I worked alone without getting any help.

norted alone without petting any help. 1. (a) $\chi \wedge (\chi \Rightarrow y) \wedge (\neg y)$ $(\gamma V V) V (\gamma V V)$

(d)
$$(x \Rightarrow y) \lor (x \Rightarrow \neg y)$$
 (autology)
 $x \mid y \mid \neg y \mid x \Rightarrow y \mid x \Rightarrow \neg y \mid (x \Rightarrow y) \lor (x \Rightarrow \neg y)$
 $T \mid F \mid T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid T$
 $F \mid T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid T$
 $F \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid T \mid T$

```
1. (e) (x \vee y) \wedge (\neg (x \wedge y)).

x \mid y \mid x \vee y \mid x \wedge y \mid \neg (x \wedge y) \wedge (\neg (x \wedge y))

T \mid T \mid T \mid T \mid T

F \mid T \mid T \mid F \mid T \mid T

F \mid F \mid F \mid F \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid F \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid F \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid F \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid F \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid F

T \mid F \mid T \mid T \mid T \mid F
```

2 Miscellaneous Logic

(a)

(i) Possibly true.

false example:

```
Let G(x,y): y=x+2, so (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R}) is true for G(x,y).
However, since 3+2=5\neq 4, so G(3,4) is false.
```

true example:

Let
$$G(x,y): y=x+1$$
, so $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})$ is true for $G(x,y)$.
And, since $3+2=4$, so $G(3,4)$ is true.

(ii) Possibly true.

false example:

```
Let G(x,y): y=x+2, so (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R}) is true for G(x,y).
```

However, consider x = 0.

Since
$$0 + 2 = 2 \neq 3$$
, so $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})$ $G(x,3)$ is false.

true example:

Let G(x,y): y=3, so $(\forall x\in\mathbb{R})(\exists y\in\mathbb{R})$ is true for G(x,y).

Since the statement given indicates that y is always 3,

So, $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})$ G(x,3) is true.

(iii) Certainly true.

Since the statement given, $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})$ G(x,y), is true, and since $3 \in \mathbb{R}$, so there must exist a $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that G(3,y) is true. Thus, $\exists y \ G(3,y)$ is a true statement.

(iv) Certainly false.

Since the statement given, $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R}) \ G(x,y)$, is true, and since $3 \in \mathbb{R}$, so there must exist a $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that G(3,y) is true, which means that $\forall y \neg G(3,y)$ is a false statement.

(v) Possibly true.

false example:

```
Let G(x,y): y=3, so (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R}) is true for G(x,y).
```

So $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, y = 3$, which means that there's no x such that y = 4.

So $\exists x \ G(x,4)$ is false.

true example:

Let
$$G(x,y): y=x+2,$$
 so $(\forall x\in\mathbb{R})(\exists y\in\mathbb{R})$ is true for $G(x,y).$

Consider $x = 2, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since
$$2 + 2 = 4$$
, so $\exists x \ G(x, 4)$ is true.

(b)

$$(X \lor Y \lor Z) \land (\neg (X \land Y) \lor (Y \land Z) \lor (Z \land X))$$

3 Propositional Practice

(a) $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R}) \ (x \notin \mathbb{Q})$

True.

Consider $x = \pi$. $\pi \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\pi \notin \mathbb{Q}$, so the proposition is true.

(b)
$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}) \left(\left((x \in \mathbb{N}) \lor (x < 0) \right) \land \left(\neg \left((x \in \mathbb{N}) \land (x < 0) \right) \right) \right)$$

True.

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, so x >= 0 or x < 0, but not both.

If $x \ge 0$, then x is a natural number; if x < 0, then x is negative; x can't be both.

Thus, the proposition is true.

(c) $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N}) ((6 \mid x) \Longrightarrow ((2 \mid x) \lor (3 \mid x)))$

True.

Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$, x = 6 * k, so $k \in \mathbb{N}$

So x = 2 * (3k) where $3k \in \mathbb{N}$, which means that $2 \mid x$

So $((2 \mid x) \lor (3 \mid x))$ is true, which means that the proposition is true.

