

Meta-analysis of survival models in the DataSHIELD platform

Soumya Banerjee, Tom Bishop and DataSHIELD technical team

30 April 2021

Contents

1	Summary	1
2	Survival analysis in DataSHIELD	2
3	Creating server-side variables for survival analysis	2
4	Create survival object and call coxphSLMA()	3
5	Summary of survival objects	3
6	Diagnostics for Cox proportional hazards models	3
7	Meta-analyze hazard ratios	5
8	Dealing with preserving privacy and disclosure checks	8
8.1	Disclosure related to oversaturated models	8
8.2	Summary of Cox models with no individual data	8
8.3	Dealing with strata() that could be potentially disclosive	8
9	Acknowledgements	8
10	References	8

1 Summary

This is a document that outlines technical notes and a development plan for implementing survival models and meta-analyzing hazard ratios in the DataSHIELD platform.

2 Survival analysis in DataSHIELD

We outline the development and code for implementing survival models and meta-analysis of hazard ratios in DataSHIELD.

All code is available here:

- <https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsSurvival>
- <https://github.com/neelsoumya/dsSurvivalClient>

The computational steps are outlined below. The first step is connecting to the server and loading the survival data. We assume that the reader is familiar with these details.

3 Creating server-side variables for survival analysis

We now outline some steps for analysing survival data.

- make sure that the outcome variable is numeric

```
ds.asNumeric(x.name = "D$cens",  
             newobj = "EVENT",  
             datasources = connections)
```

```
ds.asNumeric(x.name = "D$survtime",  
             newobj = "SURVTIME",  
             datasources = connections)
```

- convert time id variable to a factor

```
ds.asFactor(input.var.name = "D$time.id",  
            newobj = "TID",  
            datasources = connections)
```

- create in the server-side the log(survtime) variable

```
ds.log(x = "D$survtime",  
      newobj = "log.surv",  
      datasources = connections)
```

- create start time variable

```
ds.asNumeric(x.name = "D$starttime",  
             newobj = "STARTTIME",  
             datasources = connections)
```

```
ds.asNumeric(x.name = "D$endtime",  
             newobj = "ENDTIME",  
             datasources = connections)
```

4 Create survival object and call `coxphSLMA()`

- use constructed Surv object in `coxph.SLMA()`

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.Surv(time='STARTTIME', time2='ENDTIME',  
  event = 'EVENT', objectname='surv_object',  
  type='counting')
```

```
coxph_model_full <- dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxph.SLMA(formula = 'surv_object~D$age+D$female')
```

- use direct inline call to `survival::Surv()`

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxph.SLMA(formula = 'survival::Surv(time=SURVTIME,event=EVENT)~D$age+D$female',  
  dataName = 'D',  
  datasources = connections)
```

- call with `survival::strata()`

```
coxph_model_strata <- dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxph.SLMA(formula = 'surv_object~D$age +  
  survival::strata(D$female)')
```

```
summary(coxph_model_strata)
```

5 Summary of survival objects

We can also summarize a server-side object of type `survival::Surv()` using a call to `ds.summary()`. This will provide a non-disclosive summary of the server-side object. An example call is shown below:

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.summary(x = 'surv_object')
```

6 Diagnostics for Cox proportional hazards models

We have also created functions to test for the assumptions of Cox proportional hazards models.

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxphSLMAassign(formula = 'surv_object~D$age+D$female',  
  objectname = 'coxph_serverside')
```

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.cox.zphSLMA(fit = 'coxph_serverside')
```

```
dsSurvivalClient::ds.coxphSummary(x = 'coxph_serverside')
```

A diagnostic summary is shown below.

```
## surv_object~D$age+D$female
```

```
## NULL

## $study1
##           chisq df      p
## D$age      1.022  1 0.31
## D$female 0.364  1 0.55
## GLOBAL     1.239  2 0.54
##
## $study2
##           chisq df      p
## D$age    -1389.472  1 1.00
## D$female    0.591  1 0.44
## GLOBAL    -857.492  2 1.00
##
## $study3
##           chisq df      p
## D$age      15.27  1 9.3e-05
## D$female    8.04  1 0.0046
## GLOBAL     23.31  2 8.7e-06

