

Controllability and Stability

- Define “orth” as shorthand for “orthonormal”
- Controllability $\iff \text{span}(B, AB, A^2B, \dots, A^{n-1}B) = \mathbb{R}^n$; can set eigenvals to whatever we want $(A + BF)$ with feedback gains, i.e. any unstable controllable system can be made stable
- Observability $\iff \mathcal{O} = [C, CA, CA^2, \dots, CA^{n-1}]^T$ has full rank
- The general solution to $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t) + B\vec{u}(t)$ is $\vec{x}(t) = A^t x(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} A^{t-1-k} B\vec{u}(k)$ for $t \geq 1$.
- Represent CT model with DT model, sampling period Δ . Suppose A (CT) is diagonalizable, i.e. $\exists V$ s.t. $V^{-1}AV = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$, then (DT) $A_d = V \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 \Delta} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{\lambda_n \Delta} \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$
- Controllability matrix of original matrix: $G = [A, AB]$, so system viewed in basis of $\text{Col}(A)$ is $\tilde{A} = G^{-1}AG$ with $\tilde{z} = G\vec{x}$, $\tilde{B} = GB$, then for the canonical system $A_z = \tilde{A}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{0} & I_{n-1} \\ a_0 & a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $B_z = [0, \dots, 0, 1]^T$ (?); controllability matrix is $H = [B_z, A_z B_z]$, with $\tilde{A} = H^{-1}A_z H$ as well, and transformation $P = \tilde{G}G^{-1} = HG^{-1}$, giving $\tilde{z} = HG^{-1}\vec{x}$ (i.e. GH^{-1} -basis)
- Canonical form has the same characteristic polynomial, i.e. $\det(A - \lambda I) = \det(A_z - \lambda I) = \lambda^n - a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} - \dots - a_1\lambda - a_0$ and do pattern matching (A_z always take the above form)
- DT stability (BIBO) \iff all eigenvalues magnitudes $|\lambda| < 1$ (max error @ $\lambda = 0$); CT stability \iff eigenvalues have non-positive real parts, i.e. all $\text{Re}\{\lambda\} < 0$
- System Identification with $DP = S$, least squares gives: $P = (D^T D)^{-1} D^T S$; choose our inputs randomly will ensure with high probability that D has lin. indep. cols, and the work of calcng $(D^T D)^{-1}$ can be reused.
- Any observable system with an n -dimensional internal state can be modelled as $y[i+1] = a_{11}y[i] + \dots + a_{n1}y[i-n+1] + b_1u[i] + \dots + b_nu[i-n+1]$, aka observable canonical form.
- The choice of n is important when performing system identification.

Linearization

- Defn (Linearity): (1) Scaling: $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$, e.g. must pass thru $(0, 0)$; and (2) Superposition: $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$
- Taylor Series approx.: If f is differentiable k times at x^* (for any $k \geq 1$), i.e. $\frac{d^k}{dx^k}$ should be well defined. Then the Taylor expansion is: $f(x^* + \epsilon) \approx f(x^*) + \epsilon f'(x^*) + \epsilon^2 \frac{f^{(2)}(x^*)}{2!} + \dots + \epsilon^k \frac{f^{(k)}(x^*)}{k!}$
- Linearize (set $k = 1$): $f(x^* + \epsilon) = f(x^*) + \epsilon f'(x^*)$
- Procedure to linearize $\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + u(t)$:
 1. Set a constant u^* and find DC operating pt (x^*, u^*) , i.e. where $f(x^*) = -u^*$
 2. Find slope $m = \left. \frac{df(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=x^*}$
 3. Linearize $\frac{dx_l(t)}{dt} = m \cdot x_l(t) + u_l(t)$ where $x_l(t) = x(t) - x^*$ and $u_l(t) = u(t) - u^*$
- Verify the “smallness” assumption (?), i.e. $x_l(t)$ is small enough for $t \geq 0$; N.B. if f is linear to begin with, then problem might arise
- Total derivative formula: $f(x^* + \delta x, y^* + \delta y) \approx f(x^*, y^*) + \left. \frac{\delta f}{\delta x} \right|_{(x^*, y^*)} \delta x + \left. \frac{\delta f}{\delta y} \right|_{(x^*, y^*)} \delta y$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \vec{f} &\approx \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta x_1 \\ \delta x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \delta x_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u_k} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u_k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial u_1} & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial u_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial u_k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta u_1 \\ \delta u_2 \\ \vdots \\ \delta u_k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= J_{\vec{x}} \delta \vec{x} + J_{\vec{u}} \delta \vec{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can approximate our state transition equation as

$$\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} \approx \vec{f}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{u}^*) + \delta \vec{f} = \vec{f}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{u}^*) + J_{\vec{x}} \delta \vec{x} + J_{\vec{u}} \delta \vec{u}.$$

