
mortgage on the property described in said policy, secu	uring an indebtedness which at the

present time amounts to _		dolla	ars princ	cipal with	interest there	eon at th	ne rate of
	_% per an	num from t	he		_ day of		,
20, and	which	contains	а	covenant	requiring	the	plaintiff
	_ [owner] t	o keep the	said pr	emises in	sured agains	st dama	ge by fire
for the benefit of the plaint	iff			_ [mortgag	ee]. The said	d policy (contained
an endorsement or rider in	the form	of the New	York sta	andard mo	ortgagee cla	use prov	iding that
the loss, if any, should be	payable t	o the plain	tiff			_ [mortg	agee], as
mortgagee, as his interes	t might ap	pear, and t	he plair	ntiff			_ [owner]
caused said policy to be is	sued in suc	ch form in c	omplian	ce with sa	id covenant	in said m	nortgage.
Form 244							
Particular Allegations Wi	nere Insure	ed Has Oth	er Insu	rance			
Under the terms of said p	oolicy, othe	r insurance	concur	rent there	with was pe	rmissible	e. Plaintiff
had other fire insurance u	pon said p	roperty at t	he time	of said fir	e, and the a	ıggregate	e thereof,
including the insurance by	defendant	, was the s	um of _		dolla	rs; the a	mount or
proportion due and payab	le by defen	dant under	its said	policy of	insurance fo	or and or	n account
of said loss so sustained b	y plaintiffs,	as aforesai	d, is		dollars		
Form 245							
Particular Allegations as	to Waiver	of Condition	on of O	wnership	of Land in	Fee	
Upon information an	d belief	the de	fendant	was	duly infor	med l	oy said
•			d policy		building ins		•
situated on land not owner	· •	· ·	. ,		J		•
condition in the policy with							
therefor with full knowledge				•	•	-	-

Form 246

Particular Allegations as to Excuse of Plaintiff for Failure to Make Proof of Loss

The reason that plaintiff failed to give immediate notice in writing to defendant of said loss, and
failed to render to the defendant within sixty days from the date of said fire proofs of loss, signed
and sworn to by plaintiff, was, that the said acting as the agent and
on behalf of defendant immediately after said fire, refused to deliver to plaintiff said policy of
insurance No and refused to inform the plaintiff the name of the insurance
company in which he had written said insurance policy, or the name and address of the
insurance company for which he was acting when he informed plaintiff that he would insure said
property as hereinbefore alleged. Although plaintiff made diligent effort to do so, he failed to
obtain said information until on or about, 20 By
reason of the said acts and conduct of the defendant and of its agent, the said
, the defendant waived the stipulations contained in said insurance
policy, which provided, that if fire occurred, the insured should give the insurance company
immediate notice in writing of the loss, and that a statement of proofs of loss, signed and sworn
to by the insured should be rendered to or furnished to the insurance company within sixty days
after the fire, and that no action upon said policy of insurance should be sustained unless
commenced within twelve months of the date of the fire.
Form 247
Particular Allegations Where Loss Made Payable to Mortgagee Who Refuses to Join in
Action on Policy
Under and by the terms of the said policy, the loss, if any, was made payable to,
, as mortgagee, as his interest might appear. Before the
commencement of this action the said,, refused to join with the
plaintiff in this action and in consequence thereof the plaintiff has made said,
, a defendant herein.

Complaint for Reformation of and Recovery on Fire Policy; Mistake in Description and Location of Property

1. At all of the times hereinafter mentioned defendant was an	d still
is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State	e of
, with its principal office in, and	duly
authorized to insure against loss by fire in the state of New York.	
2. Plaintiff, at all times hereinafter mentioned, was the unconditional and sole owner i	n fee
simple of the premises located and being at, in the state of	New
York, on which was erected a [composition roof frame] building used as a dwelling and gara	ige.
3. Defendant at all of the times herein mentioned maintained an office for the transaction	of its
business in, and at all of the times herein ment	oned
was its agent in charge thereof and was duly authorize	d by
defendant to contract for and issue its policies of fire insurance.	
4. On, 20, defendant, by said agent, entered in	
	nto a
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, ag	jainst
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, again loss by damage by fire to said building belonging to plaintiff on said premises, said insurance.	jainst ance
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, again loss by damage by fire to said building belonging to plaintiff on said premises, said insurate begin at the expiration of the insurance policy then on said property, to	jainst ance
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, again loss by damage by fire to said building belonging to plaintiff on said premises, said insurate begin at the expiration of the insurance policy then on said property, to, 20	gainst rance wit,
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, again loss by damage by fire to said building belonging to plaintiff on said premises, said insurate begin at the expiration of the insurance policy then on said property, to, 20 5. Defendant, by said agent, prior to, 20, had vi	rance wit, ewed
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, again all loss by damage by fire to said building belonging to plaintiff on said premises, said insurate begin at the expiration of the insurance policy then on said property, to, 20 5. Defendant, by said agent, prior to, 20, had viand inspected the premises and the building thereon and was fully advised as to the risless.	rance wit, ewed
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, again all loss by damage by fire to said building belonging to plaintiff on said premises, said insurate begin at the expiration of the insurance policy then on said property, to, 20 5. Defendant, by said agent, prior to, 20, had viand inspected the premises and the building thereon and was fully advised as to the rish hazard for which plaintiff sought fire insurance.	rance wit, ewed
contract with plaintiff to insure plaintiff in the sum of \$ at current rates, agail loss by damage by fire to said building belonging to plaintiff on said premises, said insurate begin at the expiration of the insurance policy then on said property, to 5. Defendant, by said agent, prior to, 20, had viand inspected the premises and the building thereon and was fully advised as to the rish hazard for which plaintiff sought fire insurance. 6. On, 20, in pursuance of plaintiff's contracted defendant, defendant by and through its agent in, issued its property.	rance wit, ewed and

