NY CLS CPLR R 2223

Current through 2025 released Chapters 1-207

New York

Consolidated Laws Service

Civil Practice Law And Rules (Arts. 1 — 100)

Article 22 Stay, Motions, Orders and Mandates (§§ 2201 — 2223)

R 2223. Duties of officer receiving mandate

An officer to whom a mandate is delivered to be executed shall:

- 1. execute the mandate according to its command;
- **2.** give without compensation to the person delivering the mandate, if requested, a written receipt describing the mandate and specifying the day and hour of receiving it;
- **3.** deliver without compensation to the person served, if requested, a copy of the mandate; and
- **4.** return the mandate together with his return thereon, by delivering or mailing it to the clerk's office.

History

Add, L 1962, ch 308, § 1, eff Sept 1, 1963.

Annotations

Notes

Prior Law:

Earlier statutes and rules: CPA § 102; RCP 74; CCP §§ 100–103; 2 RS 440 §§ 76, 77; 2 RS 449, part 3, ch 7, tit 6, § 75; 2 RS 551, § 3; L. 1850 ch 225 § 3; Gen Rules Pr 27.

Advisory Committee Notes:

This rule is derived from the first four subparagraphs of CPA § 102, inverting the order of the first and second subparagraphs.

The requirement in the second subparagraph of § 102 that the officer "make return thereon of his proceedings" has been transferred to the fourth subparagraph of the proposed rule, with slight change in language.

The penalty provision of the second subparagraph of § 102 has been transferred to the Public Officers Law, since it establishes a cause of action for damages against a public officer.

The mailing requirements in subparagraph 4 of § 102 have been simplified and delivery to the clerk's office is substituted for the cumbersome and ambiguous provision concerning the alternative to mailing.

Subparagraph 5 of § 102 has been omitted. There is no reason for treating mandates in a special proceeding differently from other mandates and prescribing an extra fine of twenty-five dollars. In any event, if the officer's neglect is "wilful," as subparagraph 5 requires, it may subject him to a contempt prosecution under Judiciary Law § 753(A)(1).

A "mandate" is defined by § 28-a of the General Construction Law as any "writ, process or other written direction" lawfully issued or made by a court, judge or judicial officer and requiring a person or body to do or refrain from doing an act.

The "clerk" referred to is the clerk of the court out of which the mandate issued (or of which the judge who made it is a judge). See CPA § 7(1); new CPLR § 105(e).

Notes to Decisions

I.Under CPLR

1.Generally

- **II.Under Former Civil Practice Laws**
- 2.Delivery of mandate to officer
- 3.Care of property levied on
- 4. Extending time for return of execution
- 5.False return
- 6. Failure to file notice of attachment
- 7.Acts of deputy
- 8. Remedy against sheriff

I. Under CPLR

1. Generally

Sheriff would be compelled to comply with entered and docketed order of Supreme Court which directed execution upon and sale of certain real property, since execution complied with CLS CPLR § 5230, and judgment was final and binding on parties, where (1) plaintiff obtained money judgment against her former husband, (2) plaintiff obtained judgment setting aside fraudulent conveyance by her former husband of real estate to his new wife on grounds that conveyance took place during pendency of action for money judgment and that judgment became final and binding, (3) plaintiff filed execution with sheriff pursuant to CLS CPLR §§ 5230(b) and 5236, and (4) sheriff refused to execute on property on basis that he could not sell new wife's interest in property; new wife's interest in property was fully litigated in action on fraudulent conveyance, judgment in that action extinguished her rights in property, and thus sheriff was required, as ministerial act, to carry out execution on property. Liggett v Pichler, 142 A.D.2d 206, 534 N.Y.S.2d 973, 1988 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 12957 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 1988), app. denied, 74 N.Y.2d 606, 543 N.Y.S.2d 399, 541 N.E.2d 428, 1989 N.Y. LEXIS 811 (N.Y. 1989).

Interest due and owing on underlying judgment was to be computed by sheriff upon levying income execution on judgment debtor's employer at statutory rate of six percentum per annum, on declining balance due, calculated from and after each periodic payment when received. Beneficial Discount Co. v Spike, 91 Misc. 2d 733, 398 N.Y.S.2d 651, 1977 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2404 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1977).

Rule compelling an officer to whom a mandate is delivered for execution to execute mandate according to its command covers situation presented when a sheriff seeks to enforce provisions of an income execution. Beneficial Discount Co. v Spike, 91 Misc. 2d 733, 398 N.Y.S.2d 651, 1977 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2404 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1977).

