

Finite Elements

Colin Cotter

January 20, 2017



The finite element

Given a triangulation \mathcal{T} of a domain Ω , finite element spaces are defined according to

1. the form the functions take (usually polynomial) when restricted to each cell,
2. the continuity of the functions between cells.

We also need a mechanism to explicitly build a basis for the finite element space.



Definition (Ciarlet's finite element)

Let

1. the **element domain** $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be some bounded closed set with piecewise smooth boundary,
2. the **space of shape functions** \mathcal{P} be a finite dimensional space of functions on K , and
3. the **set of nodal variables** $\mathcal{N} = (N_0, \dots, N_k)$ be a basis for the dual space P' .

Then $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ is called a finite element.

P' is the dual space to P , defined as the space of linear functions from P to \mathbb{R} .



Examples of dual functions to P include:

1. The evaluation of $p \in P$ at a point $x \in K$.
2. The integral of $p \in P$ over a line $l \in K$.
3. The integral of $p \in P$ over K .
4. The evaluation of a component of the derivative of $p \in P$ at a point $x \in K$.

Exercise

Show that the four examples above are all linear functions from P to \mathbb{R} .



Ciarlet's finite element provides us with a standard way to define a basis for the P .

Definition (Nodal basis)

Let $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ be a finite element. The **nodal basis** is the basis $\{\phi_0, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k\}$ of \mathcal{P} that is dual to \mathcal{N} , i.e.

$$N_i(\phi_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq k. \quad (1)$$



Example (The 1-dimensional Lagrange element)

The 1-dimensional Lagrange element $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ of degree k is defined by

1. K is the interval $[a, b]$ for $-\infty < a < b < \infty$.
2. \mathcal{P} is the $(k + 1)$ -dimensional space of degree k polynomials on K ,
3. $\mathcal{N} = \{N_0, \dots, N_k\}$ with

$$N_i(v) = v(x_i), \quad x_i = a + (b - a)i/k, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{P}, \quad i = 0, \dots, k. \quad (2)$$



Exercise

Show that the nodal basis for \mathcal{P} is given by

$$N_i(x) = \frac{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^k (x - x_j)}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^k (x_i - x_j)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, k. \quad (3)$$



It is useful computationally to write the nodal basis in terms of another arbitrary basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=0}^k$.

Definition (Vandermonde matrix)

Given a dual basis \mathcal{N} and a basis $\{\psi_j\}_{j=0}^k$, the Vandermonde matrix is the matrix V with coefficients

$$V_{ij} = N_j(\psi_i). \quad (4)$$



Lemma

The expansion of the nodal basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0}^k$ in terms of another basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=0}^k$ for \mathcal{P} ,

$$\phi_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \mu_{ij} \psi_j(x), \quad (5)$$

has coefficients μ_{ij} , $0 \leq i, j \leq k$ given by

$$\mu = V^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

where μ is the corresponding matrix.



Proof.

The nodal basis definition becomes

$$\delta_{ki} = N_k(\phi_i) = \sum_{j=0}^k \mu_{ij} N_k(\psi_j) = (\mu V)_{ki}, \quad (7)$$

where μ is the matrix with coefficients μ_{ij} , and V is the matrix with coefficients $N_k(\psi_j)$. □



Lemma

Let K, \mathcal{P} be as defined above, and let $\{N_0, N_1, \dots, N_k\} \in \mathcal{P}'$. Let $\{\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k\}$ be a basis for \mathcal{P} .

Then the following three statements are equivalent.

1. $\{N_0, N_1, \dots, N_k\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{P}' .
2. The Vandermonde matrix with coefficients

$$V_{ij} = N_j(\psi_i), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq k, \quad (8)$$

is invertible.

3. If $v \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies $N_i(v) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$, then $v \equiv 0$.



Proof

Let $\{N_0, N_1, \dots, N_k\}$ be a basis for \mathcal{P}' . This is equivalent to saying that given element E of \mathcal{P}' , we can find basis coefficients $\{e_i\}_{i=0}^k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^k e_i N_i. \quad (9)$$



Proof (Cont.)

This in turn is equivalent to being able to find a vector $\mathbf{e} = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_k)^T$ such that

$$b_i = E(\psi_i) = \sum_{j=0}^k e_j N_j(\psi_i) = \sum_{j=0}^k e_j V_{ij}, \quad (10)$$

i.e. the equation $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b}$ is solvable. This means that (1) is equivalent to (2).



Proof (Cont.)

On the other hand, we may expand any $v \in \mathcal{P}$ according to

$$v(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k f_i \psi_i(x). \quad (11)$$

Then

$$N_i(v) = 0 \iff \sum_{j=0}^k f_j N_i(\psi_j) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \quad (12)$$

by linearity of N_i .



