

King's College London PhD Upgrade

A nonabelian Fourier transform for unipotent representations of p -adic groups

Student: Albert Lopez Bruch

Supervisor: Dr. Beth Romano

February 14, 2026

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Unipotent representations for finite groups of Lie type	5
2.1	Frobenius maps	5
2.2	Deligne–Lusztig characters and unipotent representations	6
2.3	Families of unipotent characters	9
3	Unipotent representations of p-adic groups	13
3.1	The apartment of a split maximal torus	13
3.2	The Bruhat–Tits building and parahoric subgroups	15
3.3	Parahoric restriction and unipotent representations	18
3.4	The Langlands parametrization of unipotent representations	20
3.5	Unipotent conjugacy classes of complex simple groups	22
3.6	The dual nonabelian Fourier transform	24
4	Parahoric restriction of unipotent representations	27
4.1	The Iwahori-spherical case and the Springer correspondence	29
4.2	Parahoric restriction for type G_2	32
5	The p-adic symplectic group	35
5.1	Unipotent representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$	35
5.2	Structure theory of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	38
5.3	The subregular unipotent orbit of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	40

6 The p-adic group of type F_4	47
6.1 Examples of parahoric restrictions	48
6.2 Parahoric restriction tables for F_4	51

1 Introduction

The overarching aim of the Local Langlands Correspondence (LLC) is to classify the smooth admissible complex representations of reductive p -adic groups, of algebraic nature, in terms of (hopefully simpler) geometric and number theoretic data. More concretely, if G is a reductive p -adic group over some non-archimedean field F , the Langlands philosophy predicts that the (smooth admissible) complex representations should be controlled by the Weil group \mathcal{W}_F of the field F , together with the geometry of the complex dual group G^\vee of G . Over the past few decades, the local Langlands correspondence has sparked remarkable interest, precise conjectures have been stated and many important results are now known. While the Weil group \mathcal{W}_F is necessary to classify all representation of the p -adic group G , the geometry of the complex dual group G^\vee is enough to classify an important class of representations of G . This class is commonly referred to as *unipotent representations* of G and denoted by $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{un}}(G)$, and in particular contains all representations containing a vector fixed by an Iwahori subgroup (usually denoted *Iwahori-spherical* representations). The work of Kazhdan and Lusztig in [KL87] first classified Iwahori-spherical representations in terms of geometric data related to G^\vee ; however this classification “missed” to capture some important information. A few years later, Lusztig remedied this gap by defining the larger set of unipotent representations and considering simultaneously all such representations for all pure inner twists of G . Explicitly, in [Lus95] he proved that there is a natural bijection between the sets

$$\mathrm{LLC}^p : \bigsqcup_{G'} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{un}}(G') \longleftrightarrow G^\vee \backslash \{(x, \phi) \mid x \in G^\vee, \phi \in \widehat{A_x}\}, \quad (1)$$

where the union on the left is taken over all pure inner twists G' of G and A_x is the component group of the centralizer $Z_{G^\vee}(x)$. The elements of the L -packets are then parametrized by the representations $\phi \in \widehat{A_x}$.

Fortunately, unipotent representations can be defined explicitly using the p -adic topology of G . Associated to G , there is a polysimplicial space, called the *Bruhat–Tits* building of G on which G acts. This action beautifully captures many structural properties of the p -adic group and can be studied to understand representation theoretic aspects of G . In section 3, we discuss this action in some detail. The main idea exploited by Lusztig is that, associated to each facet c of the building, we can associate a certain filtration of open compact subgroups (first defined by Moy and Prasad in [MP94]). The first terms of these filtrations K_c are called *parahoric* subgroups and the second terms are their pro-unipotent radical U_c . Then, if some representation of G has vectors fixed by U_c for some facet c , then one immediately obtains a representation of the quotient $\overline{K}_c := K_c/U_c$, which happens to be a finite reductive group that can be described completely explicitly. Representations satisfying this property for some facet c are called *depth-zero* representations.

Unipotent representations are a proper subset of depth-zero representations. To define them, one needs to have a good understanding of the representation theory of the groups \overline{K}_c , commonly known as *finite groups*

of Lie type. In the 20 years before the classification (1) stated above, Lusztig and many others had been studying in depth the characters of these groups. In Deligne and Lusztig's groundbreaking paper [DL76], deep geometric methods were used to construct characters for finite groups of Lie type. As a result of this geometric approach, a family of characters with remarkable properties, arose naturally. Characters in this family were called *unipotent*. A representation of the p -adic group is therefore defined to be unipotent if there is some facet c for which the corresponding \overline{K}_c -representation contains some unipotent character. This certainly includes Iwahori-spherical representations, for the quotient with its pro-unipotent radical is just a torus over a finite field, and the trivial character is indeed unipotent. The study of unipotent representations of p -adic groups is therefore deeply connected to the study of unipotent characters for finite groups of Lie type.

While many questions in the LLC have now been resolved in full generality, some of them remain open. One such question relates to the stability of L -packets. Moeglin and Waldspurger tackled this problem in [MW03] by considering the restriction of unipotent representations to parahoric subgroups, and then reducing them to representations of finite groups of Lie type. Work of Lusztig and others in the late 20th century revealed remarkable structure on unipotent characters, which Moeglin and Waldspurger exploited. Lusztig defined an involution on the space of virtual unipotent characters known as *Lusztig's non-abelian* transform taking the irreducible characters to the so-called *almost characters*. These characters can be obtained independently via the theory of character sheaves and, as a result, have nice geometrical and stability properties.

The hope is that, if an involution on the space of unipotent representations of G existed with analogous properties, one could use the resulting virtual characters to prove stability properties of L -packets. Moreover, it is believed by Lusztig that an analogous theory of character sheaves for p -adic groups should exist. Work of Ciubotaru [Ciu20] and Aubert–Ciubotaru–Romano [ACR24] has already considered this problem and formulated precise conjectures. We consider the same setting and similar notation to [ACR24], which we now describe.

Let us now explain the main results of this document more concretely. For a p -adic group G , let $\text{InnT}^p(G)$ be the set of pure inner twists of G , a set in canonical bijection with $Z(G^\vee)$. Note that if G is simply connected, it has no pure inner twists other than itself. For $G' \in \text{InnT}^p(G')$ let $R_{\text{un}}(G')$ be the complexification of the Grothendieck space spanned by $\text{Irr}_{\text{un}}(G')$ and consider the representation space $\bigoplus_{G'} R_{\text{un}}(G)$ associated to G . In order to relate this space with the representation theory of finite groups of Lie type, we use open compact subgroups of G' . One of the main innovations in [ACR24] was the realization that instead of considering maximal parahoric subgroups, we should instead consider maximal open compact subgroups. The distinction is that while reductive quotients of maximal parahoric subgroups are connected, this need not be the case for maximal open compact subgroups. The two notions coincide when G is simply connected, but not otherwise. By taking fixed points under the pro-unipotent radical of each maximal open compact subgroup, we obtain the restriction map

$$\text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}} : \bigoplus_{G' \in \text{InnT}^p} R_{\text{un}}(G') \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)_{\text{cpt},\text{un}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{C}(G)_{\text{cpt},\text{un}} = \bigoplus_{G' \in \text{InnT}^p(G)} \bigoplus_{K' \in \max(G')} R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}'),$$

$\max(G')$ is the set of maximal open compact subgroups of G' up to conjugacy and $R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}')$ is the \mathbb{C} -span of the irreducible characters of \overline{K}' . This innovation unavoidably led to a second one. Lusztig's non-abelian Fourier

transform was defined only for connected finite groups of Lie type, and in [ACR24, §6] a generalization of this map was defined for disconnected groups, denoted by

$$\mathrm{FT}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}} : \mathcal{C}(G)_{\mathrm{cpt}, \mathrm{un}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)_{\mathrm{cpt}, \mathrm{un}}.$$

In this document, we shall only consider simply connected p -adic groups, in which case we recover the classical case of maximal parahoric subgroups and Lusztig's nonabelian Fourier transform. The remaining ingredient to state the conjecture is, of course, the definition of the *dual nonabelian Fourier transform* on the space $\oplus_{G'} R_{\mathrm{un}}(G')$ compatible with the restriction maps and the generalization of Lusztig's nonabelian Fourier transform $\mathrm{FT}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}}$. The existence of such a map is conjectured, but no construction has been achieved on the entire space. Instead, previous work has focused on a certain subspace, (isometric to) the elliptic unipotent representation space $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{un}, \mathrm{ell}}^p(G)$ spanned by certain virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$, where $u \in G^\vee$ and (s, h) are *elliptic pairs* defined by section 3.6. On this space, there is a natural definition for the dual nonabelian Fourier transform, namely

$$\mathrm{FT}_{\mathrm{ell}}^\vee : \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{un}, \mathrm{ell}}^p(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{un}, \mathrm{ell}}^p(G), \quad \Pi(u, s, h) \longmapsto \Pi(u, h, s).$$

We are finally ready to state the main conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. [ACR24, Conjecture 1.2] Let G be a semisimple p -adic group. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{un}, \mathrm{ell}}^p(G) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{FT}_{\mathrm{ell}}^\vee} & \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{un}, \mathrm{ell}}^p(G) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}} & & \downarrow \mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}} \\ \mathcal{C}(G)_{\mathrm{cpt}, \mathrm{un}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{FT}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}}} & \mathcal{C}(G)_{\mathrm{cpt}, \mathrm{un}}, \end{array}$$

commutes, up to certain roots of unity.

In their paper, Aubert–Ciubotaru–Romano showed that this conjecture is true for $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(F), \mathrm{PGL}_n(F)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_4(F)$. In previous work, Ciubotaru [Ciu20] showed this conjecture holds for $G_2(F)$, and Waldspurger [Wal18] showed it for $G = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$. The main results of this document naturally follow on from these. The first one is related to classical groups, while the second one is for exceptional ones.

Theorem 1.2 (In progress, Theorem 5.1). *Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and let u be a subregular element of $G^\vee = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then for all elliptic pairs (s, h) related to u ,*

$$\mathrm{FT}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}}(\mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}}(\Pi(u, s, h))) = \mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{cpt}}(\Pi(u, h, s)).$$

Theorem 1.3 (In progress, Theorem 6.1). *Let G be a simple p -adic group of type F_4 . Then conjecture 1.1 holds for G .*

Structure of the document

The document is organized as follows. We devote section 2 to introduce finite groups of Lie type G^F and Deligne–Lusztig theory. There is a vast amount of theory, so we focus on understanding the classification of unipotent characters of G^F in terms of families. This naturally leads to the construction of Lusztig's nonabelian

Fourier transform. In section 3 we explain relevant structural and representation theoretic results of reductive p -adic groups G , where we introduce the Bruhat-Tits building, unipotent representations and the dual nonabelian Fourier transform. Section 4 is the most technical; there we discuss in detail how to explicitly compute the restriction functors $\text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}}$, a method developed by Reeder in [Ree00]. Sections 5 and 6 contain original work and prove Theorems 5.1 and 6.1 stated above.

2 Unipotent representations for finite groups of Lie type

Despite the fact that the statement of Conjecture 1.1 is at heart a result about the representation theory of a p -adic group G , in this first section we restrict our attention to structural and representation theoretic results of finite groups of Lie type. The aim is to understand Lusztig's nonabelian fourier transform $\text{FT}^K : R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}) \longrightarrow R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K})$ on the space of unipotent representations of the reductive parahoric quotient \overline{K} appearing at the bottom of the diagram in 1.1. To achieve this, we first need to take a step back and look at general theory of finite groups of Lie type and the classification of their representations. Most of the material in this first section can be found in the book by Carter [Car93], a great reference on the subject.

To introduce finite groups of Lie type, we first need to briefly look at Frobenius maps. Throughout this chapter, as it is common in the literature, G will denote an algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. In later sections, G will always denote a p -adic group!

2.1 Frobenius maps

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let G be a connected linear algebraic group over k , which we assume in addition to be reductive. A well-known fact states that G is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(k)$ for some n . The structure of G can be understood to a large extent by looking at its maximal connected solvable subgroups of G , denoted as Borel subgroups. If we fix some Borel subgroup B , any maximal torus T in B is also a maximal torus in G , and it determines a set of roots $\Phi = \Phi(G, T) \subset X(T)$. The choice of the Borel B containing T corresponds to a choice of positive roots Φ^+ and therefore of an integral basis $\Delta \subseteq \Phi^+$. Moreover, the subgroups $B, N := N_G(T)$ satisfy the axioms of a BN -pair, as described by Tits, whose corresponding Weyl group is $W = N/T = \langle w_{\alpha_i} \mid \alpha_i \in \Delta \rangle$, generated by the reflections along the simple roots in Δ .

