

ETC3580: Advanced Statistical Modelling

Week 7: Mixed-effect models

Outline

- 1 Random effects
- 2 Estimation
- 3 Diagnostics
- 4 Inference

Grouped data

Data come in groups, rather than iid:

- Survey of students, within classes, within schools
- Data on regions within states within countries
- Measurements on people over time
- Measuring different drugs on same people

Correlations between observations within the same group, so independence assumption inappropriate

Fixed and random effects

Fixed effect:

- coefficients we estimate from the data
- levels of categorical variable are non-random
- Parameters in LM and GLMs are fixed effects

Random effect:

- random variable within model
- levels of categorical variable drawn from random distribution
- estimate parameters of distribution of effect
- used to handle grouped data

Example: Estimating income by postcode

Data set consists of household incomes and postcodes.

Some postcodes have many observations, some only a couple of households.

Example: Estimating income by postcode

Data set consists of household incomes and postcodes.

Some postcodes have many observations, some only a couple of households.

Approach 1: take mean of each postcode.

Fails with poorly sampled postcodes.

Approach 2: treat postcode as a random effect

- Shrinks individual estimates towards global mean
- Handles poorly sampled postcodes
- Closely related to hierarchical Bayesian modelling

Random effects are useful when ...

- Lots of levels of a factor (categorical predictor)
- Relatively little data on some levels
- Uneven sampling across levels
- Not all levels sampled

Random effects are useful when ...

- Lots of levels of a factor (categorical predictor)
- Relatively little data on some levels
- Uneven sampling across levels
- Not all levels sampled

Are these fixed or random?

- gender
- postcodes
- units (in student evaluation surveys)
- race

Random effects are useful when ...

- Lots of levels of a factor (categorical predictor)
- Relatively little data on some levels
- Uneven sampling across levels
- Not all levels sampled

Are these fixed or random?

- gender
- postcodes
- units (in student evaluation surveys)
- race

Somewhat controversial. Some authors say always use random effects.

Induced correlation

Suppose we have one random effect:

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where
$$i = 1, ..., a$$
 and $j = 1, ..., n$,

$$\alpha \sim \textit{N}(\textit{0}, \sigma_{\alpha}^{\textit{2}})$$
 and $\varepsilon \sim \textit{N}(\textit{0}, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\textit{2}}).$

Induced correlation

Suppose we have one random effect:

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where i = 1, ..., a and j = 1, ..., n, $\alpha \sim N(0, \sigma_{\alpha}^2)$ and $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$.

Intra-class correlation

$$Corr(y_{ij}, y_{ik}) = \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^2}{\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2}$$

General model

Error form:

$$y = X\beta + Z\gamma + \varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ and $\gamma \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{D})$.

General model

Error form:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{D})$.

Conditional distribution form:

$$\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}\sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\gamma},\sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$
 where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0},\sigma^2\mathbf{D})$.

8

General model

Error form:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

where $\varepsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ and $\gamma \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{D})$.

Conditional distribution form:

$$\mathbf{y}|oldsymbol{\gamma}\sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta}+\mathbf{Z}oldsymbol{\gamma},\sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$

where $\gamma \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{D})$.

Unconditional distribution form:

$$\mathbf{y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{Z}'))$$

Model specification

Formula	Meaning
(1 g)	Random intercept with fixed mean
(1 g1) + (1 g2)	Random intercepts for both g1 and g2
x + (x g)	Correlated random intercept and slope
x + (x g)	Uncorrelated random intercept and slope

Outline

- 1 Random effects
- 2 Estimation
- 3 Diagnostics
- 4 Inference

Let V = I + ZDZ'. Then

$$L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\sigma^2 \mathbf{V}|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)' \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)' \right\}$$

Let V = I + ZDZ'. Then

$$L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\sigma^2 \mathbf{V}|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})' \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})' \right\}$$

So

$$\log L = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log|\sigma^2\mathbf{V}| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'$$

Let V = I + ZDZ'. Then

$$L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\sigma^2 \mathbf{V}|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})' \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})' \right\}$$

So

$$\log L = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log|\sigma^2\mathbf{V}| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'$$

Optimize to find β , σ^2 and **D**.

Let V = I + ZDZ'. Then

$$L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\sigma^2 \mathbf{V}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})' \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'\right\}$$

So

$$\log L = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log|\sigma^2\mathbf{V}| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'$$

Optimize to find β , σ^2 and **D**.

Problems:

- biased parameters on boundaries
- non-zero derivatives at boundaries

Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML)

- Designed to avoid MLE problems
- Find all independent linear combinations k of the response such that k'X = 0.
- Form matrix **K** with columns **k**:

$$\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{y} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{K}' \mathbf{V} \mathbf{K})$$

- Maximize likelihood of K'y (only D and σ), then find β .
- Less biased
- Implemented in lme4::lmer()

Estimates of random effects

$${\bf y}|{\bf \gamma}\sim {\it N}({\bf X}{\bf \beta}+{\bf Z}{\bf \gamma},\sigma^2{\bf I})$$
 where ${\bf \gamma}\sim {\it N}({\bf 0},\sigma^2{\bf D}).$

Estimates of random effects

$$\mathbf{y}|m{\gamma}\sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{X}m{eta}+\mathbf{Z}m{\gamma},\sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$
 where $m{\gamma}\sim \mathsf{N}(\mathbf{0},\sigma^2\mathbf{D}).$

 γ is not estimated because it is random. But we might want to know something about the expected values.

$$E(\gamma|\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

Use ranef(fit)

Outline

- 1 Random effects
- 2 Estimation
- 3 Diagnostics
- 4 Inference

Residuals

- More than one kind of fitted value, so more than one kind of residual.
- Default is to estimate ε which is most useful for model diagnostics.
- plot will plot residuals vs fitted values (good for spotting heteroskedasticity)
- Plotting residuals vs predictors helps in spotting nonlinearity as usual.
- qqnorm on residuals for normality check of residuals
- qqnorm on random effects for normality check on random effects

Outline

- 1 Random effects
- 2 Estimation
- 3 Diagnostics
- 4 Inference

Likelihood ratio tests

- If you compare two nested models that differ only in their fixed effects, you cannot use REML.
 You must use MLE despite its problems.
- Assuming you use MLE, the χ^2 approximation can be seriously wrong.
- You can't test hypotheses of the form $H_0: \sigma_{\alpha}^2 = 0$.
- p-values on fixed effects are too small, p-values on random effects are too large.
- Ime4 will not give you p-values
- The only reasonable approach at this stage is to use a **parametric bootstrap** or reframe as a Bayesian problem.

Bootstrap

- Fit full model and null model to the data
- Compute test statistic
- Simulate pseudo-data from the null model
- Fit both models to the pseudo-data and compute the test statistic.
- Repeat steps 2–3 a large number of times.
- Find proportion of times simulated test statistics are greater than actual test statistic.

Model selection

- AIC can be used provided we only compare models which differ on fixed effects, and we use full MLE (not REML)
- Comparing models with different random effects is hard due to no defined degrees of freedom.
- Probably best to go Bayesian.