\$ being in the form as prescribed by statute; said insurance beginning
, 20, and ending,
20; and plaintiff duly paid defendant the premium demanded therefor, to wit, the
sum of \$
7. Plaintiff, depending upon defendant and its agent to issue its policy of fire insurance as
contracted for, received from said company's agent policy No, and paid the
premium therefor, but did not examine or read the same, and filed the policy of insurance with
his papers.
8. On, 20, plaintiff on examination of said fire
insurance policy first discovered that said policy of fire insurance was not as contracted for with
defendant in this, to wit: that the location of said premises were described as
, and the building thereon was described as
["a composition roof frame building and its additions (if any)
connected and in contact therewith, while occupied only for dwelling house purposes,"] when the
policy of fire insurance contracted for was to cover ["The composition roof frame building located
at, used as a garage and dwelling."]. That there is no street or
avenue in so far as plaintiff
is informed and believes, and the description of the property and the location thereof, as
aforesaid, were clerical errors on the part of defendant.
9. Plaintiff immediately after discovering said clerical errors in said policy, informed defendant
thereof and defendant thereupon on, 20 denied all
liability on said policy of insurance because of said clerical errors and because the proper rate
was not charged for the insurance as written. Plaintiff therefore has not demanded that
defendant correct the same, as it would be useless to do so.
10. Plaintiff paid defendant for said policy of insurance the sum demanded therefor, to wit,
\$ Plaintiff is not advised as to whether or not said sum is a fair and adequate
compensation for the risk and hazard contracted by said defendant. If there was a clerical error

in figuring the premium on sai	d policy and said ra	ate and sum is inadequate, plaintiff is i	ready and
willing to pay such additional s	sum for said insuran	nce as may be adequate, fair and reas	onable.
11. On	, 20	, a fire occurred on said	premises,
damaging the building herein	before mentioned in	in the sum of \$; and	d said fire
was not occasioned by any fa	ult of plaintiff.		
12. Plaintiff without delay ga	ve written notice of	said loss, and on	,
20, said defend	dant gave written no	otice to plaintiff that it denied all liabili	ty for said
loss, because the building wa	s not located or occ	cupied for the purposes stated in the p	olicy, and
that the proper rate was not cl	narged for the insura	ance as written.	
13. On	, 20	, plaintiff made out and de	livered to
defendant a full and complete	e statement and pro	oof of loss on a blank furnished by d	lefendant,
signed and sworn to by him	as provided in said	policy and demanded payment there	for in the
sum of \$			
14. On	, 20	, defendant returned said pro-	of of loss
and denied all liability therefo	or, but did not state	e any disagreement as to the amou	nt of loss
claimed to have been suffered	l by plaintiff.		
WHEREFORE plaintiff prays	that said policy of	f insurance be reformed so as to des	scribe the
building on said premises as ₋		located at	 ,
and that the court find and d	ecree that plaintiff	recover his loss from defendant in the	ne sum of
\$ with intere	st, and for such oth	ner and further relief as plaintiff may b	e entitled
in law and equity.			
[Endorsement, address, telep	phone number, and	verification.]	

Form 249

Complaint in Action on Life Insurance Policy by the Beneficiary

1. On information and belief, the defendant is a corporation duly organized and existing und
the laws of the State of and duly authorized to engage in the
business of life insurance in the State of New York.
2. On or about, 20, defendant executed ar
delivered to, plaintiff's husband, its policy of insurance N
, dated that day, whereby in consideration of premiums of
dollars to be paid to the defendant annually, the defendant agreed to pay to the plaintiff the su
of dollars, within days after due proof of death
the said and upon surrender of said policy.
3. Thereafter and on or about the day of
20,died.
4. The said had duly paid all the premiums becoming due upon the
said policy prior to his death, and said policy was in full force and effect at the time of his death
5. Within days after the death of the sa
, and more than days prior to the
commencement of this action, plaintiff duly delivered to the defendant proof of the death of the
said and surrendered the policy to the defendant, which accepted
and retained said policy and proof of death.
6. The plaintiff and the said have duly performed all the condition
of said policy of insurance on their part.
7. No part of the said sum of dollars has been paid to the plaintiff by the
defendant, although payment thereof has been duly demanded.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 250

Complaint in Action on Life Insurance Policy by Administrator of Insured

1. On information and belief, the defendant is a corporation duly organized and existing unde
the laws of the State of, and duly authorized to engage in the
business of life insurance of the State of New York.
2. On or about, 20, the defendant executed and
delivered to its policy of insurance, No, dated that
day, whereby in consideration of premiums of dollars to be paid to
the defendant by the said 7 quarterly, the defendant promised and agreed to pay to the executo
or administrator of the estate of the said, the sum o
dollars immediately upon receipt of due proof of death of the said
and upon surrender of the said policy.
3. The said died intestate, a resident of the county o
20, and on or about the day of
20, the plaintiff was duly appointed administrator of the goods, chattels and
credits of the said, deceased, by order of the surrogate of the said
county of, and plaintiff duly qualified as such administrator and eve
since has been and now is acting as such administrator.
4. The said had duly paid all the premiums becoming due upon the
said policy prior to his death, and said policy was in full force and effect at the time of his death.
5. Within days after the death of the said
, and more than days prior to the
commencement of this action plaintiff duly delivered to the defendant proof of the death of the

said	and surrender	ed the policy to t	he defendan	t, which acce	pted
and retained said policy and proof	of death.				
6. The plaintiff and the said		have du	ıly performed	all the condi	tions
of said policy of insurance on their	part.				
7. No part of the said sum of _		dollars has be	en paid to the	he plaintiff by	/ the
defendant, although payment ther	eof has been o	duly demanded.			
[Demand for judgment, endorsem	nent, address,	telephone numbe	r, and verifica	ation.]	
Form 251					
Complaint by Life Insurance Be	neficiary Aga	inst Estate of Ins	sured, Seekii	ng	
Reimbursement for Indebtednes	ss Collected F	From Proceeds o	f Pledged Po	olicies	
[Caption and introductory paragra	aph]				
1. That heretofore and	on or	about		,	one
died	in the Co	unty of		, Cit	y of
State	of New York,	leaving a Last \	Vill and Test	ament which	was
thereafter and on or about the		, du	ly admitted t	o probate by	/ the
Surrogate of the County of				and Le	etters
Testamentary thereon were on or	about the		, duly i	issued by the	said
Surrogate to the above named do	efendant and t	he said defendar	it thereupon	duly qualified	and
thereafter acted and is still acting	as such Execu	tor.			
2. Upon information and belief, the	nat on or abou	t the		there was	duly
issued by the		Insurance	Company	to the	said
de	ceased, a	certain policy	of life	insurance	No.
ir	n the a	amount of		Do	ollars
the sa	ame being the	face amount of s	aid policy, ar	nd that said p	olicy

of life insurance was in full force and effect at the time of the death of the said[insured].
3. Upon information and belief, that in and by the said policy of life insurance the said Insurance Company agreed, among other things, as follows:
[payment provision of policy].
4. Upon information and holiof, that on or about the said insured
4. Upon information and belief, that on or about the said insured borrowed from the bank a sum of money repayable with interest
thereon in accordance with the terms of a promissory note then executed and delivered by the
said insured to the said bank.
5. Upon information and belief, that at the time of the making of the said loan the said insured
assigned, delivered and transferred and set over to the said bank the said policy of life
insurance as collateral security for the repayment of said indebtedness and any future
indebtedness of the said insured to the said bank.
6. Upon information and belief, that in and by the said assignment it was provided, among other
things, that the assignee was to have the sole right to collect from the insurer the net proceeds
of the policy when it became a claim and to apply the same to the principal of and interest on
liabilities due from said insured. A true copy of said assignment is hereto annexed and made a
part hereof.
7. Upon information and belief, that thereafter changes of beneficiary designation were made at
the request of said insured from time to time and on or about at the
request of said insured the beneficiary under said policy of life insurance was changed in
accordance with an endorsement made thereto so as to designate plaintiff as beneficiary
thereof, subject to the prior assignment to the said bank.
8. Upon information and belief, that no change of beneficiary was thereafter made.