Proof (Cont.)

So (2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^k f_j N_i(\psi_j) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k \implies f_j = 0, j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \quad (13)$$

which is equivalent to V^T being invertible, which is equivalent to V being invertible, and so (3) is equivalent to (2). \square



Definition

We say that \mathcal{N} **determines** \mathcal{P} if it satisfies condition 3 of Lemma 8. If this is the case, we say that $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ is **unisolvent**.



Corollary

The 1D degree k Lagrange element is a finite element.

Proof.

Let $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ be the degree k Lagrange element. We need to check that \mathcal{N} determines \mathcal{P} . Let $v \in \mathcal{P}$ with $N_i(v) = 0$ for all $N_i \in \mathcal{N}$. This means that

$$v(a + (b - a)i/k) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \quad (14)$$

which means that v vanishes at $k + 1$ points in K . Since v is a degree k polynomial, it must be zero by the fundamental theorem of algebra. □



We would like to construct some finite elements with 2D and 3D domains K . The following lemma is useful when checking that \mathcal{N} determines \mathcal{P} in those cases.

Lemma

Let $p(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial of degree $k \geq 1$ that vanishes on a hyperplane Π_L defined by

$$\Pi_L = \{x : L(x) = 0\}, \quad (15)$$

for a non-degenerate affine function $L(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $p(x) = L(x)q(x)$ where $q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $k - 1$.



Proof

Choose coordinates (by shifting the origin and applying a linear transformation) such that $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ with $L(x) = x_k$, so Π_L is defined by $x_k = 0$. Then the general form for a polynomial is

$$P(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i_k=0}^k \left(\sum_{|i_0+i_1+\dots+i_{k-1}| \leq k-i_k} c_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_k} x_k^{i_k} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} x_l^{i_l} \right), \quad (16)$$



Proof (Cont.)

Then, $p(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0) = 0$ for all $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$, so

$$0 = \left(\sum_{|i_0+i_1+\dots+i_{k-1}| \leq k} c_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, 0} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} x_l^{i_l} \right) \quad (17)$$

which means that

$$c_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, 0} = 0, \quad \forall |i_0 + i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1}| \leq k. \quad (18)$$



Proof (Cont.)

This means we may rewrite

$$P(x) = \underbrace{x_k \left(\sum_{|i_0+i_1+\dots+i_{k-1}| \leq k} c_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_k} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} x_l^{i_l} \right)}_{Q(x)}, \quad (19)$$

with $\deg(Q) = k - 1$. □

Equipped with this tool we can consider some finite elements in two dimensions.



Definition (Lagrange elements on triangles)

The triangular Lagrange element of degree k $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$, denoted P_k , is defined as follows.

1. K is a (non-degenerate) triangle with vertices z_1, z_2, z_3 .
2. \mathcal{P} is the space of degree k polynomials on K .
3. $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{i,j} : 0 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq i\}$ defined by $N_{i,j}(v) = v(x_{i,j})$ where

$$x_{i,j} = z_1 + (z_2 - z_1)i/k + (z_3 - z_1)j/k. \quad (20)$$



Example (P1 elements on triangles)

The nodal basis for P1 elements is point evaluation at the three vertices.

Example (P2 elements on triangles)

The nodal basis for P2 elements is point evaluation at the three vertices, plus point evaluation at the three edge centres.

We now need to check that that the degree k Lagrange element is a finite element, i.e. that \mathcal{N} determines \mathcal{P} . We will first do this for cases $P1$ and $P2$.



Lemma

The degree 1 Lagrange element is a finite element.



Proof.

Let Π_1 , Π_2 , Π_3 be the three lines containing the vertices z_2 and z_3 , z_1 and z_3 , and z_1 and z_2 respectively, and defined by $L_1 = 0$, $L_2 = 0$, and $L_3 = 0$ respectively. Consider a linear polynomial p vanishing at z_1 , z_2 , and z_3 . The restriction $p|_{\Pi_1}$ of p to Π_1 is a linear function vanishing at two points, and therefore $p = 0$ on Π_1 , and so $p = L_1(x)Q(x)$, where $Q(x)$ is a degree 0 polynomial, i.e. a constant c . We also have

$$0 = p(z_1) = cL_1(z_1) \implies c = 0, \quad (21)$$

since $L_1(z_1) \neq 0$, and hence $p(x) \equiv 0$. This means that \mathcal{N} determines \mathcal{P} . □



Lemma

The degree 2 Lagrange element is a finite element.



Proof.