But since we chose our DC operating point to be such that $\vec{f}(\vec{x}^*, \vec{u}^*) = \vec{0}$, we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\delta \vec{x}) \approx J_{\vec{x}} \delta \vec{x} + J_{\vec{u}} \delta \vec{u},$$

Orthonormalization and Gram-Schmidt

- Orthonormal matrix S : $S^T S = I$, i.e. $\vec{s}_i^T \vec{s}_j = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$
- Gram-S: input = lin indep. vectors $\{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$, output = $\{\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_n\}$ s.t. Q is orthonormal & for $0 < k \leq n$, $\text{span}(\{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_k\}) = \text{span}(\{\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_k\})$
- G-S Procedure
 1. $\vec{q}_1 = \frac{\vec{s}_1}{\|\vec{s}_1\|}$ with $\|\vec{q}_1\|^2 = 1$
 2. For \vec{q}_2 , choose $\vec{z}_2 = \vec{s}_2 - \alpha \vec{q}_1 = \vec{s}_2 - \text{proj}_{\vec{q}_1} \vec{s}_2 = \vec{s}_2 - \frac{\vec{q}_1^T \vec{s}_2}{\|\vec{q}_1\|^2} \vec{q}_1 = \vec{s}_2 - (\vec{q}_1^T \vec{s}_2) \vec{q}_1$. Finally, set $\vec{q}_2 = \frac{\vec{z}_2}{\|\vec{z}_2\|}$
 3. i.e. Generalizes to: $\vec{z}_i = \vec{s}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\vec{s}_i^T \vec{q}_j) \vec{q}_j$; then normalizes: $\vec{q}_i = \frac{\vec{z}_i}{\|\vec{z}_i\|}$

In other words: $S[i]^T (\vec{u}[i] - \text{proj}_{S[i]} \vec{u}[i]) = \vec{0}$, which implies $\vec{u}[i] - \text{proj}_{S[i]} \vec{u}[i]$ is orthogonal to $\text{Col}(S)$, so can be appended (after simple normalization) – producing an orthonormal basis from a set of vectors spanning a given vector space

- Let U whose columns form an orth basis for $\text{Col}(V)$, then $\text{proj}_{\text{Col}(V)} \vec{w} = V(V^T V)^{-1} V^T \vec{w} = U(U^T U)^{-1} U^T \vec{w} = \text{proj}_{\text{Col}(U)} \vec{w}$
- $\|A\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\vec{x}^T A^T T \vec{x}}$ for general matrix A ; important usage on symmetric matrix – for eigenvecs $U = [\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n]$ of symmetric matrix T , then they can be orthonormal, and general vector

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{u}_i^T \vec{x}) \vec{u}_i \text{ with } \|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{u}_i^T \vec{x})^2}, \text{ and so}$$

$$\vec{y} = T\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{u}_i^T \vec{x}) \lambda_i \vec{u}_i \text{ for corresponding eigenval } \lambda_i \text{ with } \|\vec{y}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{u}_i^T \vec{x} \lambda_i)^2}$$

- For orthonormal matrices U, V then $\|UA\|_F = \|AV\|_F = \|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^2}$ where σ_i 's are singular values of A (using SVD decomp)
- For symmetric A and V with orth cols, then $V^T A V$ is symmetric

SVD/PCA

- **Schur** decomposition: $A = QTQ^{-1}$ where $Q^T Q = I$ and T is upper-triangular; if A is symmetric, then T is diagonal (**Spectral** Theorem)
- A \mathbb{R} symmetric matrix will always have \mathbb{R} eigenvals
- SVD Procedure (tall matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $m > n$; pick A^T if $m < n$)
 1. Compute the symmetric matrix $A^T A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (AA^T if $m < n$)
 2. Find eigenvals & normalized eigenvcs $(\lambda_1, \vec{v}_1), \dots, (\lambda_n, \vec{v}_n)$ of $A^T A$. By the spectral theorem for real symmetric matrices, these eigenvectors are orth. (\vec{u}'_i s if $m < n$)
 3. $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$ where λ'_i s are sorted in desc order, and they're non-negative.
 4. Compute U where $\vec{u}_i = \frac{A\vec{v}_i}{\sigma_i}$ s.t. they are normalized since $\sigma_i = \|A\vec{v}_i\|$ and orth since $(A\vec{v}_i)^T (A\vec{v}_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ ($\vec{v}_i = \frac{A^T \vec{u}_i}{\sigma_i}$ if $m < n$)
 5. N.B. The eigenvc(s) corresponding to $\lambda = 0$ for $A^T A / AA^T$ (?) gives $\text{Null}(A)$; The columns of U gives $\text{range}(A)$

