Peer Response

by Abdulrahman Alhashmi - Friday, 8 August 2025, 10:30 PM

Your post highlights the growing importance and benefits of Agent-Based Systems (ABS), and I agree that their adaptability and intelligence make them highly valuable in dynamic environments. However, when reflecting on potential incidents where ABS deployment might have led to operational disruptions—such as misaligned decision-making between agents, data quality issues, or over-reliance on automation—there are several preventative measures that could be implemented.

Firstly, establishing robust governance frameworks is crucial. This involves defining clear operational boundaries for agents, setting escalation protocols for human oversight, and ensuring that critical decisions still require human validation (Olujimi, 2025). Secondly, data integrity safeguards—including real-time validation, anomaly detection, and redundancy checks—can help prevent incorrect agent actions caused by flawed or incomplete datasets (Sawant, 2025).

Moreover, introducing simulation-based testing environments before live deployment can identify potential agent conflicts or inefficiencies in complex, multi-agent workflows (Sapkota, Roumeliotis and Karkee, 2025). These “digital sandboxes” allow organisations to stress-test ABS under varied and extreme scenarios, reducing the risk of unexpected failures in production.

Finally, implementing continuous learning and retraining pipelines ensures that ABS remain aligned with evolving business rules, environmental changes, and ethical standards. This not only sustains performance but also mitigates the risks associated with outdated models (CRN, 2025).

By combining governance, data integrity, rigorous testing, and continuous learning, organisations can fully leverage the power of ABS while minimising operational risks.

References

CRN, 2025. The Impact of Agent-Based Systems on Business Productivity and Cost Efficiency.

Olujimi, P.A. (2025) ‘Agentic AI frameworks in SMMEs: a systematic literature review’, AI, 6(6), p.123. **Agentic AI Frameworks in SMMEs: A Systematic Literature Review of Ecosystemic Interconnected Agents**

Sapkota, R., Roumeliotis, K.I. and Karkee, M. (2025) ‘AI agents vs. agentic AI: a conceptual taxonomy, applications and challenges’, arXiv. **[2505.10468] AI Agents vs. Agentic AI: A Conceptual Taxonomy, Applications and Challenges**

Sawant, P.D. (2025) ‘Agentic AI: a quantitative analysis of performance and applications’, Journal of Advances in Artificial Intelligence, 3(2), pp.132–140. **Agentic AI: A Quantitative Analysis of Performance and Applications - Volume 3, Number 2, 2025 - JAAI-Journal of Advances in Artificial Intelligence**

Peer Response

by Abdulrahman Alhashmi - Friday, 8 August 2025, 11:07 PM
Your points about the advantages of agent-based systems (ABS) in automation, cost saving, and efficiency are well made. However, while the benefits are compelling, past incidents in ABS deployment highlight that without proper safeguards, these systems can still cause operational disruptions, data misinterpretation, or even decision-making errors.

To prevent such issues, robust oversight mechanisms should be established. For example, integrating human-in-the-loop validation at critical decision points ensures that sensitive or high-impact actions undergo expert review before execution (Hughes et al., 2025). This not only mitigates risks but also maintains accountability.

Furthermore, data governance frameworks are essential. Since ABS rely heavily on accurate, real-time data, incorporating validation rules, redundancy checks, and anomaly detection can help avoid costly errors stemming from corrupted or incomplete datasets (Herrera et al., 2020).

Another preventive measure is progressive deployment through simulation and pilot programmes. By stress-testing ABS in controlled, scenario-based environments, organisations can identify bottlenecks, agent conflicts, and unintended interactions before full-scale rollout (Dorri, Kanhere and Jurdak, 2018).

Lastly, continuous learning and retraining cycles are critical. Business environments and datasets evolve rapidly; without regular updates, agents risk becoming misaligned with current organisational objectives or regulatory requirements.

By combining strong governance, data integrity safeguards, phased testing, and ongoing model refinement, organisations can maximise ABS benefits while reducing the likelihood of operational incidents.

References

Dorri, A., Kanhere, S.S. and Jurdak, R. (2018) 'Multi-Agent Systems: A Survey', IEEE Access, 6, pp. 28573–28593. **Multi-Agent Systems: A Survey | IEEE Journals & Magazine | IEEE Xplore** [Accessed 4 August 2025].

Herrera, M., Pérez-Hernández, M., Kumar Parlikad, A. and Izquierdo, J. (2020) 'Multi-Agent Systems and Complex Networks: Review and Applications in Systems Engineering', Processes, 8(3), p. 312. **Multi-Agent Systems and Complex Networks: Review and Applications in Systems Engineering** [Accessed 5 August 2025].

Hughes, L. et al. (2025) 'AI Agents and Agentic Systems: A Multi-Expert Analysis', Journal of Computer Information Systems, 65(4), pp. 489–517. **AI Agents and Agentic Systems: A Multi-Expert Analysis: Journal of Computer Information Systems: Vol 65 , No 4 - Get Access** [Accessed 7 August 2025].

Peer Response

by Abdulrahman Alhashmi - Friday, 8 August 2025, 11:36 PM
I really like how you explained the flexibility and adaptability of agent-based systems (ABS) in manufacturing and supply chains. It's true that moving away from centralised control can make operations far more responsive, but there have been real-world cases where things went wrong—usually because agents weren't fully coordinated or the data feeding them wasn't reliable.

One simple way to reduce these risks is to set up strong communication and coordination rules between agents so they don't end up duplicating work or missing important tasks (Jennings, Sycara and Wooldridge, 2014). For example, in a maintenance scenario, two agents might act on the same fault without realising the other is already fixing it.

Before rolling out an ABS across an entire operation, I'd also recommend running detailed simulations to test how it behaves during disruptions—like equipment breakdowns or unexpected demand spikes (Parunak, Savit and Riolo, 1998). This helps spot problems before they can cause costly downtime.

Another big one is making sure data quality is rock solid. Since agents base all their decisions on data, putting in checks for missing, duplicated, or unusual inputs is critical (Russell and Norvig, 2021).

And finally, keeping agents updated through continuous learning ensures they adapt as the business changes, instead of slowly drifting out of sync with reality.

References

Jennings, N.R., Sycara, K. and Wooldridge, M. (2014) 'A roadmap of agent research and development', Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 1(1), pp. 7–38. **A Roadmap of Agent Research and Development | Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems**

Parunak, H.V.D., Savit, R. and Riolo, R.L. (1998) 'Agent-based modeling vs. equation-based modeling: A case study and users' guide', Proceedings of Multi-Agent Systems and Agent-Based Simulation, pp. 10–25.

Russell, S. and Norvig, P. (2021) Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. 4th end. Harlow: Pearson.