## prabhudayalagmail.com\_21

## October 24, 2019

```
[1]: # Importing Libraries
[2]: import pandas as pd
    import numpy as np
[3]: # Activities are the class labels
    # It is a 6 class classification
    ACTIVITIES = {
       O: 'WALKING',
        1: 'WALKING UPSTAIRS',
        2: 'WALKING_DOWNSTAIRS',
        3: 'SITTING',
       4: 'STANDING',
        5: 'LAYING',
    }
    \# Utility function to print the confusion matrix
    def confusion_matrix(Y_true, Y_pred):
        Y_true = pd.Series([ACTIVITIES[y] for y in np.argmax(Y_true, axis=1)])
        Y_pred = pd.Series([ACTIVITIES[y] for y in np.argmax(Y_pred, axis=1)])
        return pd.crosstab(Y_true, Y_pred, rownames=['True'], colnames=['Pred'])
```

## 0.0.1 Data

```
[4]: # Data directory
DATADIR = 'UCI_HAR_Dataset'

[5]: # Raw data signals
# Signals are from Accelerometer and Gyroscope
# The signals are in x,y,z directions
# Sensor signals are filtered to have only body acceleration
# excluding the acceleration due to gravity
# Triaxial acceleration from the accelerometer is total acceleration

SIGNALS = [
    "body_acc_x",
    "body_acc_y",
    "body_acc_y",
    "body_acc_z",
```

```
"body_gyro_x",
        "body_gyro_y",
        "body_gyro_z",
        "total_acc_x",
        "total_acc_y",
        "total_acc_z"
[6]: # Utility function to read the data from csv file
    def _read_csv(filename):
        return pd.read_csv(filename, delim_whitespace=True, header=None)
    # Utility function to load the load
    def load_signals(subset):
        signals_data = []
        for signal in SIGNALS:
            filename = f'UCI_HAR_Dataset/{subset}/Inertial Signals/
     signals_data.append(
                _read_csv(filename).as_matrix()
            )
        # Transpose is used to change the dimensionality of the output,
        # aggregating the signals by combination of sample/timestep.
        # Resultant shape is (7352 train/2947 test samples, 128 timesteps, 91
     \rightarrowsignals)
        return np.transpose(signals_data, (1, 2, 0))
[7]: def load_y(subset):
        11 II II
        The objective that we are trying to predict is a integer, from 1 to 6,
        that represents a human activity. We return a binary representation of
        every sample objective as a 6 bits vector using One Hot Encoding
        (https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/generated/pandas.get_dummies.
     \hookrightarrow html)
        nnn
        filename = f'UCI_HAR_Dataset/{subset}/y_{subset}.txt'
        y = _read_csv(filename)[0]
        return pd.get_dummies(y).as_matrix()
[8]: def load_data():
        Obtain the dataset from multiple files.
        Returns: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test
        X_train, X_test = load_signals('train'), load_signals('test')
```

```
y_train, y_test = load_y('train'), load_y('test')
         return X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test
 [9]: # Importing tensorflow
     np.random.seed(42)
     import tensorflow as tf
     tf.set_random_seed(42)
[10]: # Configuring a session
     session_conf = tf.ConfigProto(
         intra_op_parallelism_threads=1,
         inter_op_parallelism_threads=1
     )
[11]: # Import Keras
     from keras import backend as K
     sess = tf.Session(graph=tf.get_default_graph(), config=session_conf)
     K.set_session(sess)
    Using TensorFlow backend.
[12]: # Importing libraries
     from keras.models import Sequential
     from keras.layers import LSTM
     from keras.layers.core import Dense, Dropout
[13]: # Initializing parameters
     epochs = 30
     batch_size = 16
    n hidden = 32
[14]: # Utility function to count the number of classes
     def _count_classes(y):
         return len(set([tuple(category) for category in y]))
[15]: # Loading the train and test data
     X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = load_data()
    C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow_gpu\lib\site-
    packages\ipykernel_launcher.py:12: FutureWarning: Method .as_matrix will be
    removed in a future version. Use .values instead.
      if sys.path[0] == '':
    C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow_gpu\lib\site-
    packages\ipykernel_launcher.py:11: FutureWarning: Method .as_matrix will be
    removed in a future version. Use .values instead.
      # This is added back by InteractiveShellApp.init_path()
```

```
[17]: # # Initiliazing the sequential model
     # model = Sequential()
     # # Configuring the parameters
     # model.add(LSTM(n_hidden, input_shape=(timesteps, input_dim)))
     # # Adding a dropout layer
     # model.add(Dropout(0.5))
     # # Adding a dense output layer with sigmoid activation
     # model.add(Dense(n_classes, activation='sigmoid'))
     # model.summary()
[18]: # # Compiling the model
     # model.compile(loss='categorical_crossentropy',
                     optimizer='rmsprop',
                     metrics=['accuracy'])
[19]: # # Training the model
     # from datetime import datetime
     # print(datetime.now())
     # model.fit(X_train,
                 Y train,
     #
                 batch_size=batch_size,
     #
                 validation_data=(X_test, Y_test),
                 epochs=epochs)
     # print(datetime.now())
[20]: # # Confusion Matrix
     # print(confusion_matrix(Y_test, model.predict(X_test)))
[21]: # score = model.evaluate(X_test, Y_test)
[22]: # score
```

- With a simple 2 layer architecture we got 90.09% accuracy and a loss of 0.30
- We can further imporve the performace with Hyperparameter tuning

```
[23]: from keras.callbacks import ModelCheckpoint
     from keras.callbacks import Callback
     epochs = 50
     batch_size = 32
     n_hidden = 64
     # Initiliazing the sequential model
     model = Sequential()
     # Configuring the parameters
     model.add(LSTM(100, input_shape=(timesteps, input_dim),return_sequences=True))
     # Adding a dropout layer
     model.add(Dropout(0.7))
     model.add(LSTM(100, input_shape=(timesteps, input_dim)))
     # Adding a dropout layer
     model.add(Dropout(0.7))
     # Adding a dense output layer with sigmoid activation
     model.add(Dense(n_classes, activation='sigmoid'))
     model.summary()
     # Compiling the model
     model.compile(loss='categorical_crossentropy',
                   optimizer='rmsprop',
                   metrics=['accuracy'])
     filepath="weights-improvement-model1.hdf5"
     checkpoint = ModelCheckpoint(filepath, verbose=1,monitor="val_acc", __
      →save_best_only=True, mode='max')
     callbacks_list = [checkpoint]
     # Training the model
     from datetime import datetime
     print(datetime.now())
     model.fit(X_train,
               Y_train,
               batch_size=batch_size,
               validation_data=(X_test, Y_test),
               epochs=epochs, callbacks=callbacks_list)
     print(datetime.now())
     print(confusion_matrix(Y_test, model.predict(X_test)))
     score = model.evaluate(X_test, Y_test)
     print(score)
```

WARNING: Logging before flag parsing goes to stderr. W1023 23:43:42.524905 1276 deprecation\_wrapper.py:119] From

C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\sitepackages\keras\backend\tensorflow\_backend.py:74: The name tf.get\_default\_graph is deprecated. Please use tf.compat.v1.get\_default\_graph instead.

