## Peer Response to Abdulla Alshaibani

Abdulla, your post highlights the major benefits of agent-based systems as well as their drivers. To further enhance your points, I believe there are preventative measures that help protect organisations from incidents such as unintended agent behaviours, decision-making errors, or security breaches.

First, carrying out controlled pre-deployment tests in simulation settings enables the observation of agent behaviours in response to numerous real-world scenarios. This helps predictive modelling for live operations well in advance.

Second, explicit and rigid operational boundaries need to be embedded within the agents' operational workflows. While autonomy is a desirable feature, limits defined by legal, ethical, and organisational policies can assist in averting non-compliant actions.

Third, real-time monitoring and audit trails can ensure accountability. Monitoring an agent's actions and decisions provides opportunities to correct behaviours before they trigger a snowball effect of erroneous actions, especially in distributed systems.

Fourth, as agents need to interact through distributed systems, robust cybersecurity measures are essential including protect, authenticate, and encrypt network segments. These safeguards lower the chances of targeted attacks from hostile parties.

Finally, scheduled reiteration and updating of the agents' business process models and rule sets in response to evolving conditions in the environment is crucial to avoid performance drift.

Following the advantages you gave, incorporating these steps will help organizations avoid compromising safety or reliability during innovation, all while ensuring that agent-based systems provide reliable and steady outcomes.

#### References

Bonabeau, E. (2002) 'Agent-based modeling: Methods and techniques for simulating human systems', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(Supplement 3), pp. 7280–7287. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082080899">https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082080899</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Jennings, N.R., Sycara, K. and Wooldridge, M. (1998) 'A roadmap of agent research and development', Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 1(1), pp. 7–38. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010090405266">https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010090405266</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Wooldridge, M. (1995) An introduction to multiagent systems. Available at: <a href="https://uranos.ch/research/references/Wooldridge\_2001/TLTK.pdf">https://uranos.ch/research/references/Wooldridge\_2001/TLTK.pdf</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

# Peer Response to Abdullah Khalfan Al-shibli

Abdullah, you have comprehensively covered how agent-based systems (ABS) manage complexity and adapt to shifts within their operational environments. Expanding on your points, there are several operational risks or inefficiencies that your approach may incur, and those may be mitigated with ABS systems.

First, before deployment, validation and verification processes should be performed. Evaluating the agents in simulated environments where their coordination and interaction can be controlled and monitored can uncover potential operational issues, emergent behaviours, or communication breakdowns that could disrupt the real-world operations.

Second, the establishment of protocols to handle failures within the systems is of utmost importance. If any of the agents has a subsystem failure or generates incorrect results, the system should identify the failure, isolate the agent, and reallocate the tasks to the healthy agents or notify a human operator.

Third, issued commands between agents should be governed by uniform communication standards. Given that ABS primarily function by the exchange of messages, the absence of rigid protocols could lead to data being misinterpreted. This is particularly harmful in time-sensitive operations like manufacturing or banking.

Fourth, communication and authentication of the agents should be secured by encryption so that when agents operate in open or distributed networks, the risk of exploitation and malicious interventions are minimized.

Ultimately, continuous surveillance and adaptive learning controls would guarantee agents progress in their learning while attaining the desired goals. This consists of periodic retraining and assessment of the decision-making rules to align with shifting organisational priorities and compliance mandates.

Integrating these restrictions with the degree of freedom you mentioned allows organizations to reap the rewards of ABS while curtailing possible operational or security risks.

#### References

Bonabeau, E. (2002) 'Agent-based modeling: Methods and techniques for simulating human systems', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(Suppl 3), pp. 7280–7287. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082080899">https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082080899</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Jennings, N.R. (2000) 'On agent-based software engineering', Artificial Intelligence, 117(2), pp. 277–296. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(99)00107-1">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(99)00107-1</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Monostori, L., Váncza, J. and Kumara, S.R.T. (2006) 'Agent-based systems for manufacturing', CIRP Annals – Manufacturing Technology, 55(2), pp. 697–720. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2006.10.004">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2006.10.004</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

### Peer Response to Ali Alzahmi

Ali, I appreciate your post on agent-based systems (ABS) as it highlights their agility and sophistication, particularly on the Internet of Things (IoT) or in disaster response scenarios with a dynamic, decentralised nature. To mitigate risks, I would like to propose a few preventative steps in the context of your post.

To begin with, extensive simulation exercises should be conducted prior to real world deployment. These systems are designed to operate on their own in ABS. Given the nature of ABS, stress tests as well as "what-if" scenario simulations should be done to identify any coordination breakdowns or suboptimal negotiation patterns among the agents.

In addition, adaptive but limited decision rules should be set in order to avoid undesirable or unsafe outcomes when agents encounter unfamiliar scenarios. This is very important in critical and sensitive domains like energy grids or financial markets as actions taken in these sectors can trigger cascading consequences.

Moreover, communication remains a critical component. Secure communication channels are a must. Given that ABS commonly communicate critical information through distributed networks, there is a need for protection against malicious alterations or impersonating agents through the use of encryption, authentication, and intrusion detection systems.

In addition, technology capable of real-time surveillance or continuous monitoring integrated with performance auditing can identify subtle signs of drifting from the established model or unresponsiveness. This can be greatly improved through the addition of alerting systems that automatically warn human operators for intervention when abnormalities are detected.

Governance and compliance are equally critical. The technology should be capable of building in compliance frameworks to legally and ethically bound the agents to operate within set parameters but still allow for local flexibly to adapt.

Integrating all the measures you mentioned alongside the flexibility you described would ensure ABS continues to be both creative and dependable in practice.

## References

Gerges, M., Demian, P. and Adamu, Z. (2021) 'Customising evacuation instructions for high-rise residential occupants to expedite fire egress: Results from agent-based simulation', Fire, 4(2), 21. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/fire4020021">https://doi.org/10.3390/fire4020021</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Hayes, L. and Grüter, C. (2023) 'When should bees be flower constant? An agent-based model highlights the importance of social information and foraging conditions', Journal of Animal Ecology, 92(3), pp. 580–593. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13861">https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13861</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Ocker, F., Urban, C., Vogel-Heuser, B. and Diedrich, C. (2021) 'Leveraging the asset administration shell for agent-based production systems', IFAC-PapersOnLine, 54(1), pp. 837–844. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2021.08.186">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2021.08.186</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Pulikottil, T., Estrada-Jimenez, L.A., Ur Rehman, H. and others (2023) 'Agent-based manufacturing — Review and expert evaluation', International Journal of Advanced

Manufacturing Technology, 127, pp. 2151–2180. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-023-11517-8">https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-023-11517-8</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).

Yao, R., Hu, Y. and Varga, L. (2023) 'Applications of agent-based methods in multi-energy systems—A systematic literature review', Energies, 16(5), 2456. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/en16052456">https://doi.org/10.3390/en16052456</a> (Accessed: 13 August 2025).