

# Assignment 2

## MT 3X03: Scientific Computation

Due at 11:59 PM on Friday, November 21

Fall 2025

### Submission Guidelines

Submit the following files on Avenue:

1. A PDF called `<FIRSTNAME>_<LASTNAME>_a2.pdf` (e.g., `matthew_giamou_a2.pdf`) containing all of your plots and written answers to mathematical and discussion questions (no need to include Julia code here). Show all steps in your solutions.
2. A file called `<FIRSTNAME>_<LASTNAME>_a2.jl` containing all of your Julia code solutions (we will run this with autograding scripts, so be sure to test it carefully). Use the `a2_template.jl` file we have provided as boilerplate: it contains function signatures for you to implement. Include all helper functions you implement as part of your solution in this file. **Do not use any additional `import` or `using` statements beyond what is provided in `a2_template.jl` - these will be detected and you will receive a grade of zero.**

The PDF can be any combination of typed/scanned/handwritten, so long as it is legible (you will receive a grade of zero on any section that cannot be easily understood). **Do not** submit the plotting scripts or test script provided to you. Read the syllabus to find the MSAF policy for this assignment.

### Use of Generative AI Policy

If you use it, treat generative AI as you would a search engine: you may use it to answer general queries about scientific computing, but any specific component of a solution or lines of code must be cited (see the syllabus for citation guidelines).

**This is an individual assignment. All submitted work must be your own, or appropriately cited from scholarly references. Submitting all or part of someone else's solution is an academic offence.**

### Problems

There are 9 problems worth a total of 100 marks. Please read all of the files included in the Assignment 2 handout as they contain useful information.

As in Assignment 1, using additional packages or built-in functions and operators like `\` or `inv()` that directly solve a problem for you is **prohibited**, and you will receive a mark of zero for attempting to do this.

### Computing Extremal Eigenvalues

**Problem 1** (10 points): Implement the power method from class for real symmetric matrices in the function template `power_method_symmetric`. Use the Bauer-Fike theorem for symmetric matrices with the input

parameter `tol` as your termination criterion. This function will be tested by `test_a2.jl` with slightly different inputs. Do not change the function signature.

**Problem 2** (10 points): Derive an analytical expression for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix  $V \triangleq vv^\top$  for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\|v\|_2 = 1$ .

**Problem 3** (10 points): Use your implementation of the power method and the result from Problem 5 to develop a method for determining the eigenpairs of  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$  for the  $k$  eigenvalues with the greatest absolute value. Implement your solution in the `extremal_eigenpairs()` function signature. This function will be tested by `test_a2.jl` with slightly different inputs. **Hint:** consider modifying  $A$  after finding each eigenpair in order of descending eigenvalue magnitude.

## Newton's Method

This section applies Newton's method to estimation problems involving distance measurements. This is a common state estimation task, and the formulation we use here is a simplified version of what Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) like the Global Positioning System (GPS) use to provide accurate position measurements.

**Problem 4** (10 points): Consider the problem of localizing a receiver using idealized (noiseless) range measurements to transmitters with known positions  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Unless we have at least  $n$  transmitters in a non-degenerate configuration, there will be infinitely many solutions. This problem is equivalent to solving the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \|x - p_1\| - d_1 = 0 \\ f_2(x) &= \|x - p_2\| - d_2 = 0 \\ &\vdots \\ f_n(x) &= \|x - p_n\| - d_n = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the unknown position of the receiver, and  $d_i$  is the noiseless measurement of the distance between  $x$  and  $p_i$ . If  $F(x) = 0$  (where  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ) is the system of equations in Eq. 1, find the Jacobian  $J(x) = D_x F$  of  $F(x)$ . Include your derivation of the Jacobian as part of your PDF submission.

**Problem 5** (10 points): Implement Newton's method for the range-only localization (or *triangulation*) problem described in Equation 1 in the `newton()` function template in `template_a2.jl`. This function will be tested by `test_a2.jl` with slightly different inputs. Do not change its function signature.

**Problem 6** (20 points): Next, consider the realistic scenario where the distance measurements  $d_i$  are corrupted by noise and we have  $m \geq n$  transmitting beacons. For independent zero-mean Gaussian distributions of the noise in  $d_i$ , we can estimate the position  $x$  by solving the nonlinear least-squares optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(x)|^2 \tag{2}$$

with Newton's method, where the residuals  $f_i$  are the same as in Eq. 1. We will denote the objective function of Eq. 2 with

$$f(x) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(x)|^2. \tag{3}$$

Find the gradient  $\nabla f$  and Hessian  $\nabla^2 f$  of  $f$  with respect to  $x$ . Include your derivations as part of your PDF submission. **Hint:** you may find tools like <https://www.matrixcalculus.org/> useful for checking your derivations.

**Problem 7** (10 points): Implement Newton's method for the unconstrained optimization problem in Eq. 2 in the `newton_optimizer()` function template in `template_a2.jl`. Run `plot_newton.jl` and include the plot as part of your PDF submission. Provide the following information in your PDF submission:

- a) (5 points) numerical evidence that your implementation of Newton's method has converged to a critical point; and

- b) (5 points) numerical evidence that this critical point is a local minimum.

**Problem 8** (10 points): Gradient descent is a first-order iterative method which uses the following update equation.

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \gamma \nabla f(x_k) \quad (4)$$

For our purposes,  $\gamma$  is a constant which will be passed to the function as a parameter, although that is not necessarily the case in general. The algorithm stops when the norm of the gradient is less than a certain value or the maximum number of iterations is reached. See Problem set 3, question 10 for more information.

- a) (5 points) Implement the gradient descent method for the problem in Eq. 2 in the `gradient_descent()` function template in `template_a2.jl`. This function will be tested by `test_a2.jl` with slightly different inputs. Do not change the function signature.
- b) (5 points) Run `a2_q8.jl` and include the plots it generates. What is the effect of the step size  $\gamma$  on the convergence of gradient descent?

**Problem 9** (10 points): We will compare the behaviour of Newton's method and gradient descent in a challenging set of conditions using `a2_q9.jl`.

- a) (5 points) Run `a2_q9.jl` several times and observe that Newton's method doesn't always converge to the same value given the same starting conditions, while gradient descent generally does. Why does this happen? What test can we use to identify when the next step of Newton's method is more likely to behave erratically (a small variation in the input introduces a large variation in the output)?
- b) (5 points) Observe the number of iterations required by each algorithm. In general, why does one method converge faster than the other?