9. Upon information and belief, that at the time of the making of said loan on or about
and also at the time of requesting said several changes of
beneficiary and at all other times theretofore and thereafter during his lifetime, it was the
intention of said insured that the primary obligation to repay the said loan to the said bank
should be borne by his Estate and that the funds of his Estate should be the primary source
from which said debt should be paid and that the beneficiaries of said policy of insurance so
designated by the said insured should receive the full amount of said policy of insurance
undiminished by the amount of said debt owing to the said bank.
10. Upon information and belief, that said promissory note given by the said insured to the said
bank was renewed from time to time and at the date of death of said insured said bank was the
owner and holder of said promissory note and there was due to said bank thereon from said
insured the sum of Dollars with interest thereon at the rate of
percent per annum in accordance with the terms of the last renewal
promissory note dated
11. Upon information and belief, that the aforesaid policy of life insurance was in force and
effect at the date of death of said insured, deceased.
12. Upon information and belief, that on or about upon demand by
the said bank the said insurance company paid to the said bank out of the proceeds of the said
policy of life insurance the total amount of Dollars in full payment of
said promissory note, together with interest to the date of said payment.
13. That after the death of said insured, the plaintiff, duly presented his claim to the said
insurance company for the amount of said insurance and thereafter received from the said
insurance company upon said claim the sum of Dollars representing the
balance of the total face amount of said policy of life insurance after the deduction of the sum of
Dollars paid by the said insurance company to the said bank as
aforesaid.

14. That the plaintiff herein has made due demand upon the	e defendant for the repayment to him
of the said sum of Dollars deducted from	n the proceeds of the aforementioned
policy of life insurance in order to pay to said bank the ame	ount due on said promissory note, all
as aforesaid, but the defendant has failed, neglected and	refused and still fails, neglects and
refuses to pay the same or any part thereof	, claiming that the Estate of
[insured] deceased, is not liable	e therefor.
15. That on or about, plaintiff	duly presented his claim in writing to
the defendant for the said sum of Dollar	s but that the defendant on or about
rejected the same.	
16. That by reason of all of the foregoing there is now due	and awing from the defendant to the
16. That by reason of all of the foregoing there is now due	_
plaintiff upon this cause of action the sum of	
of said total sum of Dollars deducted as	s aforesaid from the proceeds of the
said policy of life insurance and paid as aforesaid by the	said insurance company to the said
bank.	
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone r	number, and verification.]
Form 252	
Complaint in Action to Establish Equitable Interest of O	ne Who Has Paid Premiums at
Request of Beneficiary Who Predeceased Insured	
•	
[Caption and introductory paragraph]	
1. That was, at all the times	hereinafter mentioned, a resident of
the state of New York; that said	_ died on or about the
day of in the state of New York, leaving	ng a last will and testament in and by
which these plaintiffs and one	were constituted and appointed
trustees thereunder of all the trusts in and by said will creat	ed; that said will was duly admitted to
probate as and for the last will and testament of said	by a decree of

the surrogate's court of	County in the state of New York, on or
about the day of	and that letters testamentary thereon were
thereupon duly issued to _	
, the exec	cutors therein named; that said executors thereupon duly
qualified, and thereafter at all the tim	nes herein mentioned acted as such; that thereafter these
plaintiffs and said	duly qualified as such trustees, and thereafter
prior to the death of said	acted as such; that said
died, and	d that since the death of said
these plaintiffs have been acting as s	such surviving trustees under said will; that these plaintiffs
are, and were at all the times hereinal	ter mentioned, residents of the state of New York.
2. Upon information and belief that	the defendant, The Insurance
Company, was at all the times he	reinafter mentioned, and now is, a foreign corporation
organized and existing under and by	virtue of the laws of the state of New Jersey, and carrying
on the business of life insuran	ce, and having its principal place of business at
in the sta	te of New Jersey; that said last-mentioned defendant at all
the times hereinafter mentioned was	and now is duly authorized, pursuant to the laws of the
state of New York, to transact busine	ess within this state; and was and now is engaged in, and
has an office for the transaction of, th	e business of life insurance within this state, and that said
defendant, pursuant to the statute in	such case made and provided, has duly appointed the
superintendent of insurance of this s	tate to be its true and lawful attorney in and for this state
upon whom all legal process in any a	ction or proceeding against it may be served with the same
effect as if it was a domestic corporati	on.
3. Upon information and belie	ef that the defendants,,
·	are residents of the state of California;
	is a resident of the state of lowa; that the
defendant	_, is a resident of the state of Missouri; and that the
defendant	_, is a resident of the state of Colorado.

4. Upon information and belief that heretofore and on or about the day	of
in consideration of the sum of dollars to it paid on the	nat
day by and other good and valuable consideration, the defenda	ant
duly executed and delivered within the state of New York to sa	aid
a certain policy of insurance dated a	nd
numbered wherein and whereby said defendant, in consideration of said sum	of
dollars to it paid by said and in consideration	of
the annual premium of dollars to be paid on the day	of
in every year during the continuance of said policy, did insure the life	of
, of, in the county	of
, state of New York, in the amount of dollars	for
the term of his life; that in and by said policy said defendant did promise and agree to and w	/ith
said well and truly to pay, or cause to be paid, the sum of sa	aid
insurance, to wit: dollars, to the said or h	ner
assigns, within ninety days after due notice and proof of the death of the sa	aid
; and that said policy further provided that in case the sa	aid
[beneficiary] should die before the decease of the said [insured] then the amount of sa	aid
insurance should be payable to his children, or to their guardian if under age, within ninety da	ıys
after due notice and proof of interest, and of the death of the said	,
deducting therefrom all indebtedness of the party to the company; and that said policy furth	ner
provided that in case the said should not pay the said annu	ual
premiums on or before the several days therein mentioned for the payment thereof, then, and	l in
every such case, the said company should not be liable to the payment of the sum insured,	or
any part thereof, and said policy should cease and determine, and that thereupon all previo	us
payments made thereupon, and all profits, should be forfeited to the company.	
5. Upon information and belief that at the time of the execution and delivery of said policy	as
aforesaid, said was the wife of said a	nd
that said were at the time of t	he