W1023 23:43:42.526900 1276 deprecation\_wrapper.py:119] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-packages\keras\backend\tensorflow\_backend.py:519: The name tf.placeholder is deprecated. Please use tf.compat.v1.placeholder instead.

W1023 23:43:42.529892 1276 deprecation\_wrapper.py:119] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-packages\keras\backend\tensorflow\_backend.py:4140: The name tf.random\_uniform is deprecated. Please use tf.random.uniform instead.

W1023 23:43:42.744337 1276 deprecation\_wrapper.py:119] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-packages\keras\backend\tensorflow\_backend.py:133: The name tf.placeholder\_with\_default is deprecated. Please use tf.compat.v1.placeholder\_with\_default instead.

W1023 23:43:42.753314 1276 deprecation.py:506] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-packages\keras\backend\tensorflow\_backend.py:3447: calling dropout (from tensorflow.python.ops.nn\_ops) with keep\_prob is deprecated and will be removed in a future version.

Instructions for updating:

Please use `rate` instead of `keep\_prob`. Rate should be set to `rate = 1 - keep\_prob`.

W1023 23:43:42.754310 1276 nn\_ops.py:4224] Large dropout rate: 0.7 (>0.5). In TensorFlow 2.x, dropout() uses dropout rate instead of keep\_prob. Please ensure that this is intended.

W1023 23:43:43.053268 1276 nn\_ops.py:4224] Large dropout rate: 0.7 (>0.5). In TensorFlow 2.x, dropout() uses dropout rate instead of keep\_prob. Please ensure that this is intended.

W1023 23:43:43.084184 1276 deprecation\_wrapper.py:119] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-

packages\keras\optimizers.py:790: The name tf.train.Optimizer is deprecated. Please use tf.compat.v1.train.Optimizer instead.

W1023 23:43:43.110115 1276 deprecation\_wrapper.py:119] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-packages\keras\backend\tensorflow\_backend.py:3297: The name tf.log is deprecated. Please use tf.math.log instead.

W1023 23:43:43.239768 1276 deprecation.py:323] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-packages\tensorflow\python\ops\math\_grad.py:1250: add\_dispatch\_support.<locals>.wrapper (from tensorflow.python.ops.array\_ops) is

deprecated and will be removed in a future version.

Instructions for updating:

Use tf.where in 2.0, which has the same broadcast rule as np.where

Layer (type)	Output Shape		Param #		
lstm_1 (LSTM)					
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 128,	100)	0		
lstm_2 (LSTM)	(None, 100)		80400		
<pre>dropout_2 (Dropout)</pre>			0		
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 6)		606		
Total params: 125,006 Trainable params: 125,006 Non-trainable params: 0					
2019-10-23 23:43:43.130061  Train on 7352 samples, validate on 2947 samples  Epoch 1/50  7352/7352 [====================================					
Epoch 00001: val_acc improved from -inf to 0.58907, saving model to weights-improvement-model1.hdf5  Epoch 2/50  7352/7352 [====================================					
Epoch 00002: val_acc did not improve from 0.58907  Epoch 3/50  7352/7352 [====================================					
Epoch 00003: val_acc improved from 0.58907 to 0.68103, saving model to weights-improvement-model1.hdf5  Epoch 4/50 7352/7352 [====================================					
Epoch 00004: val_acc did not improve from 0.68103  Epoch 5/50  7352/7352 [====================================					

```
Epoch 00005: val_acc improved from 0.68103 to 0.69460, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 6/50
acc: 0.6927 - val_loss: 0.7264 - val_acc: 0.6848
Epoch 00006: val_acc did not improve from 0.69460
Epoch 7/50
acc: 0.7078 - val_loss: 0.7728 - val_acc: 0.6552
Epoch 00007: val_acc did not improve from 0.69460
Epoch 8/50
acc: 0.7149 - val_loss: 0.6374 - val_acc: 0.7251
Epoch 00008: val_acc improved from 0.69460 to 0.72514, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 9/50
acc: 0.7912 - val_loss: 0.7598 - val_acc: 0.7387
Epoch 00009: val_acc improved from 0.72514 to 0.73872, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 10/50
acc: 0.8795 - val_loss: 0.6216 - val_acc: 0.8198
Epoch 00010: val_acc improved from 0.73872 to 0.81982, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 11/50
acc: 0.9248 - val_loss: 0.4046 - val_acc: 0.9033
Epoch 00011: val_acc improved from 0.81982 to 0.90329, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 12/50
acc: 0.9392 - val_loss: 0.4191 - val_acc: 0.9080
Epoch 00012: val_acc improved from 0.90329 to 0.90804, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 13/50
7352/7352 [============= ] - 106s 14ms/step - loss: 0.1698 -
acc: 0.9416 - val_loss: 0.4965 - val_acc: 0.8853
```

