

Description

1 Variational Auto-Encoder

Let us consider a dataset $X = \{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ consisting of N i.i.d. samples. We assume that the data are generated from parametric family of distributions $p_{\theta^*}(x)$ and we introduce the generative model $p_{\theta^*}(x, z) = p_{\theta^*}(x|z)p_{\theta^*}(z)$ where z is an unobserved random variable. The true parameters θ^* and the values of the latent variables $z^{(i)}$ are unknown to us.

It is worth noting that we are interested in a general algorithm that works efficiently in the case of:

- intractability of the marginal likelihood $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(x|z)p_{\theta}(z)dz$ and the true posterior density $p_{\theta}(z|x) = \frac{p_{\theta}(x|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(x)}$;
- scalability for a large dataset .

Our purpose is to solve the following three problems:

- efficient approximate ML or MAP estimation for the parameters θ ;
- efficient approximate posterior inference of the latent variable $p_{\theta}(z|x)$;
- efficient approximate marginal inference of the variable x .

The algorithm which solves the above problems was proposed by D. Kingma and Prof. Dr. M. Welling in the paper [1]. At first authors introduce a recognition model $q_{\varphi}(z|x)$: an approximation to the intractable true posterior $p_{\theta}(z|x)$. After Kingma et al. introduce a method for learning the recognition model parameters φ jointly with the generative model parameters θ .

The key idea is to use the variational lower bound of the marginal likelihood $\ln p_{\theta}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p_{\theta}(x) &= D_{KL}(q_{\varphi}(z|x)||p_{\theta}(z|x)) + \mathcal{L}(\theta, \varphi; x) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \ln p_{\theta}(x) &\geq \mathcal{L}(\theta, \varphi; x) = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\varphi}(z|x)}(-\ln q_{\varphi}(z|x) + \ln p_{\theta}(x, z)) = -D_{KL}(q_{\varphi}(z|x)||p_{\theta}(z)) + \mathbb{E}_{q_{\varphi}(z|x)}(\ln p_{\theta}(x|z)) \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to maximize the lower bound $L(\theta, \varphi; x)$ w.r.t. both the variational parameters φ and the generative parameters θ . However, we have some difficulties with the gradient of the lower bound w.r.t. φ . The simple approach is to obtain a Monte Carlo gradient estimator using *log-derivative trick*:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\varphi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\varphi}(z)}[f(z, \varphi)] &= \mathbb{E}_{q_{\varphi}(z)}[\nabla_{\varphi} f(z, \varphi)] + \mathbb{E}_{q_{\varphi}(z)}[f(z, \varphi) \nabla_{\varphi} \ln q_{\varphi}(z)] \approx \\ &\approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L (\nabla_{\varphi} f(\hat{z}_i, \varphi) + f(\hat{z}_i, \varphi) \nabla_{\varphi} \ln q_{\varphi}(\hat{z}_i)), \quad \text{where } \hat{z}_1, \dots, \hat{z}_L \sim q_{\varphi}(z) \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately, the term $f(\hat{z}_i, \varphi) \nabla_{\varphi} \ln q_{\varphi}(\hat{z}_i)$ in our gradient estimator exhibits very high variance [2] and is impractical for our purposes. Therefore, in this work I consider the variance reduction methods for continuous and discrete variables, compare their performances by training sigmoid belief networks on MNIST.

2 Variance Reduction Techniques

2.1 Reparameterization trick for continuous random variables

In case of the continuous latent variable z with certain mild conditions for a chosen approximate posterior $q_{\theta}(z|x)$ we can utilize the *reparameterization trick* which was proposed in [1]. If it is possible to express the variable

z as a deterministic variable $z = g_\varphi(\varepsilon, x)$ where ε is a random variable with independent marginal $p(\varepsilon)$ and $g_\varphi(\cdot)$ is some vector-valued function parameterized by φ , then the following is true:

$$\int q_\varphi(z|x) f(z, \varphi) dz = \int p(\varepsilon) f(g_\varphi(\varepsilon, x), \varphi) d\varepsilon = \int p(\varepsilon) f(g_\varphi(\varepsilon, x), \varphi) d\varepsilon$$

Applying this technique we obtain more robust Monte Carlo gradient estimator:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\varphi \mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)} [f(z, \varphi)] &= \nabla_\varphi \mathbb{E}_{p(\varepsilon)} [f(g_\varphi(\varepsilon, x), \varphi)] = \mathbb{E}_{p(\varepsilon)} [\nabla_\varphi f(g_\varphi(\varepsilon, x), \varphi)] \approx \\ &\approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \nabla_\varphi f(g_\varphi(\hat{\varepsilon}_i, x), \varphi), \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_L \sim p(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

3 Examples

3.1 Encoder – gaussian, decoder – gaussian

$$\begin{aligned} q_\varphi(z|x) &= \mathcal{N}(z|\mu_\varphi(x), \sigma_\varphi^2(x)I), \quad p_\theta(z) = \mathcal{N}(z|0, I), \quad p_\theta(x|z) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_\theta(z), I), \\ z, \mu_\varphi, \sigma_\varphi^2 &\in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad x, \mu_\theta \in \mathbb{R}^D \end{aligned}$$

