Meta-analysis of survival models in the DataSHIELD platform

Soumya Banerjee, Tom Bishop and DataSHIELD technical team

$23~\mathrm{June}~2021$

Contents

L	Summary	1
2	Survival analysis in DataSHIELD	2
3	Installation	2
1	Computational workflow	2
5	Creating server-side variables for survival analysis	3
3	Create survival object and call ds.coxph.SLMA()	4
7	Summary of survival objects	4
3	Diagnostics for Cox proportional hazards models	5
9	Meta-analyze hazard ratios	7
10	Plotting of privacy-preserving survival curves	7
11	Acknowledgements	10
12	References	10

1 Summary

This is a document that outlines a vignette for implementing survival models and meta-analyzing hazard ratios in the DataSHIELD platform.

2 Survival analysis in DataSHIELD

We outline code for implementing survival models and meta-analysis of hazard ratios in DataSHIELD.

All code is available here:

- https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsSurvival
- https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsSurvivalClient
- https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsBase
- https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsBaseClient

3 Installation

Install R Studio and the development environment as described below:

https://data2knowledge.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/DSDEV/pages/12943461/Getting+started

Then install the virtual machines as described below:

 $\bullet \ \, https://data2knowledge.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/DSDEV/pages/931069953/Installation+Training+Hub-+DataSHIELD+v6 \\$

Install the necessary packages by running the following commands in R Studio:

```
install.packages('devtools')
library(devtools)
devtools::install_github('neelsoumya/dsBaseClient')
devtools::install_github('neelsoumya/dsBase')
devtools::install_github('neelsoumya/dsSurvivalClient')
```

Install dsBase (neelsoumya/dsBase main branch) and dsSurvival (neelsoumya/dsSurvival main branch) on the Opal virtual machine.

4 Computational workflow

The computational steps are outlined below. The first step is connecting to the server and loading the survival data. We assume that the reader is familiar with these details.

```
library(knitr)
library(rmarkdown)
library(tinytex)
```

```
library(survival)
library(metafor)
library(ggplot2)
library(survminer)
library(dsSurvivalClient)
require('DSI')
require('DSOpal')
require('dsBaseClient')
builder <- DSI::newDSLoginBuilder()</pre>
builder$append(server = "study1",
               url = "http://192.168.56.100:8080/",
               user = "administrator", password = "datashield_test&",
               table = "SURVIVAL.EXPAND_NO_MISSING1", driver = "OpalDriver")
builder$append(server = "study2",
               url = "http://192.168.56.100:8080/",
               user = "administrator", password = "datashield_test&",
               table = "SURVIVAL.EXPAND_NO_MISSING2", driver = "OpalDriver")
builder$append(server = "study3",
               url = "http://192.168.56.100:8080/",
               user = "administrator", password = "datashield_test&",
               table = "SURVIVAL.EXPAND_NO_MISSING3", driver = "OpalDriver")
logindata <- builder$build()</pre>
connections <- DSI::datashield.login(logins = logindata, assign = TRUE, symbol = "D")</pre>
```

5 Creating server-side variables for survival analysis

We now outline some steps for analysing survival data.

• make sure that the outcome variable is numeric

• create in the server-side the log(survtime) variable

```
ds.log(x = "D$survtime",
       newobj = "log.surv",
       datasources = connections)
  • create start time variable
ds.asNumeric(x.name = "D$starttime",
             newobj = "STARTTIME",
             datasources = connections)
ds.asNumeric(x.name = "D$endtime",
             newobj = "ENDTIME",
             datasources = connections)
    Create survival object and call ds.coxph.SLMA()
  • use constructed Surv object in ds.coxph.SLMA()
dsSurvivalClient::ds.Surv(time='STARTTIME', time2='ENDTIME',
                       event = 'EVENT', objectname='surv_object',
                      type='counting')
coxph_model_full <- dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxph.SLMA(formula = 'surv_object~D$age+D$female')</pre>
  • use direct inline call to survival::Surv()
dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxph.SLMA(formula = 'survival::Surv(time=SURVTIME,event=EVENT)~D$age+D$female',
                                 dataName = 'D',
                                 datasources = connections)
  • call with survival::strata()
coxph_model_strata <- dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxph.SLMA(formula = 'surv_object~D$age +</pre>
                           survival::strata(D$female)',
summary(coxph_model_strata)
    Summary of survival objects
We can also summarize a server-side object of type survival::Surv() using a call to ds.coxphSummary(). This
will provide a non-disclosive summary of the server-side object. An example call is shown below:
```

dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxphSummary(x = 'coxph_serverside')

8 Diagnostics for Cox proportional hazards models

We have also created functions to test for the assumptions of Cox proportional hazards models.