Mandamus was proper remedy to compel sheriff to fulfill his statutory duty to compute and collect interest on judgment recovered and entered by judgment creditor from and after date of issuance of income execution against judgment debtor's employer. Beneficial Discount Co. v Spike, 91 Misc. 2d 733, 398 N.Y.S.2d 651, 1977 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2404 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1977).

Sheriff failed to fulfill his statutory duty when he did not compute and collect interest on judgment recovered and entered by judgment creditor from and after date of issuance of income execution against judgment debtor's employer. Beneficial Discount Co. v Spike, 91 Misc. 2d 733, 398 N.Y.S.2d 651, 1977 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 2404 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1977).

An employer would not be required to bear the loss for a sheriff's failure to compute the amount of interest, fees and expenses due and owing on a judgment, since, pursuant to CPLR § 5231, the sheriff to whom the income execution was delivered for enforcement, and not the employer upon whom it was served, is ultimately responsible for the computation of interest from the date of entry to the date of satisfaction, and since CPLR § 2223, which compels an officer to whom a mandate is delivered for execution to execute such mandate according to its command, applies to the sheriff enforcing the provisions of the income execution. National Surety Corp. v R. H. Macy & Co., 116 Misc. 2d 780, 455 N.Y.S.2d 1007, 1982 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 3957 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1982).

Plaintiff had basis to sue deputy sheriff for damages resulting from deputy sheriff's alleged failure to execute mandate to satisfy prior judgment entered in favor of plaintiff since plaintiff's allegations, if true, showed lack of concern of public officer towards fulfilling his duties on behalf of public, and such dereliction should not be condoned. Ange Wang v Bartel, 163 Misc. 2d 600, 624 N.Y.S.2d 735, 1994 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 665 (N.Y. App. Term 1994).

II. Under Former Civil Practice Laws

2. Delivery of mandate to officer

Delivery of an execution to a deputy sheriff is in contemplation of law a delivery to the sheriff; but leaving it at the place of business of the deputy sheriff is not a delivery to the sheriff. Burrell v Hollands, 29 N.Y.S. 515, 78 Hun 583 (1894).

3. Care of property levied on

If a sheriff does not do his duty after levying upon personal property, under an execution issued to him; if he does not keep the property, and take legal remedies to obtain the same, so that it may be sold to apply on the execution, he is liable to the plaintiff in the execution. Steffin v Steffin.

4. Extending time for return of execution

A sheriff cannot deal with an execution without the direction of the party in whose interest it is issued and where he extends the time to return an execution, he is laible for any damages sustained by the judgment creditor. McGuire v Bausher, 52 A.D. 276, 65 N.Y.S. 382, 1900 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 1580 (N.Y. App. Div. 1900).

5. False return

The return by a sheriff to an execution against the person, of "not found," subjects the bail of the defendant to an action upon his undertaking and is conclusive upon him. If the return be false, the bail has a right of action against the sheriff for damage sustained by reason thereof. Cozine v Walter, 55 N.Y. 304, 55 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 304, 1873 N.Y. LEXIS 167 (N.Y. 1873).

6. Failure to file notice of attachment

Where a sheriff agreed with an attorney that he would file the notice required by CPA § 917 (§§ 6203, 6214, 6215, 6216 herein) and failed or neglected to do so, he was liable for damages sustained by the attaching creditor by reason of such neglect. The filing of such notice was part of the sheriff's official duty. It was no defense to an action for such damages that the deed given by the debtor before the notice was filed was invalid. Lewis v Douglass, 6 N.Y.S. 888, 53 Hun 587, 1889 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 830 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1889).

7. Acts of deputy

A deputy's authority ceases when he receives a written revocation of appointment. Edmunds v Barton, 31 N.Y. 495, 31 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 495, 1865 N.Y. LEXIS 66 (N.Y. 1865).

In sheriff's action against deputy and his sureties for deputy's false return to an execution, plaintiff must prove that the return was made by deputy, unless the fact is admitted in the pleadings. Conner v Keese, 105 N.Y. 643, 11 N.E. 516, 105 N.Y. (N.Y.S.) 643, 7 N.Y. St. 200, 1887 N.Y. LEXIS 800 (N.Y. 1887).

8. Remedy against sheriff

A sheriff who fails to serve an order directing him to arrest and detain a defendant who has defaulted in payment of alimony and counsel fees in a matrimonial action, is punishable for contempt of court, and the fact that the sheriff was awaiting the approval of the papers by his counsel does not purge him of the contempt so long as the papers on their face are not void.

