Testcases.oo3

Topic	description	Status
• □ Legend		
■ Test is written		
 ■ Test is not written, but supported by tfm and 		
esrepro		
 Test is not written, and is not supported by tfm/ repro 		
Test is written, but does not work due to lack of support		
Registrar functionality		
 ■ Authentication off 		
 ■ Authentication on 		
 ■ new registration scenarios 	A UAC attempts to register new contacts	
 ■ Sunny day cases 		
Basic register	A UAC attempts to register a single new contact	Passing
Multi register	A UAC attempts to register multiple new contacts with one request	Passing
 ■ Multi register, different expirations 	A UAC attempts to register multiple new contacts with one	Passing
	request, with different expiries for each contact	
 ■ Third party registration 	A UAC attempts to register a contact that does not point to	Passing
Test whether registrar is paying attention to a value.	itself	Failing (esrepro doesn't handle q-value yet)
to q-value ■ □ Test if registrar is paying attention to		Passing
expires parameter		
■ sips: contact		
■ Protocol in contact (UDP,TCP,TLS)		
 ■ Rainy Day cases 		
■ REGISTER/407 dropped	The auth challenge is dropped	Passing
bogus credentials	the UAC supplies bad credentials	Passing
Late digest response	The UAC waits too long to respond to the challenge (the nonce expires)	Not used
● □ 200 OK dropped	The first REGISTER/200 does not make it to the UAC	Passing
■ No user in aor (in To: header)	The supplied aor (the Request-Uri) has no user	Failing
User present in Request-Uri	This is forbidden in RFC 3261, page 56	Failing
Bad characters in contact Bad characters in contact	The contact contains characters that are not allowed in a uri	
□ Bad characters in aor □ Not responsible for host in AOR	The aor contains characters that are not allowed in a uri	Failing
No such user No such user	The registrar is not responsible for the aor in the To header	Failing
■ Usual user ■ Usual user ■ Usual user UnknownAorUser in estacadoTests.cxx	User part in AOR is unknown	Failing
• □ Expiry too large	The register request contains an expiry value larger than	
■ □ Evning too omall	2^32 - 1	
Oversize call id	The call id in the REGISTER is unreasonably large	Failing (Sip stack drops the message it seems,
Oversize can ru Oversize contact	The contact is unreasonably large	check this out in ethereal) Failing (SipStack drops the message it seems,
		check this out in ethereal)
 refresh type scenarios 	A UAC attempts to refresh contacts	
 ■ Sunny day cases 		
Basic registration update	A UAC re-registers an existing contact	Passing
Multi-registration update Third party	A UAC re-registers a set of existing contacts	Passing
• ☐ Third party	Third party refresh	Passing
•		Failing (esrepro doesn't handle q-value yet)
□ Lexpiry too large □ unregister type scenarios	A UAC attempts to unregister contacts	
Uninegister type scenarios Sunny day	7. 57.5 attempts to unregister contacts	
Basic unregister	A UAC attempts to unregister an existing contact	Passing
Multi unregister	A UAC attempts to unregister multiple existing contacts	Passing
Redundant unregister	A UAC attempts to unregister a non-existent contact	Passing
Unregister *	A UAC attempts to use * as the contact in an unregister request	Passing
□ Rainy day		
 ■ Unregister * with a non-zero Expires header 		Passing
□ aor doesn't exist		Failing
 ← I fetch type scenarios 	A UAC attempts to fetch contacts	
□ Sunny day		
 ■ Basic registration fetch 	A UAC attempts to fetch the bindings of a given aor	Passing
 Check whether registrations are expiring properly 		Passing