Epoch 00013: val\_acc did not improve from 0.90804

```
Epoch 14/50
acc: 0.9385 - val_loss: 0.5351 - val_acc: 0.8958
Epoch 00014: val_acc did not improve from 0.90804
Epoch 15/50
acc: 0.9474 - val_loss: 0.4908 - val_acc: 0.8989
Epoch 00015: val_acc did not improve from 0.90804
Epoch 16/50
acc: 0.9474 - val_loss: 0.4761 - val_acc: 0.9131
Epoch 00016: val_acc improved from 0.90804 to 0.91313, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 17/50
acc: 0.9475 - val_loss: 0.3000 - val_acc: 0.9237
Epoch 00017: val_acc improved from 0.91313 to 0.92365, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 18/50
acc: 0.9505 - val_loss: 0.4258 - val_acc: 0.9094
Epoch 00018: val_acc did not improve from 0.92365
Epoch 19/50
acc: 0.9486 - val_loss: 0.3171 - val_acc: 0.9108
Epoch 00019: val_acc did not improve from 0.92365
Epoch 20/50
acc: 0.9478 - val loss: 0.4456 - val acc: 0.8918
Epoch 00020: val_acc did not improve from 0.92365
Epoch 21/50
acc: 0.9483 - val_loss: 0.6249 - val_acc: 0.8894
Epoch 00021: val_acc did not improve from 0.92365
acc: 0.9452 - val_loss: 0.2952 - val_acc: 0.9233
Epoch 00022: val_acc did not improve from 0.92365
Epoch 23/50
```

```
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 107s 15ms/step - loss: 0.1692 -
acc: 0.9455 - val_loss: 0.2908 - val_acc: 0.9277
Epoch 00023: val_acc improved from 0.92365 to 0.92772, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 24/50
acc: 0.9480 - val_loss: 0.5060 - val_acc: 0.9108
Epoch 00024: val_acc did not improve from 0.92772
Epoch 25/50
acc: 0.9434 - val_loss: 0.3388 - val_acc: 0.9138
Epoch 00025: val_acc did not improve from 0.92772
Epoch 26/50
acc: 0.9516 - val_loss: 0.3752 - val_acc: 0.9175
Epoch 00026: val_acc did not improve from 0.92772
Epoch 27/50
acc: 0.9465 - val_loss: 0.4098 - val_acc: 0.9094
Epoch 00027: val_acc did not improve from 0.92772
Epoch 28/50
acc: 0.9510 - val_loss: 0.7434 - val_acc: 0.8955
Epoch 00028: val_acc did not improve from 0.92772
Epoch 29/50
acc: 0.9457 - val_loss: 0.3609 - val_acc: 0.9186
Epoch 00029: val_acc did not improve from 0.92772
Epoch 30/50
acc: 0.9453 - val_loss: 0.2820 - val_acc: 0.9335
Epoch 00030: val_acc improved from 0.92772 to 0.93349, saving model to weights-
improvement-model1.hdf5
Epoch 31/50
0.9506 - val_loss: 0.3978 - val_acc: 0.9175
Epoch 00031: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 32/50
```

```
0.9459 - val_loss: 0.4179 - val_acc: 0.9141
Epoch 00032: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 33/50
0.9501 - val_loss: 0.5865 - val_acc: 0.9101
Epoch 00033: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 34/50
0.9475 - val_loss: 0.7124 - val_acc: 0.9057
Epoch 00034: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 35/50
0.9489 - val_loss: 0.3660 - val_acc: 0.9230
Epoch 00035: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 36/50
0.9520 - val_loss: 0.3120 - val_acc: 0.9172
Epoch 00036: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 37/50
0.9510 - val_loss: 0.4274 - val_acc: 0.9108
Epoch 00037: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 38/50
0.9502 - val_loss: 0.4960 - val_acc: 0.9165
Epoch 00038: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 39/50
0.3693 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00039: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 40/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00040: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 41/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00041: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
```

```
Epoch 42/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00042: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 43/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00043: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 44/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00044: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 45/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00045: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 46/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00046: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 47/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00047: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 48/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00048: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 49/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00049: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
Epoch 50/50
0.1668 - val_loss: 1.7912 - val_acc: 0.1683
Epoch 00050: val_acc did not improve from 0.93349
2019-10-24 01:42:10.829501
Pred
           STANDING WALKING
True
```

```
LAYING
                           0
                                  537
SITTING
                                  491
STANDING
                           0
                                  532
WALKING
                           0
                                  496
WALKING DOWNSTAIRS
                           0
                                  420
WALKING UPSTAIRS
                           1
                                  470
2947/2947 [=======
                              ======== ] - 10s 3ms/step
```

[1.7911514966496784, 0.168306752629793]

```
[25]: from keras.models import load_model
    model1 = load_model('weights-improvement-model1.hdf5')
    print(confusion_matrix(Y_test, model1.predict(X_test)))
    score = model1.evaluate(X_test, Y_test)
    print(score)
```

W1024 09:42:27.783489 2208 nn\_ops.py:4224] Large dropout rate: 0.7 (>0.5). In TensorFlow 2.x, dropout() uses dropout rate instead of keep\_prob. Please ensure that this is intended.

W1024 09:42:27.958022 2208 nn\_ops.py:4224] Large dropout rate: 0.7 (>0.5). In TensorFlow 2.x, dropout() uses dropout rate instead of keep\_prob. Please ensure that this is intended.

W1024 09:42:28.253257 2208 deprecation.py:323] From

C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\site-

packages\tensorflow\python\ops\math\_grad.py:1250:

add\_dispatch\_support.<locals>.wrapper (from tensorflow.python.ops.array\_ops) is
deprecated and will be removed in a future version.

Instructions for updating:

Use tf.where in 2.0, which has the same broadcast rule as np.where

Pred	LAYING	SITTING	STANDING	WALKING	WALKING_DOWNSTAIRS	\
True						
LAYING	537	0	0	0	0	
SITTING	7	415	52	0	0	
STANDING	0	73	456	1	0	
WALKING	0	4	0	472	5	
WALKING_DOWNSTAIRS	0	0	0	1	418	
WALKING_UPSTAIRS	0	0	0	14	4	

Pred	WALKING_UPSTAIRS	
True		
LAYING	0	
SITTING	17	
STANDING	2	
WALKING	15	
WALKING_DOWNSTAIRS	1	
WALKING_UPSTAIRS	453	
2947/2947 [======	]	- 11s 4ms/step
Fa		

[0.28196676261670406, 0.9334916864608076]

```
[24]: from keras.callbacks import ModelCheckpoint
     from keras.callbacks import Callback
     epochs = 300
     batch size = 32
     n_hidden = 128
     from keras.layers import
     →BatchNormalization,Conv1D,Flatten,MaxPooling1D,Input,Embedding
     from keras.models import Model, Sequential
     from keras.optimizers import Adam, Adadelta
     from keras.initializers import glorot_normal
     #Configuring the parameters
     inputIs = Input(shape=(timesteps, input_dim))
     model = Conv1D(128, 7, activation='relu')(inputIs)
     model = MaxPooling1D(3)(model)
     model = Dropout(0.3)(model)
     model = Conv1D(64, 7, activation='relu')(model)
     model = MaxPooling1D(3)(model)
     model = Dropout(0.3)(model)
     model = Flatten()(model)
     model = Dense(32, activation='relu')(model)
     output1 = Dense(6, activation='sigmoid')(model)
     model_final = Model(inputs= [inputIs], outputs=[output1])
     print(model final.summary())
     # Compiling the model
     model_final.compile(loss='mean_squared_error',
                   optimizer=Adam(lr=0.0001),
                   metrics=['accuracy'])
     filepath="weights-improvement-model2.hdf5"
     checkpoint = ModelCheckpoint(filepath, verbose=1,monitor="val_acc", __
      →save_best_only=True, mode='max')
     callbacks_list = [checkpoint]
     # Training the model
     model_final.fit(X_train,
               Y_train,
```

```
batch_size=batch_size,
          validation_data=(X_test, Y_test),
          epochs=epochs,callbacks=callbacks_list)
#print(confusion_matrix(Y_test, model_final.predict(X_test)))
```

