WANKR Whitepaper

Dr. Wanker Gyatt, PhD

August 2025

1 Introduction

The proliferation of digital tokens has birthed countless projects, most of which claim to "revolutionize finance," "bank the unbanked," or "usher in a new paradigm of decentralized governance." This paper does none of those things. Instead, we humbly present **WANKR**: the world's first *Shame-as-a-Service (SaaS)* token, designed not to empower, but to embarrass.

In modern society, disagreement is often hostile, binary, and catastrophically unfunny. WANKR proposes an alternative: a tokenized tap on the shoulder, a digital "nah, chief" delivered with mathematical precision. A single transfer of 10 WANKR represents the highest known unit of social disapproval. The more WANKR one receives, the clearer the universal message: *you're doing too much.*

Our research is based on decades of peer-reviewed memes, Discord meltdowns, and Twitter threads that aged poorly. From these sources we hypothesize that **public ridicule is best managed onchain**. Unlike likes, shares, or retweets—which boost visibility—WANKR provides an undeniable scarlet letter in the immutable ledger of shame.

This whitepaper formalizes the philosophy, mathematics, and tragicomic consequences of deploying WANKR at scale.

2 Problem Statement

Humanity has long struggled with the art of disagreement. In prehistoric times, hunters would grunt disapproval by throwing sticks. In the Middle Ages, knights issued duels. On Twitter (X), users prefer all-caps rants, low-effort memes, or passive-aggressive threads that ultimately end in mutual blocking. None of these mechanisms provide a standardized, onchain, and mathematically measurable way to express: "my dude, that take is trash."

Current digital platforms suffer from the following critical flaws:

1. **Ambiguity**: A dislike button or angry emoji does not quantify the intensity of disapproval.

2. **Centralization**: Platforms can censor shame, delete history, or—worst of all—convert it into algorithmic engagement, rewarding the very behavior society seeks to discourage.

3. **Offchain Ephemerality**: Once deleted, screenshots and quote-tweets become the only historical record. Such fragile archives lack the permanence necessary for scientific ridicule.

Thus, society urgently requires a distributed, censorship-resistant, cryptographically verifiable system for shaming. Without it, we risk a dystopia where bad takes flourish unchecked, influencer egos swell to unsustainable sizes, and collective facepalms threaten the stability of global neck health.

3 Proposed Solution

We propose the deployment of **$WANKR**, the world's first *Shame-as-a-Service* protocol. Rather than relying on vague emojis or centralized dislike buttons, users may transmit exactly 10 units of $WANKR to signal maximum disapproval.

3.1 Core Principles

The design philosophy of $WANKR rests on three immutable laws:

1. **Universality**: Every bad take deserves a measurable counterbalance.

2. **Immutability**: Shame recorded onchain is eternal, uneditable, and forever linked to the perpetrator's public address.

3. **Efficiency**: The minimum meaningful unit of shame is exactly 10. Sending 9 is cowardice, sending 11 is impossible.

3.2 Mechanics

When a user encounters an objectionable post:

1. The user dispatches 10 $WANKR from their wallet.

2. The recipient's address is marked in the Shame Ledger (SL), a globally replicated archive of disapproval.

3. Future historians, sociologists, and memecoin traders may query the SL to analyze how humanity collectively evolved in its capacity for nonsense.

3.3 Expected Outcomes

By operationalizing shame into a verifiable unit of account, $WANKR transforms subjective cringe into objective science. The result is a healthier discourse ecosystem where influencers think twice before tweeting, and society preserves precious neck-muscle strength otherwise wasted on daily facepalms.

4 Implementation Details

4.1 Architecture Overview

The $WANKR protocol is deployed onchain using an advanced hybrid consensus mechanism known as *Proof-of-Cringe* (PoC). Unlike Proof-of-Work or Proof-of-Stake, PoC validates blocks by measuring the amount of second-hand embarrassment experienced by network participants.