Fiorini v Fiorini, 203 N.Y.S. 785, 122 Misc. 325, 1924 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 755 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1924).

If a sheriff returns an execution satisfied, he may be proceeded against by action or attachment. Hatfield v Hatfield, 15 N.Y. St. 788.

Opinion Notes

Agency Opinions

I. Under CPLR

1. Generally

A sheriff must continue to return unsatisfied executions to the clerk of the court of issuance, even though the clerk is no longer required to file or enter such return. 1972 NY Ops Atty Gen June 13 (informal).

A warrant of eviction which directs a sheriff to give only seventy-two hours' notice to mobile-home tenants is facially invalid and in excess of the court's jurisdiction. A sheriff may ignore the unauthorized time limit in the warrant. If he executes the warrant within the unauthorized time limit, he may be liable to persons who are evicted sooner than permitted by law. 1980 NY Ops Atty Gen Dec. 30 (informal).

Research References & Practice Aids

Cross References:

"Mandate" defined, CLS Gen Const § 28-a.

Jurisprudences:

54 NY Jur 2d Enforcement and Execution of Judgments §§ 71., 145., 147. .

85 NY Jur 2d Police Sheriffs, and Related Officers § 115. .

Treatises

Matthew Bender's New York Civil Practice:

Weinstein, Korn & Miller, New York Civil Practice: CPLR Ch. 2223, Duties of Officer Receiving Mandate.

Matthew Bender's New York CPLR Manual:

CPLR Manual § 15.06. Conversion of motions and applications.

CPLR Manual § 27.12. Execution and levy against personal property other than income.

Annotations:

Civil liability of one making false or fraudulent return of process. 31 ALR3d 1393.

Forms:

Bender's Forms for the Civil Practice Form No. CPLR 2223:1.

LexisNexis Forms FORM 75-CPLR 2223:1.—Receipt for Mandate Delivered to Officer.

1 Medina's Bostwick Practice Manual (Matthew Bender), Forms 11:101 et seq .(stays, motions, orders and mandates).

Hierarchy Notes:

NY CLS CPLR, Art. 22

Forms

Forms

Form 1

Receipt for Mandate Delivered to Sheriff or Other Officer

Received on this _		day	of			20		,
at o'clock ir	the			noc	on of the	at day, a	ın execu	ıtion
against the property of			,	issued	under	a judgm	nent of	the
	Court, County	y of				, in an a	ction ent	itled
	, Plaintiff, agai	nst			,	Defendar	nt, entere	ed in
the office of the clerk of the	County of				on the		da	ay of
	[c	or otherw	ise de	scribed	mandate	e for which	ch receip	ot is
issued].								
	_							
				[Print s	igner's r	name belo	w signat	iure]
			Sher	iff,			Co	unty
Form 2								
Form 2								
Complaint in Action Again	st Sheriff for	Failure t	o Levy	Under	Execution	on		
[Caption and introductory p	aragraph]							
4. The defendant is and at a	- II timo i	li	4:		46	l :: ££ - £ 4		4 4
1. The defendant is and at a			entioned	a nas be	en the s	nemi oi u	ne Coun	ty Oi
	, State of New	YORK.						
2. On or about the	day c	of		, 2	20	,	in an ac	ction
then pending in the	Supreme Co	ourt of	the	State c	of New	York,	County	of
	, between _				, the	plaintiffs	herein,	as
plaintiff and								
judgment against the said								
costs, which was, on the _								
, , , , ,		_ ,						uuiy

3 Thereafter and on or about the	day of
, 20	, an execution in due form of law was
duly issued upon the said judgment to the defendant	herein, against the property of the said
, judgment debtor, and s	said execution was delivered to the
defendant on or about the	day of,
20	
4. On information and belief, the said	, the judgment debtor, at and
after the time of the delivery of the said execution to the	e defendant herein had in his open and
visible possession within the said County of	, certain goods, chattels
and property belonging to himself, which said goods,	chattels and property were liable to be
taken and sold on execution out of which the said def	fendant could and ought to have levied
execution.	
5. The defendant, in disregard of his duty, did not collect	et the goods, chattels and property of the
said, judgment debtor and n	reglected to levy of collect the same.
6. Notwithstanding that more than 60 days and more than	nan sufficient time therefor has elapsed,
the said defendant has not returned said execution as	he was commanded and directed to do
therein and as was his duty to do.	
7. As a result of the premises, the plaintiff su	stained damages in the amount of
	from the day of
, 20, less tl	•
, the judgment debtor as her	
, the juagment dester de nor	omanor stated.
8. On or about the day of	, 20, the said
paid upon or to apply upon	on the aforesaid judgment the sum of
Dollars and the balance or remainded	er of said judgment, to wit, the sum of
Dollars, is still unnaid	