(d) All real numbers are complex numbers.

True

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so x = x + 0 * i, and since $x, 0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

So by definition of complex numbers, x is a complex number.

(e) If an integer is divisible by 2 or is divisible by 3, then it is divisible by 6.

False.

Consider x = 2, so x is an integer.

Since x is divisible by 2, so it is divisible by 2 or by 3.

However, there's no such integer a such that 2*a=6

So by definition, x is not divisble by 6, so the proposition is false.

(f) If a natural number is greater than 7, then it can be expressed as the sum of two natural numbers.

True

Let $x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 7$

Consider a = 0, b = x, so $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$

Thus, since a + b = 0 + x = x, so the proposition is true.

4 Proof by?

(a)

We proceed by contradiction. Assume that the proposition is false, which means that for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(10 \nmid xy)$, and that $((10 \mid x) \text{ or } (10 \mid y))$. Let our assertion R state that $10 \nmid xy$.

Without loss of generality, let $10 \mid x$, so let x = 10k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So xy = 10ky = 10(ky) where $ky \in \mathbb{Z}$, which by definition, means that $10 \mid xy$. This implies $\neg R$.

We conclude that $R \wedge \neg R$ holds; thus, we have a contradiction, as desired. Q.E.D.

I used Proof by Contradiction.

(b)

Prove. The contrapositive proposition is: $(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}) ((10 \mid x) \lor (10 \mid y)) \Longrightarrow (10 \mid xy)$.

As shown in part (a) above, if $((10 \mid x) \lor (10 \mid y))$, then $10 \mid xy$. Thus, the contrapositive is true. Q.E.D.

(c)

Disprove. The converse proposition is: $(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}) ((10 \nmid x) \land (10 \nmid y)) \Longrightarrow (10 \nmid xy)$.

Consider x=2,y=5, so $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since there's no such integer m,n such that 10*m=2 or 10*n=5, so by definition, x,y is not divisble by 10. So $((10\nmid x)\land (10\nmid y))$ is true.

Now, xy = 2 * 5 = 10 = 1 * 10 where $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so by definition, $10 \mid xy$. So $(10 \nmid xy)$ is false.//[.1cm] So we have $True \Longrightarrow False$, which shows that the converse is false. Q.E.D.

5 Proof or Disprove

(a) Prove. Direct Proof

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be an odd number, so let $n = 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

So
$$n^2 + 2n = (2k+1)^2 + 2*(2k+1) = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 + 4k + 2 = 4k^2 + 8k + 3 = 2*(2k^2 + 4k + 1) + 1$$

Since $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $(2k^2 + 4k + 1) \in \mathbb{N}$, so $n^2 + 2n$ is odd.

Thus, the proposition is true.

Q.E.D.

(b) Prove. Proof by Cases

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. We proceed by cases. Let us divide our proof into two cases, exactly one of which must be true: (1) x >= y; or (2) x < y.

Case (1): Since
$$x >= y$$
, so $|x - y| = x - y$ and $\min(x, y) = y$.

So
$$(x+y-|x-y|)/2 = (x+y-x+y)/2 = (2y)/2 = y = \min(x,y)$$

Case (2): Since
$$x < y$$
, so $|x - y| = -x + y$, and $\min(x, y) = x$

So
$$(x+y-|x-y|)/2 = (x+y+x-y)/2 = (2x)/2 = x = \min(x,y)$$

Thus,
$$\min(x, y) = (x + y - |x - y|)/2$$

Q.E.D.

(c) Prove. Proof by Contradiction

We proceed by contradiction. Assume that the proposition is false, which means that for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, (a+b <= 10)$, and that ((a <= 7) or (b <= 3)) is false. Let our assertion R state that (a+b <= 10).

Since
$$((a \le 7) \text{ or } (b \le 3))$$
 is false, so $(a > 7)$ and $(b > 3)$. So $a + b > 7 + 3 > 10$.