delivery of said policy as aforesaid, and at the time of the assignment thereof to
as herein set forth, and for a long time thereafter, residents and
citizens of the state of New York.
6. That plaintiff and said insured have duly performed all the conditions of said policy on their or
either of their parts to be performed; and that said policy was in full force and effect at the time
of the death of said as hereinafter set forth.
7. Upon information and belief that after the delivery of said policy said and said became and where unable to
pay the moneys due upon the annual premium which became due thereon on the
day of, 20, and that thereupon said
requested one to advance to her, and
to pay the amount due upon said premium in order to preserve said policy and keep the same in
force; that said in consideration and upon the faith of the
assignment hereinafter set forth thereupon agreed to and at the request, and for the use and
benefit of said and the children of and
in order to preserve said policy and keep the same in force and effect, did pay the said moneys
due on said premium upon said policy due to wit: the sum of
dollars; that at the same time, and on or about the day of
, 20, in consideration of the said payment of the said
premium, and the payment which he might thereafter make of other premiums thereon, said
, with the full knowledge and consent of her said husband, duly
assigned, transferred and set over unto said, by a written
assignment dated, 20, the said policy of insurance,
and all sum or sums of money, interest, benefit and advantage whatsoever, then due, or
thereafter to arise or to be had or made by virtue thereof, to have and to hold unto the said
until he should receive full payment of the said sum of
dollars and interest from day of and all

sums of money ne might thereafter pay for costs, trouble of expenses in and about the rene	wai
or payment of premiums in said policy, and then said transfer to be null; to which assignm	ent
said defendant,, duly assented.	
8. Upon information and belief that said, s	said
, their children, did not, nor	did
either or any of them thereafter pay any or any part of the premiums which from time to ti	ime
thereafter became due upon said policy, but that they were and continued to be unable a	and
neglected and refused at all times thereafter to pay said premiums or any part thereof as	the
same became due; and said, the executors of the will of s	aid
hereinafter mentioned, and the trustees under the last will a	and
testament of said, deceased, and their survivors, hereina	fter
mentioned, were thereafter obliged to and did pay to said defendant company the amounts of	due
on all premiums which became due upon said policy from time to time as hereinafter set fortl	n in
order to keep the said policy in force, and to protect their interests therein and their rig	jhts
thereunder.	
9. Upon information and belief, that said thereafter continued	l to
and did pay the moneys due upon all the annual premiums upon said policy as the sa	me
became due during his lifetime; that the moneys so paid by him upon said premiums, and	the
times of payment thereof, were as follows, to wit:	
10. That in and by said last will and testament of, deceas	ed,
hereinbefore mentioned, said policy of insurance, the interest of s	
therein, said indebtedness to and	
claims of said arising out of said assignment and the paymen	
said premiums were given and bequeathed to as trustees un	
said will.	
11. That thereafter, and on the day of the said executors	s of
the last will and testament of, deceased, hereinbefore mention	ed,

duly paid to the defendant,, the moneys due upon the annual
premium upon said policy then due, to wit: the sum of dollars.
12. That thereafter, and on or about the day of pursuant to
a decree of the surrogate's court of County, in the state of New
York, duly made and entered on said day pursuant to the provisions of said will, said executors
of the last will and testament of, deceased, duly assigned by an
instrument in writing, said policy of insurance and all the indebtedness of said
to said and
to said executors, and all claims and rights of said
and said executors, and all claims and rights of said
and said executors, arising out of the
payment made as hereinbefore set forth, of said premiums on said policy to
as trustees under said last will and
testament, to which assignment said defendant has duly assented.
12 That thereofter and until the death of said
13. That thereafter, and until the death of said
as herein set forth, said trustees, and these plaintiffs as their
survivors, continued to and did pay the moneys due upon all the annual premiums upon said
policy as the same became due; and that the moneys so paid by said trustees, and by these
plaintiffs upon said premiums, and the times of payment thereof, are as follows, to wit:
14. Upon information and belief that all of the payments so made upon premiums upon said
policy by, by said executors of the last
will and testament of, deceased, and by
said trustees under the last will and testament of
, deceased, and these plaintiffs as their survivors, as hereinbefore
set forth, were so made upon the faith of the assignment of said policy to
and at the request, and for the use and
benefit of said, and the children of

, and with their knowledge, approval and
consent and in order to preserve said policy and keep the same in force and to protect and
preserve the interest and the lien of said
, and his said executors and trustees therein.
15. That before the commencement of this action and on or about the day of
and, as surviving executors of the last
will and testament of, deceased, by a
instrument in writing, duly assigned, transferred and set over unto these plaintiffs, as such
surviving trustees pursuant to said will, and pursuant to the decree of the surrogate's court of
County, all right, title, interest, claim
demand and lien, legal or equitable, into or upon any right of action to recover the proceeds, or
any part of the proceeds of, and any all sum or sums of money, interest, benefit and advantage
whatsoever then due, or thereafter to arise, or to be had or made by virtue of said policy of
insurance, which said executors had by virtue of, or arising out of, said assignment of said polic
to said and the payment of premium
thereon by said, and by the executor
of his said will, whether under said assignment or as assignee, or assignees, or otherwise, and
all rights, claims, and demands of every name and nature which said executors had to the
proceeds of said policy, or any part thereof, and to all sums of money due, or to grow due
thereon; to which assignment said defendant
duly assented.
16. Upon information and belief that said died on or about the
day of, 20; and that said
died thereafter, and on or about the
day of, 20; that the defendants

, are the only children of said
17. Upon information and belief that these plaintiffs and
and their said children either by their own acts, or as herein set forth
by the acts of these plaintiffs and their assignors, have duly performed, satisfied and complied
with all the conditions, stipulations and requirements of said policy to be kept and performed by
them; that due proof of the interest of these plaintiffs in said policy has been duly given to said
defendant,; that due notice and proof of
death of said and of the interest of said
children in said policy was given to said defendant,
, more than ninety days since, to wit: on or about the
day of, 20, and
that in and by the notice and proof of claim filed by said children, said defendants claim the
entire amount payable on said policy, and claim that they are legally entitled thereto as the
children of said
40. Upon information and halief that all said arms of manage as advanced and naid out as
18. Upon information and belief that all said sums of moneys so advanced and paid out as
aforesaid by said ¹ , his executors and trustees, are now due and
owing to these plaintiffs, with interest on each of said sums from the time of payment thereof,
and that no part thereof has been paid; and that said policy was delivered to said
at the time of the assignment thereof to
him, and has since been in the possession of said
, and of said trustees, and these plaintiffs, as such surviving
trustees, continuously within the state of New York, and that said policy is now in the possession
of these plaintiffs within the state.
19. Upon information and belief that these plaintiffs are now the holders and lawful owners of
said policy of insurance as security for the payment of the moneys paid upon the said premiums
thereon as aforesaid, by said, by said