Topic	description	Status
• 🗆 Rainy day		
Aor doesn't exist		Failing
Proxy functionality: INVITE transactions		9
• \square no auth		
with auth		
■ Non-forking INVITE scenarios	INVITE transaction with no forking	
■ Basic INVITE	basic INVITE transaction, (no CANCEL, BYE, etc)	Passing
■ Basic INVITE with caller BYE	basic INVITE transaction, followed by the caller hanging up	Passing
■ Basic INVITE with callee BYE	basic INVITE, where callee hangs up	Passing
■ Basic INVITE with CANCEL	basic INVITE, where caller CANCELs	Passing
 ■ Basic INVITE, contact busy 		Passing
■ Routing to sips: contact		
■ TLS stuff(mtls, peers)		
□ Cloudy day		
spiral test for basic INVITE		Passing
		Passing
□ 200 beats CANCEL on server side		Passing
		Passing
4xx beats CANCEL on server side And Any heats CANCEL on alignt side		Passing
		Passing
Sxx beats CANCEL on server side Sxx beats CANCEL on client side		Passing Passing
No contacts found		Passing
■ UAS responds with 4xx class response		i assing
		Passing
estacadoTests.cxx		1 dooning
■ testInvite480Response in		Passing
estacadoTests.cxx		
■ UAS responds with 5xx class response		Descion
 ■ testInvite500Response in estacadoTests.cxx 		Passing
• 🗆 testInvite503Response in	RFC 3261 recommends that a proxy forward a 500 in this	Failing (503 is forwarded)
estacadoTests.cxx	case. (Section 16.7, page 110)	3 (111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
 ■ UAS responds with 6xx class response 		
■ testInvite600Response in		Passing
estacadoTests.cxx • □ testInvite603Response in		Passing
estacadoTests.cxx		rassing
■ UAS spams 180s		Passing
		Passing
 ■ Transport switch on forwarding 		
 ■ loose/strict routing 		
 ■ mid-dialog route change 		
• □ 2543 tids		
Recursive redirect		
• 🗆 Rainy day		
		Passing
 ■ ACK/407 is dropped (retransmit of 407) 		Failing (tfm is ACKing the wrong thing when the 407 is retransmitted)
		Passing
□ 200 is dropped on client side		Passing
■ 1xx dropped on client side, or ignored		Passing
(prompts retransmit of INVITE)		
■ 1xx and 2xx dropped on client side		Passing
ACK dropped on server side		Passing
UAS does not respond (timer C test)		Passing
● □ UAS spams 200s		Passing
■ UAS sends 200, then 180 ■ UAC spame INIVITE (fast retransmissions)		Passing Not sure how to get tim to do this properly (tim
• □ UAC spams INVITE (fast retransmissions)		Not sure how to get tfm to do this properly (tfm is asserting)
		Passing
■ UAC spams ACK/200		Passing
■ UAS does not send 487 after CANCEL/		Passing
200		
 ■ Server retransmits 4xx 		Passing
= -		1 833119
□ testInviteServerRetransmits503 in	RFC 3261 recommends that a proxy forward a 500 in this	Failing (503 is forwarded)
■ lestInviteServerRetransmits503 in estacadoTests.cxx	RFC 3261 recommends that a proxy forward a 500 in this case. (Section 16.7, page 110)	
□ testInviteServerRetransmits503 in estacadoTests.cxx □ Server retransmits 6xx		Failing (503 is forwarded)
■ lestInviteServerRetransmits503 in estacadoTests.cxx		
□ testInviteServerRetransmits503 in estacadoTests.cxx □ Server retransmits 6xx □ testInviteServerRetransmits603 in		Failing (503 is forwarded)

Topic	description	Status
■ Client side dies after INVITE		Passing
□ Client side dies after authenticated INVITE		Passing
Server doesn't respond		Passing
loop test		Passing
□ loop test with CANCEL		. doomig
		Passing
		Not using
Missing To: header		The same
Missing mandatory headers		
□ Garbage characters in mandatory		
headers		
 ■ Various syntax errors 		
 ■ Forking INVITE scenarios 	INVITE transaction with forking	
 ■ Sunny day 		
 ■ Basic forking INVITE, one 200 response 		Passing
 □ Forking INVITE, one 200 and rest busy (486) 		Passing
		Passing
 ■ Forking INVITE, with CANCEL 		Passing
 ■ Forking INVITE, caller sends BYE 		Passing
 ■ Forking INVITE, callee sends BYE 		Passing
 ■ Cloudy day 		
 Forking invite with a spiral (spiral is after fork) 		Passing
■ Forking invite with a spiral before the fork		Passing
	200 should be forwarded and not the 6xx	Passing
● □ 200 beats 6xx		Passing
 ■ 5xx and 4xx mixed 		Passing
		Passing
 ■ Fork merges (ie, both ends of fork are 		Passing
directed at the same UAC)		
 ■ All contacts answer, without ringing 		Failing (tfm seems to be screwing up the ack/ 200s by sending them all to the same contact, instead of one for each 200)
■ Rainy day		
■ □ INVITE/200 dropped on client side		
■ Loop on one leg of fork		
■ All contacts time out (Timer C)		
	Can tfm handle this?	
Proxy functionality: non-INVITE transactions		
• □ no auth		
■ Sunny day		
□ Cloudy day		
• 🗆 with auth		
● ☐ Sunny day		
□ Cloudy day		