

Matching guideline equal

Common Entities: quantum, ibm, imaginary

Common Subjects: quantum, particle, research

Rules:	Similarity and Occurrence
Most Likely Location: Page6	Similarity: 0.07357474610589511
Identify key issues/topic under consideration.	

Most Likely Location: Page2	Similarity: 0.08340941143953932
Acknowledge funding sources or contributors.	

Most Likely Location: Page2	Similarity: 0.45026814465562653
Acknowledge conflicts of interest~.	

Most Likely Location: Page4	Similarity: 0.14364604878100168
State the problem/question/objectives under investigation.	

Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.13097805076698718
Indicate the study design, including types of participants or data sources, analytic strategy, main results/findings, and main implications/significance.	

Most Likely Location: PageNone	Similarity: 0.1001169004138248
Keywords~.	

Most Likely Location: Page4	Similarity: 0.16284707754470532
Frame the problem or question and its context.	

Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.17067829175752447
Review, critique, and synthesize the applicable literature to identify key issues/debates/theoretical frameworks in the relevant literature to clarify barriers, knowledge gaps, or practical needs.	

Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.1993332630528026
State the purpose, goal, and/or aim of the study.	

Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.17303712891549683
State the target audience~.	

Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.12735952979479354
Provide the rationale for fit of design used to investigate this purpose/goal.	
Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.12442854619985261
Describe the approach to inquiry, if it illuminates the objectives and research rationale.	
Most Likely Location: Page4	Similarity: 0.19297386696309934
Summarize the research design, including data-collection strategies, data-analytic strategies, and, if illuminating, approaches to inquiry.	
Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.1943143401685815
Provide the rationale for the design selected.	
Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.3175788331736684
Describe the researchers' backgrounds in approaching the study, emphasizing their prior understandings of the phenomena under study.	
Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.17025379397541957
Describe how prior understandings of the phenomena under study were managed and/or influenced the research.	
Most Likely Location: PageNone	Similarity: 0.04547582924081302
Provide the numbers of participants/documents/events analyzed.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.16332013091330033
Describe the demographics/cultural information, perspectives of participants, or characteristics of data sources that might influence the data collected.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.15738999505170365
Describe existing data sources.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.22238514032164786
Provide data repository information for openly shared data~.	

Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.11554017351071233
Describe archival searches or process of locating data for analyses~.	
Most Likely Location: Page4	Similarity: 0.21599550987993313
Describe the relationships and interactions between researchers and participants relevant to the research process and any impact on the research process.	
Most Likely Location: Page4	Similarity: 0.43570174014373986
Describe the recruitment process and any recruitment protocols.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.130068250022717
Describe any incentives or compensation, and provide assurance of relevant ethical processes of data collection and consent process as relevant.	
Most Likely Location: Page4	Similarity: 0.12083223046959846
Describe the process by which the number of participants was determined in relation to the study design.	
Most Likely Location: PageNone	Similarity: 0.0
Provide any changes in numbers through attrition and final number of participants/sources.	
Most Likely Location: Page4	Similarity: 0.21904412377378996
Describe the rationale for decision to halt data collection.	
Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.16676531660156582
Convey the study purpose as portrayed to participants, if different from the purpose stated.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.10104836020089675
Describe the participants/data source selection process and inclusion/exclusion criteria.	
Most Likely Location: Page6	Similarity: 0.18435554192630063
Provide the general context for the study.	

Most Likely Location: Page5 Similarity: 0.1482729055886038

If your participant selection is from an archived data set, describe the recruitment and selection process from that data set as well as any decisions in selecting sets of participants from that data set.

Most Likely Location: Page5 Similarity: 0.22853339027335828

State the form of data collected.

Most Likely Location: Page4 Similarity: 0.21904412377378996

Describe the origins or evolution of the data-collection protocol.

Most Likely Location: Page4 Similarity: 0.16335631539456405

Describe any alterations of data-collection strategy in response to the evolving findings or the study rationale.

Most Likely Location: Page4 Similarity: 0.3127800725308436

Describe the data-selection or data-collection process.

Most Likely Location: Page4 Similarity: 0.13484888953317453

Convey the extensiveness of engagement.

Most Likely Location: Page4 Similarity: 0.1667112370042528

For interview and written studies, indicate the mean and range of the time duration in the data-collection process.

Most Likely Location: Page4 Similarity: 0.1732505344164938

Describe the management or use of reflexivity in the data-collection process, as it illuminates the study.

Most Likely Location: Page4 Similarity: 0.3932125804525215

Describe questions asked in data collection: content of central questions, form of questions.

Most Likely Location: PageNone Similarity: 0.13938002495076907

Identify data audio/visual recording methods, field notes, or transcription processes used.

Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.13627634143908643
Describe the methods and procedures used and for what purpose or goal.	
Most Likely Location: PageNone	Similarity: 0.1475030399419189
Explicate in detail the process of analysis, including some discussion of the procedures following a principle of transparency.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.1707761131901165
Describe coders or analysts and their training~(if not already described in the researcher description section).	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.2655232524107119
Identify whether coding categories emerged from the analyses or were developed a priori.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.30387832560945816
Identify units of analysis and how units were formed~.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.24558396359260984
Describe the process of arriving at an analytic scheme~.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.3168752218840048
Provide illustrations and descriptions of the analytic scheme development~.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.07468232555029745
Indicate software~.	
Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.24971310651826234
Demonstrate that the claims made from the analysis are warranted and have produced findings with methodological integrity. The procedures that support methodological integrity typically are described across the relevant sections of a paper, but they could be addressed in a separate section when elaboration or emphasis would be helpful. Issues of methodological integrity include the following: Assess the adequacy of the data in terms of its ability to capture forms of diversity most relevant to the	

question, research goals, and inquiry approach.

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.2244880069680208

Demonstrate that the claims made from the analysis are warranted and have produced findings with methodological integrity. The procedures that support methodological integrity typically are described across the relevant sections of a paper, but they could be addressed in a separate section when elaboration or emphasis would be helpful. Issues of methodological integrity include the following: Describe how the researchers' perspectives were managed in both the data collection and analysis.

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.13472383767485296

Demonstrate that the claims made from the analysis are warranted and have produced findings with methodological integrity. The procedures that support methodological integrity typically are described across the relevant sections of a paper, but they could be addressed in a separate section when elaboration or emphasis would be helpful. Issues of methodological integrity include the following: Demonstrate that findings are grounded in the evidence.

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.13375863751426978

Demonstrate that the claims made from the analysis are warranted and have produced findings with methodological integrity. The procedures that support methodological integrity typically are described across the relevant sections of a paper, but they could be addressed in a separate section when elaboration or emphasis would be helpful. Issues of methodological integrity include the following: Demonstrate that the contributions are insightful and meaningful.

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.14601192359854853

Demonstrate that the claims made from the analysis are warranted and have produced findings with methodological integrity. The procedures that support methodological

integrity typically are described across the relevant sections of a paper, but they could be addressed in a separate section when elaboration or emphasis would be helpful. Issues of methodological integrity include the following: Provide relevant contextual information for findings.

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.18314312239065744

Demonstrate that the claims made from the analysis are warranted and have produced findings with methodological integrity. The procedures that support methodological integrity typically are described across the relevant sections of a paper, but they could be addressed in a separate section when elaboration or emphasis would be helpful. Issues of methodological integrity include the following: Present findings in a coherent manner that makes sense of contradictions or disconfirming evidence in the data

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.25042050027876617

Demonstrate consistency with regard to the analytic processes or describe responses to inconsistencies, as relevant.

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.1334159255580077

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include transcripts/data collected returned to participants for feedback

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.13950563839748578

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include triangulation across multiple sources of information, findings, or investigators

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.13732141366364686

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include checks on the interview thoroughness or interviewer demands

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.15545859921337096

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include consensus or auditing process

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.16935008819899205

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include member checks or participant feedback on findings

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.14381705411482135

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include data displays/matrices

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.10380401914794833

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include in-depth thick description, case examples, or illustrations

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.11731235838813255

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include structured methods of researcher reflexivity

Most Likely Location: Page5

Similarity: 0.1652834522656524

Describe how support for claims was supplemented by any

checks added to the qualitative analysis. Examples of supplemental checks that can strengthen the research may include checks on the utility of findings in responding to the study problem

Most Likely Location: Page5	Similarity: 0.2257063153689893
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Describe research findings and the meaning and understandings that the researcher has derived from the data analysis.

Most Likely Location: PageNone	Similarity: 0.2480853824889377
--------------------------------	--------------------------------

Demonstrate the analytic process of reaching findings.

Most Likely Location: Page3	Similarity: 0.18443191662261305
-----------------------------	---------------------------------

Present research findings in a way that is compatible with the study design.

Most Likely Location: PageNone	Similarity: 0.256264225458984
--------------------------------	-------------------------------

Present synthesizing illustrations, if useful in organizing and conveying findings.

Most Likely Location: Page6	Similarity: 0.1758302086833494
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Describe the central contributions and their significance in advancing disciplinary understandings.

Most Likely Location: Page6	Similarity: 0.27838092090036437
-----------------------------	---------------------------------

Describe the types of contributions made by findings and how findings can be best utilized.

Most Likely Location: Page6	Similarity: 0.4414189039607901
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Identify similarities and differences from prior theories and research findings.

Most Likely Location: Page6	Similarity: 0.4112070550676187
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Reflect on any alternative explanations of the findings.

Most Likely Location: Page6	Similarity: 0.5101490193104813
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Identify the study's strengths and limitations

Most Likely Location: Page6

Similarity: 0.2995800525335756

Describe the limits of the scope of transferability

Most Likely Location: Page6

Similarity: 0.1732021197203102

Revisit any ethical dilemmas or challenges that were encountered, and provide related suggestions for future researchers.

Most Likely Location: Page6

Similarity: 0.22028815056182965

Consider the implications for future research, policy, or practice.

References:

Citation Count

Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality be Considered Complete?

Too many requests

Simulating Physics with Computers.

Too many requests

A Brief History of Time.

Too many requests

Discussion of Probability Relations between Separated Systems.

Too many requests

Quantum Computation and Quantum Information.

Too many requests

On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Paradox.

Too many requests

Advancements in Quantum Computing.

Too many requests

Special Issue: Quantum Information and Quantum Computing.

Too many requests