For some power $q = p^e, e \geq 1$, consider the map $F_q : \text{GL}_n(k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K), (a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{ij}^q)$, which is known to be a rational group homomorphism. A homomorphism $F : G \rightarrow G$ is called a *standard Frobenius map* if there exists an embedding $i : G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n(k)$ and some $q = p^e$ such that

$$i(F(g)) = F_q(i(g)) \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

More generally, a homomorphism $F : G \rightarrow G$ is a *Frobenius map* if some power of F is a standard Frobenius map. If $F : G \rightarrow G$ is a Frobenius map, we are interested in the structure and representation theory of the **finite** group G^F of fixed points under the Frobenius map. Many properties are inherited from G , but some change significantly.

One can show that G contains F -stable Borel subgroups, and that inside any F -stable Borel there are F -stable maximal tori. Thus, we may assume that the Borel subgroup B and maximal torus T fixed in the previous paragraph are F -stable. Under these assumptions, the Frobenius map acts on the simple roots by permuting the corresponding root spaces. Thus, F induces some permutation ρ of Δ satisfying

$$F(\mathcal{X}_\alpha) = \mathcal{X}_{\rho(\alpha)} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Moreover, one can easily check that ρ is in fact a symmetry of the Dynkin diagram, which can be completely classified. When ρ is trivial we say that G^F is a *split* group and *non-split* otherwise. In this document, we will mainly focus on split groups.

For each orbit $J \subseteq \Delta$ of ρ , let $w_J \in W_J = \{w_{\alpha_i} \mid \alpha_i \in J\}$ be the unique element such that $w_J(J) = -J$. It is the longest element of W_J and it satisfies that $w_J^2 = 1$. It then follows that the group G^F has a natural BN -pair given by the groups B^F and N^F , whose Weyl group is

$$N^F/T^F = (N/T)^F = W^F = \langle w_J \mid J \subseteq \Delta \text{ is an orbit of } \rho \rangle.$$

When G^F is split, ρ acts trivially and all orbits are singletons, so $W^F \cong W$.

Example 2.1. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ and let $F : G \rightarrow G$ be a Frobenius map. If $F = F_q$, then ρ is trivial and $G^F = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Alternatively, consider the map $\gamma : \mathrm{GL}_n(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ given by $A \mapsto Q_n^{-1}(A^T)^{-1}Q_n$, where Q_n is the matrix with 1 in the anti-diagonal and 0 everywhere else. Then the map $F' := F_q \circ \gamma$ squares to F_{q^2} so it is a Frobenius map. Then we obtain the non-split group

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(k)^{F'} = \mathrm{GU}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \mid \bar{A}^T Q_n A = Q_n\}.$$

It is a key structural fact of G^F that any two F -stable Borel subgroups in G are conjugate under G^F . However, it is not the case that any two F -stable maximal tori in G are G^F -conjugate. A classification of these classes is a fundamental problem in the structure theory of G^F , with a beautiful solution. While it is true that any F -stable Borel subgroup contains an F -stable maximal torus, the converse might not be true. Any F -stable maximal torus that is contained in an F -stable Borel subgroup is called *maximally split*, and it can be shown that any two F -stable maximally split tori are also conjugate under G^F .

Remarkably, one can easily determine the G^F -conjugacy classes of F -stable maximal tori by looking at the Weyl group. To state this result, we first introduce the notion of F -conjugacy classes in W . Given two $w_1, w_2 \in W$, we say that they are F -conjugate if there is some $x \in W$ such that $F(x)w_1x^{-1} = w_2$. Note that if F acts on W trivially, then the F -conjugacy classes are the standard conjugacy classes.

Proposition 2.2. *There is a bijection between*

$$\begin{aligned} \{G^F\text{-conjugacy classes of } F\text{-stable maximal tori}\} &\longrightarrow \{F\text{-conjugacy classes of } W\} \\ T' = {}^g T &\longmapsto \pi(g^{-1}F(g)) \end{aligned}$$

From now, we will write T_1 for a maximally split F -stable maximal torus and T_w for any F -stable torus obtained from T_1 by conjugating by some element $g \in G$ such that $\pi(g^{-1}F(g)) = w$. By the previous result, these objects are uniquely defined up to G^F -conjugation.

2.2 Deligne–Lusztig characters and unipotent representations

In their groundbreaking paper from 1976, Deligne and Lusztig attached to each pair (T, θ) of F -stable maximal torus T and character θ of T^F , a virtual character $R_{T, \theta}$ of the group G^F . These virtual characters were

constructed using the action of G^F on ℓ -adic cohomology groups associated to certain Deligne–Lusztig varieties. We shall not consider the explicit definition of the characters, but we will recall without proof some important properties.

1. If the pair (T', θ') is obtained from (T, θ) by conjugation on some element of G^F in the natural way, then

$$R_{T,\theta} = R_{T',\theta'}.$$

2. If T_1 is a maximally split torus inside some F -stable Borel B , then $R_{T_1,\theta} = \theta_{B^F}^{G^F}$, where $\theta_{B^F}^{G^F}$ is the character of the parabolically induced representation $\text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F} \theta$.
3. The orthogonality relations: For any two pairs (T, θ) and (T', θ') , we have that

$$(R_{T,\theta}, R_{T',\theta'}) = |\{w \in W(T, T')^F \mid {}^w\theta' = \theta\}|.$$

In particular, if T, T' are not G^F -conjugate, then $(R_{T,\theta}, R_{T',\theta'}) = 0$.

4. We say that (T, θ) is in general position if $\text{Stab}_{W(T)^F}(\theta)$ is trivial. Thus, if (T, θ) is in general position, the orthogonality relations imply that one of $\pm R_{T,\theta}$ is an irreducible character.
5. For any F -stable maximal torus T ,

$$(R_{T,\theta}, 1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

6. The dimension of $R_{T,\theta}$ equals

$$\dim R_{T,\theta} = \varepsilon_G \varepsilon_T |G^F : T^F|, \quad \varepsilon_G \varepsilon_T \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

It is possible to define an action of G on the pairs (T, θ) extending the action of G^F . It acts on the tori in the obvious way, but care is required to define the action on the characters θ . We say that two pairs in the same G -orbit are *geometrically conjugate*. For example, all $(T, \mathbf{1})$ are geometrically conjugate. Similarly, we say that two characters χ_1, χ_2 are *geometrically conjugate* if there are geometrically conjugate pairs (T, θ) and (T', θ') such that

$$(\chi_1, R_{T,\theta}) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\chi_2, R_{T',\theta'}) \neq 0.$$

7. If (T, θ) and (T', θ') are not geometrically conjugate, then $R_{T,\theta}$ and $R_{T',\theta'}$ do not share any irreducible component in common.
8. If G has centre Z and semisimple rank l , there are $|Z^F|q^l$ geometric conjugacy classes of pairs (T, θ) .

Let's give a couple of examples for the decomposition of the Deligne–Lusztig characters, where the properties above can be easily verified.

Example 2.3. Suppose first that $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ and $F = F_q : G \rightarrow G$ is the standard Frobenius. Then $G^F = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and G has two F -stable tori up to G^F conjugation, namely

$$T_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in k^* \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_w = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ ub & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in k, a^2 - ub^2 \neq 0 \right\},$$

where $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is a non-square. Then $T_1^F \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ while $T_w^F \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$. Now, if $\theta = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2$ is a character of T_1^F , then

$$R_{T_1, \theta} = \theta_{B^F}^{G^F} = \begin{cases} \bar{\theta} \otimes (1 \oplus \mathrm{St}) & \text{if } \theta_1 = \theta_2 \\ \text{irreducible principal series} & \text{if } \theta_1 \neq \theta_2, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\theta}$ is the unique extension of θ to all of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (this is only possible if $\theta_1 = \theta_2$). On the other hand, suppose that θ' is a character of T_w^F . Then

$$R_{T_w, \theta'} = \begin{cases} \bar{\theta} \otimes (1 \oplus \mathrm{St}) & \text{if } \theta'^q = \theta' \\ \text{irreducible cuspidal} & \text{if } \theta'^q \neq \theta', \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\theta}$ is the extension of the unique character θ of T_1^F for which (θ, T_1) is geometrically conjugate to (θ', T_w) .

Example 2.4. Now suppose that $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$ and $F = F_q : G \rightarrow G$ to be the standard Frobenius again. Similarly, $G^F = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and G has two F -stable tori up to G^F conjugation, namely

$$T_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in k^* \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_w = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ ub & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in k, a^2 - ub^2 = 1 \right\},$$

where $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is a non-square. Then $T_1^F \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ while $T_w^F \cong C_{q+1}$. If θ is a character of T_1^F then

$$R_{T_1, \theta} = \theta_{B^F}^{G^F} = \begin{cases} 1 \oplus \mathrm{St} & \text{if } \theta = 1, \\ R_+(\xi) \oplus R_-(\xi) & \text{if } \theta = \xi = \mathrm{sgn}, \\ \text{irreducible principal series} & \text{if } \theta \neq \theta^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

where $R_+(\xi) \neq R_-(\xi)$ are conjugate under $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and so they have the same dimension $(q+1)/2$. On the other hand, if θ' is a character of T_w^F , then

$$R_{T_w, \theta'} = \begin{cases} 1 \oplus \mathrm{St} & \text{if } \theta' = 1, \\ \ominus R'_+(\xi) \ominus R'_-(\xi) & \text{if } \theta' = \xi = \mathrm{sgn}, \\ \ominus \text{irreducible cuspidal} & \text{if } \theta' \neq \theta'^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

Amongst all geometric conjugacy classes of characters of G^F , there is a distinguished class that will take most of our attention.

Definition 2.5. An irreducible character χ of G^F is called *unipotent* if there is some maximal F -stable torus T of G such that $(R_{T,1}, \chi) \neq 0$. The set of unipotent characters of G^F form a geometric conjugacy class of characters.

We remark that if χ is a unipotent character of G^F , then $(\chi, R_{T,\theta}) = 0$ for any (T, θ) with $\theta \neq 1$. This is an immediate consequence of property 7. above. Lusztig realized that a classification of unipotent characters of G^F and certain subgroups (also finite groups of Lie type) was enough to understand all irreducible characters. Firstly, he observed that the study of unipotent characters of G^F can be reduced to the case when G is simple of adjoint type. Secondly, following the same approach as Harish-Chandra, he noticed that it was enough to classify *cuspidal* unipotent characters ϕ . There are many equivalent definitions for this notion; the idea is that ϕ is not obtained by parabolically inducing any unipotent character of a proper parabolic subgroup. The precise statement the following.

Proposition 2.6. *Let χ be an irreducible character of G^F .*

1. *There is an F -stable parabolic subgroup P of G with F -stable Levi decomposition $P = LN$ and a cuspidal character ϕ of L^F such that $(\chi, \phi_{P^F}^{G^F}) \neq 0$.*
2. *Moreover, the pair (P, ϕ) is unique up to G^F -conjugacy.*
3. *The character χ of G^F is unipotent if and only if ϕ is a unipotent character of L^J .*

Therefore, to classify the unipotent characters of G^F , it is enough to determine the cuspidal unipotent representations ϕ of the standard Levi subgroups L_J^F of G^F and then calculate the decomposition of $\phi_{P^F}^{G^F}$ into irreducible characters. The latter task can be computed using Howlett–Lehrer theory (see [Car93, §10] for a detailed treatment), while the former was achieved by Lusztig in a case by case analysis. For example, he proved that if G^F has classical type, then it has either 0 or 1 cuspidal unipotent characters (if the type $A_n, n \geq 1$, there are no cuspidal unipotent characters). In exceptional types, one can find more exotic behaviour. In type G_2 we find 4 cuspidal unipotent characters and in F_4 we find 7.