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_2 (InputLayer)	(None, 128, 9)	0
conv1d_3 (Conv1D)	(None, 122, 128)	8192
max_pooling1d_3 (MaxPooling1	(None, 40, 128)	0
dropout_3 (Dropout)	(None, 40, 128)	0
conv1d_4 (Conv1D)	(None, 34, 64)	57408
max_pooling1d_4 (MaxPooling1	(None, 11, 64)	0
dropout_4 (Dropout)	(None, 11, 64)	0
flatten_2 (Flatten)	(None, 704)	0
dense_3 (Dense)	(None, 32)	22560
dense_4 (Dense)	(None, 6)	198
Total params: 88,358 Trainable params: 88,358 Non-trainable params: 0		

None

W1024 09:35:53.982462 2208 deprecation\_wrapper.py:119] From C:\Users\user\Anaconda3\envs\tensorflow\_gpu\lib\sitepackages\keras\backend\tensorflow\_backend.py:988: The name tf.assign\_add is deprecated. Please use tf.compat.v1.assign\_add instead.

Train on 7352 samples, validate on 2947 samples Epoch 1/300 0.4820 - val\_loss: 0.0874 - val\_acc: 0.6220

Epoch 00001: val\_acc improved from -inf to 0.62199, saving model to weightsimprovement-model2.hdf5 Epoch 2/300

```
0.7146 - val_loss: 0.0665 - val_acc: 0.7492
Epoch 00002: val_acc improved from 0.62199 to 0.74924, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 3/300
0.8240 - val_loss: 0.0560 - val_acc: 0.7981
Epoch 00003: val_acc improved from 0.74924 to 0.79810, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 4/300
0.8889 - val_loss: 0.0443 - val_acc: 0.8476
Epoch 00004: val_acc improved from 0.79810 to 0.84764, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 5/300
0.9237 - val_loss: 0.0374 - val_acc: 0.8666
Epoch 00005: val acc improved from 0.84764 to 0.86664, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 6/300
0.9354 - val_loss: 0.0332 - val_acc: 0.8843
Epoch 00006: val_acc improved from 0.86664 to 0.88429, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 7/300
0.9425 - val_loss: 0.0317 - val_acc: 0.8789
Epoch 00007: val_acc did not improve from 0.88429
Epoch 8/300
0.9461 - val_loss: 0.0295 - val_acc: 0.8884
Epoch 00008: val_acc improved from 0.88429 to 0.88836, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 9/300
0.9486 - val_loss: 0.0280 - val_acc: 0.8924
Epoch 00009: val_acc improved from 0.88836 to 0.89243, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 10/300
```

```
0.9487 - val_loss: 0.0278 - val_acc: 0.8968
Epoch 00010: val_acc improved from 0.89243 to 0.89684, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 11/300
0.9493 - val_loss: 0.0271 - val_acc: 0.8928
Epoch 00011: val_acc did not improve from 0.89684
Epoch 12/300
0.9517 - val_loss: 0.0264 - val_acc: 0.9009
Epoch 00012: val_acc improved from 0.89684 to 0.90092, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 13/300
0.9512 - val_loss: 0.0250 - val_acc: 0.9002
Epoch 00013: val_acc did not improve from 0.90092
Epoch 14/300
0.9521 - val_loss: 0.0247 - val_acc: 0.9091
Epoch 00014: val_acc improved from 0.90092 to 0.90906, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 15/300
0.9535 - val_loss: 0.0242 - val_acc: 0.9016
Epoch 00015: val_acc did not improve from 0.90906
Epoch 16/300
0.9543 - val_loss: 0.0241 - val_acc: 0.9084
Epoch 00016: val_acc did not improve from 0.90906
Epoch 17/300
0.9553 - val_loss: 0.0232 - val_acc: 0.9067
Epoch 00017: val_acc did not improve from 0.90906
Epoch 18/300
0.9554 - val_loss: 0.0230 - val_acc: 0.9053
Epoch 00018: val_acc did not improve from 0.90906
Epoch 19/300
```

```
0.9548 - val_loss: 0.0228 - val_acc: 0.9135
Epoch 00019: val_acc improved from 0.90906 to 0.91347, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 20/300
0.9569 - val_loss: 0.0220 - val_acc: 0.9141
Epoch 00020: val_acc improved from 0.91347 to 0.91415, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 21/300
0.9565 - val_loss: 0.0216 - val_acc: 0.9158
Epoch 00021: val_acc improved from 0.91415 to 0.91585, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 22/300
0.9574 - val_loss: 0.0212 - val_acc: 0.9162
Epoch 00022: val_acc improved from 0.91585 to 0.91619, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 23/300
0.9587 - val_loss: 0.0208 - val_acc: 0.9175
Epoch 00023: val_acc improved from 0.91619 to 0.91754, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 24/300
0.9584 - val_loss: 0.0205 - val_acc: 0.9216
Epoch 00024: val_acc improved from 0.91754 to 0.92162, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 25/300
0.9589 - val_loss: 0.0207 - val_acc: 0.9179
Epoch 00025: val_acc did not improve from 0.92162
Epoch 26/300
0.9573 - val_loss: 0.0211 - val_acc: 0.9199
Epoch 00026: val_acc did not improve from 0.92162
Epoch 27/300
0.9581 - val_loss: 0.0218 - val_acc: 0.9070
```

```
Epoch 00027: val_acc did not improve from 0.92162
Epoch 28/300
0.9576 - val_loss: 0.0205 - val_acc: 0.9158
Epoch 00028: val_acc did not improve from 0.92162
Epoch 29/300
0.9596 - val_loss: 0.0214 - val_acc: 0.9243
Epoch 00029: val_acc improved from 0.92162 to 0.92433, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 30/300
0.9603 - val_loss: 0.0201 - val_acc: 0.9213
Epoch 00030: val_acc did not improve from 0.92433
Epoch 31/300
0.9603 - val_loss: 0.0206 - val_acc: 0.9196
Epoch 00031: val_acc did not improve from 0.92433
Epoch 32/300
0.9630 - val_loss: 0.0206 - val_acc: 0.9223
Epoch 00032: val_acc did not improve from 0.92433
Epoch 33/300
0.9615 - val_loss: 0.0205 - val_acc: 0.9220
Epoch 00033: val_acc did not improve from 0.92433
Epoch 34/300
0.9627 - val_loss: 0.0207 - val_acc: 0.9203
Epoch 00034: val_acc did not improve from 0.92433
Epoch 35/300
0.9614 - val_loss: 0.0197 - val_acc: 0.9203
Epoch 00035: val_acc did not improve from 0.92433
Epoch 36/300
0.9640 - val_loss: 0.0194 - val_acc: 0.9318
Epoch 00036: val_acc improved from 0.92433 to 0.93180, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
```

```
Epoch 37/300
0.9635 - val_loss: 0.0192 - val_acc: 0.9298
Epoch 00037: val_acc did not improve from 0.93180
Epoch 38/300
0.9648 - val_loss: 0.0200 - val_acc: 0.9243
Epoch 00038: val_acc did not improve from 0.93180
Epoch 39/300
0.9635 - val_loss: 0.0187 - val_acc: 0.9281
Epoch 00039: val_acc did not improve from 0.93180
Epoch 40/300
0.9646 - val_loss: 0.0191 - val_acc: 0.9253
Epoch 00040: val_acc did not improve from 0.93180
Epoch 41/300
0.9641 - val_loss: 0.0186 - val_acc: 0.9287
Epoch 00041: val_acc did not improve from 0.93180
Epoch 42/300
0.9671 - val_loss: 0.0199 - val_acc: 0.9162
Epoch 00042: val_acc did not improve from 0.93180
Epoch 43/300
0.9675 - val_loss: 0.0185 - val_acc: 0.9352
Epoch 00043: val_acc improved from 0.93180 to 0.93519, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 44/300
0.9676 - val_loss: 0.0174 - val_acc: 0.9372
Epoch 00044: val_acc improved from 0.93519 to 0.93722, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 45/300
0.9674 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9352
Epoch 00045: val_acc did not improve from 0.93722
Epoch 46/300
```

```
0.9694 - val_loss: 0.0178 - val_acc: 0.9250
Epoch 00046: val_acc did not improve from 0.93722
Epoch 47/300
0.9703 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9376
Epoch 00047: val_acc improved from 0.93722 to 0.93756, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 48/300
0.9723 - val_loss: 0.0179 - val_acc: 0.9311
Epoch 00048: val_acc did not improve from 0.93756
Epoch 49/300
0.9723 - val_loss: 0.0169 - val_acc: 0.9338
Epoch 00049: val_acc did not improve from 0.93756
Epoch 50/300
0.9748 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9369
Epoch 00050: val_acc did not improve from 0.93756
Epoch 51/300
0.9742 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9396
Epoch 00051: val_acc improved from 0.93756 to 0.93960, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 52/300
0.9757 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9379
Epoch 00052: val_acc did not improve from 0.93960
Epoch 53/300
0.9747 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9416
Epoch 00053: val_acc improved from 0.93960 to 0.94164, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 54/300
0.9763 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00054: val_acc improved from 0.94164 to 0.94333, saving model to weights-
```

