

Arithmetic Applications of Artin Twist and BSD

Edwina Aylward, Albert Lopez Bruch

March 21, 2024

Contents

1	Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture	4
2	Algebraic number theory and representation theory background	5
2.1	Representation theory of finite groups	5
2.1.1	Permutation representations and the Burnside ring	5
2.2	Decompositions of primes in field extensions	5
2.3	Class field theory	5
3	Proving things...	6
3.1	Norm relations	6
3.1.1	D-local functions	6
3.2	Compatibility in odd order extensions	6

Introduction

Notation

We use the following notation for characters:

$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$	the ring of characters of representations of G over \mathbb{C} ,
$R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$	the ring of characters of representations of G over \mathbb{Q} ,
$\text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$	the set of characters of complex irreducible representations of G ,
$\text{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$	the set of characters of \mathbb{Q} -irreducible representations of G ,
$\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$	the field of character values of a complex character ρ ,
$m(\rho)$	the Schur Index of an irreducible complex character ρ over $\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$,

1 Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture

2 Algebraic number theory and representation theory background

2.1 Representation theory of finite groups

Let G be a finite group. Recall that a **representation** of G is a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ where V is a complex vector space. Associated to a representation ρ is a **character** $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, defined by letting $\chi(g) = \mathrm{Tr} \rho(g)$ for $g \in G$. For complex representations, ρ is determined by its character; if ρ, ρ' are representations with identical characters, then ρ and ρ' are isomorphic as representations.

Given an irreducible $\mathbb{Q}G$ -representation with character ψ , we have that

$$\psi = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)/\mathbb{Q})} m(\rho) \cdot \rho^\sigma$$

for ρ the character of an irreducible $\mathbb{C}G$ -representation, and $m(\rho)$ the Schur index.

In particular, the map $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ given by sending an irreducible complex character ρ to $\tilde{\rho} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)/\mathbb{Q})} m(\rho) \cdot \rho^\sigma$ is surjective.

Induction, Restriction...

Theorem 2.1 (Mackey Decomposition).

2.1.1 Permutation representations and the Burnside ring

Let G be a finite group. The **Burnside ring** $B(G)$ is the ring of formal sums of isomorphism classes of finite G -sets. We have addition by disjoint union: $[S] + [T] = [S \sqcup T]$, and multiplication by Cartesian product: $[S] \times [T] = [S \times T]$ for S, T finite G -sets.

There exists a bijection between the isomorphism classes of transitive G -sets and the conjugacy classes of subgroups $H \leq G$, where H is the stabilizer of a point on which G acts. Then any transitive G -set X is isomorphic to the action of G on G/H for $H \leq G$, so that we can consider $B(G)$ to be a \mathbb{Z} -module generated by the orbits of the action of G on the elements $\{G/H: H \leq G\}$, where we consider H up to conjugacy. For notational purposes, we then write elements $\Theta \in B(G)$ as $\Theta = \sum_i n_i H_i$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H_i \leq G$.

Given a transitive G -set G/H for $H \leq G$, we can look at the permutation representation $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$. This defines a homomorphism from the Burnside ring to the rational representation ring $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ of G :

$$a: B(G) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G), \quad \sum_i n_i H_i \mapsto \sum_i n_i \mathrm{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbb{1}_{H_i}.$$

Elements in the kernel of this map are known as **Brauer relations**

2.2 Decompositions of primes in field extensions

2.3 Class field theory

3 Proving things...

3.1 Norm relations

Recall that in Section 2.1, we associated to $\rho \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ the character

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)/\mathbb{Q})} m(\rho) \rho^{\sigma}.$$

We call $\sum_i n_i H_i \in B(G)$ a ρ -**relation** if

$$\sum_i n_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbb{1} \simeq \tilde{\rho}.$$

Given such a ρ , consider functions $\psi: B(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\times}/N_{\mathbb{Q}(\rho)/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^{\times})$ (written multiplicatively). We say two functions ψ, ψ' are equivalent, written $\psi \sim_{\rho} \psi'$, if ψ/ψ' is trivial on all ρ -relations.

Remark 3.1. If $\rho = 0$ then we call functions $\psi \sim_{\rho} 1$ **representation theoretic**. These have been studied in [cite](#).

Example 3.2. Consider $G = C_2 \times C_2$.

3.1.1 D-local functions

This is taken from section 2.3 of Vlad and Tim's regulator constants paper.