- SVD applies to arbitrary rectangle matrix: for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then $A = U\Sigma V^T$ where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & 0 & \dots \\ & \dots & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \sigma_n \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ & \dots & & \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is "diagonal"

- SVD: For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of rank r , then can be decomposed to sum of r rank-1's: $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^T$
- WLOG, let $m \geq n$, and (SVD) $A = U\Sigma V^T = [\vec{u}_1 \dots \vec{u}_m] \cdot \Sigma \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1^T \\ \dots \\ \vec{v}_n^T \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \sigma_k \vec{u}_k \vec{v}_k^T$, i.e. any $m \times n$ matrix A ($m \geq n$) can be expressed as the sum of n rank-1 matrices (sim for $m \leq n$).
- Minimum Energy Control: only have nonzero entries in directions orthogonal to $\text{Null}(\mathcal{C}_t)$, i.e. only first n entries, $v_1, \dots, v_n \neq 0$ gives $\min \|\vec{u}\|$
- Existence of such \vec{v}'_i s is shown by constructing an orthonormal basis Consider $Q = \mathcal{C}_t^T \mathcal{C}_t$, and eigenvals: $\lambda_i = \|\mathcal{C}_t \vec{v}_i\|^2$, so can order: $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > \lambda_{n+1} = \dots = \lambda_t = 0$

So, we can write $Q = V\Lambda V^{-1}$ where $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_t \end{bmatrix}$ and thus, let $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} = \|\mathcal{C}_t \vec{v}_i\|$,

the singular values.

- Create another orth basis since $\mathcal{C}_t \vec{v}_i$ are mutually orth: $\vec{w}_i = \frac{\mathcal{C}_t \vec{v}_i}{\sigma_i}$ (Check: ?) to get $\mathcal{C}_t = W\Sigma V^T$ (SVD!)
- $\mathcal{C}_t \vec{v}_i = \sigma_i \vec{w}_i$ for $i \leq n$; and $\mathcal{C}_t \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$ for $i > n$
- Min Energy Control: for $\mathcal{C}_t \vec{u} = \vec{x}^*$, obtain control: $\vec{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\vec{x}^* \cdot \vec{w}_i) \vec{w}_i}{\sigma_i} \vec{v}_i$

- Frobenius norm: $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}$
- $\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} - \mu_1 & A_{12} - \mu_2 & \dots & A_{1m} - \mu_m \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{n1} - \mu_1 & A_{n2} - \mu_2 & \dots & A_{nm} - \mu_m \end{bmatrix}$, and the covariance matrix $S = \frac{1}{n} \tilde{A}^T \tilde{A}$ is symmetric, and (**PCA**) can be written as $S = P\Lambda P^T$ where P is orth (its columns are principal components) and $\Lambda \geq 0$.
Define $S_i = \sqrt{S_{ii}}$, so correlation matrix: $R_{ij} = \frac{S_{ij}}{S_i S_j} = \cos \theta$
- SVD to PCA
- PCA to SVD

Extra Sanity Checks

- $x(0)e^{\lambda t} + \frac{u}{\lambda}(e^{\lambda t} - 1)$ solves $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \lambda x(t) + u$
- Proving some c is real $\iff c = \bar{c}$
- For $\vec{x} = [a + bj, c + dj]$, then $||\vec{x}||^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$
- $||\vec{x}||^2 = \vec{x}^T \vec{x} = 0 \iff \vec{x} = \vec{0}$
- \vec{u}, \vec{v} are orth. $\iff \vec{u}^T \vec{v} = 0$ and $||\vec{u}|| = 1$
- Finding $\text{Null}(A) \implies$ span of eigenvec(s) for eigenval = 0 (for A or $A^T A / A A^T$ (?))
- For SVD, the diagonal entries of Σ MUST be non-negative.
- (Disc) For symmetric matrix A , any two eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvals of A are orthogonal.
- Correlation matrix: if the data is exactly on a horizontal line, then the correlation becomes undefined
- Ex) Suppose that the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$ has lin indep columns. The vector in \mathbb{R}^N is not in the subspace spanned by the columns of A . Then $\text{proj}_{\text{Col}(A)} \vec{y} = A \vec{\hat{y}} = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{y}$ where $\vec{\hat{y}}$ minimizes $||\vec{y} - A \vec{\hat{y}}||$
- For \vec{x}_u in basis U and its corresponding \vec{x}_v in basis V , then $\vec{x} = U \vec{x}_u = V \vec{x}_v$
For orth basis U , decompose generic $\vec{x} = U^{-1} \vec{x} U = U^T \vec{x} U$
- For invertible T , $\det(A - \lambda I) = \det(T T^{-1} (A - \lambda I)) = \det(T (A - \lambda I) T^{-1})$