executors, and by said trustees, with interest thereon from the times of payment thereof, and as
hereinbefore set forth, and that these plaintiffs have an equitable lien upon said policy, and all
sums of money due, or to grow due thereon, for the payment of said sums of money paid by
, said executors, and said trustees,
upon said premiums with interest thereon as aforesaid, and are legally and equitably entitled to
said policy, and to all sums of money due, or to grow due thereon, or by virtue thereof.
20. Upon information and belief that after the making and delivery of the assignment of said
policy to as aforesaid and after the year
said
ratified and confirmed said assignment to
, and her said husband
duly consented thereto.
21. Upon information and belief that the amount payable upon said policy from said defendant
is the sum of dollars,
and that no part thereof has been paid.
WHEREFORE, plaintiffs demand judgment ascertaining and determining their interests in said
policy, and their rights thereunder, and establishing that they have an equitable lien upon said
policy and the moneys due or to grow due thereon to the extent of and as security for the
repayment to them of all moneys paid out by, by the said executors
of his said will, and by the said trustees thereunder and by these plaintiffs as such surviving
trustees, upon premiums upon said policy as hereinbefore set forth, together with interest
thereon from the times of payment thereof, and determining that they are legally and equitably
entitled to the said policy of insurance, and to all sum or sums of money payable, or to become
payable thereon, or by virtue thereof, as against said defendants and each and every one of
them; and further demanding judgment against the defendant,
Insurance Company, for the sum of dollars with interest from the

	day c	f	, 20		, together w	ith the c	osts o	f this
action	n; and for such o	ther or further	relief as may to t	he court se	em just and	equitable) .	
[End	orsement, addre	ss, telephone	number, and ver	ification.]				
Form	ı 253							
Parti	cular Allegation	s as to Assig	nment of Policy	to Plaintif	ff			
On	the	day	of		20	,	the	said
		[insure	d] duly assigned	, transferre	ed and set o	ver all hi	s right	, title
and i	nterest in the sai	d policy of ins	surance to plaintif	f, and that	thereafter a	duplicate	of the	said
assig	nment was delive	ered to the de	fendant company	and accep	oted by it.			
Form	n 254							
Parti	cular Allegation	s as to Chan	ge of Beneficiar	y in Life In	surance Po	licy		
On o	or about		, 20		upon the ap	plication	of the	said
		[the ins	sured; in a prope	r case add	: "with the w	ritten cor	nsent d	of the
said		, t	he former benef	iciary unde	er said polic	cy"] said	policy	was
chan	ged to provide th	nat the sum p	ayable thereunde	er upon the	e death of th	e insured	shou	ld be
payal	ble by the defend	lant to the pla	intiff herein.					
Form	າ 255							
Parti	cular Allegation	s as to Waive	er of Proofs of D	eath				
After	the death	of the s	said		, an	d on	or a	about
			, plai				orney,	duly
notifie	ed defendant the	reof and requ	uested the defend	dant to furn	nish to her p	roper blai	nks, sa	that
the	plaintiff might	present to	the defendar	nt proof	of the de	eath of	the	said
			orm desired by o	-				
full a	nd complete info	rmation rega	rding the illness	of the said				and

the cause, circumstances and exact time of his death, but the defendant refused and declined,
and still refuses and declines to provide the plaintiff with any blanks upon which proof of death
may be filled out, and refused and still refuses to tell the plaintiff what further information
regarding the death of the said it desires, if any.
Form 256
Particular Allegations Where Proof of Death Was Delayed Because Plaintiff Had No
Knowledge Thereof
Plaintiff had no knowledge of the death of the said until on or about
the day of, 20, and thereafter plaintiff made
and delivered to the defendant proof of his death on or about the day of
, 20
Form 257
Complaint in Action by Beneficiary on Benefit Certificate in Fraternal Order
[Caption and introductory paragraph]
1. [Allege corporate existence of defendant.]
2. Prior to the day of, 20, one A was duly
elected and admitted a member of, located in
,, and thereafter the defendant made,
executed and delivered to the said A a certificate in writing, a copy of which is annexed hereto
and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit A," and upon the delivery of the said certificate to
the said A the same was immediately accepted in writing by said A.
3. The said A during his lifetime fully complied with and duly performed all the provisions and
conditions in said certificate on his part to be performed.

4. On or about the	day of	, 20	, said A died,
and, at the time of his death,	was in good standing in	the defendant.	
5. Thereafter the plaintiff du	lly performed all the con	ditions of said certifica	ite on her part to be
performed, and duly gave t	o the defendant due no	tice and proof of the	death of said A as
aforesaid.			
6. The	fund of the o	defendant, mentioned	in said certificate,
awarded to the plaintiff, th	e sum of	dollars	, after making and
collection of the assessmen	to be made by defenda	nt as provided in said	certificate, or would
have amounted to said sum	f such assessment had b	een made.	
7. Said certificate had not	been surrendered by sa	id A, nor another cer	tificate issued at his
request, in accordance with	he laws of the defendant	order; the said A had	not been suspended
or expelled from said defend	ant order; by reason of tl	ne premises this plainti	ff became entitled to
receive from the defendant of	n the death of the said A	, the sum of	dollars.
8. Payment of said sum has	been duly demanded of	the defendant, but sa	id defendant has not
paid the same.			
[Demand for judgment, endo	orsement, address, telepl	none number, and verit	ication.]
Form 258			
Complaint in Action by Exe	ecutor of Beneficiary of	Benefit Certificate	
[Caption and introductory pa	ıragraph]		
1. [Allege corporate existend	e of defendant.]		
2. On or about	, 20	, the defend	lant, for a good and
valuable consideration, and	I among other things i	n consideration of th	e application made
therefor, and the payment of	a premium made thereo	n, did issue to	,
deceased, then residing in	the City of	, Nev	v York, a policy or