In this case we can analytically calculate the $D_{KL}(q_\varphi(z|x)||p_\theta(z))$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{KL}(q_\varphi(z|x)||p_\theta(z)) &= \int q_\varphi(z|x) \ln \frac{q_\varphi(z|x)}{p_\theta(z)} dz = \mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)} \ln \frac{q_\varphi(z|x)}{p_\theta(z)} = \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \ln \sigma_\varphi^i(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma_\varphi^i)^2} (z_i - \mu_\theta^i(x))^2 - z_i^2 \right) \right) = \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^d \ln \sigma_\varphi^i(x) - \frac{d}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (\sigma_\varphi^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (\mu_\theta^i)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (1 + \ln(\sigma_\varphi^i)^2 - (\sigma_\varphi^i)^2 - (\mu_\theta^i)^2) \end{aligned}$$

The unbiased estimators for the gradient $\nabla_\varphi \mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)}(\ln p_\theta(x|z))$:

- log-derivative trick:

$$\nabla_\varphi \mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)}(\ln p_\theta(x|z)) \approx \ln p_\theta(x|\hat{z}) \nabla_\varphi \ln q_\varphi(\hat{z}|x), \quad \text{where } \hat{z} \sim q_\varphi(z|x)$$

- reparameterization trick:

$$\nabla_\varphi \mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)}(\ln p_\theta(x|z)) \approx \nabla_\varphi \ln p_\theta(x|\mu_\varphi + \sigma_\varphi \circ \hat{\varepsilon}), \quad \text{where } \hat{\varepsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

The analytic forms for $\ln p_\theta(x|z)$ and $\ln q_\varphi(z|x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p_\theta(x|z) &= -\frac{D}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \|x - \mu_\theta(z)\|_2^2 \\ \ln q_\varphi(z|x) &= -\frac{d}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^d \ln \sigma_\varphi^i(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma_\varphi^i)^2} (z_i - \mu_\theta^i(x))^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Encoder – gaussian, decoder – bernoulli

$$\begin{aligned} q_\varphi(z|x) &= \mathcal{N}(z|\mu_\varphi(x), \sigma_\varphi^2(x)I), \quad p_\theta(z) = \mathcal{N}(z|0, I), \quad p_\theta(x|z) = \text{Bernoulli}(x|\text{pr}_\theta(z)), \\ z, \mu_\varphi, \sigma_\varphi^2 &\in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad x, \mu_\theta \in \mathbb{R}^D \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous example we can analytically calculate the $D_{KL}(q_\varphi(z|x)||p_\theta(z))$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{KL}(q_\varphi(z|x)||p_\theta(z)) &= \int q_\varphi(z|x) \ln \frac{q_\varphi(z|x)}{p_\theta(z)} dz = \mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)} \ln \frac{q_\varphi(z|x)}{p_\theta(z)} = \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \ln \sigma_\varphi^i(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma_\varphi^i)^2} (z_i - \mu_\theta^i(x))^2 - z_i^2 \right) \right) = \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^d \ln \sigma_\varphi^i(x) - \frac{d}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (\sigma_\varphi^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (\mu_\theta^i)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (1 + \ln(\sigma_\varphi^i)^2 - (\sigma_\varphi^i)^2 - (\mu_\theta^i)^2) \end{aligned}$$

The unbiased estimators for the gradient $\nabla_\varphi \mathbb{E}_{q_\varphi(z|x)}(\ln p_\theta(x|z))$:

- log-derivative trick:

$$\nabla_{\varphi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\varphi}(z|x)}(\ln p_{\theta}(x|z)) \approx \ln p_{\theta}(x|\hat{z}) \nabla_{\varphi} \ln q_{\varphi}(\hat{z}|x), \quad \text{where } \hat{z} \sim q_{\varphi}(z|x)$$

- reparameterization trick:

$$\nabla_{\varphi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\varphi}(z|x)}(\ln p_{\theta}(x|z)) \approx \nabla_{\varphi} \ln p_{\theta}(x|\mu_{\varphi} + \sigma_{\varphi} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}), \quad \text{where } \hat{\varepsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

The analytic forms for $\ln p_{\theta}(x|z)$ and $\ln q_{\varphi}(z|x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p_{\theta}(x|z) &= \sum_{i=1}^D (x_i \ln \text{pr}_{\theta}^i(z) + (1 - x_i) \ln(1 - \text{pr}_{\theta}^i(z))) \\ \ln q_{\varphi}(z|x) &= -\frac{d}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^d \ln \sigma_{\varphi}^i(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{1}{(\sigma_{\varphi}^i)^2} (z_i - \mu_{\theta}^i(x))^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

References

1. *Kingma D. P., Welling M.* Auto-encoding variational bayes // arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114. — 2013.
2. *Paisley J., Blei D., Jordan M.* Variational Bayesian inference with stochastic search // arXiv preprint arXiv:1206.6430. — 2012.