2.3 Families of unipotent characters

Lusztig further observed that the unipotent characters of G^F naturally form families in a remarkable way. Firstly, he parametrized the principal series characters with irreducible characters of W by showing that there is a natural bijection

$$\{\text{Irreducible characters of } W\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Irreducible components of } \text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F} 1\} \quad (2)$$

$$\phi \longmapsto \chi_\phi. \quad (3)$$

This fact can be elegantly seen as follows. The choice of Borel subgroup B containing the torus determines a set of simple roots, and thus a set of simple reflections S generating W . Now consider the endomorphism algebra $\mathcal{H}(T^F, 1) := \text{End}(\text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F} 1)$. Using Mackey theory, one can show that $\mathcal{H}(T^F, 1)$ has natural basis $\{T_w, w \in W\}$ satisfying the multiplication rules

$$\begin{aligned} T_s^2 &= (q - 1)T_s + qT_1 && \text{if } s \in S, \\ T_{w_1}T_{w_2} &= T_{w_1w_2} && \text{if } l(w_1w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{H}(T^F, 1)$ is isomorphic to the Coxeter algebra $\mathcal{H}(W, S, q)$ with constant parameter q . This algebra is a deformation of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[W]$ (by setting $q = 1$). Tits' deformation theorem ([Car93, Proposition 10.11.2]) tells us something even stronger; we in fact have that

$$\mathcal{H}(T^F, 1) \cong \mathbb{C}[W]$$

are isomorphic, from which the above bijection can be easily deduced. We can also deduce the nice formula

$$(\chi_\phi, \text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F} 1) = \dim \phi.$$

Example 2.7. Let $G = G_2(k)$ and let $F = F_q : G \rightarrow G$ be the standard Frobenius. Then $G^F = G_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, whose Weyl group W is isomorphic to D_{12} . Following Carter, we label the six irreducible representations by $\phi_{1,0}, \phi'_{1,3}, \phi''_{1,3}, \phi_{1,6}, \phi_{2,1}, \phi_{2,2}$, where the first subindex gives the dimension. For example, $\phi_{1,0} = \mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character and $\phi_{1,6} = \varepsilon$ is the sign character. As it is customary in the literature, we use the same notation to denote the corresponding principal series representations of $G_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The Weyl group has 6 conjugacy classes often labelled by $e, a, b, ab, (ab)^2$ and $(ab)^3$, where a and b are short and long reflections, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{T_{1,1}} &= \phi_{1,0} + \phi_{1,6} + \phi'_{1,3} + \phi''_{1,3} + 2\phi_{2,1} + 2\phi_{2,2}, \\ R_{T_a,1} &= \phi_{1,0} - \phi_{1,6} + \phi'_{1,3} - \phi''_{1,3}, \\ R_{T_b,1} &= \phi_{1,0} - \phi_{1,6} - \phi'_{1,3} + \phi''_{1,3}, \\ R_{T_{ab},1} &= \phi_{1,0} + \phi_{1,6} - \phi_{2,1} + G_2[-1] + G_2[\theta] + G_2[\theta^2], \\ R_{T_{(ab)^2},1} &= \phi_{1,0} + \phi_{1,6} - \phi_{2,2} + G_2[1] - G_2[\theta] - G_2[\theta^2], \\ R_{T_{(ab)^3},1} &= \phi_{1,0} + \phi_{1,6} - \phi'_{1,3} - \phi''_{1,3} - 2G_2[1] - 2G_2[-1], \end{aligned}$$

where $G_2[1], G_2[-1], G_2[\theta], G_2[\theta^2]$ are the commonly used labels for the 4 cuspidal unipotent characters.

For type A_l -groups, one can explicitly describe the representations χ_ϕ in terms of Deligne–Lusztig characters.

Example 2.8. Let G be a reductive group of type A_l . No standard Levi subgroup L^F of G^F has a cuspidal unipotent representation other than the maximally split torus since they all have type $A_m, m \leq l$. Consequently, all unipotent representations of G^F are in the principal series. This means that irreducible characters of W parametrize unipotent characters of G^F . Explicitly, given some irreducible character ϕ of W ,

$$\chi_\phi = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \phi(w) R_{T_w,1}$$

For $G = \text{GL}_2(k)$ or $\text{SL}_2(k)$, $\chi_1 = 1$ and $\chi_{\text{sgn}} = \text{St}$.

In general, however, finite groups of Lie type do have cuspidal unipotent characters, and the virtual characters

$$R_\phi = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \phi(w) R_{T_w,1}$$

as defined above are not irreducible, and are denoted *unipotent almost characters*. Lusztig then divided irreducible characters of W into equivalence classes (called *families*) by the rule that two characters ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are

equivalent if R_{ϕ_1} and R_{ϕ_2} share an irreducible component. Similarly, he extended this notion of families to unipotent characters of G^F by the rule that two unipotent characters appearing in the same R_ϕ are in the same family and then extending by transitivity. Tracing through the definitions, it is not hard to show that there is a bijection between families of characters of W and families of unipotent characters of G^F . Remarkably, Lusztig proved the latter families can be parametrized in the following manner.

Theorem 2.9. *For each family of unipotent representations \mathcal{F} of G^F there is a group $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} \in \{1, C_2 \times \cdots \times C_2, S_3, S_4, S_5\}$ and a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \\ (x, \sigma) &\longmapsto \chi_{(x, \sigma)}^{\mathcal{F}} \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$(\chi_{(x, \sigma)}^{\mathcal{F}}, R_\phi) = \begin{cases} \{(x, \sigma), (y, \tau)\} & \text{if } \chi_\phi = \chi_{(y, \tau)}^{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_\phi \notin \mathcal{F}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\{(x, \sigma), (y, \tau)\} = \frac{1}{|C_\Gamma(x)||C_\Gamma(y)|} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Gamma \\ xgyg^{-1} = gyg^{-1}x}} \sigma(gyg^{-1}) \overline{\tau(g^{-1}xy)}.$$

Since $R_{T_{1,1}} = \sum_{\phi \in \hat{W}} R_\phi$, it follows that for any family \mathcal{F} , $(\chi_{(1,1)}^{\mathcal{F}}, R_{T_{1,1}}) > 0$, so $\chi_{(1,1)}^{\mathcal{F}} = \chi_\phi$ for some character ϕ of W . Characters arising this way are called *special characters* of W and they have distinct characterizations. They are the distinguished elements of the families of characters of W as described above. The upshot of this discussion is that families of unipotent characters can be parametrized by special characters of the Weyl group.

Example 2.10. Consider again the group $G^F = G_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Following the notation in Example 2.7, the special characters of $W \cong D_{12}$ are $\phi_{1,0}, \phi_{1,6}, \phi_{2,1}$ whose corresponding families are

$$(\phi_{1,0}), (\phi_{2,1}, \phi_{2,2}, \phi'_{1,3}, \phi''_{1,3}), (\phi_{1,6}).$$

On the other hand, the 10 unipotent characters of G^F fall into three families, and their Lusztig parametrization is in Figure 1.

Finally, Lusztig used these families to define a *nonabelian Fourier transform* FT_{G^F} on the set of irreducible characters of G^F . This is a linear map on complex vector space $R_{\mathrm{un}}(G^F)$ defined as the \mathbb{C} -span of the irreducible unipotent characters of G^F . The families described above induce a direct sum decomposition

$$R_{\mathrm{un}}(G^F) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{F}} R_{\mathrm{un}, \mathcal{F}}(G^F),$$

where $R_{\mathrm{un}, \mathcal{F}}(G^F)$ is the \mathbb{C} -span of the unipotent representations of G^F in the family \mathcal{F} . Lusztig's nonabelian Fourier transform acts on each block as follows. For a family \mathcal{F} with associated group $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, consider the $|M(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}})| \times |M(\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}})|$ matrix $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ whose $((x, \sigma), (y, \tau))$ entry is the value $\{(x, \sigma), (y, \tau)\}$. This matrix is Hermitian and

Description in terms of cuspidal characters	Degree	Pair (x, σ)
$\phi_{1,0}$	1	
$\phi_{2,1}$	$\frac{1}{6}q\Phi_2^2\Phi_3$	$(1, 1)$
$G_2[1]$	$\frac{1}{6}q\Phi_1^2\Phi_6$	$(1, \varepsilon)$
$\phi_{2,2}$	$\frac{1}{2}q\Phi_2^2\Phi_6$	$(g_2, 1)$
$\phi_{1,3}$	$\frac{1}{3}q\Phi_3\Phi_6$	$(1, r)$
$\phi_{1,3}''$	$\frac{1}{3}q\Phi_3\Phi_6$	$(g_3, 1)$
$G_2[-1]$	$\frac{1}{3}q\Phi_1^2\Phi_3$	(g_2, ε)
$G_2[\theta]$	$\frac{1}{3}q\Phi_1^2\Phi_2^2$	(g_3, θ)
$G_2[\theta^2]$	$\frac{1}{3}q\Phi_1^2\Phi_2^2$	(g_3, θ^2)
$\phi_{1,6}$	q^6	

Figure 1: Unipotent characters of $G_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and its family labels ([Car93, pg. 478]).

squares to the identity. The map $\text{FT}_{G^F} : R_{\text{un}}(G^F) \rightarrow R_{\text{un}}(G^F)$ then acts on each block $R_{\text{un}, \mathcal{F}}(G^F)$ by the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$. Since all the matrices square to the identity, FT_{G^F} is an involution.

In particular, Lusztig's nonabelian transform satisfies $\text{FT}_{G^F}(\chi_\phi) = R_\phi$, so it maps the unipotent characters of G^F to almost unipotent characters. The latter ones have very nice geometric properties, which were exploited by Lusztig to prove other remarkable results, but we will not pursue this further.

Example 2.11. If G^F is of type G_2 , then FT_{G^F} fixes the characters $\phi_{1,0}$ and $\phi_{1,6}$ but transforms the third family according to the Fourier transform matrix

If $\Gamma \cong S_3$, the 8×8 Fourier transform matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1, 1) & (1, r) & (1, \varepsilon) & (g_2, 1) & (g_2, \varepsilon) & (g_3, 1) & (g_3, \theta) & (g_3, \theta^2) \\ (1, 1) & \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{array} \right] \end{pmatrix}$$

Figure 2: Fourier matrix when $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} \cong S_3$ ([Car93, pg. 457]).

3 Unipotent representations of p -adic groups

Having discussed the classification of unipotent characters of finite groups of Lie type and Lusztig's nonabelian Fourier transform, in this section we explain in detail the remaining maps and objects from Conjecture 1.1. To achieve this, we first need to cover the notion of a parahoric subgroup and of a unipotent representation of a p -adic group. Therefore, we now shift our focus completely and turn our attention towards the structural and representation theoretic aspects of p -adic groups.

Throughout, we let F be a nonarchimedean local field with ring of integers \mathcal{O} , uniformizer ϖ and residue field k of cardinality q , a power of a prime p . Let \mathbf{G} be a connected, almost simple, split algebraic group over F and let $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ be the group of F -rational points. In this section, we will first discuss some results about the structure theory of the group G , including the Bruhat–Tits building and the classification of parahoric (or open compact) subgroups of G . There are many texts explaining these topics, from the foundational papers of Bruhat and Tits ([BT72] and [BT84]) to more modern expositions ([Rab07] is a brilliant exposition for this theory applied to Chevalley groups).

These results are instrumental to study and classify smooth admissible complex unipotent representations of G , the main object of study in the local Langlands correspondence. We recall that a representation (π, V) is smooth if the stabilizer of each $v \in V$ in G is open and is admissible if the fixed points V^K for any open compact subgroup of G is finite dimensional. In sections 3.3 - 3.6 we will use these results to study, in particular, unipotent representations of G , defined in 3.3.

3.1 The apartment of a split maximal torus

To simplify the exposition, we will assume throughout that G is a split p -adic group over F , even though it is not strictly necessary. For any split maximal torus T of G over F , there is a natural perfect pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X^*(T) \times X_*(T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

where $X^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, F^\times)$ and $X_*(T) = \text{Hom}(F^\times, T)$ are its character and cocharacter lattice, respectively, and the pairing is obtained by composition. Let $\Phi(G, T) \subset X^*(T)$ be the set of roots associated to T , with the corresponding set of coroots $\Phi^\vee(G, T) \subset X_*(T)$. Similarly to the previous section, a choice of a Borel subgroup B of G containing T is equivalent to the choice of simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subset \Phi(G, T)$, which we now fix throughout. We also let α_0 be the highest root of $\Phi(G, T)$ with respect to Δ . In addition, the group B together with the normalizer $N := N_G(T)$ form a BN -pair with corresponding Weyl group $W = N(F)/T(F)$.

A natural object arising in the representation theory of G is the apartment $\mathcal{A}(G, T) := X_*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, a real vector space spanned by the simple coroots. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}(G, T)$ has the structure of a simplicial complex given by the hyperplanes

$$H_{\alpha, n} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}(G, T) \mid \langle \alpha, x \rangle = n\}, \quad \text{for each } \alpha \in \Phi(G, T)^+ \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Whenever the torus T is clear from context, we will omit it from the notation. The complexes on the apartment are called *facets*, and the facets of largest dimension (equivalently, the open facets) are called *alcoves*. Our

choice of simple roots Δ determines a canonical alcove

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid \langle \alpha, x \rangle > 0, \alpha \in \Delta \text{ and } \langle \alpha_0, x \rangle < 1\},$$

commonly referred to as the *fundamental alcove*.