certificate, numbered	, whereby defendant promised to pay out of its
benefit fund a sum of money not exceeding	dollars upon the death of said
, to the beneficiary	named in said certificate or policy of insurance. It
was also promised and agreed by defenda	ant, in its said policy, certificate or contract of
insurance, that in the event of the death of th	ne beneficiary named therein prior to the death of
said, hereinafter c	called the assured, the benefits provided for in said
policy or contract of insurance, would, upon the	he death of assured, become payable to the legal
representatives of the deceased beneficiary.	
3. The beneficiary named in said policy of life	e insurance was, then
residing in the City of	, New York.
County, New York, on	, 20 The beneficiary,
, died prior to	the death of assured, to wit, on or about
, 20	<u>.</u> .
5. The said, the d	deceased beneficiary, left a last will and testament,
in which plaintiff was named as executor.	Thereafter and on,
20, said last will and testamen	t was duly admitted to probate by the surrogate's
court of the County of	, New York, and letters testamentary were
duly issued thereon to plaintiff, who at the time	e of the commencement of this action was, and is
now, the sole executor of said last will and test	tament.
6. The assured, said beneficiary and plaintiff,	have each and all of them, fully and duly complied
with and performed all the terms and conditio	ons of said policy, which either or all of them have
been required to perform, and have made all p	payments which the defendant lawfully required to
be made under said policy or contract as enter	red into between assured and defendant.
7. On or about,	, 20, plaintiff gave defendant due
notice and proof of the death of assured, and	I made claim and due demand for the payment of

said sum of	dollars, with interest, and	plaintiff has duly performed all the
conditions of said policy or con	tract of insurance with regar	rd to making proof of loss and claim
and demand.		
8. No part of the sum so dem	nanded and assured has be	een paid, and there is now due and
owing to the plaintiff from the	defendant, the sum of	dollars, with
interest from	, 20	
[Demand for judgment, endorse	ement, address, telephone no	umber, and verification.]

Form 259

Particular Allegations as to Waiver of Payment of Assessment on Precise Due Date

In and by said	d contrac	t or be	nefit ce	rtificate i	t was	unde	erstoo	d and	agre	ed b	by and	betv	veer	1 the
said			[de	ceased]	and t	the	defen	dant	that	the	failure	of	the	said
		to	pay ar	ny one of	the a	sses	smen	ts, pro	ovide	d fo	r by the	cor	nstitu	ution
and by-laws o	f the def	endant	, within	the time	preso	cribe	d by	a noti	ce re	que	sting su	ıch	payr	nent
should be pre	esumptive	evide	ence or	nly of his	s inter	ntion	to d	eterm	ine h	is c	connect	ion	with	the
defendant and	l his right	ts and	interes	ts under	such (cont	ract o	r ben	efit ce	ertifi	cate, a	nd t	hat s	such
presumption of	could be	rebutt	ed by p	proof of	the ac	ctual	facts	exis	ting,	and	upon	prod	of to	the
defendant of w	hat the r	eal inte	ention o	f the said	t					w	as, and	by	givir	ng to
the defendant	or its of	fficers	valid re	easons f	or any	suc	ch fai	lure c	n his	pa	rt to pa	ау г	iny s	such
assessment w	ithin the	time lir	mited by	any suo	ch noti	ce, v	which	reaso	ns w	hen	so pro	duce	ed to	the
defendant, or i	its officer	s, they	were, l	by the te	rms of	said	d cont	ract o	r ben	efit	certifica	ite, l	bour	nd to
receive and	accept	as a	total	contrac	liction	of	the	pres	umpti	ive	intentio	on	of	said
		to	termir	ate his	connec	ction	with	the d	efend	dant	during	his	lifet	ime;
and it was by	said cont	ract or	benefit	certifica	te furtl	her ı	under	stood	and a	agre	ed by a	and	betv	veen
defendant and	d the sai	d				_ th	at in	case	anyt	hing	should	d ha	appe	n to
prevent the pa	lyment of	any a	ssessm	ent unde	er said	con	tract	or ber	nefit c	ertif	icate o	า th	e da	y on
which same w	as reque	ested c	or called	I for by a	any nc	tice	from	the d	efenc	lant	as afo	resa	aid, t	hen.

and in that event, the said contract or benefit certificate, should not become void, but should continue in full force and effect for a reasonable time thereafter, in order that valid reasons for such nonpayment of any assessment might be presented to the defendant or its officers, and in order that such assessment might be paid.

The said	duly	paid all such ass	essments due on accour	nt of or
arising out of	such contract or benefit	certificate down	to	,
20	. Thereafter and on or ab	out	, 20	,
	o said			
which paper conta	ained a so-called notice of	assessment. The s	aid paper was never rece	ived by
the said	, but su	ıch paper was del	ivered at his house on o	r about
	, 20	The so-called n	otice of assessment conta	ained in
the said paper v	was entirely indefinite and	insufficient and	was not addressed to th	he said
	and did not cor	nply with the statu	tes of the State of New	York in
such case made a	and provided, and was of no	o force and effect.		
The said so-calle	ed notice was a mere blank	printed form directi	ng some unnamed persor	n to pay
some unnamed a	amount for some unnamed	purpose on or be	fore	,
20	; for several days prior the	ereto and on the	said	
20	, the said	was	II, and on that day he b	oecame
delirious, uncons	scious, incompetent and to	otally unable to o	onduct any business or	affairs
whatsoever, and	he so continued delirious,	unconscious and i	ncompetent continuously	until on
or about	, 20	, when	he died as aforesaid. Prio	or to the
death of said	he	did not intend and	had no desire of termina	ting his
connections with	the defendant and had n	o intention of losi	ng or resigning said con	tract or
benefit certificate	or any of his rights or inter	ests thereunder, o	the right or interest of ar	ny other
person whomsoe	ver growing thereout, of allo	owing the said con	ract or benefit certificate t	to lapse
or become void, b	out, on the contrary, from th	ne time when said	contract or benefit certifica	ate was
issued to him un	ntil his death he intended	to keep the same	e in force and binding ur	oon the

defendant.	Before		,	20	 ,	the	said
	d	id not pay the a	ssessment re	ferred to by the	so-called	l notice t	to the
defendant not	twithstanding tha	at he fully inten	ded to do so	and had made	a requisi	te and p	roper
arrangement	to that end, but	that upon said		-	, 20		_, he
was by the a	ct of God rende	ered wholly und	conscious an	d incapacitated	from att	ending t	o his
affairs, and h	is sudden illnes:	s prevented him	n from paying	such assessm	ent withir	the tim	ie set
by said so-ca	alled notice, or o	of following out	his said inte	ntion to pay th	e said as	sessme	nt as
aforesaid, or	of giving any ins	tructions to any	one for the pa	ayment of the s	ame, or c	of provid	ing in
any way for	such payment,	and under the	circumstance	es as aforesaid	valid rea	asons ex	kisted
excusing the	said		from any	compliance w	rith the s	aid so-d	called
notice as to	payment of	said assessn	nent on or	before			,
20	, or prior to h	nis death.					
During the	e lifetime c	of said			neither	the	said
	======= เห ess or otherwise						
	of said contract						
	mentioned, calle						
	payment thereof						,ouiu,
Immediately	on becoming av	vare of the tern	ns of said co	ntract or benefi	t certifica	te and o	of the
nonpayment of	of said last men	tioned assessm	ent as above	stated, and wi	thin a rea	sonable	time
after the same	e became payal	ole under the te	rms of said n	otice before me	entioned,	that is to	say,
on or about _		, 20_		_, the said			
[beneficiary]	duly caused the	amount of said	d assessmen	t with interest t	o such la	st ment	ioned
day to be ten	dered to the def	endant, and at	the same tim	e presented to	it and its	officers	valid
reasons for t	he nonpayment	of such asses	ssment by sa	aid		(on or
before		, 20	, or	during his lifetir	me, and t	hereupo	n the
defendant be	came and was	s bound to ac	cept such re	easons for the	nonpayr	ment of	said

