

I worked alone without any help.

## 1 Random Cuckoo Hashing

(a)  $\mathbb{P}[\text{No Collision}] = \frac{n!}{n^n}; \longrightarrow 0$

Since the size of the event space of such a situation is  $|\omega| = n!$ , and the size of the probability space is  $|\Omega| = n^n$ , so the probability of such a situation is  $\mathbb{P}[\text{No Collision}] = \frac{|\omega|}{|\Omega|} = \frac{n!}{n^n}$ , and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can see that  $\mathbb{P}[\text{No Collision}] \rightarrow 0$ .

We can represent  $\mathbb{P}[\text{No Collision}]$  in another method:  $\mathbb{P}[\text{No Collision}] = \frac{n}{n} \cdot \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{n}$ , which will tend toward 0 (all terms of this product are smaller than or equal to 1, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$ ) as  $n$  grows very large.

(b)  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Collisions}] = n - 1$

Let the expected number of collisions that we'll see while hashing  $D_n$  be  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Collisions for } D_n] = X$ .

Since we have already hashed  $D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}$ , and they each occupy their own bucket, so in this situation, the probability of  $D_n$  not getting a collision is  $\frac{1}{n}$  (which is equivalent to having 0 collisions); then, in other words, the probability of  $D_n$  getting a first collision is  $1 - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n-1}{n}$ .

Now, let  $D_n$  take the  $i^{th}$  bucket, the bucket of  $D_i$ . So now, we reached the same situation where  $(n - 1)$  pieces of data have occupied their own buckets, and a single piece of data  $D_i$  needs to be rehashed, and thus, the expected number of collisions we'll see hashing  $D_i$  would be  $X$  again, because it's an identical situation. This implies that the total number of collision of hashing  $D_n$  in this situation would be  $\mathbb{E}[\text{First Collision}] = 1 + X$ .

Thus, looking back at  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Collisions for } D_n]$ , we have this equation:

$$X = \frac{1}{n} \cdot 0 + \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot (1 + X)$$

Thus, we can calculate that:

$$X = n - 1$$

## 2 Markov's Inequality and Chebyshev's Inequality

### (a) True (Direct Proof)

We proceed by a direct proof. Using Theorem 16.1, we have that  $\text{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$ , which gives us that:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \text{var}(X) + \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = 9 + 2^2 = 13$$

This gives the value we desire. Q.E.D.

### (b) True (Proof by Contradiction)

Assume, for a contradiction, that  $\mathbb{P}[X \leq 1] > 8/9$ . Let  $R$  denote the assertion that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 2$ . Since the value of  $X$  is never greater than 10, so we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[X] < 1 \cdot 8/9 + 10 \cdot (1 - 8/9) = 2$$

In other words, the expectation of  $X$  would never reach 2, which implies  $\neg R$ . So  $R \wedge \neg R$  holds, which gives the contradiction. Q.E.D.

### (c) True (Direct Proof)

Given that  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X] = 2$  and  $\text{var}(X) = 9$ , so using Theorem 18.3 (Chebyshev's Inequality), we have that:

$$\mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| \geq 4] \leq \frac{\text{var}(X)}{4^2}$$

which gives that  $\mathbb{P}[|X - 2| \geq 4] \leq \frac{9}{16}$ . Then, since  $\mathbb{P}[|X - 2| \geq 4] = \mathbb{P}[X \geq 6] + \mathbb{P}[X \leq -2]$ , and since  $\mathbb{P}[X \leq -2]$  is nonnegative, so we can conclude that:

$$\mathbb{P}[X \geq 6] \leq \mathbb{P}[|X - 2| \geq 4] \leq \frac{9}{16}$$

This gives the result we desire. Q.E.D.

### (d) False (Counterexample)

Consider random variable  $X$  where  $\mathbb{P}[X = 6] = \frac{11}{32}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[X = -10] = \frac{1}{160}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[X = 0] = \frac{13}{20}$ .

Here, we can first verify that all values  $X$  can take on is not greater than 10. Then,  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 6 \cdot \frac{11}{32} + (-10) \cdot \frac{1}{160} + 0 \cdot \frac{13}{20} = 2$  as desired, and  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = 6^2 \cdot \frac{11}{32} + (-10)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{160} + 0^2 \cdot \frac{13}{20} = 13$ , which gives that  $\text{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = 13 - 2^2 = 9$  as desired. In other words, this is a random variable that satisfies all constraints. Yet,

$$\mathbb{E}[X \geq 6] \geq \mathbb{E}[X = 6] = \frac{11}{32} > \frac{9}{32}$$

Thus, this is a valid counterexample. Q.E.D.

### 3 Easy A's

$$\mathbb{E} = 35; \text{var} = 25$$

Since each of the first 3 problems (and the last 4 problems) are graded independently with the same range, expectation and variance, so the two sets are i.i.d., which implies that I could calculate desired results separately. Let the grading of one of the first three problems be  $I_1$  and let the grading of one of the last four problems be  $I_2$ , and denote my total score as the random variable  $X$ , where  $X = 3I_1 + 4I_2$ . As analyzed earlier, we have that the  $I_i$ 's are mutually independent:

Using given information, we have that  $\mathbb{E}[I_i] = 5$  and  $\text{var}(I_i) = 1$ . Thus, using results from the Notes (Theorem 15.1 and 16.3), we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[3I_1 + 4I_2] = 3\mathbb{E}[I_1] + 4\mathbb{E}[I_2] = 3 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5 = 35$$

$$\text{var}[X] = \text{var}[3I_1 + 4I_2] = \text{var}[3I_1] + \text{var}[4I_2] = 3^2\text{var}[I_1] + 4^2\text{var}[I_2] = 9 \cdot 1 + 16 \cdot 1 = 25$$

Now, using Chebyshev's inequality, we can calculate that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq 25] &\leq \frac{\text{var}(X)}{25^2} \\ \implies \mathbb{P}[|X - 35| \geq 25] &\leq \frac{25}{625} = \frac{1}{25} < 5\% \end{aligned}$$

In other words, I have less than a 5% chance of getting an A when the grades are randomly chosen this way. Q.E.D.