Another important property of the apartment is that it carries a natural action of the group N by affine transformations. To state some of its properties, we define, for each root $\alpha \in \Phi(G, T)$ a map (not a group homomorphism!) $w_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow N$ given by $w_\alpha(t) = x_\alpha(t)x_{-\alpha}(-t^{-1})x_\alpha(t)$. The action of N on \mathcal{A} satisfies:

- For any $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $\lambda \in F$, the element $\check{\alpha}(\lambda) \in T \subset N$ acts on \mathcal{A} by a translation $-\nu_p(\lambda)\check{\alpha}$.
- For any $\alpha \in \Phi$, the element $w_\alpha(t) \in N$ acts on \mathcal{A} by a reflection along $H_{\alpha, -\nu_p(t)}$. When $t \in \mathcal{O}$, this coincides with the natural action of W on \mathcal{A} .
- This action preserves the simplicial structure of the apartment and is transitive on the set of alcoves of \mathcal{A} .
- The kernel of this action is $T(\mathcal{O})$.

Therefore the *extended Weyl group*

$$\widetilde{W} := N(F)/T(\mathcal{O}) \cong W \ltimes X_*(T)$$

acts faithfully on the apartment \mathcal{A} and transitively on the set of alcoves. We denote by $w_{\alpha, n}$ the unique element in \widetilde{W} acting on \mathcal{A} by a reflection on the hyperplane $H_{\alpha, n}$.

In general, however, this action is not simple on the set of alcoves and the group $\Omega = \{w \in \widetilde{W} \mid w(\mathcal{C}_0) = \mathcal{C}_0\}$ is non-trivial. These groups fit in a **splitting** short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow W_{\text{aff}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{W} \longrightarrow \Omega \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $(W_{\text{aff}}, S_{\text{aff}})$ is an affine Coxeter group generated by the simple reflections $\tilde{s}_0 := w_{\alpha_0, 1}$, $s_i = w_{\alpha_i, 0}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ along the walls of the fundamental alcove \mathcal{C}_0 and acting simply transitively on the set of alcoves of \mathcal{A} . The group W_{aff} is the *affine Weyl group* associated to the group G . The Weyl groups W , \widetilde{W} and W_{aff} are independent of T , up to isomorphism.

Example 3.1. 1. Let $G = \text{SL}_2(F)$ and T be the set of diagonal matrices. Then $\Phi(G, T) = \{\pm\alpha\}$ where

$$\alpha\left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) = t^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\alpha}(t) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad \text{for any } t \in F^\times,$$

so $X^*(T) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $X_*(T) = \check{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we have that

$$N = T \cup \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)T \quad \text{and} \quad w_\alpha(t) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & t \\ -t^{-1} & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad t \in F^\times.$$

The apartment $\mathcal{A}(\text{SL}_2(F), T)$ is a one-dimensional real vector space whose hyperplanes $H_{\alpha, n}$ are the points $\frac{n}{2}\check{\alpha}$. It is easy to check that $\Omega = \{1\}$ so that $\widetilde{W} = W_{\text{aff}}$ is generated by $s_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \varpi^{-1} \\ -\varpi & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $s_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

2. Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ and T be the set of diagonal matrices. Then $\Phi(G, T) = \{\pm\alpha\}$ where

$$\alpha\left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = t \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\alpha}(t) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad \text{for any } t \in F^\times,$$

so $X^*(T) = \alpha\mathbb{Z}$ and $X_*(T) = \frac{\check{\alpha}}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly,

$$N = T \cup \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)T, \quad w_\alpha(t) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & t \\ -t^{-1} & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad t \in F^\times$$

and the apartment $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SL}_2(F), T)$ is a one-dimensional real vector space whose hyperplanes $H_{\alpha, n}$ are the points $\frac{n}{2}\check{\alpha}$. This time, however, $\Omega = \{1, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\}$ is non-trivial, and

$$W_{\mathrm{aff}} = \langle s_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \varpi^{-1} \\ \varpi & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), s_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \rangle = \{w \in \widetilde{W} \mid \nu(\det(w)) \text{ is even}\}$$

is an index 2 normal subgroup of \widetilde{W} .

3. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ and T be the set of diagonal matrices. Then $\Phi(G, T) = \{\pm\alpha\}$ where

$$\alpha\left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{smallmatrix}\right) = ts^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\alpha}(t) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad \text{for any } t \in F^\times.$$

In this case, $\Omega \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and therefore $W_{\mathrm{aff}} = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle = \{w \in \widetilde{W} \mid \det(w) \in \mathcal{O}^\times\}$ is a normal subgroup of \widetilde{W} of infinite index.

Some of the behaviour observed in the previous examples holds in much greater generality. For example, Ω is an abelian group, and it has finite order if and only if G is a simple group. In that case, Ω is in bijection with the centre of complex dual group $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ of G and with the length preserving automorphisms of the affine Dynkin diagram (see [IM65, §1.8]). In particular, if G is simply connected, then Ω is trivial, while Ω has the largest size within the isogeny class when G is adjoint. On the other hand, W_{aff} only depends on the isogeny class, and therefore only on the root system of G .

3.2 The Bruhat–Tits building and parahoric subgroups

When we work with the apartment $\mathcal{A}(G, T)$, we are making an arbitrary choice for the torus. It is possible, however, to push this idea further and construct the *Bruhat–Tits* building $\mathcal{B}(G)$, a canonical polysimplicial space associated to G that contains $\mathcal{A}(G, T)$ for any F -split maximal torus. This is achieved by gluing together the apartments of all F -split maximal tori of G according to some natural equivalence relation. An important property of the building $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is that it carries a G -action satisfying the following:

1. It extends the action of $N_G(T)$ on $\mathcal{A}(G, T)$ for each F -split maximal torus T .
2. The stabilizer of $\mathcal{A}(G, T)$ is $N_G(T)$ for each F -split maximal torus T .
3. The stabilizer of any facet c of the building is a (maybe disconnected) open compact subgroup of G .
4. The action is strongly transitive on the set $\{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \mid \mathcal{C} \text{ is an alcove inside the apartment } \mathcal{A}\}$.

5. For any pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ as above, its stabilizer acts on $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as the group Ω . In other words

$$\text{Stab}_G(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})/T(\mathcal{O}) = (N \cap \text{Stab}_G(\mathcal{C}))/T(\mathcal{O}) = \text{Stab}_N(\mathcal{C})/T(\mathcal{O}) \cong \Omega.$$

The study of the action of G on its Bruhat–Tits building $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is an invaluable tool to study the structure of G . Many results about G that are hard to prove directly become transparent when studying the building. One such problem is the classification of open maximal compact subgroups of G .

Given a facet c of the building of G , we are interested in the stabilizer $K_c^+ := \text{Stab}_G(c)$. By the properties listed above, this is a (possibly disconnected) open compact subgroup of G . All maximal open compact subgroups of G arise this way. These stabilizers behave well under the conjugation in G since

$$\text{Stab}_G(g \cdot c) = gK_c^+g^{-1} \quad \text{for all facets } c \subset \mathcal{B}(G) \text{ and } g \in G.$$

Example 3.2. The Bruhat–Tits building of $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ or $G = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an infinite tree all of whose vertices have degree $p + 1$. Each infinite line inside the building is an apartment corresponding to a distinct F -split maximal torus of G . Consider the apartment $\mathcal{A}(G, T)$, where T is the group of diagonal matrices, and let $\Delta = \{\alpha\}$ be the simple root as above. Then \mathcal{C}_0 is the segment between the vertices 0 and $\check{\alpha}/2$.

If $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then

$$K_{\{0\}}^+ = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), \quad K_{\{\check{\alpha}/2\}}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \mathcal{I} := K_{\mathcal{C}_0}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$$

are all connected, open compact subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ not conjugate to each other. Since any vertex in the building lies in the G -orbit of 0 or $\check{\alpha}/2$, K_0 and K_1 are the unique maximal compact subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ up to conjugation. The subgroup \mathcal{I} is called the **Iwahori subgroup**, and it is conjugate to the stabilizer of any facet in the building and is of fundamental importance in the representation theory of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

On the other hand, if $G = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then

$$K_{\{0\}}^+ = \text{Stab}(0) = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), \quad K_{\{\check{\alpha}/2\}}^+ = \text{Stab}(\check{\alpha}/2) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\det \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$$

are both connected open compact subgroups and conjugate in $\text{PGL}_2(F)$ by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so $\text{PGL}_2(F)$ has one unique *connected* maximal compact subgroup up to conjugacy. But it has another class of maximal compact subgroups, namely

$$K_{\mathcal{C}_0}^+ = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\det \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \bigsqcup \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\det \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times},$$

a disconnected open compact subgroup. We define the Iwahori subgroup \mathcal{I} to be the identity connected component of $K_{\mathcal{C}_0}^+$.

The example above suggests that the connected components of the stabilizers of facets in the building depend in a subtle way on the group Ω . This is indeed the case, and we discuss this connection now. Since $\Omega = \text{Stab}_{\widetilde{W}}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ and \mathcal{C}_0 is bounded by hyperplanes corresponding to S_{aff} , there is a natural homomorphism

$$\Omega \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(S_{\text{aff}}).$$

All permutations of S_{aff} induced by Ω preserve the affine Dynkin diagram associated to S_{aff} , and if G is simple of adjoint type, then all such automorphisms of S_{aff} are induced by Ω . This greatly restricts the size of Ω .

Next, fix some *proper* subset $J \subset S_{\text{aff}}$ and consider the *standard* facet

$$c_J = \{x \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0} \mid \langle \alpha, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } \alpha \in J \text{ and } \langle \alpha, x \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } \alpha \in S_{\text{aff}} - J\}.$$

Two facets c_{J_1} and c_{J_2} are conjugate under the action of G if and only if J_1 and J_2 lie in the same Ω -orbit. Moreover, any facet c in the building is conjugate to c_J for some proper subset $J \subset S_{\text{aff}}$. In other words, there is a bijection between

$$\{\Omega - \text{orbits of } J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}\} \longleftrightarrow \{G - \text{orbits of facets } c \text{ in the BT-building}\},$$

satisfying that for any two $J_1 \subseteq J_2$, their corresponding facets satisfy $c_{J_2} \subset \overline{c_{J_1}}$.

The groups K_c^+ will be of great importance since they bridge the representation theory of p -adic groups with that of finite groups of Lie type. To explain this connection, we note that there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U_c \longrightarrow K_c^+ \longrightarrow \overline{K}_c^+ \longrightarrow 1,$$

where U_c is the pro-unipotent radical of K_c^+ and \overline{K}_c^+ is the group of k -rational points of a (possibly disconnected) reductive group $\overline{\mathbf{K}}_c^+$ over k . To avoid disconnected quotients, it is convenient to introduce the notion of a *parahoric subgroup*.

Definition 3.3. A **parahoric subgroup** K_c is the inverse image in K_c^+ of the group \overline{K}_c of k -rational points of the identity component $\overline{\mathbf{K}}_c$ of $\overline{\mathbf{K}}_c^+$. We shall sometimes denote “parahoric subgroup” to the triple $(K_c, U_c, \overline{K}_c)$. If $c = c_J$ is a standard facet, then we simply write $(K_J, U_J, \overline{K}_J)$ for its associated parahoric subgroup.

Naturally, two parahoric subgroups are conjugate in G if and only if the corresponding facets of the building are in the same G -orbit. Thus, there is an inclusion-preserving bijection between

$$\{\Omega - \text{orbits of } J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}\} \longleftrightarrow \{G - \text{conjugacy classes of parahoric subgroups } (K, U_K, \overline{K})\}$$

In particular, if c is open in the building, then $(K_c, U_c, \overline{K}_c)$ is a minimal parahoric subgroup. These are called an **Iwahori subgroups**, and they are all conjugate in G . The standard Iwahori subgroup \mathcal{I} corresponds to $J = \emptyset \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$.

Moreover, if the facet c corresponds to $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$, then

$$K_c^+ / K_c \cong \Omega_J = \text{Stab}_{\Omega}(J).$$

These results can be directly verified for SL_2 , PGL_2 and GL_2 using the examples above.