improvement-model2.hdf5

```
Epoch 55/300
0.9771 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00055: val_acc did not improve from 0.94333
Epoch 56/300
0.9770 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9454
Epoch 00056: val_acc improved from 0.94333 to 0.94537, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 57/300
0.9770 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9403
Epoch 00057: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 58/300
0.9782 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9386
Epoch 00058: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 59/300
0.9780 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9406
Epoch 00059: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 60/300
0.9771 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9410
Epoch 00060: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 61/300
0.9784 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00061: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 62/300
0.9793 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9393
Epoch 00062: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 63/300
0.9789 - val_loss: 0.0171 - val_acc: 0.9369
Epoch 00063: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 64/300
```

```
0.9791 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9430
Epoch 00064: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 65/300
0.9812 - val_loss: 0.0174 - val_acc: 0.9348
Epoch 00065: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 66/300
0.9799 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9427
Epoch 00066: val_acc did not improve from 0.94537
Epoch 67/300
0.9826 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00067: val_acc improved from 0.94537 to 0.94740, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 68/300
0.9803 - val_loss: 0.0142 - val_acc: 0.9508
Epoch 00068: val_acc improved from 0.94740 to 0.95080, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 69/300
0.9810 - val_loss: 0.0149 - val_acc: 0.9501
Epoch 00069: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 70/300
0.9822 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00070: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 71/300
0.9819 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00071: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 72/300
0.9822 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00072: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 73/300
0.9827 - val_loss: 0.0147 - val_acc: 0.9494
```

```
Epoch 00073: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 74/300
0.9835 - val_loss: 0.0177 - val_acc: 0.9294
Epoch 00074: val acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 75/300
0.9842 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00075: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 76/300
0.9835 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9413
Epoch 00076: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 77/300
0.9859 - val_loss: 0.0165 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00077: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 78/300
0.9861 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9430
Epoch 00078: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 79/300
0.9841 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9420
Epoch 00079: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 80/300
0.9848 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9406
Epoch 00080: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 81/300
0.9833 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9362
Epoch 00081: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 82/300
0.9850 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9393
Epoch 00082: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 83/300
```

```
0.9848 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00083: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 84/300
0.9860 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9457
Epoch 00084: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 85/300
0.9849 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9403
Epoch 00085: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 86/300
0.9868 - val_loss: 0.0165 - val_acc: 0.9362
Epoch 00086: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 87/300
0.9879 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9454
Epoch 00087: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 88/300
0.9872 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9386
Epoch 00088: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 89/300
0.9861 - val_loss: 0.0190 - val_acc: 0.9318
Epoch 00089: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 90/300
0.9867 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9444
Epoch 00090: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 91/300
0.9868 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00091: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 92/300
0.9874 - val_loss: 0.0166 - val_acc: 0.9437
```

```
Epoch 00092: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 93/300
0.9864 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00093: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 94/300
0.9875 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9460
Epoch 00094: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 95/300
0.9869 - val_loss: 0.0182 - val_acc: 0.9352
Epoch 00095: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 96/300
0.9875 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00096: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 97/300
0.9888 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9494
Epoch 00097: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 98/300
0.9894 - val_loss: 0.0166 - val_acc: 0.9399
Epoch 00098: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 99/300
0.9887 - val_loss: 0.0150 - val_acc: 0.9508
Epoch 00099: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 100/300
0.9894 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9437
Epoch 00100: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 101/300
0.9898 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9444
Epoch 00101: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 102/300
```

```
0.9871 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00102: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 103/300
0.9899 - val_loss: 0.0182 - val_acc: 0.9372
Epoch 00103: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 104/300
0.9899 - val_loss: 0.0170 - val_acc: 0.9376
Epoch 00104: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 105/300
0.9905 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00105: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 106/300
0.9883 - val_loss: 0.0182 - val_acc: 0.9311
Epoch 00106: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 107/300
0.9894 - val_loss: 0.0193 - val_acc: 0.9332
Epoch 00107: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 108/300
0.9894 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9437
Epoch 00108: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 109/300
0.9883 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9413
Epoch 00109: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 110/300
0.9898 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9440
Epoch 00110: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 111/300
0.9894 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9440
Epoch 00111: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
```

```
Epoch 112/300
0.9908 - val_loss: 0.0167 - val_acc: 0.9410
Epoch 00112: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 113/300
0.9922 - val_loss: 0.0175 - val_acc: 0.9348
Epoch 00113: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 114/300
0.9908 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00114: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 115/300
0.9917 - val_loss: 0.0171 - val_acc: 0.9379
Epoch 00115: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 116/300
0.9897 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00116: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 117/300
0.9903 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9471
Epoch 00117: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 118/300
0.9893 - val_loss: 0.0177 - val_acc: 0.9362
Epoch 00118: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 119/300
0.9918 - val_loss: 0.0171 - val_acc: 0.9393
Epoch 00119: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 120/300
0.9897 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9498
Epoch 00120: val_acc did not improve from 0.95080
Epoch 121/300
0.9910 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9518
```

```
Epoch 00121: val_acc improved from 0.95080 to 0.95182, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 122/300
0.9906 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00122: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 123/300
0.9916 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00123: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 124/300
0.9917 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00124: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 125/300
0.9920 - val_loss: 0.0165 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00125: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 126/300
0.9924 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9444
Epoch 00126: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 127/300
0.9918 - val_loss: 0.0166 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00127: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 128/300
0.9937 - val_loss: 0.0172 - val_acc: 0.9396
Epoch 00128: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 129/300