WHEREFORE,	plaintiff	demands	judgme	nt agai	nst the	e defe	endant	for	the	sum	of
	_ Dollars	with i	nterest	thereon	from	the				day	of
	, 20		_, togeth	er with th	ne costs	of this	action				
[Indorsement, ad	dress, tele	ephone nu		d verifica	-						
								Attor	ney fo	or Plaiı	ntiff
							Office	e and	P. O	. Addr	ess
								•	Telep	hone	No.
Form 3											
Complaint in Ac	tion Agaiı	nst Sherif	f for Failu	ure to R	eturn E	xecuti	on Aga	inst l	Prop	erty	
Levied on Unde	r Warrant	of Attach	ment								
[Caption and inti	roductory p	aragraph]									
1. The defendar	nt, at all ti	mes here	inafter me	entioned	has be	een an	d still i	is the	she	riff of	the
County of			_, State of	New Yo	ork.						
2. On or about t	he	c	ay of			_, 20			_, in	an act	tion
wherein the plai	ntiff herein	was pla	ntiff and	one				W	vas d	efenda	ant,
which action was	s then per	nding or a	bout to b	e comm	enced	in the	Suprem	ne Co	ourt, (County	of of
		, a warra	nt of atta	chment	was du	ly gran	ited on	the _			
day of		, 20		by a ju	dge of	the said	d court	whic	h wa	rrant v	vas
directed to the d	efendant h	erein, wh	ereby the	said de	fendant	was co	omman	ded a	and d	irected	ot to
attach and safe	ely keep	so much	n of the	proper	ty with	in his	count	ty wł	nich	the s	aid
		, had or	might hav	e at an	y time	before	final ju	ıdgme	ent in	the s	aid
action as would	satisfy the	plaintiff's	demand o	of		Do	ollars w	ith in	teres	from	the
	day of _		, 2	0		_, toge	ether w	ith t	he c	osts a	and
expenses of the	action. The	e defenda	nt was fur	ther dire	cted by	the sa	id warr	ant of	f attac	chmen	t to

proceed thereon in the manner required by law and to make his return within the time prescribed by law.

3. The said warr	ant of attac	chment was duly	issued and deli	vered to the defend	dant on or about
the	day of		, 20	and, on the	same day, the
defendant duly le	evied on su	ıfficient personal	property of the	said	to
satisfy plaintiff's o	demand ag	ainst the said		·	
4. Thereafter,	and on	or about the		day of	
20	_, the plai	ntiff duly recove	ered a judgmer	nt in the aforesaid	I action against
		, in the Supreme	Court,		_ County for the
sum of		Dollars and the	judgment roll	in said action was	s duly filed and
docketed on the	said	day of		, 20	in the office
of the Clerk of the	e County of	: 			
5. The said warra	ant of attac	hment and the sa	iid judgment hav	ve been in full force	and effect since
the	day of _		, 20	and still are	in full force and
effect.					
6. On the	da	ay of	, 20	, an exe	cution upon said
judgment was du	uly issued	to and received	by the defenda	nt, as sheriff of the	said County of
		, against the p	roperty of the	said	
commanding the	defendant	to satisfy the sai	d judgment out	of the personal prop	perty attached in
said action and if	f that was ir	nsufficient, out of	other personal	property of the judg	ment debtor and
if both were insu	fficient, out	of the real prope	erty attached and	d to return the said	execution to the
Clerk of the Sup	oreme Cou	rt, County of		within 60	days after the
receipt thereof.					

7. Although sufficient time has elapsed therefor the said defendant has not returned the said execution as commanded and directed nor has he returned the said warrant of attachment.

8. 8. By reason	of the neglect of the defendant as aforesaid, the plaintiff	has sustained damage
in the amount of	Dollars with interest thereon from the	day of
	20	
WHEREFORE,	plaintiff demands judgment against the defenda	nt for the sum of
	Dollars with interest from the day o	f,
20	together with the costs of this action.	
įmuorsement, ac	dress, telephone number, and verification.] ———————————————————————————————————	Attorney for Plaintiff fice and P. O. Address
		Telephone No.
New York Consolida	ed Laws Service	
Copyright © 2025 Al	rights reserved.	
End of Document		