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxphSLMAassign(formula = 'surv_object~D$age+D$female',
                            objectname = 'coxph_serverside')
dsSurvivalClient::ds.cox.zphSLMA(fit = 'coxph_serverside')
dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxphSummary(x = 'coxph_serverside')
A diagnostic summary is shown below.
## surv_object~D$age+D$female
## NUT.T.
## $study1
##
            chisq df
## D$age
            1.022 1 0.31
## D$female 0.364 1 0.55
## GLOBAL
          1.239 2 0.54
##
## $study2
##
                chisq df
           -1389.472 1 1.00
## D$age
## D$female
               0.591 1 0.44
## GLOBAL
            -857.492 2 1.00
##
## $study3
##
            chisq df
## D$age
            15.27 1 9.3e-05
## D$female 8.04 1 0.0046
## GLOBAL
           23.31 2 8.7e-06
## $study1
## Call:
## survival::coxph(formula = formula, data = dataTable, weights = weights,
      ties = ties, singular.ok = singular.ok, model = model, x = x,
##
##
      y = y
##
    n= 2060, number of events= 426
##
##
                                                z Pr(>|z|)
##
                 coef exp(coef) se(coef)
              0.041609 1.042487 0.003498 11.894 < 2e-16 ***
## D$female1 -0.660002 0.516850 0.099481 -6.634 3.26e-11 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
##
             exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## D$age
               1.0425
                           0.9592
                                     1.0354
                                               1.0497
## D$female1
                0.5169
                           1.9348
                                     0.4253
                                               0.6281
```

```
##
## Concordance= 0.676 (se = 0.014)
## Likelihood ratio test= 170.7 on 2 df,
## Wald test = 168.2 on 2 df,
                                          p=<2e-16
## Score (logrank) test = 166.3 on 2 df,
                                          p=<2e-16
##
##
## $study2
## Call:
## survival::coxph(formula = formula, data = dataTable, weights = weights,
      ties = ties, singular.ok = singular.ok, model = model, x = x,
##
      y = y
##
    n= 1640, number of events= 300
##
##
##
                coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                            z Pr(>|z|)
                       1.04151 0.00416 9.776 < 2e-16 ***
## D$age
             0.04067
## D$female1 -0.62756
                       ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
            exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## D$age
               1.0415
                          0.9601
                                    1.0331
                                             1.0500
## D$female1
               0.5339
                          1.8730
                                    0.4239
                                             0.6724
##
## Concordance= 0.674 (se = 0.017)
## Likelihood ratio test= 117.8 on 2 df,
                                          p=<2e-16
                       = 115.2 on 2 df,
## Wald test
                                         p=<2e-16
## Score (logrank) test = 116.4 on 2 df,
                                          p=<2e-16
##
##
## $study3
## Call:
## survival::coxph(formula = formula, data = dataTable, weights = weights,
##
      ties = ties, singular.ok = singular.ok, model = model, x = x,
##
      y = y
##
##
    n= 2688, number of events= 578
##
##
                 coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                              z Pr(>|z|)
             0.042145 1.043045 0.003086 13.655 < 2e-16 ***
## D$age
## D$female1 -0.599238  0.549230  0.084305 -7.108  1.18e-12 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
            exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## D$age
               1.0430
                          0.9587
                                    1.0368
                                             1.0494
## D$female1
               0.5492
                          1.8207
                                    0.4656
                                             0.6479
## Concordance= 0.699 (se = 0.011)
## Likelihood ratio test= 227.9 on 2 df,
                                          p=<2e-16
                                          p=<2e-16
## Wald test
                       = 228.4 on 2 df,
## Score (logrank) test = 229.4 on 2 df,
                                          p = < 2e - 16
```

9 Meta-analyze hazard ratios

We now outline how the hazard ratios from the survival models are meta-analyzed. We use the *metafor* package for meta-analysis. We show the summary of an example meta-analysis and a forest plot below. The forest plot shows a basic example of meta-analyzed hazard ratios from a survival model (analyzed in dsSurvivalClient).

The log-hazard ratios and their standard errors from each study can be found after running ds.coxphSLMA(). The hazard ratios can then be meta-analyzed:

