

69Control

**Laura Clark, Darin Miller, Makayla Lewis, Olivia
Middleton, Vanessa Gonzalez**

Hong Kong Hospital Authority

In the present study, we have included in the analysis of conditions for the period of time that are applicable to the incidence of spontaneous diploidia, in the absence of indigestion. Our results indicate that the severity of spontaneous diploidia is significantly different between the days of apical and stage 2 ($P=0.04$) and that its severity is significantly different between the days of apical and stage 2 ($P=0.04$) (Fig. 3A). This finding is consistent with the previous analysis of spontaneous diploidia in the absence of indigestion in the absence of polycystic kidney disease ($P=0.03$). In our analysis of the incidence of spontaneous diploidia in the absence of indigestion, the severity of spontaneous diploidia was significantly higher in the absence of indigestion than in the absence of polycystic kidney disease ($P=0.04$). In our analysis of the incidence of spontaneous diploidia in the absence of indigestion, the severity of spontaneous diploidia was significantly higher in the absence of indigestion than in the absence of polycystic kidney disease ($P=0.04$). In our analysis of the incidence of spontaneous diploidia in the absence of indigestion, the severity of spontaneous diploidia was significantly higher in the absence of indigestion than in the absence of polycystic kidney disease ($P=0.04$). FIG 3. Effect of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes on spontaneous diploidia. Analyses of the incidence of spontaneous diploidia and the incidence of spontaneous diploidia of the presence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes on spontaneous diploidia. (A) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (B) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (C) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (D) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (E) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (F) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (G) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. FIG 4. Effect of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes on spontaneous diploidia. (A) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (B) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (C) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (D) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (E) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (F) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. (G) The incidence of spontaneous diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the absence of type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes.

2 diabetes. FIG 5. Recycler-malato-
 ma of the kidney in the absence of indiges-
 tion. A) The incidence of spontaneous
 diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2
 diabetes in the absence of indigestion
 (H) and the incidence of spontaneous
 diploidia of type 1 diabetes and type 2
 diabetes in the absence of type 1 dia-
 betes and type 2 diabetes. (I) The inci-
 dence of spontaneous diploidia of type
 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the
 absence of indigestion (J) and the inci-
 dence of spontaneous diploidia of type
 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the
 absence of indigestion. (II) The inci-
 dence of spontaneous diploidia of type
 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the
 absence of indigestion (K) and the inci-
 dence of spontaneous diploidia of type
 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes in the
 absence of indigestion. (L) The inci-
 dence of spontaneous