assessment as valid, and to receive said assessment and interest under said notice, in
accordance with the terms and provisions of said contract or benefit certificate, but the
defendant and its officers wrongfully and unlawfully refused to receive the amount of said
assessment and reasons; and wrongfully and unlawfully refused to recognize the validity of such
contract or benefit certificate at the time of the death of the said on
, 20, as hereinbefore stated.
Upon being furnished by the said within a reasonable time after the
said, 20, with proof of the facts and circumstances
existing as valid reasons why said did not pay said assessment
before such date or during his lifetime, it was the duty and immediately became obligatory upon
the defendant and its officers to accept the payment of such assessment arrearage so tendered
as aforesaid and to reinstate such contract or benefit certificate in behalf of said
as of, 20, and to declare
and treat such contract or benefit certificate as valid and binding and in full force and effect at
the time of the death of said
Notwithstanding such valid reasons presented as aforesaid by plaintiff to defendant and its
officers, and notwithstanding the proper tender of said assessment with interest thereon to
defendant by plaintiff on or about, 20, as aforesaid,
the defendant, although thereunto duly requested, has refused and still does refuse to reinstate
the said [insured] and said contract or benefit certificate as of
, 20, or otherwise, or to declare and treat said
contract or benefit certificate as valid or binding, but on the contrary wrongfully and unlawfully
refuses to pay the amount due thereon and wrongfully and unlawfully claims that said contract
or benefit certificate lapsed and became void during the lifetime of said
by reason of the fact that the defendant did not receive said
assessment from said on or before said
. 20

By reason of the premises	the plaintiff has beco	ome and now is en	titled to have it adjudged and
decreed that the defendar	it was bound to acce	pt said assessme	nt arrearage and to reinstate
said	and said cor	ntract or benefit	certificate in behalf of said
	_ as of	, 20_	, and that said
contract or benefit certifica	te was valid and bind	ling upon the defe	ndant and in full force, effect
and virtue at the time of the	e death of said		and that there is now due
and owing thereunder to the	ne plaintiff the sum of		dollars, with interest thereon
from	, 20		
Form 260			
Complaint in Action to Se	t Aside Expulsion F	rom Voluntary Be	enefit Association
[Caption and introductory	oaragraph]		
1. Plaintiff now is, and	at all times hereinaf	ter mentioned wa	s, a resident of the City of
	_,	County,	New York, and the cause of
action hereinafter stated ar	ose within the State o	f New York.	
2. The defendant is a duly	organized foreign ins	urance corporatior	, incorporated under the laws
of the State of	, for th	ne purpose of carry	ring on a fraternal and mutual
benefit association, and o	ther purposes. The d	lefendant has a d	uly organized branch of said
association located in the	city of	, Ne	ew York, known as Tent No.
	_•		
3. In or about the month	of	, 20	, the defendant duly
issued to the plaintiff its co	ertificate of insurance	No	for the sum of
dollars	which was duly sigr	ned by the	of the
defendant and duly co	ountersigned by the	e	of Tent No.
, and delive	red to the plaintiff.		

4. Previous to the issuance of said certificate to the plaintiff, he duly became a member of the
defendant and of Tent No, and complied, and ever since has continued to
comply with the rules and regulations of said society, and at all the times herein mentioned
remained a member in good standing and paid all its assessments, dues and charges against
him, which were duly received by the defendant, including those for the month of
, 20
5. Under and pursuant to said certificate and the laws and rules of the defendant at the time of
the issuance thereof to the plaintiff, he became obligated to and did pay to the defendant
dollars per month assessments, and dollars per year dues,
with the right on the part of the defendant to make such additional assessments as might be
required sufficient to pay the death and disability claims as they accrued, upon notice thereof to
the members as provided by the laws of the order.
the members as provided by the laws of the order.
6. Thereafter, the annual dues of Tent No were wrongfully and
unlawfully raised from dollars to dollars per year.
7. At no time since the plaintiff became a member of the defendant has he been charged more
than dollars per month and dollars per year dues,
amounting to dollars per annum; no other assessments of any name or nature
have been made against the plaintiff by the defendant, and no notice of the same has ever been
received by him.
8. Under and pursuant to said certificate the plaintiff became entitled to all rights, benefits and
privileges of membership in said society and that at his death one assessment on the
membership, not exceeding in amount the sum of dollars, was agreed to be
paid to his wife,, as his beneficiary.
9. In or about the month of, 20, the defendant
violated its agreement with the plaintiff, and wrongfully and unlawfully attempted to and did
change the contract existing between them, to take effect

20	, whereby it attempted	d to compel	the plaintiff to	pay a regula	ar monthly
assessment of	do	llars instead	of	dol	lars, and
	dollars per year dues,	making the tot	al yearly expen	ses of said cer	rtificate the
sum of	dollars instead	of	dollars p	per annum, and	d reserving
the right at any ti	me when the amount of	the life benefit	fund was not s	sufficient to pay	/ the death
and total and per	manent disability claims	as they accru	ed, to levy suc	h additional as	sessments
as may be require	ed to meet such obligation	ons.			
10. No notice of	any nature was served	d upon the pla	intiff that such	change would	be made.
Said proposed cl	hange was not publishe	ed in the offici	al organ of the	e defendant un	ıtil after all
delegates to the		Convent	on had been	elected. The in	ncrease in
dues affects onl	y those who are over			years of age	; and the
delegates of sai	d convention were no	t elected in a	accordance wit	th the provision	ons of the
constitution and b	by-laws of the society. The	ne attempted o	change in said	contract of insu	ırance was
made by the defe	endant wrongfully and un	lawfully, and v	ithout any auth	ority to do so;	without the
consent of the p	laintiff; contrary to said	contract of ir	isurance; contr	ary to law, an	d the said
increase of	dollars	per month fo	r assessment	s, and the in	crease of
	dollars per year dues i	s illegal, unla	wful and void.	The same is no	ot the sum
agreed upon bet	ween the plaintiff and c	lefendant as t	he monthly and	d yearly expen	ise of said
insurance, is great	atly in excess of plaintiff	's obligations	under the conti	ract, and plaint	iff refused,
and still refuses to	o pay the same. By reas	son thereof the	plaintiff has be	en unlawfully	suspended
from membership	in said society, and suc	ch certificate of	insurance has	been unlawfull	ly declared
lapsed, forfeited a	and canceled by reason	of his failure to	pay said prete	nded assessm	ent.
11. On or about		, 20	, the pla	aintiff duly tend	ered to the
defendant the su	um of	dollars as d	ues, assessme	nts and charg	es for the
month of	, 20_		, which the def	endant then re	fused, and
still refuses to acc	cept, and it refuses to ac	cept a less sui	n than	dolla	ırs.