Example 3.4. Suppose that $G = G_2(F)$. The affine Dynkin diagram of G_2 has no symmetries, so $\Omega = 1$ and the extended affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} is a Coxeter group of type \tilde{G}_2 . Since $S_{\text{aff}} = \{s_0, s_1, s_2\}$, there are 7 conjugacy classes of parahoric subgroups, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K}_{\{s_1, s_2\}} &= G_2(k), & \overline{K}_{\{s_0, s_1\}} &= \text{SL}_3(k), & \overline{K}_{\{s_0, s_2\}} &= (\text{SL}_2(k) \times \text{SL}_2(k)) / \mu_2 \\ \overline{K}_{\{s_1\}} &= \overline{K}_{\{s_2\}} = \overline{K}_{\{s_0\}} = \text{GL}_2(k) & \text{and} & & \overline{K}_{\emptyset} &= T(k) = (k^\times)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As we mentioned earlier, parahoric subgroups are of great importance for they provide a bridge between smooth admissible representations of G and finite dimensional representations of the finite groups of Lie type \overline{K}_c . In the next subsection, we carefully describe this bridge and how it helps us classify certain representations of G .

3.3 Parahoric restriction and unipotent representations

In this section, we finally focus our attention in representation theoretic aspects of the p -adic group G , using the techniques developed in previous ones. The main object of study in the local Langlands correspondence is the category of smooth admissible complex representations of G , denoted by $\text{Rep}(G)$. Let $\text{Irr}(G)$ be the set of irreducible representations in $\text{Rep}(G)$. This is a large set, and we are interested in a particular subset known as *unipotent* irreducible representations of G and denoted by $\text{Irr}_{\text{un}}(G)$, which we now define using parahoric subgroups.

Consider a smooth admissible representation (π, V) of G and let (K, U_K, \overline{K}) be a parahoric subgroup corresponding to a facet c . The space V^{U_K} of fixed points under the pro-unipotent radical is naturally a representation of $\overline{K} = K/U_K$. We can take this idea one step further and define the *parahoric restriction functor*

$$\text{res}_K : R(G) \longrightarrow R(\overline{K}), \quad V \longmapsto V^{U_K}, \quad \text{for all } V \in \text{Irr}(G), \quad (4)$$

where $R(G)$ is the \mathbb{C} -span of $\text{Irr}(G)$ and $R(\overline{K})$ is the \mathbb{C} -span of the irreducible representations of \overline{K} . This is well-defined since the representations are assumed to be admissible. The existence of such a functor is very powerful – we can then apply the techniques of representation theory of finite groups of Lie type such as Deligne–Lusztig induction in the setting of p -adic groups. Let us begin with a natural definition.

Definition 3.5. Let (K, U_K, \overline{K}) be a parahoric subgroup and (σ, E) be a cuspidal representation of \overline{K} . Define

$$\text{Irr}(G, K, E) = \{(\pi, V) \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \text{the } \overline{K}\text{-module } V^{U_K} \text{ contains the } \overline{K}\text{-module } E\}.$$

The definition of a unipotent representation is now straightforward.

Definition 3.6. We say that an irreducible representation (π, V) of G is *unipotent* if there is a parahoric subgroup (K, U_K, \overline{K}) such that V^{U_K} contains a cuspidal unipotent representation of \overline{K} ; that is, if $(\pi, V) \in \text{Irr}(G, K, E)$ for some pair (K, E) where E is unipotent.

Therefore, the set of unipotent representations up to isomorphism is

$$\text{Irr}_{\text{un}}(G) = \bigcup_{\substack{J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}} \\ E \text{ cusp. unip. } \overline{K}_J\text{-rep}}} \text{Irr}(G, K_J, E).$$

We say that each set $\text{Irr}(G, K_J, E)$ generates a distinct *block* of representations of G .

We note that if we replace the p -adic group G for the finite group of Lie type G^F and *parahoric* by *parabolic*, then we recover the definition of a unipotent representation in G^F .

Example 3.7. Let G be a split reductive p -adic group with split maximal torus T . Let \mathcal{I} be an Iwahori subgroup with pro-unipotent radical \mathcal{I}^+ . Then the reductive quotient $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^+$ is isomorphic to $T(k)$. Thus, all irreducible representations of $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^+$ are 1-dimensional and the only unipotent representation is the trivial one. Therefore, the irreducible *Iwahori-spherical* representations

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{1}) = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G) \mid V^{\mathcal{I}} \neq 0\}$$

are all unipotent. It is a known fact that this set coincides with the set of irreducible subrepresentations of $c\text{-Ind}_B^G \chi$, where χ is an unramified character of T . We say that $\mathrm{Irr}(G, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{1})$ generates the *principal block*.

Example 3.8. For $n \geq 1$, reductive groups over finite fields of type A_n have no irreducible cuspidal unipotent representations. Therefore, if G is a reductive p -adic group of type A_n and $J \subseteq S_{\text{aff}}$ is non-empty, then \overline{K}_J has no cuspidal unipotent representations. This implies that the set of irreducible unipotent representations of G

$$\mathrm{Irr}_{\text{un}}(G) = \mathrm{Irr}(G, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{1})$$

coincides with the irreducible Iwahori-spherical representations of G .

Example 3.9. Let G be a p -adic group of type G_2 . For $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$, \overline{K}_J has unipotent cuspidal representations only if $J = \emptyset$ and $J = J_0 = \{s_1, s_2\}$. In the first case, we obtain the principal block $\mathrm{Irr}(G, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{1})$. In the second case, \overline{K}_J has four unipotent characters, so

$$\mathrm{Irr}_{\text{un}}(G) = \mathrm{Irr}(G, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{1}) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\rho \in \{1, -1, \theta, \theta^2\}} \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_{J_0}, G_2[\rho]).$$

However, these last four blocks are very simple since they have a unique irreducible unipotent representation, namely $\mathrm{Irr}(G, K_{J_0}, G_2[\rho]) = \{c\text{-Ind}_{K_{J_0}}^G G_2[\rho]\}$ for each $\rho \in \{1, -1, \theta, \theta^2\}$.

The next step is to ensure that unipotent representations behave under the parahoric restriction functor (4). The following two results ensure this is indeed the case.

Proposition 3.10. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible representation of G . If there is some parahoric subgroup (K, U_K, \overline{K}) such that V^{U_K} contains a (potentially non-cuspidal) unipotent representation of \overline{K} , then (π, V) is a unipotent representation of G .*

Proof. By assumption, V^{U_K} contains a unipotent irreducible representation (τ, W) of \overline{K} so $\mathrm{Hom}_K(W, V^{U_K}) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.6, there is some standard parabolic subgroup $\overline{P} = \overline{U_P} \cdot \overline{L_P}$ of \overline{K} and cuspidal unipotent representation (σ, E) of $\overline{L_P}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(W, \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{K}} E) \neq 0,$$

where we view the \overline{K} representations as inflated K representations, trivial on U_K . By the classification of parahoric subgroups in G , it follows that $\overline{P} = H/U_K$, where (H, U_H, \overline{H}) is another parahoric subgroup contained in K . Moreover, we have the inclusions $U_K \subseteq U_H \subseteq H \subseteq K$ and therefore $\overline{U_P} = U_H/U_K$ and $\overline{L_P} = \overline{H} = H/U_H$. Since induction and inflation are commuting operations, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Inf}_{\overline{K}}^K \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\overline{K}} E \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^K \mathrm{Inf}_{\overline{H}}^H E$$

and hence $\text{Hom}_K(W, \text{Ind}_H^K E) \neq 0$. Since W is irreducible and K is compact, it also follows that

$$\text{Hom}_K(\text{Ind}_H^K E, V^{U_K}) = \text{Hom}_H(E, V^{U_K}) \neq 0.$$

Since the representation E is trivial on U_H , the image of any H -equivariant map $E \rightarrow V^{U_K}$ lies inside V^{U_H} . Thus,

$$\text{Hom}_H(E, V^{U_H}) = \text{Hom}_H(E, V^{U_K}) \neq 0,$$

and this concludes the proof. \square

Conversely, we would like to show that for any irreducible unipotent representation (π, V) of G , the irreducible \overline{K} -submodules of V^{U_K} are all unipotent, for any parahoric subgroup (K, U_K, \overline{K}) . This is a direct corollary of the following result.

Proposition 3.11. [Ree00, Lemma 5.1] Suppose $I \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$ and that V^{U_I} contains the cuspidal unipotent representation σ of \overline{K}_I . If $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$ with $V^{U_J} \neq 0$, and J is minimal with respect to this property, then there is $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $I = \omega J$, and V^{U_J} consists of copies of σ^ω . Moreover, if G is exceptional, then $J = I$.

Corollary 3.12. Let (π, V) be a unipotent representation of G and let (H, U_H, \overline{H}) be a parahoric subgroup. Then the \overline{H} -irreducible components of V^{U_H} are all unipotent.

Proof. Since (π, V) is unipotent, $(\pi, V) \in \text{Irr}(G, K_J, E)$ for some $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$ and cuspidal unipotent representation E of \overline{K}_J . Let (τ, W) be a \overline{H} -irreducible component of π^{U_H} . By conjugating if necessary, we may assume that (H, U_H, \overline{H}) is a standard parahoric subgroup. Analogously to the proof of Proposition 3.10, there is some $I \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$ such that $(K_I, U_I, \overline{K}_I)$ is contained in K and τ is a subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{\overline{K}_I}^{\overline{H}} \sigma$. By Theorem 3.11, I is the same Ω -orbit as J and σ is cuspidal unipotent. By Proposition 2.6, this implies that (τ, W) is also unipotent. \square

Corollary 3.13. For any two pairs (K, E) , (K', E') of a parahoric subgroup and a cuspidal unipotent representation of the reductive quotient, $\text{Irr}(G, K, E)$ and $\text{Irr}(G, K', E')$ are either disjoint or equal.

Analogously to the construction of $R(G)$, we define $R_{\text{un}}(G)$ to be the \mathbb{C} -span of the irreducible unipotent representations $\text{Irr}_{\text{un}}(G)$. Lemma 3.10 and Theorem 3.11 implies that for each parahoric subgroup (K, U_K, \overline{K}) there is a well-defined *restriction function*

$$\text{res}_{\text{un}}^K : R_{\text{un}}(G) \longrightarrow R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}), \quad V \longmapsto V^{U_K}, \quad \text{for all } V \in \text{Irr}(G).$$

It is also convenient to consider simultaneously all such functions for all conjugacy classes of maximal parahoric subgroups, so we define $\text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{par}} = (\text{res}_{\text{un}}^K)_K$. These are the vertical maps in the diagram 1.1.

3.4 The Langlands parametrization of unipotent representations

In this section, we give an overview of the Langlands parametrization of unipotent representations achieved by Lusztig in his celebrated paper [Lus95]. It relates the unipotent representations of G with certain geometric

structures of its complex dual group G^\vee . This is a complex reductive group, whose root datum is dual to that of G . Here are some examples for classical groups.

G	$\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$	$\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$	$\mathrm{PGL}_n(F)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$	$\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(F)$	$\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$
G^\vee	$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

This parametrization is widely used and is key to define the dual nonabelian fourier transform $\mathrm{FT}_{\mathrm{ell}}^\vee$ on a certain space of unipotent representations of G . To state this result, we need to consider the representations not only of G , but also of its *pure inner twists* $\mathrm{InnT}^p(G)$. We will not discuss the precise definition here; instead it will suffice to know that there is a canonical bijection

$$\mathrm{InnT}^p(G) \longleftrightarrow Z(G^\vee), \quad (5)$$

where the unique split form corresponds to the trivial character. In particular, if G is simply connected, G^\vee is adjoint so it has trivial centre and G has no pure inner twists other than itself. The three example we will visit later are all simply connected, so no pure inner twists will arise. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.14 (The arithmetic-geometric correspondence). *There is an natural bijection between the sets*

$$\begin{aligned} G^\vee \setminus \{(x, \phi) \mid x \in G^\vee, \phi \in \widehat{A_x}\} &\longleftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{G' \in \mathrm{InnT}^p(G)} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{un}}(G') \\ (x, \phi) &\longmapsto (\pi_{(x, \phi)}, V_{(x, \phi)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_x = Z_{G^\vee}(x)/Z_{G^\vee}(x)^0$ is the component group of the centralizer of x in G^\vee .

This remarkable result, which we now study in some detail, fits naturally within the local Langlands correspondence and the theory of Langlands parameters (for a nice overview on the subject, we refer to [ACR24, §4] for a detailed explanation). Firstly, given a pair (x, ϕ) , where $x \in G^\vee$ and $\phi \in \widehat{A_x}$, one can easily determine the inner twist G' acting on the representation $(\pi_{(x, \phi)}, V_{(x, \phi)})$. We consider ϕ as a character on $Z_{G^\vee}(x)$ and we restrict it to get a character of $Z(G^\vee)$. Tracing back through the bijection in (5) we obtain the corresponding pure inner twist G' .