0.9922 - val_loss: 0.0168 - val_acc: 0.9403
Epoch 00129: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 130/300
0.9924 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00130: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
```

```
Epoch 131/300
0.9922 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9440
Epoch 00131: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 132/300
0.9922 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9457
Epoch 00132: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 133/300
0.9925 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00133: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 134/300
0.9940 - val_loss: 0.0145 - val_acc: 0.9518
Epoch 00134: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 135/300
0.9917 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9460
Epoch 00135: val_acc did not improve from 0.95182
Epoch 136/300
0.9940 - val_loss: 0.0140 - val_acc: 0.9552
Epoch 00136: val_acc improved from 0.95182 to 0.95521, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 137/300
0.9918 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00137: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 138/300
0.9928 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9457
Epoch 00138: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 139/300
0.9943 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9447
Epoch 00139: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 140/300
```

```
0.9931 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00140: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 141/300
0.9927 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9420
Epoch 00141: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 142/300
0.9933 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9420
Epoch 00142: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 143/300
0.9937 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9430
Epoch 00143: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 144/300
0.9948 - val_loss: 0.0177 - val_acc: 0.9403
Epoch 00144: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 145/300
0.9939 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9525
Epoch 00145: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 146/300
0.9918 - val_loss: 0.0167 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00146: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 147/300
0.9940 - val_loss: 0.0171 - val_acc: 0.9430
Epoch 00147: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 148/300
0.9940 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9498
Epoch 00148: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 149/300
0.9944 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9481
Epoch 00149: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
```

```
Epoch 150/300
0.9955 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00150: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 151/300
0.9932 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9471
Epoch 00151: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 152/300
0.9954 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9508
Epoch 00152: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 153/300
0.9952 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9447
Epoch 00153: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 154/300
0.9958 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9454
Epoch 00154: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 155/300
0.9950 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9508
Epoch 00155: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 156/300
0.9942 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00156: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 157/300
0.9944 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9494
Epoch 00157: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 158/300
0.9933 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00158: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 159/300
0.9936 - val_loss: 0.0185 - val_acc: 0.9332
```

```
Epoch 00159: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 160/300
0.9942 - val_loss: 0.0149 - val_acc: 0.9511
Epoch 00160: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 161/300
0.9951 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9491
Epoch 00161: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 162/300
0.9940 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9471
Epoch 00162: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 163/300
0.9963 - val_loss: 0.0144 - val_acc: 0.9552
Epoch 00163: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 164/300
0.9961 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00164: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 165/300
0.9962 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00165: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 166/300
0.9955 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9471
Epoch 00166: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 167/300
0.9954 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00167: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 168/300
0.9963 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9518
Epoch 00168: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 169/300
```

```
0.9970 - val_loss: 0.0166 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00169: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 170/300
0.9963 - val_loss: 0.0172 - val_acc: 0.9440
Epoch 00170: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 171/300
0.9969 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9498
Epoch 00171: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 172/300
0.9959 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00172: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 173/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 9.7894e-04 -
acc: 0.9961 - val_loss: 0.0145 - val_acc: 0.9542
Epoch 00173: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 174/300
acc: 0.9970 - val_loss: 0.0147 - val_acc: 0.9525
Epoch 00174: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 175/300
acc: 0.9971 - val_loss: 0.0146 - val_acc: 0.9535
Epoch 00175: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 176/300
0.9954 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00176: val_acc did not improve from 0.95521
Epoch 177/300
0.9956 - val_loss: 0.0133 - val_acc: 0.9569
Epoch 00177: val_acc improved from 0.95521 to 0.95691, saving model to weights-
improvement-model2.hdf5
Epoch 178/300
0.9946 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9498
```

```
Epoch 00178: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 179/300
acc: 0.9971 - val_loss: 0.0149 - val_acc: 0.9511
Epoch 00179: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 180/300
0.9965 - val_loss: 0.0150 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00180: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 181/300
acc: 0.9976 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9501
Epoch 00181: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 182/300
acc: 0.9971 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9515
Epoch 00182: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 183/300
0.9961 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9511
Epoch 00183: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 184/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 142us/step - loss: 7.6203e-04 -
acc: 0.9976 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9518
Epoch 00184: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 185/300
0.9959 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00185: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 186/300
0.9961 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9508
Epoch 00186: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 187/300
acc: 0.9970 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9494
Epoch 00187: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 188/300
```

```
acc: 0.9971 - val_loss: 0.0148 - val_acc: 0.9481
Epoch 00188: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 189/300
0.9955 - val_loss: 0.0150 - val_acc: 0.9511
Epoch 00189: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 190/300
acc: 0.9981 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9477
Epoch 00190: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 191/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 7.4921e-04 -
acc: 0.9974 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9511
Epoch 00191: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 192/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9491
Epoch 00192: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 193/300
acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9481
Epoch 00193: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 194/300
acc: 0.9967 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9491
Epoch 00194: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 195/300
acc: 0.9962 - val_loss: 0.0146 - val_acc: 0.9511
Epoch 00195: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 196/300
acc: 0.9969 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9501
Epoch 00196: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 197/300
acc: 0.9962 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9471
```

```
Epoch 00197: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 198/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 149us/step - loss: 6.9938e-04 -
acc: 0.9974 - val_loss: 0.0140 - val_acc: 0.9552
Epoch 00198: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 199/300
acc: 0.9980 - val_loss: 0.0145 - val_acc: 0.9508