Let p be a degree 2 polynomial with $N_i(p)$ for all of the degree 2 dual basis elements. Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3, L_1, L_2$ and L_3 be defined as for the proof of Lemma 15. $p|_{\Pi_1}$ is a degree 2 scalar polynomial vanishing at 3 points, and therefore $p = 0$ on Π_1 , and so $p(x) = L_1(x)Q_1(x)$ with $\deg(Q_1) = 1$. We also have $0 = p|_{\Pi_2} = L_1 Q_1|_{\Pi_2}$, so $Q_1|_{\Pi_2} = 0$ and we conclude that $p(x) = cL_1(x)L_2(x)$. Finally, p also vanishes at the midpoint of L_3 , so we conclude that $c = 0$ as required. \square



Exercise

Show that the degree 3 Lagrange element is a finite element.



Lemma

The degree k Lagrange element is a finite element for $k > 0$.



Proof

We prove by induction. Assume that the degree $k - 3$ Lagrange element is a finite element. Let p be a degree k polynomial with $N_i(p)$ for all of the degree k dual basis elements. Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3, L_1, L_2$ and L_3 be defined as for the proof of Lemma 15. The restriction $p|_{\Pi_1}$ is a degree k polynomial in one variable that vanishes at $k + 1$ points, and therefore $p(x) = L_1(x)Q_1(x)$, with $\deg(Q_1) = k - 1$. p and therefore Q also vanishes on Π_2 , so $Q_1(x) = L_2(x)Q_2(x)$.



Proof(Cont.)

Repeating the argument again means that $p(x) = L_1(x)L_2(x)L_3(x)Q_3(x)$, with $\deg(Q_3) = k - 3$. Q_3 must vanish on the remaining points in the interior of K , which are arranged in a smaller triangle K' and correspond to the evaluation points for a degree $k - 3$ Lagrange finite element on K' . From the inductive hypothesis, and using the results for $k = 1, 2, 3$, we conclude that $Q_3 \equiv 0$, and therefore $p \equiv 0$ as required. \square



We now consider some finite elements that involve derivative evaluation.

Example (Cubic Hermite element)

The cubic Hermite element is defined as follows:

1. K is a (nondegenerate) triangle,
2. \mathcal{P} is the space of cubic polynomials on K ,
3. $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{10}\}$ defined as follows:
 - 3.1 (N_1, \dots, N_3) : evaluation of p at vertices,
 - 3.2 (N_4, \dots, N_9) : evaluation of the gradient of p at the 3 triangle vertices.
 - 3.3 N_{10} : evaluation of p at the centre of the triangle.



Example (Quintic Argyris element)

The quintic Hermite element is defined as follows:

1. K is a (nondegenerate) triangle,
2. \mathcal{P} is the space of quintic polynomials on K ,
3. \mathcal{N} defined as follows:
 - 3.1 evaluation of p at 3 vertices,
 - 3.2 evaluation of gradient of p at 3 vertices,
 - 3.3 evaluation of Hessian of p at 3 vertices,
 - 3.4 evaluation of the gradient normal to 3 triangle edges.



Next we need to know how to glue finite elements together to form spaces defined over a mesh. To do this we need to develop a language for specifying connections between finite element functions between element domains.



Definition (Finite element space)

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulation made of triangles K_i , with finite elements $(K_i, \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{N}_i)$. A space V of functions on \mathcal{T} is called a finite element space if for each $u \in V$, and for each $K_i \in \mathcal{T}$, $u|_{K_i} \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

Note that the set of finite elements do not uniquely determine a finite element space, since we also need to specify continuity requirements between triangles, which we will do in this chapter.



Definition (C^m finite element space)

A finite element space V is a C^m finite element space if $u \in C^m$ for all $u \in V$.



Lemma

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulation on Ω , and let V be a finite element space defined on \mathcal{T} . The following two statements are equivalent.

- 1. V is a C^m finite element space.*
- 2. The following two conditions hold.*
 - 2.1 For each vertex z in \mathcal{T} , let $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be the set of triangles that contain z . Then $u|_{K_1}(z) = u|_{K_2}(z) = \dots = u|_{K_m}(z)$, for all functions $u \in V$, and similarly for all of the partial derivatives of degrees up to m .*
 - 2.2 For each edge e in \mathcal{T} , let K_1, K_2 be the two triangles containing e . Then $u|_{K_1}(z) = u|_{K_2}(z)$, for all points z on the interior of e , and similarly for all of the partial derivatives of degrees up to m .*



Proof.

V is polynomial on each triangle K , so continuity at points on the interior of each triangle K is immediate. We just need to check continuity at points on vertices, and points on the interior of edges, which is equivalent to the two parts of the second condition. \square

This means that we just need to guarantee that the polynomial functions and their derivatives agree at vertices and edges (similar ideas extend to higher dimensions).