Secondly, given a pair (x, ϕ) parametrizing a representation of G , we would like to know inside which unipotent block does $(\pi_{(x, \phi)}, V_{(x, \phi)})$ lie. This is a much harder question to answer than the previous one, and its solution delves deep into the proof of the arithmetic-geometric correspondence. For the remainder of this section, we give an overview of some of the ideas involved which will be of use for us later. The main one is the use of *Hecke algebras* to classify irreducible representation of an individual block. This approach has the added advantage that it reduces the problem of classifying infinite dimensional irreducible representation of G of some block to classifying simple finite dimensional modules over the Hecke algebra.

Suppose that $(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G, K, E)$ is an irreducible unipotent representation of G , where (E, σ) is a cuspidal unipotent representation of \overline{K} . By definition, V^{U_K} is a finite dimensional \overline{K} -representation, so

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(c\text{-}\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \sigma, \pi) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, \pi) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, \pi^{U_K})$$

is a non-zero finite-dimensional module over the algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma) := \text{End}_G(c\text{-Ind}_K^G \sigma)$ acting by precomposition, denoted the Hecke algebra associated to the pair (K, σ) .

Theorem 3.15. *There is a bijection between*

$$\text{Irr}(G, K, E) \longleftrightarrow \text{simple right } \mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma) - \text{modules}, \quad V \longmapsto \text{Hom}_K(E, V^{U_K}).$$

This is a foundational result in the study of representations of p -adic groups, which can be vastly generalized. Still, this does not solve the problem stated above. A pair (x, ϕ) parametrizes a simple module of some unipotent Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)$, but which one? The answer is provided by the generalized Springer correspondence (which is something I am learning currently).

Example 3.16 (Classical Springer correspondence). As a particular example of this correspondence, we state a criterion for (x, ϕ) to parametrize a simple module for the principal Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{1})$ and therefore of Iwahori-spherical representations. This is the content of the classical Springer correspondence. Let $\mathcal{B} := G^\vee / B^\vee$ be the flag variety of G^\vee and let \mathcal{B}^x be the fixed points under the action of conjugation of x . The space \mathcal{B} naturally parametrizes the set of Borel subgroups of G^\vee , while \mathcal{B}^x parametrizes the set of Borel subgroups containing x . Now, \mathcal{B}^x admits a natural action of the group A_x by conjugation. This induces an A_x -action on the singular cohomology complex $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x, \mathbb{C})$. Then (x, ϕ) corresponds to an Iwahori-spherical representation if and only if the ϕ -isotropic subspace on $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x, \mathbb{C})$ is non-trivial. Using this, it can be shown that $(x, \mathbf{1})$ always parametrizes an Iwahori-spherical representation of the split form for any $x \in G^\vee$. It is possible to construct explicitly the Hecke algebra module from (x, ϕ) , but we shall not visit this here.

3.5 Unipotent conjugacy classes of complex simple groups

In the previous subsection we stated the arithmetic-geometric correspondence (also known as the unramified local Langlands correspondence), which reduces the classification of unipotent representations of G to the classification of conjugacy classes of G^\vee and the structure of the component group of their centralizers. To understand these, we first need to study the classification of unipotent conjugacy classes of G^\vee , an interesting problem in its own right that uncovers rich geometric structure inside G^\vee . For a full discussion on this topic, we refer to the book [CM93]. We discuss, without proofs, some important facts that we will require later.

Define \mathcal{U} to be the set of unipotent elements of G^\vee . This can be seen to be a closed irreducible subvariety of G^\vee of dimension $\dim G^\vee - \text{rk} G^\vee$. If $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is a unipotent element, its conjugacy class $C(u) \subset G^\vee$ is the orbit of u under the conjugation action of G^\vee on itself. Standard results in the structure theory of unipotent elements inside complex reductive groups state that G^\vee has finitely many conjugacy classes of unipotent elements, and that each class C is a locally closed subvariety of G^\vee . Moreover, its closure \overline{C} is the union of (finitely many) unipotent conjugacy classes. In particular, there is one unique unipotent conjugacy class C_{reg} of maximal dimension such that C_{reg} is open and dense. Such unipotent elements are called *regular*, and $\dim Z_{G^\vee}(u) = \text{rk} G^\vee$ for any $u \in C_{\text{reg}}$. The boundary of C_{reg} has dimension $\dim G^\vee - \text{rk} G^\vee - 2$ and contains a unique dense unipotent

conjugacy class C_{subreg} of *subregular* unipotent elements such that

$$\overline{C_{\text{subreg}}} = \overline{C_{\text{reg}}} - C_{\text{reg}} = \mathcal{U} - C_{\text{reg}}.$$

Similarly, $\dim_{Z_{G^\vee}}(u) = \text{rk } G^\vee + 2$ for any $u \in C_{\text{subreg}}$. At the other end, there is the trivial class consisting of $\{1\}$, and this is the only closed conjugacy class. There is one further “canonical orbit”, the set of *minimal* unipotent elements C_{min} , with the property that they are contained in the closure of every unipotent conjugacy class except for $\{1\}$.

Beyond these four classes, the structure of \mathcal{U} for a general simple complex group can be complicated. To study it, one can define a partial ordering on the set of unipotent conjugacy classes given by

$$C \leq C' \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{C'}.$$

One can then picture this partial order in a diagram, called a *Hasse diagram*, and one has the following generic picture. We also include some explicit examples for groups of types G_2 , C_3 and F_4 . These, and many others, can be found in [Car93, §13.4]

orbit	dimension
$\mathcal{O}_{\text{prin}}$	$\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\text{subreg}}$	$\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) - 2$
fuzzy structure	
\mathcal{O}_{min}	See (4.3.5)
$\{0\}$	0

Figure 3: General structure of the ordering of unipotent classes ([CM93, pg 55]).




Figure 4: G_2 unipotent classes




Figure 5: C_3 unipotent classes




Figure 6: F_4 unipotent classes

3.6 The dual nonabelian Fourier transform

At this stage, it is hopefully a natural question to ask whether there exists a linear involution $\text{FT}^\vee : R_{\text{un}}(G) \rightarrow R_{\text{un}}(G)$ such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{\text{un}}(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{FT}^\vee} & R_{\text{un}}(G) \\ \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{par}} & & \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{par}} \\ \bigoplus_K R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}) & \xrightarrow{(\text{FT}_{\overline{K}})_K} & \bigoplus_K R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the sum is taken over all maximal parahoric subgroups K . This is an open question in general, and a very difficult one. A significant amount of work has been done trying to define such a map with nice properties, most notably by Ciubotaru–Opdam [CO10], Ciubotaru [Ciu20] and Aubert–Ciubotaru–Romano [ACR24]. The first important observation is that while the above map is hoped to exist for simply connected groups G , representations of pure inner twists of G should interact with those of G , so a modification is required. More concretely, we consider instead the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{G'} R_{\text{un}}(G') & \xrightarrow{\text{FT}^\vee} & \bigoplus_{G'} R_{\text{un}}(G') \\ \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}} & & \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}} \\ \bigoplus_{G'} \bigoplus_{K'} R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K'}) & \xrightarrow{\text{FT}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}}} & \bigoplus_{G'} \bigoplus_{K'} R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K'}), \end{array}$$

where the outer sum is taken over all pure inner twists of G and the inner sum is taken over all **maximal open compact** subgroups of G , not necessarily connected. Their connected components at the identity are parahoric subgroups and they coincide with them when G is simply connected. To simplify notation below, we let

$$\mathcal{C}(G)_{\text{cpt}, \text{un}} := \bigoplus_{G' \in \text{InnT}^p(G)} \bigoplus_{K' \in \text{max}(G')} R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K'}).$$

It is also important to highlight the fact that $\text{FT}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}}$ is generalization of Lusztig's nonabelian transform for finite groups of Lie type. For example, if a maximal open compact subgroup K of G has disconnected quotient

\overline{K} , there is some other maximal open compact subgroup K' of a pure inner twist G' with disconnected quotient \overline{K}' such that FT^{par} acts on $R_{\text{un}}(K) \oplus R_{\text{un}}(K')$ but not on each individual term. We will not pursue this further since G will always be simply connected in our examples, but a precise description can be found in [ACR24, §6].

So far, there has not been a well-defined construction for FT^\vee on the space $\bigoplus_{G'} R_{\text{un}}(G')$. However, such a construction for FT^\vee has been achieved on a certain subspace called the *elliptic representation space* of unipotent representations of G , which we now describe. For each unipotent conjugacy class, consider some element $u \in G^\vee$ in that class and let Γ_u be reductive part of $Z_{G^\vee}(u)$. The set of elliptic pairs associated to u are defined as

$$\mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_{\text{ell}} = \{(s, h) \mid s, h \in \Gamma_u \text{ semisimple}, sh = hs \text{ and } Z_{\Gamma_u}(s, h) \text{ is finite}\}.$$

For each class of elliptic pairs $(s, h) \in \Gamma_u \setminus \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_{\text{ell}}$ up to Γ_u -conjugacy, we define the virtual representation

$$\Pi(u, s, h) := \sum_{\phi \in \widehat{A_{su}}} \phi(h) \pi(su, \phi).$$

Definition 3.17. The elliptic unipotent representation space $\mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G)$ of G is defined as the \mathbb{C} -subspace of $\bigoplus_{G'} R_{\text{un}}(G')$ spanned by the set $\{\Pi(u, s, h) \mid u \in G^\vee, (s, h) \in \Gamma_u \setminus \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_{\text{ell}}\}$.

On the space $\mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G)$ we can define the *dual* Fourier transform in a natural way.

Definition 3.18. The dual elliptic nonabelian Fourier transform is the linear map satisfying

$$\text{FT}_{\text{ell}}^\vee : \mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G) \quad \Pi(u, s, h) \longmapsto \Pi(u, h, s) \quad \text{for all } (s, h) \in \Gamma_u \setminus \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_{\text{ell}}, u \in G^\vee \text{ unipotent.}$$

Example 3.19. Let $G = G_2(F)$. Its dual complex group $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ has 5 unipotent classes, but only the regular class (labelled by G_2) with trivial component group, and the subregular one (labelled by $G_2(a_1)$) with component group isomorphic to S_3 , have elliptic pairs. The only elliptic pair for the regular class G_2 is $(1, 1)$, so

$$\Pi(G_2, 1, 1) = \pi(G_2, \mathbf{1}).$$

Instead, the subregular class $G_2(a_1)$ has 8 elliptic pairs, giving

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(G_2(a_1), 1, 1) &= \pi(G_2(a_1), \mathbf{1}) + \pi(G_2(a_1), \varepsilon) + 2\pi(G_2(a_1), \mathbf{r}) \\ \Pi(G_2(a_1), 1, g_2) &= \pi(G_2(a_1), \mathbf{1}) - \pi(G_2(a_1), \varepsilon) \\ \Pi(G_2(a_1), 1, g_3) &= \pi(G_2(a_1), \mathbf{1}) + \pi(G_2(a_1), \varepsilon) - \pi(G_2(a_1), \mathbf{r}) \\ \Pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, 1) &= \pi(G_2(a_1)g_2, \mathbf{1}) + \pi(G_2(a_1)g_2, \varepsilon) \\ \Pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, g_2) &= \pi(G_2(a_1)g_2, \mathbf{1}) - \pi(G_2(a_1)g_2, \varepsilon) \\ \Pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, 1) &= \pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \mathbf{1}) + \pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \theta) + \pi(G_2(a_1), \theta^2) \\ \Pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, g_3) &= \pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \mathbf{1}) + \theta^2\pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \theta) + \theta\pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \theta^2) \\ \Pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, g_3^{-1}) &= \pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \mathbf{1}) + \theta\pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \theta) + \theta^2\pi(G_2(a_1)g_3, \theta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.18 allows us to state the main conjecture of this document (Conjecture 1.1 in the introduction), but we restate here it for completeness.

Conjecture 3.20. ([ACR24, Conj. 9.7]) Let G be a semisimple p -adic group. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{\text{un},\text{ell}}^p(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{FT}_{\text{ell}}^\vee} & \mathcal{R}_{\text{un},\text{ell}}^p(G) \\ \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}} & & \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}} \\ \mathcal{C}(G)_{\text{cpt},\text{un}} & \xrightarrow{\text{FT}_{\text{un}}^{\text{cpt}}} & \mathcal{C}(G)_{\text{cpt},\text{un}}, \end{array}$$

commutes, up to certain roots of unity.