Epoch 00199: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 200/300
7352/7352 [============= ] - 1s 140us/step - loss: 9.9739e-04 -
acc: 0.9969 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00200: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 201/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 139us/step - loss: 7.0606e-04 -
acc: 0.9978 - val_loss: 0.0150 - val_acc: 0.9511
Epoch 00201: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 202/300
acc: 0.9969 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00202: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 203/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 7.8217e-04 -
acc: 0.9976 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00203: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 204/300
acc: 0.9981 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9477
Epoch 00204: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 205/300
acc: 0.9984 - val_loss: 0.0166 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00205: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 206/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 6.7785e-04 -
acc: 0.9980 - val_loss: 0.0167 - val_acc: 0.9430
Epoch 00206: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 207/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 142us/step - loss: 6.2987e-04 -
```

```
acc: 0.9981 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00207: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 208/300
acc: 0.9971 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00208: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 209/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 5.5917e-04 -
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00209: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 210/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 139us/step - loss: 8.0988e-04 -
acc: 0.9974 - val_loss: 0.0147 - val_acc: 0.9508
Epoch 00210: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 211/300
acc: 0.9974 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9505
Epoch 00211: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 212/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 142us/step - loss: 5.8723e-04 -
acc: 0.9978 - val_loss: 0.0150 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00212: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 213/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 7.8247e-04 -
acc: 0.9971 - val_loss: 0.0166 - val_acc: 0.9447
Epoch 00213: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 214/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 143us/step - loss: 5.1736e-04 -
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00214: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 215/300
acc: 0.9978 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00215: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 216/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 139us/step - loss: 4.4531e-04 -
acc: 0.9988 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9494
Epoch 00216: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
```

```
Epoch 217/300
acc: 0.9963 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00217: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 218/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9454
Epoch 00218: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 219/300
acc: 0.9973 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9471
Epoch 00219: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 220/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 140us/step - loss: 7.1192e-04 -
acc: 0.9973 - val_loss: 0.0150 - val_acc: 0.9505
Epoch 00220: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 221/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9491
Epoch 00221: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 222/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 4.2613e-04 -
acc: 0.9990 - val_loss: 0.0165 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00222: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 223/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 6.5953e-04 -
acc: 0.9980 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00223: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 224/300
acc: 0.9988 - val_loss: 0.0168 - val_acc: 0.9413
Epoch 00224: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 225/300
acc: 0.9976 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9491
Epoch 00225: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 226/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 143us/step - loss: 7.0303e-04 -
acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9457
```

```
Epoch 00226: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 227/300
acc: 0.9976 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00227: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 228/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0177 - val_acc: 0.9399
Epoch 00228: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 229/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00229: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 230/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00230: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 231/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 145us/step - loss: 3.9278e-04 -
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0183 - val_acc: 0.9382
Epoch 00231: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 232/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00232: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 233/300
acc: 0.9989 - val loss: 0.0152 - val acc: 0.9477
Epoch 00233: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 234/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00234: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 235/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0166 - val_acc: 0.9396
Epoch 00235: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 236/300
```

```
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 139us/step - loss: 3.9723e-04 -
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00236: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 237/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 140us/step - loss: 4.7494e-04 -
acc: 0.9984 - val_loss: 0.0200 - val_acc: 0.9345
Epoch 00237: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 238/300
acc: 0.9980 - val_loss: 0.0174 - val_acc: 0.9393
Epoch 00238: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 239/300
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00239: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 240/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 6.3839e-04 -
acc: 0.9974 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00240: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 241/300
acc: 0.9971 - val_loss: 0.0143 - val_acc: 0.9532
Epoch 00241: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 242/300
acc: 0.9988 - val_loss: 0.0157 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00242: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 243/300
acc: 0.9992 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9464
Epoch 00243: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 244/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 3.7918e-04 -
acc: 0.9988 - val_loss: 0.0147 - val_acc: 0.9491
Epoch 00244: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 245/300
acc: 0.9990 - val_loss: 0.0151 - val_acc: 0.9508
```

```
Epoch 00245: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 246/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 142us/step - loss: 3.9888e-04 -
acc: 0.9988 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9484
Epoch 00246: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 247/300
acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9457
Epoch 00247: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 248/300
7352/7352 [============= ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 4.3799e-04 -
acc: 0.9988 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00248: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 249/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 143us/step - loss: 2.8903e-04 -
acc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 0.0155 - val_acc: 0.9454
Epoch 00249: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 250/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 4.7730e-04 -
acc: 0.9985 - val_loss: 0.0189 - val_acc: 0.9338
Epoch 00250: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 251/300
7352/7352 [============= ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 4.7993e-04 -
acc: 0.9982 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9457
Epoch 00251: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 252/300
acc: 0.9990 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00252: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 253/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0154 - val_acc: 0.9477
Epoch 00253: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 254/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 142us/step - loss: 4.6522e-04 -
acc: 0.9985 - val_loss: 0.0186 - val_acc: 0.9382
Epoch 00254: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 255/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 143us/step - loss: 5.1232e-04 -
```

```
acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9488
Epoch 00255: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 256/300
acc: 0.9970 - val_loss: 0.0171 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00256: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 257/300