This implies $\neg R$. We conclude that $R \wedge \neg R$ holds; thus, we have a contradiction, as desired.

Thus, the proposition is true.

Q.E.D.

(d) Prove. Proof by Contradiction

We proceed by contradiction. Assume that the proposition is false, which means that for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$, r is irrational and r+1 is rational. Let our assertion R state that r is irrational. Since r+1 is rational, by definition, let $r+1=\frac{p}{q}$ such that $p,q\in\mathbb{Z}$. So $r=r+1-1=\frac{p}{q}-1=\frac{p-q}{q}$. Since $p-q,q\in\mathbb{Z}$, so by definition, r is rational.

This implies $\neg R$. We conclude that $R \wedge \neg R$ holds; thus, we have a contradiction, as desired.

Thus, the proposition is true.

Q.E.D.

(e) Disprove.

Consider $n = 6 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

So
$$10n^2 = 10 * 6^2 = 360$$
, and $n! = 6! = 720$.

Since 360 < 720, so $10n^2 > n!$ is false for $n = 6 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Thus, the proposition is false.

Q.E.D.

6 Preserving Set Operations

(a)

We would show two parts: (1) $f^{-1}(A \cup B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$; and (2) $(f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \cup B)$.

Part (1): For any element $e \in f^{-1}(A \cup B)$, by definition of inverse images, so $f(e) \in A \cup B$, so $f(e) \in A$ or $f(e) \in B$. We proceed by cases. Let us divide our proof into two cases, exactly one of which must be true: (i) $f(e) \in A$; or (ii) $f(e) \notin A$.

Case (i): Since $f(e) \in A$, so by definition, $e \in f^{-1}(A)$, so $e \in (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$

So $f^{-1}(A \cup B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$

Case (ii): Since $f(e) \notin A$, and since $f(e) \in A$ or $f(e) \in B$, so $f(e) \in B$.

So by definition, $e \in f^{-1}(B)$, so $e \in (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$

So $f^{-1}(A \cup B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$

Thus, we have that $f^{-1}(A \cup B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$.

Part (2): For any element $e \in (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$, so $e \in f^{-1}(A)$ or $e \in f^{-1}(B)$. We proceed by cases. Let us divide our proof into two cases, exactly one of which must be true: (i) $e \in f^{-1}(A)$; or (ii) $e \notin f^{-1}(A)$.

Case (i): Since $e \in f^{-1}(A)$, so by definition, $f(e) \in A$, so $f(e) \in A \cup B$.

So by definition, $e \in f^{-1}(A \cup B)$.

Case (ii): Since $e \notin f^{-1}(A)$, and since $e \in f^{-1}(A)$ or $e \in f^{-1}(B)$, so $e \in f^{-1}(B)$.

So by definition, $f(e) \in B$, so $f(e) \in A \cup B$.

So by definition, $e \in f^{-1}(A \cup B)$.

Thus, we have that $(f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \cup B)$.

Since $f^{-1}(A \cup B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$ and $(f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \cup B)$, so we have that $f^{-1}(A \cup B) = (f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B))$.

Q.E.D.

(b)

We would show two parts: (1) $f^{-1}(A \cap B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B))$; and (2) $(f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \cap B)$.

Part (1): For any element $e \in f^{-1}(A \cap B)$, by definition of inverse images, so $f(e) \in A \cap B$, so $f(e) \in A$ and $f(e) \in B$. Since $f(e) \in A$, by definition, so $e \in f^{-1}(A)$. Similarly, $e \in f^{-1}(B)$. So we have $e \in (f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B))$, which implies that $f^{-1}(A \cap B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B))$.

Part (2): For any element $e \in (f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B))$, so $e \in f^{-1}(A)$ and $e \in f^{-1}(B)$. Since $e \in f^{-1}(A)$, so by definition of inverse images, $f(e) \in A$. Similarly, $f(e) \in B$. So $f(e) \in A \cap B$. So by definition, $e \in f^{-1}(A \cap B)$, which implies that $(f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \cap B)$.

Since $f^{-1}(A \cap B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B))$ and $(f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \cap B)$, so we have that $f^{-1}(A \cap B) = (f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B))$.

Q.E.D.

(c)

We would show two parts: (1) $f^{-1}(A \setminus B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B))$; and (2) $(f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \setminus B)$.

Part (1): For any element $e \in f^{-1}(A \setminus B)$, by definition of inverse images, so $f(e) \in A \setminus B$, so $f(e) \in A$ and $f(e) \notin B$. Since $f(e) \in A$, by definition, so $e \in f^{-1}(A)$. Similarly, $e \notin f^{-1}(B)$. So we

have $e \in (f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B))$, which implies that $f^{-1}(A \setminus B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B))$.

Part (2): For any element $e \in (f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B))$, so $e \in f^{-1}(A)$ and $e \notin f^{-1}(B)$. Since $e \in f^{-1}(A)$, so by definition of inverse images, $f(e) \in A$. Similarly, $f(e) \notin B$. So $f(e) \in A \setminus B$. So by definition, $e \in f^{-1}(A \setminus B)$, which implies that $(f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \setminus B)$.

Since $f^{-1}(A \setminus B) \subseteq (f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B))$ and $(f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A \setminus B)$, so we have that $f^{-1}(A \setminus B) = (f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B))$. Q.E.D.

(d)

We would show two parts: (1) $f(A \cup B) \subseteq (f(A) \cup f(B))$; and (2) $(f(A) \cup f(B)) \subseteq f(A \cup B)$.

Part (1): Consider any element $e \in f(A \cup B)$. By definition of images, so there exists some $x \in A \cup B$ such that e = f(x). WLOG, let $x \in A$. So by definition, $e \in f(A)$, so $e \in f(A) \cup f(B)$, which implies that $f(A \cup B) \subseteq (f(A) \cup f(B))$.

Part (2): Consider any element $e \in f(A) \cup f(B)$. WLOG, let $e \in f(A)$. By definition, so $\exists x \in A$ such that e = f(x). Since $x \in A$, so $x \in A \cup B$, so by definition, $e \in f(A \cup B)$, which implies that $(f(A) \cup f(B)) \subseteq f(A \cup B)$.

Thus, we have that $f(A \cup B) = (f(A) \cup f(B))$. Q.E.D.

(e)

For any element $e \in f(A \cap B)$, by definition of images, so there exists some $x \in A \cap B$ such that e = f(x), so $x \in A$ and $x \in B$. Since e = f(x) and $x \in A$, again by definition, we have $e \in f(A)$. Similarly, $e \in f(B)$, so $e \in f(A) \cap f(B)$, which implies that $f(A \cap B) \in f(A) \cap f(B)$.

An example where the equality does not hold:

```
Consider f(x) = x^2, A = \{0, 2\}, B = \{0, -2\}.
So A \cap B = \{0\}. By definition of images, we have f(A \cap B) = \{0\}, f(A) = \{0, 4\}, f(B) = \{0, 4\}, so f(A) \cap f(B) = \{0, 4\}, which gives that f(A \cap B) \neq f(A) \cap f(B).
Q.E.D.
```

(f)

For any element $e \in f(A) \setminus f(B)$, so $e \in f(A)$ and $e \notin f(B)$. By definition of images, there exists some $x \in A$ such that e = f(x). Similarly, there's no such $y \in B$ such that e = f(y), which implies that $x \notin B$, which means that $x \in A \setminus B$. And since e = f(x), so by definition, $e \in f(A \setminus B)$, which implies that $f(A \setminus B) \supseteq f(A) \setminus f(B)$.

An example where the equality does not hold:

```
Consider f(x) = x^2, A = \{0, 2\}, B = \{-2\}.
So A \setminus B = \{0, 2\}. By definition of images, we have f(A \setminus B) = \{0, 4\}, f(A) = \{0, 4\}, f(B) = \{4\}, so f(A) \setminus f(B) = \{0\}, which gives that f(A \setminus B) \neq f(A) \setminus f(B).
Q.E.D.
```