## 4 Euler's Totient Function

## (a) p-1

Since p is a prime number, by definition of prime numbers, so p > 1 and p is not divisible by any positive integer except 1 and itself, p. First, by definition of greatest common divisor, we have that gcd(p,1) = 1 and  $gcd(p,p) = p \neq 1$ , which means that 1 is in the set we defined, and p is not. We proceed to prove that for any arbitrary  $i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 < i < p$ , we have that gcd(p,i) = 1, which is equivalent to i is in the set.

Assume, for a contradiction, that  $gcd(p, i) \neq 1$ . Let gcd(p, i) = d, so  $d > 1, d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d \mid p$ . Also, since i < p, so  $d \neq p$ , so 1 < d < p and  $d \mid p$ . But, by definition of primes, p should not be divisible by any positive integer besides 1 and p, and we reach a contradiction.

Thus, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 < i < p$ , we have that gcd(p, i) = 1, which means that i is in the set by definition of Euler's totient function.

Therefore, there is a total of 1 + (p-2) = p-1 positive integers less than or equal to p which are relatively prime to it; in other words,  $\phi(p) = p-1$ .

(b) 
$$p^k - p^{k-1}$$

Since p is a prime, so the only prime factor of  $p^k$  is p. We claim that for any integer  $i\mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $1 \le i \le p^k$ , if i is relatively prime to p, then i is also relatively prime to  $p^k$ . We proceed by contradiction to prove the claim.

Suppose there exist an  $i^*\mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $1 \leq i^* \leq p^k$  such that  $i^*$  is relatively prime to p, but not relatively prime to  $p^k$ . Let  $\gcd(p^k, i^*) = d$ , so  $d \in \mathbb{Z}, d > 1$ . So, we have that  $d \mid i^*$  and  $d \mid p^k$ , and since p is a prime, so d would have to divide p. Now,  $d \mid p$  and  $d \mid i^*$ , so  $\gcd(p, i^*) > d > 1$ , which implies that  $p, i^*$  are not relatively prime, so we conclude with a contradiction, so our assertion above is true.

Thus, if i is in the set we defined, meaning that  $p^k$ , i are relatively prime, then p, i are also relatively prime. Using the logic from our proof in part (a), since p is a prime, so i would be relatively prime to  $p^k$  unless  $p \mid i$ ; in other words, i is a multiple of p. For i such that  $1 \le i \le p^k$ , since  $p^k = p^{k-1} * p$ , so all the multiples of p are:  $1*p, 2*p, 3*p, ..., p^{k-1}*p$ , which means that there are  $p^{k-1}$ -many multiples of p, and all other positive integers less than or equal to  $p^k$  are relatively prime to  $p^k$ . Thus, there are  $p^k - p^{k-1}$  numbers relatively prime to  $p^k$ .

Therefore, there are  $(p^k - p^{k-1})$ -many numbers in the set defined; so in other words,  $\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$ .

## (c) 1

Since p is a prime number and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , a < p, using our logic in parts (a) and (b) again, so we have that a, p are relatively prime. Again, using the result from part (a), so we have that  $\phi(p) = p - 1$ . With the fact that we proved earlier, which is equivalent to  $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ , using Fermat's Little Theorem, so we have that  $a^{\phi(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

## (d) Direct Proof

We proceed by a direct proof. Given that  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with prime factors  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$ , and  $b = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , so we have that  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$  are all different primes, which implies that  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$  are all relatively prime; in other words, for any  $p_i, p_j$  with  $1 \le i, j \le k$ , so  $\gcd(p_i, p_j) = 1$ . Now we claim that for two different primes  $p_i, p_j$ , then for any  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\gcd(p_i^{\alpha_i}, p_j^{\alpha_j}) = 1$ .

Assume, for a contradiction, that  $\gcd(p_i^{\alpha_i}, p_i^{\alpha_j}) \neq 1$ , so let  $\gcd(p_i^{\alpha_i}, p_i^{\alpha_j}) = d$  where  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+, d > 1$ .

Thus, we have that  $d \mid p_i^{\alpha_i}$ . Since  $p_i$  is prime and d > 1, so d has to be a multiple of  $p_i$ . Let  $d = p_i \cdot d^*, d^* \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since by definition of greatest common divisors, we also have that  $d \mid p_j^{\alpha_j}$ , so  $p_i \mid p_j^{\alpha_j}$ , which is impossible since  $p_i, p_j$  are different primes. Thus, we have a contradiction, so  $\gcd(p_i^{\alpha_i}, p_j^{\alpha_j}) = 1$ .

Thus, using the given property of Euler's totient function, we have that  $\phi(b) = \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdot \phi(p_2^{\alpha_2}) \cdots \phi(p_k^{\alpha_k})$ . Then, using the result obtained from part (b), we have that  $\phi(b) = (p_1^{\alpha_1} - p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}) \cdot (p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}) \cdots (p_k^{\alpha_k} - p_k^{\alpha_k - 1})$ .

Now, for any a relatively prime to b, and any arbitrary  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ , we have that  $a, p_i$  is also relatively prime, which is equivalent to  $gcd(a, p_i) = 1$ . Thus, Fermat's Little Theorem, we have that  $a^{p_i-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ .

 $\text{Therefore, } a^{\phi(b)} = a^{(p_1^{\alpha_1} - p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}) \cdot (p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}) \cdot \cdots (p_k^{\alpha_k} - p_k^{\alpha_k - 1})} = a^{(p_1^{\alpha_1} - p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}) \cdot (p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}) \cdot \cdots (p_i^{(\alpha_i - 1)} \cdot (p_i^{\alpha_1} - p_k^{\alpha_k - 1})} = a^{(p_i - 1) \cdot (p_1^{\alpha_1} - p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}) \cdot (p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}) \cdot \cdots (p_i^{\alpha_k} - p_k^{\alpha_k - 1})} = a^{(p_i - 1) \cdot (p_1^{\alpha_1} - p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}) \cdot \cdots (p_k^{\alpha_k} - p_k^{\alpha_k - 1})} = 1 \pmod{p_i}.$ 

Therefore, for any a relatively prime to  $b, \forall i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}, a^{\phi(b)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ . Q.E.D.