A simple yet important observation is that the conjecture can be verified one *unipotent conjugacy class of G^\vee* at a time since the virtual representations $\Pi(u, s, h)$ and the dual elliptic nonabelian Fourier transform preserve the unipotent part of the parametrization.

By far, the hardest part in the proof of this conjecture is the explicit calculation of the parahoric restriction map $\text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{par}} : \mathcal{R}_{\text{un},\text{ell}}^p(G) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{G'} \bigoplus_{K'} R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K'})$. The issue is that representations in $R_{\text{un}}(G')$ are labelled in terms of geometric data, while representations in $R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K'})$ are described using Lusztig's labels. The relation between them is deep and requires the generalized Springer correspondence. We devote the next chapter to explore this connection.

4 Parahoric restriction of unipotent representations

Let G be a connected split simple group over a p -adic field F . In the previous section we stated the bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LLC}_{\text{un}}^p : G^\vee \setminus \{(x, \phi) \mid x \in G^\vee, \phi \in \widehat{A_x}\} &\longleftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{G' \in \text{InnT}^p(G)} \text{Irr}_{\text{un}}(G') \\ (x, \phi) &\longmapsto (\pi_{(x, \phi)}, V_{(x, \phi)}), \end{aligned}$$

a result that parametrizes unipotent representations of G in terms of the geometry of its complex dual group G^\vee and lies in the heart of the local Langlands correspondence.

In order to verify Conjecture 1.1 for the p -adic group G , one needs to explicitly compute the parahoric restriction maps

$$\text{res}_{\text{un}}^J : R_{\text{un}}(G) \longrightarrow R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}_J), \quad V \longmapsto V^{U_J}$$

from unipotent representations of G to unipotent representations of \overline{K}_J . The aim of this section is to describe a general approach to compute the parahoric restriction maps above. These methods are quite complex and subtle, and involve deep mathematics – the difficulty resides in the fact that the parametrization of the unipotent representations of p -adic groups (given by Langlands parameters) and that of finite groups of Lie type (given by Lusztig's labels) are not related in an obvious way.

To do this, we closely follow the discussion from [Ree00, §4, 5, 6, 8]. Let us fix some subset $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$ and a unipotent representation $V = V_{(x, \phi)}$ of G , with $x \in G^\vee$ and $\phi \in \widehat{A_x}$. We want to decompose the \overline{K}_J -module V^{U_J} as a direct sum of irreducible submodules. More concretely, for each irreducible \overline{K}_J -representation χ , we want to calculate the value of

$$\langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{\overline{K}_J} = \langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J},$$

where we view the \overline{K}_J -representations as K_J -representations trivial on U_J .

From Theorem 3.11 and Corollary 3.13, we deduce that all irreducible \overline{K}_J -constituents of V^{U_J} lie in the same series representations. Such representations are in bijection with irreducible representations of some canonical associated reflection group. For example, if V is Iwahori-spherical, then all irreducible \overline{K}_J -constituents of V^{U_J} lie in the principal series. These representations are in bijection with irreducible representations of the Weyl group W_J of \overline{K}_J (see Section 2.3), generated by the simple reflections corresponding to the simple affine roots in J . With this fact in mind, the strategy becomes transparent: starting with the subset $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$ and the unipotent representation V , we construct a representation over the associated reflection group whose direct sum into irreducible representations is compatible with the decomposition of V^{U_J} into K_J -irreducible representations under the bijection stated above. This method consists of two major reduction steps; firstly, a reduction to Hecke algebras modules and, secondly, a reduction to modules over affine Weyl groups.

For each irreducible K_J -representation χ trivial on U_J , we want to calculate the value of $\langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J}$. Firstly, by the well-known results of Harish–Chandra, there is some parahoric subgroup (K, U_K, \overline{K}) contained in $(K_J, U_J, \overline{K}_J)$ and cuspidal representation σ of K trivial on U_K such that

$$\chi^\sigma := \text{Hom}_K(\sigma, \chi) = \text{Hom}_{K_J}(\text{Ind}_K^{K_J} \sigma, \chi) \neq 0.$$

The space χ^σ is naturally a $\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)$ -module, where $\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma) \cong \text{End}_{K_J}(\text{Ind}_K^{K_J} \sigma)$ is the subalgebra of functions of $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)$ supported on K_J . On the other hand, the vector space

$$V^\sigma := \text{Hom}_K(\sigma, V)$$

is naturally an irreducible $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)$ -module and therefore a (potentially reducible) $\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)$ -module by restriction.

Lemma 4.1 (First reduction). (*[Ree00, §4.2]*) *The multiplicity of the simple K_J -module χ in V^{U_J} is given by*

$$\langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J} = \langle \chi^\sigma, V^\sigma \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)}.$$

Proof. Since $U_J \subseteq U$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} V^\sigma &= \text{Hom}_K(\sigma, V) = \text{Hom}_K(\sigma, V^{U_J}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K_J}(\text{Ind}_K^{K_J} \sigma, V^{U_J}) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{\eta} \langle \eta, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J} \text{Hom}_{K_J}(\text{Ind}_K^{K_J} \sigma, \eta) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{\eta} \langle \eta, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J} \eta^\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\langle \chi^\sigma, V^\sigma \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)} = \sum_{\eta} \langle \chi^\sigma, \eta^\sigma \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)} \langle \eta, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J} = \langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J},$$

as desired. \square

For example, if V is Iwahori-spherical of G , all irreducible components χ of V^{U_J} are principal series representations, so $\chi^I = \text{Hom}_I(\mathbf{1}, \chi) \neq 0$. Lemma 4.1 states that

$$\langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J} = \langle \chi^I, V^I \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, I, \mathbf{1})}.$$

Now let us consider the second reduction step. For this one, we let $R = \mathbb{C}(v, v^{-1})$, where v is an indeterminate. We then define $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)_v$ to be the *generic Hecke algebra* defined over R with the same generators and relations as $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)$, but with q replaced by v^2 . The upshot of considering this generic Hecke algebra is that by specializing v we can recover

$$\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)_{\sqrt{q}} = \mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma), \quad \mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)_1 = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{W}(K, \sigma)],$$

where $\tilde{W}(K, \sigma)$ is an explicit affine reflection group associated to the pair (K, σ) . When $K = I$ is the Iwahori subgroup and $\sigma = \mathbf{1}$ is the trivial representation, $\mathcal{H}(G, I, \mathbf{1})_1 = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{W}]$, where \tilde{W} is the extended affine Weyl group.

Fact: For any simple $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)$ -module E considered in this document, there is a $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)_v$ -module E_v such that

$$E \simeq E_v \otimes_R \mathbb{C}, \text{ where } f \in R \text{ acts on } \mathbb{C} \text{ by } f(\sqrt{q}).$$

It then follows that we have a $q = 1$ operation that takes modules over Hecke algebras to modules over the corresponding reflection groups, obtained by setting $v = 1$ in all matrix coefficients of the generic module.

Proposition 4.2 (Second reduction). ([Ree00, §6.1]) Let $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$ and let (K, U_K, \overline{K}) be a parahoric subgroup contained in $(K_J, U_J, \overline{K}_J)$ with cuspidal unipotent \overline{K} -representation σ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}(G, K, \sigma)\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{q=1} & \tilde{W}(K, \sigma)\text{-mod} \\ \text{Res}_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Res}_{\tilde{W}(K, \sigma)_J} \\ \mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{q=1} & \tilde{W}(K, \sigma)_J\text{-mod} \end{array}$$

is commutative, and the bottom arrow is an isometry with respect to the usual inner product of character. That is, for any irreducible K_J -module χ , we have that

$$\langle \chi^\sigma, V^\sigma \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)} = \langle \chi_{q=1}^\sigma, V_{q=1}^\sigma \rangle_{\tilde{W}(K, \sigma)_J}. \quad (6)$$

Combining both reduction steps, we obtain the identity

$$\langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{\overline{K}_J} = \langle \chi^\sigma, V^\sigma \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, K, \sigma)} = \langle \chi_{q=1}^\sigma, V_{q=1}^\sigma \rangle_{\tilde{W}(K, \sigma)_J} \quad (7)$$

Thus, the $\tilde{W}(K, \sigma)_J$ -module $V_{q=1}^\sigma$ satisfies the desired properties. By calculating its decomposition into $\tilde{W}(K, \sigma)$ -irreducible representation, one can directly obtain the decomposition of V^{U_J} into irreducible \overline{K}_J -representations.

At this point, we restrict our attention to the parahoric restriction of Iwahori-spherical representations, for the method we use to calculate the $K(I, \mathbf{1})_J$ -module $V_{q=1}^{\mathbf{1}}$ differs significantly from the non Iwahori-spherical case. As stated above, for Iwahori-spherical representations, the reflection subgroup $K(I, \mathbf{1}) \cong \widetilde{W}$ is simply the extended affine Weyl group of G , and \widetilde{W}_J is the subgroup of \widetilde{W} generated by the simple reflections of the roots in J .

4.1 The Iwahori-spherical case and the Springer correspondence

As indicated in the previous subsection, we now fix an Iwahori-spherical representation $V = V_{(x, \phi)}$ of G and some proper subset $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$. The two reduction steps described above imply that for any principal series representation χ of \overline{K}_J ,

$$\langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{\overline{K}_J} = \langle \chi_{q=1}^{\mathbf{1}}, V_{q=1}^{\mathbf{1}} \rangle_{\widetilde{W}_J}. \quad (8)$$

When $V_{(x, \phi)}$ is Iwahori-spherical, we can explicitly describe the structure of the \widetilde{W}_J -module $V_{q=1}^{\mathbf{1}}$ in terms of the geometry of the dual group G^\vee and the data (x, ϕ) . In order to extract this information, Springer theory is the key theoretical tool that we shall require. To explain this, consider the Jordan decomposition $x = us$, with commuting $u \in G^\vee$ unipotent and $s \in G^\vee$ semisimple. Let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_s be the flag varieties of the complex reductive groups G^\vee and $G_s^\vee := Z_{G^\vee}(s)$, respectively. These are algebraic varieties parametrizing the set of Borel subgroups in G^\vee and G_s^\vee , respectively, and admit a rational action by conjugation. Noting that $u \in G_s^\vee$, we consider the stabilizers \mathcal{B}^x and \mathcal{B}_s^u , called partial flag varieties. These are algebraic subvarieties parametrizing the set of Borel subgroups of G^\vee containing x and the set of Borel subgroups of G_s^\vee containing u .

The varieties \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}_s^u admit a natural action of A_x induced by the action of $Z_{G^\vee}(x)$ by conjugation. On the other hand, these varieties do not admit a natural action of the Weyl groups W and W_s of G^\vee and G_s^\vee , respectively. To obtain representations over the Weyl groups, one needs to consider the singular cohomology

spaces $H^*(\mathcal{B}_x)$ and $H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)$. These spaces admit not only an A_x -action inherited from the action on the partial flag varieties, but also a natural representation of Weyl groups W and W_s , respectively. Moreover, both actions commute. These last two statements are at the heart of Springer theory, which we shall use continuously from now on. The mathematics behind these results involve sophisticated geometric machinery, so for the most part we will state the results we need without proof. At the top of Springer theory there is the Springer correspondence, which we now state for convenience.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G^\vee be a complex algebraic reductive group with Weyl group W . For each pair (u, ϕ) , where $u \in G^\vee$ is a unipotent element and ϕ is an irreducible character of A_u , the ϕ -isotropic subspace of the top cohomology group $H^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{B}^u)^\phi$ is either trivial or an irreducible W -representation. Moreover, each irreducible character of W arises this way for exactly one pair (u, ϕ) up to G^\vee conjugation. In other words, there is an injection*

$$\text{Irr}(W) \longrightarrow \{\text{pairs } (u, \phi)\}/G^\vee.$$

Finally, for any unipotent $u \in G^\vee$, the pair $(u, \mathbf{1})$ lies in the image of the map above.