## 4 Confidence Interval Introduction

(a)  $\frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$

Since  $\sigma = \sqrt{\text{var}(X)}$ , so  $\text{var}(X) = \sigma^2$ . Thus, using Chebyshev's Inequality, we have that the upper bound would be:

$$\mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| \geq \epsilon] \leq \frac{\text{var}(X)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$$

(b) Direct Proof

Since the event  $|X - \mu| < \epsilon$  is equivalent to the event  $-\epsilon < X - \mu < \epsilon$ , which is then equivalent to the event  $X - \epsilon < \mu < X + \epsilon$  by properties of inequalities, which is then equivalent to the event  $\mu \in (X - \epsilon, X + \epsilon)$  by definition, so the event space of  $|X - \mu| < \epsilon$  is the same as the event space of  $\mu \in (X - \epsilon, X + \epsilon)$ , and they have the same sample space.

Thus,  $\mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| < \epsilon] = \mathbb{P}\{\mu \in (X - \epsilon, X + \epsilon)\}$ , as desired.

Q.E.D.

(c)  $\epsilon = 2\sqrt{5}\sigma$

We wish to have  $\mathbb{P}\{\mu \in (X - \epsilon, X + \epsilon)\} \geq 95\%$ . Using our results from parts (a) and (b), so we have that  $\mathbb{P}\{\mu \in (X - \epsilon, X + \epsilon)\} = \mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| < \epsilon] = \mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| \geq \epsilon] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[|X - \mu| \geq \epsilon] \geq 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$ . This means that if we can choose  $\epsilon$  such that  $1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2} \geq 95\%$ , then we can guarantee that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mu \in (X - \epsilon, X + \epsilon)\} \geq 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2} \geq 95\%$$

Thus, we can calculate that for  $1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2} \geq 95\%$ , so we need:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 &\geq 20\sigma^2 \\ \implies \epsilon &\geq 2\sqrt{5}\sigma \end{aligned}$$

(d)  $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mu, \text{var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

Since we're given that  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is a constant, and that  $\mu$  is the mean for  $X$  (i.e.  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$ ), and that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. samples, as well as that  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , so we can utilize Theorem 15.1 to get that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_1 + \dots + X_n] = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mathbb{E}[X_1] + \dots + \mathbb{E}[X_n]) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mu + \dots + \mu) \\ &\implies \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (n\mu) = \mu \end{aligned}$$

And also, using Theorem 16.3 and a result from Note 16 we have:

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}) = \text{var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \cdot \text{var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot (\text{var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{var}(X_n))$$

Then, since  $\text{var}(X) = \sigma^2$  by definition, so:

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot (\sigma^2 + \dots + \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot (n\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

(e)  $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{20\sigma^2}{n}}$

We can repeat the process of parts (a) to (c) to choose a proper width  $\epsilon$  of the confidence interval.

First, denoting the mean of  $\bar{X}$  as  $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \nu$ , and we calculate an upper bound on  $\mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| \geq \epsilon]$ , which, using Chebyshev's Inequality, is:

$$\mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| \geq \epsilon] \leq \frac{\text{var}(\bar{X})}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \epsilon^2}$$

Now, since the event  $|\bar{X} - \nu| < \epsilon$  is equivalent to the event  $-\epsilon < \bar{X} - \nu < \epsilon$ , which is then equivalent to the event  $\bar{X} - \epsilon < \nu < \bar{X} + \epsilon$  by properties of inequalities, which is then equivalent to the event of  $\nu \in (\bar{X} - \epsilon, \bar{X} + \epsilon)$  by definition, so the event space of  $|\bar{X} - \nu| < \epsilon$  is the same as the event space of  $\nu \in (\bar{X} - \epsilon, \bar{X} + \epsilon)$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| < \epsilon] = \mathbb{P}\{\nu \in (\bar{X} - \epsilon, \bar{X} + \epsilon)\}$ .

Then,  $\mathbb{P}\{\nu \in (\bar{X} - \epsilon, \bar{X} + \epsilon)\} = \mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| < \epsilon] = \overline{\mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| \geq \epsilon]} = 1 - \mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| \geq \epsilon]$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| \geq \epsilon] \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \epsilon^2}$ , so  $\mathbb{P}\{\nu \in (\bar{X} - \epsilon, \bar{X} + \epsilon)\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}[|\bar{X} - \nu| \geq \epsilon] \geq 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \epsilon^2}$ .

Since we wish to have  $\mathbb{P}\{\nu \in (\bar{X} - \epsilon, \bar{X} + \epsilon)\} \geq 95\%$ , so if  $1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \epsilon^2} \geq 95\%$ , then we can guarantee our desired result. With  $\sigma$  being known, so we can calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \epsilon^2} &\geq 95\% \\ \implies \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \epsilon^2} &\leq 0.05 \\ \implies 0.05\epsilon^2 &\geq \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\ \implies \epsilon^2 &\geq \frac{20\sigma^2}{n} \\ \implies \epsilon &\geq \sqrt{\frac{20\sigma^2}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{20\sigma^2}{n}}$  is an appropriate width of the confidence interval for the desired result, i.e. guaranteeing  $\mathbb{P}\{\nu \in (\bar{X} - \epsilon, \bar{X} + \epsilon)\} \geq 95\%$ .

(Confirmed: as  $n$  increases,  $\epsilon$  decreases.)