```
input_logHR = c(coxph_model_full$study1$coefficients[1,2],
        coxph model full$study2$coefficients[1,2],
        coxph_model_full$study3$coefficients[1,2])
            = c(coxph_model_full$study1$coefficients[1,3],
input_se
        coxph_model_full$study2$coefficients[1,3],
        coxph_model_full$study3$coefficients[1,3])
metafor::rma(log_hazard_ratio, sei = se_hazard_ratio, method = 'REML')
A summary of this meta-analyzed model is shown below.
##
## Random-Effects Model (k = 3; tau^2 estimator: REML)
##
##
                            AIC
                                       BIC
                                                AICc
     logLik
             deviance
                       -14.7648
                                 -17.3785
##
     9.3824
             -18.7648
                                             -2.7648
## tau^2 (estimated amount of total heterogeneity): 0 (SE = 0.0000)
## tau (square root of estimated tau^2 value):
## I^2 (total heterogeneity / total variability):
                                                     0.00%
## H^2 (total variability / sampling variability):
##
## Test for Heterogeneity:
  Q(df = 2) = 0.0880, p-val = 0.9569
## Model Results:
##
## estimate
                 se
                         zval
                                 pval
                                         ci.lb
                                                 ci.ub
##
     1.0425
            0.0020 515.4456
                               <.0001
                                       1.0385
                                                1.0465
##
## ---
                  0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '. ' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
```

We now show a forest plot with the meta-analyzed hazard ratios. The hazard ratios come from the dsSurvivalClient function ds.coxphSLMA(). The hazard ratios are meta-analyzed using the metafor package.

10 Plotting of privacy-preserving survival curves

We also plot privacy preserving survival curves. Please note that is work in progress and is only available on a separate development branch. There will be a full release in v1.1.0.






Figure 1: Example forest plot of meta-analyzed hazard ratios.

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.survfit(formula='surv_object~1', objectname='survfit_object')
dsSurvivalClient::ds.plotsurvfit(formula = 'survfit_object')
## NULL
```

Survival curve of anonymized data [study1]



Survival curve of anonymized data [study2]



Survival curve of anonymized data [study3]




Figure 2: Privacy preserving survival curves.

```
## $study1
## Call: survfit(formula = formula)
## records
                            median 0.95LCL 0.95UCL
                    events
## 2060.00
           886.00
                   426.00
                              5.55
                                      3.25
                                              7.17
##
## $study2
## Call: survfit(formula = formula)
##
                 n events median 0.95LCL 0.95UCL
## 1640.00 659.00 300.00
                              6.83
                                      4.76
                                              9.04
##
## $study3
## Call: survfit(formula = formula)
## records
                 n events median 0.95LCL 0.95UCL
## 2688.00 1167.00 578.00
                              4.30
                                      2.73
                                              6.40
```

11 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the help and support of the DataSHIELD technical team. We are especially grateful to Yannick Marcon, Paul Burton, Demetris Avraam, Stuart Wheater, Patricia Ryser-Welch, Xavier Escriba, Juan Gonzalez and Wolfgang Vichtbauer for fruitful discussions and feedback.

12 References

- https://github.com/datashield
- http://www.metafor-project.org
- https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsBase
- https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsBaseClient
- $\bullet \ \ https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsSurvival$
- $\bullet \ \ https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsSurvivalClient$
- $\bullet \ \ https://github.com/neelsoumya/datashield_testing_basic$