## $study1
## Call:
## survival::coxph(formula = formula, data = dataTable, weights = weights,
##           ties = ties, singular.ok = singular.ok, model = model, x = x,
##           y = y)
##
##      n= 2060, number of events= 426
##
##               coef exp(coef)  se(coef)      z Pr(>|z|)
## D$age      0.041609  1.042487  0.003498 11.894 < 2e-16 ***
## D$female1 -0.660002  0.516850  0.099481 -6.634 3.26e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##           exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## D$age      1.0425      0.9592      1.0354      1.0497
## D$female1   0.5169      1.9348      0.4253      0.6281
##
## Concordance= 0.676 (se = 0.014 )
## Likelihood ratio test= 170.7 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
## Wald test               = 168.2 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
## Score (logrank) test = 166.3 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
##
##
## $study2
## Call:
## survival::coxph(formula = formula, data = dataTable, weights = weights,
##           ties = ties, singular.ok = singular.ok, model = model, x = x,
##           y = y)
##
##      n= 1640, number of events= 300
##
##               coef exp(coef) se(coef)      z Pr(>|z|)
## D$age      0.04067  1.04151  0.00416  9.776 < 2e-16 ***
```

```
## D$female1 -0.62756    0.53389  0.11767 -5.333 9.66e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##          exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## D$age      1.0415      0.9601      1.0331      1.0500
## D$female1   0.5339      1.8730      0.4239      0.6724
##
## Concordance= 0.674 (se = 0.017 )
## Likelihood ratio test= 117.8 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
## Wald test              = 115.2 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
## Score (logrank) test = 116.4 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
##
##
## $study3
## Call:
## survival::coxph(formula = formula, data = dataTable, weights = weights,
##   ties = ties, singular.ok = singular.ok, model = model, x = x,
##   y = y)
##
## n= 2688, number of events= 578
##
##          coef exp(coef) se(coef)      z Pr(>|z|)
## D$age      0.042145  1.043045  0.003086 13.655 < 2e-16 ***
## D$female1 -0.599238  0.549230  0.084305 -7.108 1.18e-12 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##          exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## D$age      1.0430      0.9587      1.0368      1.0494
## D$female1   0.5492      1.8207      0.4656      0.6479
##
## Concordance= 0.699 (se = 0.011 )
## Likelihood ratio test= 227.9 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
## Wald test              = 228.4 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
## Score (logrank) test = 229.4 on 2 df,  p=<2e-16
```

7 Meta-analyze hazard ratios

We now outline how the hazard ratios from the survival models are meta-analyzed. We use the *metafor* package for meta-analysis. We show the summary of an example meta-analysis and a forest plot below. The forest plot shows a basic example of meta-analyzed hazard ratios from a survival model (analyzed in DataSHIELD).

The log-hazard ratios and their standard errors from each study can be found after running *ds.coxphSLMA()*

The hazard ratios can then be meta-analyzed:

```
metafor::rma(log_hazard_ratio, sei = se_hazard_ratio, method = 'REML')
```

A summary of this meta-analyzed model is shown below.

```
##
## Random-Effects Model (k = 3; tau^2 estimator: REML)
##
##   logLik  deviance      AIC      BIC      AICc
##   9.3824 -18.7648 -14.7648 -17.3785  -2.7648
##
## tau^2 (estimated amount of total heterogeneity): 0 (SE = 0.0000)
## tau (square root of estimated tau^2 value):      0
## I^2 (total heterogeneity / total variability):   0.00%
## H^2 (total variability / sampling variability):   1.00
##
## Test for Heterogeneity:
## Q(df = 2) = 0.0880, p-val = 0.9569
##
## Model Results:
##
## estimate      se      zval      pval      ci.lb      ci.ub
##   1.0425  0.0020  515.4456  <.0001   1.0385   1.0465   ***
##
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

We now show a forest plot with the meta-analyzed hazard ratios. The hazard ratios come from the DataSHIELD function *ds.coxphSLMA()*. The hazard ratios are meta-analyzed using the *metafor* package.




Figure 1: Example forest plot of meta-analyzed hazard ratios.

8 Dealing with preserving privacy and disclosure checks

Disclosure checks are an integral part of DataSHIELD. We outline some of the checks we have built in to ensure privacy of individual level data. We also outline some issues that need to be discussed.

8.1 Disclosure related to oversaturated models

We disallow any Cox models where the number of parameters are greater than a fraction (set to 0.2) of the number of data points. The number of data points is the number of entries (for all patients) in the survival data.

8.2 Summary of Cox models with no individual data

We also present privacy preserving summaries of Cox models and survival objects. These functions reveal no individual level data and present only quantiles.

8.3 Dealing with strata() that could be potentially disclosive

An issue that needs further discussion is how to deal with strata in Cox models. It may be desirable to disallow Cox models with a strata that has less than a specified number of patients (for example 10).

9 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the help and support of the DataSHIELD technical team. We are especially grateful to Paul Burton, Demetris Avraam, Stuart Wheeler and Patricia Ryser-Welch for fruitful discussions and feedback.

10 References

- <https://github.com/datashield>
- <http://www.metafor-project.org>
- <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6015946>