2 A Coin Game

Proof. We proceed by induction on n.

Base case (n=1): Nothing could be split, so the score is always 0, and we have $0=\frac{1*(1-1)}{2}=0$. Base case (n=2): There is only one splitting strategy: splitting 2 coins into two stacks of 1. So, the score is always 1*1=1, and we have $1=\frac{2*(2-1)}{2}=1$.

Thus, the base cases are correct.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that the claim, the score is always $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, is true for all $1 \le n \le k$ for k > 2.

Inductive Step: We prove the claim for $n=k+1\geq 3$. Since there is only one stack initially, assume the first turn split it into x and (n-x) coins, with $1\leq x\leq n-1$, so the score of the first turn is x(n-x) and $x,n-x\leq n-1=k$. Thus, the Inductive Hypothesis implies that the stack with x coins would eventually score $\frac{x(x-1)}{2}$, and the stack with x=x coins would eventually score $\frac{(n-x)(n-x-1)}{2}$. Therefore, the total score would be: $x(n-x)+\frac{x(x-1)}{2}+\frac{(n-x)(n-x-1)}{2}=xn-x^2+\frac{(x^2-x)+(n^2-nx-n-nx+x^2+x)}{2}=xn-x^2+\frac{2x^2+n^2-2nx-n}{2}=xn-x^2+x^2-nx+\frac{n^2-n}{2}=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, which means that the score is always $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

Thus, by the principle of mathematical induction, the claim holds.

Q.E.D.