Consider $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ and intermediate field F^H for $H < G$. Let p be a prime with decomposition group D in G . Then the primes above p in F^H correspond to double cosets HG/D . If a prime w in F^H corresponds to the double coset HxD , then its decomposition and inertia groups in F/F^H are $H \cap D^x$ and $H \cap I^x$ respectively. In particular, the ramification degree and residue degree over \mathbb{Q} are given by $e_w = \frac{|I|}{|H \cap I^x|}$ and $f_w = \frac{[D:I]}{[H \cap D^x : H \cap I^x]}$.

Since we consider many local functions which depend on e and f , we introduce the following definition:

Definition 3.3. Suppose $I \triangleleft D < G$ with D/I cyclic, and $\psi(e, f)$ is a function of $e, f \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$(D, I, \psi): H \mapsto \prod_{x \in H \backslash G/D} \psi \left(\frac{|I|}{|H \cap I^x|}, \frac{[D:I]}{[H \cap D^x : H \cap I^x]} \right).$$

Then, this is a function on the Burnside ring.

For example, for semi-stable reduction, we're considering $\psi(e, f) = e$ (the Tamagawa number). For the d_v terms in the case of additive potentially good reduction (at residue characteristic not equal to 2 or 3), we consider $\psi(e, f) = p^{f \lfloor en/12 \rfloor}$, where $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10\}$.

3.2 Compatibility in odd order extensions

In this section we work towards proving the following:

Theorem 3.4. *Let F/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension of odd degree, with $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Consider a semistable elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with good reduction at primes that are wildly ramified in F/\mathbb{Q} .*

Then, for any $\rho \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ with $[\mathbb{Q}(\rho):\mathbb{Q}] > 1$, the function $f: B(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\times}/N_{\mathbb{Q}(\rho)/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^{\times})$ sending $H \mapsto C(E/F^H)$ satisfies $f \sim_{\rho} 1$.

We look at a relation of the form

$$\sum_i n_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbb{1} \simeq \rho \oplus \tau(\rho), \quad (1)$$

where ρ is a character of G with $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \tau \rangle$ of size 2. In particular we let m denote the minimal positive integer such that $\mathbb{Q}(\rho) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. The sum on the left is over subgroups $H_i \subseteq G$.

If I consider $\text{Res}_D(\rho)$ where D is a decomposition group of exponent k , then for $\text{Res}_D(\rho)$ to be non-rationally valued, one needs $m|k$. Note that in the context of norm relations, if $\text{Res}_D(\rho) = \text{Res}_D(\tau(\rho))$, then we always get squares.

So now suppose that $D = I = C_n$ with $m|n$. Applying Res_D to (1), we get

$$\sum_i n_i \sum_{x \in H_i} \text{Ind}_{D \cap x^{-1}H_i x}^D \mathbb{1} \simeq \text{Res}_D \rho \oplus \tau(\text{Res}_D \rho). \quad (2)$$

Since both sides are now rationally valued, we can write this as $\sum_{d|n} a_d \cdot \chi_d$ where $a_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{\chi_d : d|n\}$ form a basis for the irreducible rational-valued representations of D . Explicitly, χ_d is the sum of the Galois conjugates of an irreducible complex character of D with field of values $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ and kernel of index d (which we'll write as D_d).

We can write each χ_d in terms of permutation modules:

$$\chi_d = \sum_{d'|d} \mu(d'/d) \text{Ind}_{D_d'}^D \mathbb{1}. \quad (3)$$

Substituting this into $\sum_{d|n} a_d \cdot \chi_d$ gives an expression for the LHS of (1). In particular, if we have a D -local function, we can evaluate it on each χ_d -relation as in (3). Then the total expression is the product of these, raised to a_d .

Since we understand representation theory of cyclic groups (wow!), we'd like to be able to reduce to cyclic decomposition groups. Note that since we only assume bad reduction at tamely ramified primes in F/\mathbb{Q} , one has that I is cyclic. It turns out that we may assume that $D = I$ when $[D : I]$ is odd.

Lemma 3.5. *In an odd degree unramified extension, Tamagawa numbers change only up to squares. In particular, if $[D : I]$ is odd, then $(D, I, \psi) \sim_\rho (I, I, \psi)$ for any ρ with $\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$ even.*

Proof. Yadada □

References

- [BH06] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *The Local Langlands Conjecture for $GL(2)$* , Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer Berlin, 2006.