Course Code:CSE499B
Section:20
Group:04

Ahmed Sadman
2014094042
Department of ECE
ahmed.sadman@northsouth.edu

Muhaiminul Hasan Dihan
2111208642
Department of ECE
Muhaiminul.dihan@northsouth.edu

Tawhid Islam
2021499042
Department of ECE
tawhid.islam@northsouth.edu

Alamin Sheikh Naim
2013556642
Department Of ECE
alamin.naim@northsouth.ed

Weekly Updates 2nd March

In this week worked four more paper analysis based on previous topic

I. 3DMatch Report Analysis

3DMatch is a 3D geometric feature matching and point cloud registration dataset. It has RGB-D (depth + color) scans of indoor scenes such as bedrooms, offices, and kitchens. The
dataset teaches models to register and fuse point clouds from different viewpoints to reconstruct 3D scenes.

Outcome:
Facilitates development of 3D reconstruction and SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) algorithms. Aids in feature extraction and matching for robotics and AR/VR applications. Provides benchmark data
for the assessment of deep learning models for point cloud registration.

Limitations:
Only addresses indoor environments, which limits its use outdoors. Low-resolution depth maps introduce noise and reduce feature matching accuracy.
Only employs RGB-D sensors, which are less common outdoors compared to LiDAR.

Potential Improvements:
Include outdoor datasets to enable greater model generalization. Increase sensor resolution to provide higher detail depth maps. Enhance sensor diversity by incorporating LiDAR and stereo cameras
for more precise 3D scene reconstruction.

II. Point Cloud Denoising Review: From Classical to Deep Learning-Based Approaches

Outcome:
The current paper gives an overview of point cloud denoising methods from the traditional methods to deep learning methods. The paper discusses traditional methods such as statistical filtering, optimization-based smoothing, and graph-based methods, and recent deep learning methods such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs), autoencoders, graph neural networks (GNNs), and generative models. The paper also discusses the effect of various noises on point clouds and the methods used to eliminate them without degrading geometric information.
Offers a comprehensive overview of traditional and AI-driven denoising techniques.
Highlights the advantage of deep learning models in identifying patterns of noise and recovering high-quality point clouds.
Identifies the highest-level issues, such as the trade-off between feature detail preservation and noise elimination, which is extremely critical in the application of applications in driverless cars, 3D reconstruction, and AR/VR.

Limitations:
Classic methods struggle to maintain small details and reject noise.
Deep learning models require enormous high-quality datasets to train them and can also be computationally expensive. Generalization is an issue because learned models will barely perform their best when they encounter unseen forms of noise.

How It Can Be Improved
Develop hybrid methods that combine traditional and deep learning methods with improved performance.

Develop annotated and more informative data sets to improve the generalization of deep models.

Develop real-time and lightweight denoising mechanisms to reduce the expense of computations in large-data applications.

Effective noise reduction enhances point cloud accuracy while preserving structural details. Statistical filtering (e.g., SOR, ROR) removes outliers based on point distribution. Spatial filtering (e.g., Gaussian, Median, Bilateral) smooths noise while retaining edges. Machine learning approaches, like deep learning and autoencoders, learn noise patterns for adaptive denoising. Clustering methods (e.g., DBSCAN, region growing) detect and remove sparse noise points. Frequency-based filtering, using wavelet and Fourier transforms, separates noise from essential features. Combining these techniques optimizes noise removal while maintaining data integrity.

III. Point Cloud Denoising: A Comprehensive Survey

Outcome

The paper gives a systematic survey of point cloud denoising techniques by classifying them into filtering-based, optimization-based, and learning-based techniques. The paper explains the reasons why LiDAR and RGB-D sensors are noisy and how different denoising techniques impact geometric detail preservation. The paper gives an overview of the quality evaluation measures that are frequently used to ensure the quality of denoising and gives a concise overview of the development of deep learning for point cloud reconstruction.

Provides comparative analysis of the existing denoising techniques and their efficiency in different scenarios.

Establishes a benchmark framework to evaluate denoising performance and helps researchers to select appropriate methods.Explores new trends, such as self-supervised learning and neural implicit representations, to achieve more adaptive denoising.

Limitations:

The majority of denoising algorithms do not well cope with structured noise, and hence artifacts are created.

Real-time denoising remains a problem, particularly in robotics and autonomous vehicle large-scale point clouds.

The trade-off between noise removal and preserving fine details is not completely settled yet.

How It Can Be Improved:

Make adaptive denoising architectures that change dynamically based on noise patterns.

Boost real-time performance through the use of hardware acceleration and deep learning-improved architectures.

Enhance cross-domain generalization such that models trained from synthetic data have the ability to generalize well in real life.

IV. "Point-GR: Graph Residual Point Cloud Network for 3D Object Classification and Segmentation

Summary: This work presents Point-GR, a deep neural network architecture to convert raw point clouds into high-dimensional representations without losing local geometric details. Through the use of residual-based learning, Point-GR solves permutation problems inherent in point cloud data. The network attains a scene segmentation mean Intersection over Union (IoU) of 73.47% on the S3DIS benchmark dataset, showing its competence in 3D shape analysis. The following is a critique of the Point-GR: Graph Residual Point Cloud Network for 3D Object Classification and Segmentation paper: Strengths: Maintains Local and Global Features – Uses graph residual learning to preserve geometric point cloud structures. Solves Point Permutation Problems – Handles unordered point cloud data efficiently. High Accuracy in Segmentation – Achieves 73.47% mean IoU on the S3DIS benchmark, which shows it is efficient. Weaknesses: Computational Complexity – Graph-based models may be computationally costly, especially when applied to large point clouds. Scalability Issues – Performance may degrade with very dense or high-resolution 3D scenes. Dependency on Training Data – Model performance is subject to the quality and diversity of the dataset. Potential Improvements: Optimization for Efficiency – Employing light-weight graph convolution techniques to reduce computational cost. Enhanced Scalability – Employing hierarchical or multi-resolution approaches to improve performance on large-data. Data Augmentation – Increasing training with more diverse data sets to improve robustness across different environments

REFRENCES:

1. https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.03052?

2. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360326425_Point_cloud_denoising_review_from_classical_to_deep_learning-based_approaches

3. https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_cvpr_2017/papers/Zeng_3DMatch_Learning_Local_CVPR_2017_paper.pdf

4.