acc: 0.9996 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00257: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 258/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 148us/step - loss: 3.1455e-04 -
acc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9430
Epoch 00258: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 259/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0169 - val_acc: 0.9413
Epoch 00259: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 260/300
acc: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00260: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 261/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 142us/step - loss: 3.4276e-04 -
acc: 0.9992 - val_loss: 0.0168 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00261: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 262/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 146us/step - loss: 6.1740e-04 -
acc: 0.9974 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9420
Epoch 00262: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 263/300
acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0156 - val_acc: 0.9494
Epoch 00263: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 264/300
7352/7352 [============= ] - 1s 144us/step - loss: 5.9046e-04 -
acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0149 - val_acc: 0.9505
Epoch 00264: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
```

```
Epoch 265/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9447
Epoch 00265: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 266/300
acc: 0.9990 - val_loss: 0.0158 - val_acc: 0.9474
Epoch 00266: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 267/300
acc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 0.0177 - val_acc: 0.9396
Epoch 00267: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 268/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 151us/step - loss: 2.8062e-04 -
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9460
Epoch 00268: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 269/300
acc: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9460
Epoch 00269: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 270/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 2.1390e-04 -
acc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 0.0153 - val_acc: 0.9494
Epoch 00270: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 271/300
7352/7352 [============= ] - 1s 143us/step - loss: 1.1411e-04 -
acc: 0.9999 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9454
Epoch 00271: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 272/300
acc: 0.9958 - val_loss: 0.0169 - val_acc: 0.9423
Epoch 00272: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 273/300
acc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9447
Epoch 00273: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 274/300
acc: 0.9984 - val_loss: 0.0163 - val_acc: 0.9427
```

```
Epoch 00274: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 275/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 142us/step - loss: 3.1733e-04 -
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0178 - val_acc: 0.9403
Epoch 00275: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 276/300
acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0161 - val_acc: 0.9457
Epoch 00276: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 277/300
acc: 0.9990 - val_loss: 0.0182 - val_acc: 0.9362
Epoch 00277: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 278/300
acc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9437
Epoch 00278: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 279/300
7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 143us/step - loss: 1.6657e-04 -
acc: 0.9999 - val_loss: 0.0165 - val_acc: 0.9447
Epoch 00279: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 280/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0172 - val_acc: 0.9403
Epoch 00280: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 281/300
acc: 0.9995 - val loss: 0.0166 - val acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00281: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 282/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0175 - val_acc: 0.9413
Epoch 00282: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 283/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0169 - val_acc: 0.9433
Epoch 00283: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 284/300
```

```
acc: 0.9984 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9444
Epoch 00284: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 285/300
acc: 0.9989 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00285: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 286/300
acc: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.0159 - val_acc: 0.9477
Epoch 00286: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 287/300
acc: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.0169 - val_acc: 0.9430
Epoch 00287: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 288/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 139us/step - loss: 2.4916e-04 -
acc: 0.9990 - val_loss: 0.0160 - val_acc: 0.9450
Epoch 00288: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 289/300
acc: 0.9985 - val_loss: 0.0149 - val_acc: 0.9481
Epoch 00289: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 290/300
acc: 0.9980 - val_loss: 0.0174 - val_acc: 0.9420
Epoch 00290: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 291/300
acc: 0.9996 - val_loss: 0.0162 - val_acc: 0.9467
Epoch 00291: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 292/300
7352/7352 [=============== ] - 1s 139us/step - loss: 3.2104e-04 -
acc: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.0165 - val_acc: 0.9454
Epoch 00292: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
Epoch 293/300
acc: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9491
```

```
Epoch 00293: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
   Epoch 294/300
   7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 2.7974e-04 -
   acc: 0.9992 - val_loss: 0.0169 - val_acc: 0.9433
   Epoch 00294: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
   Epoch 295/300
   acc: 0.9992 - val_loss: 0.0164 - val_acc: 0.9464
   Epoch 00295: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
   Epoch 296/300
   7352/7352 [============= ] - 1s 143us/step - loss: 1.9429e-04 -
   acc: 0.9997 - val_loss: 0.0175 - val_acc: 0.9403
   Epoch 00296: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
   Epoch 297/300
   7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 141us/step - loss: 5.9529e-04 -
   acc: 0.9977 - val_loss: 0.0152 - val_acc: 0.9484
   Epoch 00297: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
   Epoch 298/300
   acc: 0.9997 - val_loss: 0.0167 - val_acc: 0.9440
   Epoch 00298: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
   Epoch 299/300
   7352/7352 [============== ] - 1s 151us/step - loss: 2.8918e-04 -
   acc: 0.9992 - val_loss: 0.0167 - val_acc: 0.9471
   Epoch 00299: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
   Epoch 300/300
   acc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 0.0168 - val_acc: 0.9447
   Epoch 00300: val_acc did not improve from 0.95691
[24]: <keras.callbacks.History at 0x25a06e08be0>
[26]: from keras.models import load_model
    model2 = load_model('weights-improvement-model2.hdf5')
    print(confusion_matrix(Y_test, model2.predict(X_test)))
    score = model2.evaluate(X_test, Y_test)
    print(score)
   Pred
                    LAYING SITTING STANDING WALKING WALKING_DOWNSTAIRS \
   True
   LAYING
                                                                0
                      537
                               0
                                        0
                                               0
```

```
SITTING
                          0
                                  409
                                             71
                                                        0
                                                                             0
STANDING
                          0
                                   39
                                            492
                                                        1
                                                                             0
WALKING
                          0
                                   0
                                              0
                                                      494
                                                                             1
WALKING_DOWNSTAIRS
                          0
                                   0
                                              0
                                                        0
                                                                           419
WALKING_UPSTAIRS
                          0
                                   0
                                              0
                                                        0
                                                                             2
Pred
                     WALKING_UPSTAIRS
True
```

True
LAYING 0
SITTING 11
STANDING 0
WALKING 1
WALKING\_DOWNSTAIRS 1
WALKING\_UPSTAIRS 469

2947/2947 [=========] - 0s 93us/step

[0.013335462281730605, 0.9569053274516457]

```
[28]: from prettytable import PrettyTable
    x = PrettyTable()
    x.field_names = ["Model", "Description", "dropout", "test accuracy"]
    x.add_row(["Model 1", "2 LSTM layers with 100 hidden unit", "0.7", 0.9334])
    x.add_row(["Model 2", "2 convolution layer with max pooling", "0.3", 0.9569])
    x.border=True
    print(x)
```

++   Model   +	Description	•	+   test accuracy   +
	2 LSTM layers with 100 hidden unit 2 convolution layer with max pooling		0.9334     0.9569

[]: