

## Stat 6021: Homework Set 4 Solutions

2. (a)  $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0, H_a : \beta_1 \neq 0$ .

The  $t$  statistic is  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{s\{\hat{\beta}_1\}} = \frac{4}{0.4690} = 8.528$ .

The p-value for testing  $\beta_1 = 0$  is  $2 \times (1 - pt(8.528, 8))$  which is about 0. Thus, we can reject the null hypothesis. Our data suggests there is a linear relationship between number of broken ampules and number of transfers.

Alternatively, the critical value is  $qt(0.975, 8)$  which is 2.3060. Since the  $t$  statistic is greater than the critical value, we can reject the null hypothesis. Our data suggests there is a linear relationship between number of broken ampules and number of transfers.

- (b)  $\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{0.975, 8} s\{\hat{\beta}_1\} = 4 \pm 2.306004 \times 0.4690 = (2.918484, 5.081516)$ .

- (c)  $H_0 : \beta_0 = 9$ .

$H_a : \beta_0 \neq 9$ .

Test statistic =  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - 9}{s\{\hat{\beta}_0\}} = \frac{10.2 - 9}{0.6633} = 1.809$ . The p-value is  $2 \times (1 - pt(1.809, 8)) = 0.108$ .

We would fail to reject the null. The data does not support his belief.

Alternatively, the critical value is  $qt(0.975, 8)$  which is 2.3060. Since the  $t$  statistic is less than the critical value, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. The data does not support his belief.

- (d) When  $X = 2$ ,  $\hat{y} = 10.2 + 4(2) = 18.2$ .

95% CI for  $\mu_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_0 \pm t_{0.975, 8} s \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} &= 18.2 \pm 2.306004 \times 1.483 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{(2 - 1)^2}{10}} \\ &= (16.67062, 19.72938) \end{aligned}$$

95% PI for new  $Y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_0 \pm t_{0.975, 8} s \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} &= 18.2 \pm 2.306004 \times 1.483 \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{(2 - 1)^2}{10}} \\ &= (14.45379, 21.94621) \end{aligned}$$

- (e) When number of transfers is 1, the intervals from the previous part get narrower, since we are computing intervals when  $x_0$  is closer to the mean.
- (f)  $F = \frac{\text{MSR}}{\text{MSres}} = \frac{160}{2.2} = 72.72727$ .
- (g)  $R^2 = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SST}} = \frac{160}{160+17.6} = 0.9009009$ . This means that about 90% of the variation in number of broken ampules is explained by the number of transfers.