4.2 Smart Contract Design

The smart contract contains only two functions, carefully audited by nobody:

1. `sendWanker(address recipient, uint256 amount = 10)` Emits exactly 10 $WANKR to the specified address. Attempts to send 9 or 11 trigger a revert with the error message: *"bro???"*

2. `ledgerOfShame()` Returns the cumulative count of how many times each address has been shamed.

4.3 Tokenomics

- **Fixed Supply:** $WANKR has a total supply of 100,000,000,000 tokens, chosen for numerological robustness.

- **Distribution:** 100% fair launch via public ridicule. No pre-mine, no VC allocations, only raw dog embarrassment.

4.4 Mathematical Model

Let R be the reputation of a user, W the number of $WANKR received. We define

$$R = \frac{100}{1 + W}$$

where R asymptotically approaches zero as W increases, modeling the irreversible collapse of online dignity.



5 Security Considerations

5.1 Attack Vectors

Like all blockchain protocols, $WANKR is vulnerable to several well-documented exploits:

- **Front-running:** Malicious actors can attempt to intercept and send shame faster than the original sender. This is known as a "pre-shame attack."
- **Sybil Attacks:** An adversary could create thousands of sockpuppet accounts to artificially inflate their opponent's shame score. We consider this a feature, not a bug.
- **Replay Attacks:** Old shame transactions may be re-broadcasted to the network, further reducing a user's dignity without additional cause.

5.2 Rug Pull Probability

The rug pull likelihood P_{rug} can be modeled as:

$$P_{rug} = \frac{1}{69} \times community\_trust^{-1}$$

where community trust is inversely proportional to the number of dog avatars in the Telegram group.

5.3 Mitigation Strategies

To reduce these risks, the protocol recommends the following:

1. Users avoid clicking on links ending in ".exe" shared by anonymous frogs.
2. Storing private keys in an offline location, such as a shoebox under the bed.
3. Absolute faith that no one would dare rug something this ridiculous.

5.4 Auditing

The protocol has been "audited" by three anonymous Twitter accounts with anime avatars. Their findings were inconclusive, but very positive.

6 Experimental Results

To validate the efficacy of the $WANKR protocol, we conducted several rigorous experiments using state-of-the-art simulation techniques (read: Excel and vibes).

6.1 Correlation Between WANKR and Shame

Our data clearly show a strong inverse relationship between user satisfaction H and the number of WANKRs received W:

$$H = \frac{100}{1 + W}$$

Figure 4.4 demonstrates this correlation, where happiness drops faster than altcoins during a bear market.

6.2 Simulation of Market Dynamics

Using Monte Carlo simulations (that is, flipping a coin repeatedly), we determined the following.

- The probability of receiving WANKRs on a given day is directly proportional to how bad your Twitter take is.
- Accounts with anime avatars are statistically more likely to issue WANKRs, though this may be confounded by late-night activity.
- Influencers who delete tweets after comparing are 87. 3% are more likely to become long-term $WANKR holders.

6.3 Peer Review

The results were independently verified by three random Discord mods, all of whom unanimously concluded: "bruh, valid."



Figure 1: The WANKR Doom Spiral: an exponential descent into despair after repeated bad takes.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have rigorously demonstrated that the receipt of WANKR tokens is inversely correlated with the preservation of dignity, reputation, and social standing. Through both mathematical modeling and empirical illustration of the Doom Spiral (Figure 1), we established a foundational truth: *to accumulate WANKR is to accelerate one's descent.*

The elegance of this framework lies in its universality. Whether one is a retail trader, a protocol developer, or an unsuspecting normie dragged into onchain chaos, the laws of WANKR apply equally. As with gravity, resistance is futile.

Future research should explore the asymptotic limits of WANKR shame in extreme conditions (e.g. losing to a meme coin launched by a dog with a Twitter account).

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank his mother for never believing in him, which made this research possible.