12. Said tender has been, and still is kept good, and the plaintiff now offers to pay the same and each and every legal assessment that may be levied against him under and pursuant to said agreement.

Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant that said certificate of insurance be restored and continued in full force; that the suspension of plaintiff be removed; that he be reinstated as a member of the defendant in good standing; that his dues and assessments and the contract with the defendant be continued as orginally agreed upon; that the defendant be enjoined and restrained from changing said contract, or the dues and assessments thereunder, that he be restored to all the rights and privileges and benefits under and pursuant to his contract with defendant and the rules and laws of the society at the time of his initiation and for such other and further relief in the premises as to the court may seem just and equitable, besides the costs of the action.

[Endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

Form 261

Complaint in Action to Recover Under Double Indemnity Provision of Policy

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

1. On information and belief, defendant	t is a corporation duly organized and existing under the
laws of the State of	, and duly authorized to engage in the business
of life insurance in the State of New York	⟨ .
2. On or about	, 20, defendant executed and
delivered to	, plaintiff's husband, its policy of insurance No
, dated that day, where	by in consideration of premiums of
dollars to be paid to the defendant annu	ally, the defendant agreed to pay to the plaintiff the sun
of dollars, within	days after due proof of death o
the said and	d upon surrender of said policy.

3. Said policy of insurance contain	ned the following	g provision: "Upon	receipt at the home off	ice of
the company of due proof of death	of the insured	resulting directly a	nd independently of all	other
causes from bodily injuries effect	ed solely throu	gh external, violen	t and accidental means	s, not
caused or contributed to by bodily	or mental infir	mities, the compar	ny will pay in addition to	o any
other sums due under the polic	y an accident	al death benefit e	equal to the amount of	of life
insurance then payable at death."				
4. On	_, 20	, said	die	ed as
a result, directly and independentl	y of all other ca	auses, of bodily inju	uries, effected solely thi	ough
external, violent and accidental m	eans, to wit, b	y being struck by a	a hanging sign falling fr	om a
building, while said		was walking on	the street in front of	said
building.				
or				
4.0=	20	م ما نام	ali a a	
4. On				
direct result of a violent physica	ıı assault inilic	tea upon nim on		
20				
5 ha	ad duly paid all	the premiums du	e on said policy prior t	o his
death, and said policy was in full fo	orce and effect	at the time of his d	eath.	
6. Within	days after	the death of said		,
and more than	day	s prior to the cor	nmencement of this a	ction,
plaintiff duly delivered to the defe	endant proof of	the death of said		,
and surrendered the policy to def	endant, which	accepted and retai	ned said policy and pro	oof of
death.				
7. Defendant has heretofore	paid plaintiff	the face amour	nt of said policy, to	wit,
\$, but has refus	sed to pay plai	ntiff the sum of \$_	, unde	er the
double indemnity provision of said	policy.			

[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number and verification]

Form 262

Complaint in Action on Burglary Policy

[Caption and introductory paragraph]

[Allege corporate existence of defended]	dant and authorization to en	gage in insurance business.]
2. On or about	, 20	, defendant, for a valuable
consideration, duly executed and delive	ered to the plaintiff a certain	n policy of insurance, bearing
policy number	and duly signed by its	officers and agents thereunto
duly authorized at the City of	·	
3. In and by said agreement or policy of	of insurance, the defendant	agreed to and did insure the
plaintiff for the term of		months, beginning on
	, against loss by	burglary of any personal
property, including jewelry and precious	s stones in the premises of	ccupied by the plaintiff at No.
	Street, City of	, and did
promise and agree to make good and	indemnify the plaintiff aga	inst any loss or damage not
exceeding the sum of	_ dollars, that should or mig	ht happen to or be sustained
by the plaintiff during the aforesaid pe	eriod, through burglary of a	iny of the aforesaid property
occasioned by its felonious abstraction t	from the interior of the said	premises.
4. Thereafter and on or about	, 20	, the defendant, for
a valuable consideration, renewed the	said policy or contract o	f insurance for an additional
months	commencing on	
20		
5. At all the times hereinafter mentione	ed, the plaintiff was the owr	ner of a diamond stone of the
value of dollars; su	ch diamond stone was si	tuated in the interior of the
aforesaid premises, which premises we	re actually occupied by the	plaintiff at such times.

6. While such contract or policy of insurance was in full force and effect and between
, 20, and,
20, both dates inclusive, a burglary was committed in the premises aforesaid
described in said policy, and the aforesaid diamond stone was feloniously abstracted from the
interior of said premises.
7. By reason of the said burglary and felonious abstraction, plaintiff has sustained a direct loss
in the sum of dollars.
8. Plaintiff has duly performed all the conditions, provisions and terms of said agreement or
policy of insurance on its part to be performed.
9. The plaintiff duly notified the defendant of the aforesaid burglary and felonious abstraction of
the said property in the premises of the plaintiff and the loss sustained; the plaintiff has duly
complied with all the provisions of the policy relating to the giving of notice of such burglary, and
has duly given all the other notices required to be given under the terms of such policy or
agreement.
10. More than ninety days have elapsed since the plaintiff furnished to the defendant full and
complete particulars of the loss sustained by him as aforesaid and said loss was sustained
within the last months.
11. At the time of the making of the said agreement or policy of insurance and from then and
until and at the time that the aforesaid burglary and felonious abstraction and loss took place,
there was no other insurance upon the aforesaid property for and on behalf of the plaintiff.
12. The defendant has failed and refused to pay to plaintiff the amount of the aforesaid loss of
dollars, although payment thereof has been duly demanded, prior to the
commencement of this action.
[Demand for judgment, endorsement, address, telephone number, and verification.]

New York Consolidated Laws Service

Copyright © 2025 All rights reserved.

End of Document