Definition (Mesh entities)

Let K be a triangle. The (local) mesh entities of K are the vertices, the edges, and K itself. The global mesh entities of a triangulation \mathcal{T} are the vertices, edges and triangles comprising \mathcal{T} .



Definition (Geometric decomposition)

Let $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ be a finite element. We say that the finite element has a (local) geometric decomposition if each dual basis function N_i can be associated with a single mesh entity $w \in W$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{P}$, $N_i(f)$ can be calculated from f and derivatives of f restricted to w .



Definition (Closure of a local mesh entity)

Let w be a local mesh entity for a triangle. The closure of w is the set of local mesh entities contained in w (including w itself).



Definition (C^m geometric decomposition)

Let $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ be a finite element with geometric decomposition W . We say that W is a C^m geometric decomposition, if for each local mesh entity w , for any $f \in \mathcal{P}$, the restriction $f|_w$ of f (and the restriction $D^k f|_w$ of the k -th derivative of f to w for $k \leq m$) can be obtained from the set of dual basis functions associated with entities in the closure of w , applied to f .



Exercise

Show that the Lagrange elements of degree k have C^0 geometric decompositions.

Exercise

Show that the Argyris element has a C^1 geometric decomposition.



Definition (Discontinuous finite element space)

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulation, with finite elements $(K_i, P_i, \mathcal{N}_i)$ for each triangle K_i . The corresponding discontinuous finite element space V , is defined as

$$V = \{u : u|_{K_i} \in P_i, \forall K_i \in \mathcal{T}\}. \quad (22)$$

This defines families of discontinuous finite element spaces.



Example (Discontinuous Lagrange finite element space)

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulation, with Lagrange elements of degree k , $(K_i, P_i, \mathcal{N}_i)$, for each triangle $K_i \in \mathcal{T}$. The corresponding discontinuous finite element space, denoted $PkDG$, is called the discontinuous Lagrange finite element space of degree k .



Definition (Global C^m geometric decomposition)

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulation with finite elements $(K_i, \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{N}_i)$, each with a C^m geometric decomposition. Assume that for each global mesh entity w , the n_w triangles containing w have finite elements $(K_i, \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{N}_i)$ each with M_w dual basis functions associated with w . Further, each of these basis functions can be enumerated

$N_{i,j}^w \in \mathcal{N}_i$, $j = 1, \dots, M_w$, such that
 $N_{1,j}^w(u|_{K_1}) = N_{2,j}^w(u|_{K_2}) = \dots = N_{n_w,j}^w(u|_{K_n})$, $j = 1, \dots, M_w$, for all functions $u \in C^m(\Omega)$.

This combination of finite elements on \mathcal{T} together with the above enumeration of dual basis functions on global mesh entities is called a global C^m geometric decomposition.



Definition (Finite element space from a global C^m geometric decomposition)

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulation with finite elements $(K_i, \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{N}_i)$, each with a C^m geometric decomposition, and let \hat{V} be the corresponding discontinuous finite element space. Then the global C^m geometric decomposition defines a subspace V of \hat{V} consisting of all functions that u satisfy

$N_{1,j}^w(u|_{K_1}) = N_{2,j}^w(u|_{K_2}) = \dots = N_{n_w,j}^w(u|_{K_{n_w}}), \quad j = 1, \dots, M_w$ for all mesh entities $w \in \mathcal{T}$.



Proposition

Let V be a finite element space defined from a global C^m geometric decomposition. Then V is a C^m finite element space.

Proof.

From the local C^m decomposition, functions and derivatives up to degree m on vertices and edges are uniquely determined from dual basis elements associated with those vertices and edges, and from the global C^m decomposition, the agreement of dual basis elements means that functions and derivatives up to degree m agree on vertices and edges, and hence the functions are in C^m from Lemma 23. □



Example

The finite element space built from the C^0 global decomposition built from degree k Lagrange element is called the degree k continuous Lagrange finite element space, denoted P_k .

Example

The finite element space built from the C^1 global decomposition built from the quintic Argyris element is called the Argyris finite element space.



Next we investigate how continuous functions can be approximated by finite element functions.

Definition (Local interpolant)

Given a finite element $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$, with corresponding nodal basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0}^k$. Let v be a function such that $N_i(v)$ is well-defined for all i . Then the local interpolant \mathcal{I}_K is an operator mapping v to \mathcal{P} such that

$$(I_K v)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k N_i(v) \phi_i(x). \quad (23)$$



Lemma

The operator I_K is linear.

Lemma

$$N_i(I_K(v)) = N_i(v), \forall 0 \leq i \leq k. \quad (24)$$

Lemma

I_K is the identity when restricted to \mathcal{P} .

Exercise

Prove lemmas 38-40.