This result is of great importance for it allows us to extract information from the top cohomology group directly. When G^\vee is a classical group, one can give precise description of the injection in terms of combinatorial data. When G^\vee is of exceptional type, one only has a finite amount of information and the injection above can be found in the literature (for example, in [Car93, §13.3]). Another important fact that greatly simplifies the calculations is the following:

Theorem 4.4 ([KL87]). *The odd-degree cohomology spaces of \mathcal{B}^x vanish, so $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{B}^x} H^{2i}(\mathcal{B}^x)$.*

Example 4.5. If $G^\vee = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, by the Jordan decomposition theorem, unipotent conjugacy classes are parametrized by partitions of n and $A_u = \{1\}$ for any unipotent element u . Moreover, $W \cong S_n$, and its irreducible representations are also labelled by partitions of n . If λ is a partition of n , then the Springer correspondence maps V_λ to $(u_\lambda, \mathbf{1})$, where V_λ is the Specht module of S_n and u_λ is a unipotent element of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to λ . In particular, the Springer correspondence for $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a bijection.

If $G^\vee = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, conjugacy classes of unipotent elements are still parametrized by partitions of n . This time, however, A_u may be non-trivial for some unipotent classes. The Springer correspondence is therefore not a bijection, whose the image consists of all pairs $\{(u, \mathbf{1}), u \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ unipotent}\}$.

When G^\vee has type A_n , one can describe the entire cohomology complex $H^*(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\mathbf{1}}$ explicitly. Suppose that $u = u_\lambda$ for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ of n . If $S_\lambda = S_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_r} \leq S_n$, then Lusztig and Spaltenstein showed

$$H^*(\mathcal{B}^{u_\lambda}) \cong \text{Ind}_{S_\lambda}^{S_n} \mathbf{1}$$

as graded S_n -modules.

Example 4.6. The complex reductive group $G^\vee = G_2(\mathbb{C})$ has Weyl group $W \cong D_6$ and 5 unipotent classes labelled and partially ordered by

$$1 < A_1 < \tilde{A}_1 < G_2(a_1) < G_2.$$

The component groups are all trivial except for the subregular unipotent class, whose component group is isomorphic to S_3 , with irreducible representations $\mathbf{1}, \varepsilon$ and r (the unique 2-dimensional). The characters of W are labelled in the literature by the symbols $\phi_{1,0}$ (trivial), $\phi_{1,6}$ (sign), $\phi'_{1,3}, \phi''_{1,3}$ (the other two characters), $\phi_{2,1}$ (faithful) and $\phi_{2,2}$ (lifted from S_3). Then the Springer correspondence gives the pairing

$$\phi_{1,0} \leftrightarrow (G_2, \mathbf{1}), \quad \phi_{2,1} \leftrightarrow (G_2(a_1), \mathbf{1}), \quad \phi'_{1,3} \leftrightarrow (G_2(a_1), r), \quad \phi_{2,2} \leftrightarrow (\tilde{A}_1, \mathbf{1}), \quad \phi''_{1,3} \leftrightarrow (A_1, \mathbf{1}), \quad \phi_{1,6} \leftrightarrow (1, \mathbf{1}),$$

while the pair $(G_2(a_1), \varepsilon)$ is not in the image of the correspondence.

Having explained the Springer correspondence, we can go back to our initial discussion. We now know that $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x)$ has the structure of a $A_x \times W$ -module. We can extend this to a $A_x \times \widetilde{W}$ -action as follows. The extended Weyl group can be decomposed as a semidirect product $\widetilde{W} = W \ltimes X$, where X is the character lattice of T^\vee . Then there is a natural evaluation pairing $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ given by $\mu \mapsto \langle s, \mu \rangle$. The character lattice X then acts on $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x)$ by scalars $\langle s, \cdot \rangle$, and this extends the action as desired. Analogously, the $A_x \times W_s$ -action of $H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^x)$ can be extended to a $A_x \times \widetilde{W}_s$ -action. The main result we need is due to Lusztig.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $V_{x,\phi}$ be an Iwahori-spherical irreducible representation of G . After letting $q \rightarrow 1$ and then taking semisimplification, the simple $\mathcal{H}(G, I, \mathbf{1})$ -module $V_{x,\phi}^1$ becomes the \widetilde{W} -module $\varepsilon \otimes H^*(\mathcal{B}^x)^\phi$.*

Remark 4.8. An important remark is due at this point. The above theorem relates the structure of $V_{(x,\phi), q=1}^1$ as a \widetilde{W} -module with the structure of $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x)^\phi$ as a \widetilde{W} -module too. However, the former object is naturally p -adic, while the latter is complex analytic. The Weyl groups of G and G^\vee are canonically isomorphic, but not equal, since, for example, the isomorphism swaps short with long roots when the group is not simply laced. It is a standard abuse of notation to denote both Weyl groups with the same symbol, but one needs to be careful during explicit calculations inside which Weyl group one is working. Of course, we are ultimately interested in the p -adic side, but since we will be computing the cohomology groups, we will mostly work inside the complex side. In particular, the reflection s_0 inside W will be a **short** reflection, corresponding to the highest short root. At the very end, we will then trace the representations back to the p -adic side.

This theorem is the key theoretical tool that allows for the use of Springer theory to compute parahoric restrictions of unipotent representations of G . However, it is not immediately clear how to use Springer theory yet, since $x \in G^\vee$ need not be a unipotent element. Naturally, the solution comes from relating $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x)$ to $H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)$. The result is due to Kato.

Proposition 4.9. [Kat83] *The natural restriction map $H^*(\mathcal{B}^x)^\phi \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^\phi$ is \widetilde{W}_s -equivariant, and induces an isomorphism of \widetilde{W} -modules*

$$H^*(\mathcal{B}^x)^\phi \cong \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{W}_s}^{\widetilde{W}} H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^\phi.$$

By (8), Theorem 4.7 and Proposition 4.9, the restriction of $V_{x,\phi}$ can be determined by computing the restriction

$$\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{W}_s}^{\widetilde{W}} H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^\phi \right) |_{\widetilde{W}_J},$$

where \widetilde{W}_J is the subgroup of \widetilde{W} generated by all simple reflections of the roots in J . Working inside \widetilde{W} is very delicate, so following the development in [Ree00, §8], we decide to work inside $W = \widetilde{W}_{J_0}$. The downside is the fact that, even though W contains an isomorphic copy of \widetilde{W}_J , one needs to carefully track this isomorphism since it can twist the original representation by some character.

More concretely, consider the image W_J of the group \widetilde{W}_J under the natural projection map $\widetilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow W$. This restricts to an isomorphism $\widetilde{W}_J \cong W_J$ with inverse map $\psi_J : W_J \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_J$, satisfying $\Psi_J(s_\alpha) = s_\alpha$ if $\alpha \in J, \alpha \neq -\alpha_0$ and $\psi_J(s_0) = \tilde{s}_0 = t_{\alpha_0} s_0$ if $\tilde{s}_0 \in J$. Let ψ_J^* be the pullback of representation of \widetilde{W}_J to W_J .

Given a semisimple element $t \in G^\vee$, let $W_{J,t} = W_t \cap W_J$ and define the character

$$\chi_t^J := \chi_t \circ \psi_J : W_{J,t} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times. \quad (9)$$

Then by Mackey theory we obtain the isomorphism

$$\psi_J^* \left[\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{W}_s}^{\widetilde{W}} H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^\phi \right) |_{\widetilde{W}_J} \right] \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W_s \setminus W / W_J} \text{Ind}_{W_{J,s^w}}^{W_J} \chi_{s^w}^J \otimes [H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^\phi]^w. \quad (10)$$

Springer theory tells us the structure of the cohomology groups, so it remains to understand the groups $W_{J,t}$ and the characters χ_t^J . The next result shows that, in many cases, the character is trivial. To state it, we note that, associated to J , there is a pseudo-Levi subgroup G_J^\vee of G^\vee , with simply connected cover

$$G_J^{\vee,\text{sc}} \longrightarrow G_J^\vee$$

whose kernel we denote by Z_J .

Lemma 4.10. [Ree00, Lemma 8.3] *If the orders of s and Z_J are relatively prime, then $\chi_{s^w}^J$ is trivial on W_{J,s^w} for all $w \in W_s \setminus W / W_J$.*

In general, these characters have been studied by Reeder in [Ree00, §6.3], where he gives an explicit formula. All nontrivial characters in this document have been calculated using that formula.

The following easy lemma shows that if the characters χ_t^J are trivial, then computations are greatly simplified.

Lemma 4.11. *With the same notation as in (10), if the characters $\chi_{s^w}^J$ are trivial for all $w \in W_s \setminus W / W_J$, then*

$$\psi_J^* \left[\left(\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{W}_s}^{\widetilde{W}} H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^\phi \right) |_{\widetilde{W}_J} \right] \cong \left(\text{Ind}_{W_s}^W H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^\phi \right) |_{W_J}$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Mackey's formula applied to the right hand side. \square

4.2 Parahoric restriction for type G_2

To finish this section, we finish with a worked example of the above methods by computing some parahoric restrictions for the p -adic group $G = G_2(F)$. We will restrict our attention to classes of maximal parahoric subgroups, for the other ones can be easily deduced from these. The group $G_2(F)$ has simple roots $\{-\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ with Dynkin diagram

$$0 - 1 \Longrightarrow 2.$$

Thus $G_2(F)$ has 3 classes of maximal parahoric subgroups K_0 , K_1 , K_2 corresponding to the subsets of affine simple roots $J_0 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, $J_1 = \{-\beta_0, \beta_2\}$ and $J_2 = \{-\beta_0, \beta_1\}$ and with reductive types G_2 , $A_1 \times \tilde{A}_1$ and A_2 , respectively. We now compute these parahoric restrictions for all representations $\pi(us, \phi)$ of $G = G_2(F)$, where u is a subregular unipotent element of $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ labelled by $G_2(a_1)$. This is an interesting case since u is a distinguished unipotent element and $\Gamma_u = A_u \cong S_3$. There are 8 such representations, given by

$$\pi(G_2(a_1), 1, \phi), \phi \in \{\mathbf{1}, \varepsilon, r\}, \quad \pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, \phi), \phi \in \{\mathbf{1}, \varepsilon\}, \quad \pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, \phi), \phi \in \{\mathbf{1}, \theta, \theta^2\}.$$

It turns out that 4 of them are unipotent supercuspidal representations of $G_2(F)$ given by

$$\pi(G_2(a_1), 1, \epsilon) = c\text{-Ind}_{K_0}^G G_2[1], \quad \pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, \epsilon) = c\text{-Ind}_{K_0}^G G_2[-1], \quad \pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, \theta^k) = c\text{-Ind}_{K_0}^G G_2[\theta^k], k = 1, 2,$$

while the remaining 4 are Iwahori-spherical. For the supercuspidal representations, their restrictions can be easily computed. By Frobenius reciprocity, their parahoric restrictions to K_0 is irreducible. The restrictions to K_1 and K_2 are both 0, since all representations of $A_1 \times \tilde{A}_1$ and A_2 are principal series.

Thus, we can focus our attention to Iwahori-spherical representations. The computations are quite lengthy, so we give a complete sketch while omitting some unenlightening steps. We recall that we are working inside the *complex* Weyl group W and consequently s_0 is seen as a short reflection along the highest short root, and we denote by s^0 the reflection along the highest root. We first note that u is a subregular unipotent element in $G^\vee = G_2(\mathbb{C})$, while it is a regular unipotent element in $G_{g_2}^\vee = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $G_{g_3}^\vee = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, $\dim \mathcal{B}^u = 1$, while $\dim \mathcal{B}_{g_2}^u = \dim \mathcal{B}_{g_3}^u = 0$. By Springer theory, we obtain that

$$H^*(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\mathbf{1}} = H^0(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\mathbf{1}} + H^2(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\mathbf{1}} = \phi_{1,0} + \phi_{2,1}, \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(\mathcal{B}^u)^r = H^0(\mathcal{B}^u)^r + H^2(\mathcal{B}^u)^r = \phi'_{1,3},$$

as W -modules, while $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{g_2}^u)$ and $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{g_3}^u)$ afford the trivial representations of W_{g_2} and W_{g_3} respectively, and

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{g_2}}^W \mathbf{1} = \phi_{1,0} + \phi''_{1,3} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{W_{g_3}}^W \mathbf{1} = \phi_{1,0} + \phi_{2,2}.$$

To obtain the parahoric restrictions to K_0 , we note that all characters $\chi_t^{J_0}$ are trivial so it remains to twist by $\varepsilon = \phi_{1,6}$ (swapping $\phi_{1,0} \leftrightarrow \phi_{1,6}$ and $\phi'_{1,3} \leftrightarrow \phi''_{1,3}$) and then by the outer automorphism of W exchanging short and long roots (swapping $\phi'_{1,3} \leftrightarrow \phi''_{1,3}$ only).

To compute the other two columns of Table 7 we compute the remaining characters χ_t^J . Using their properties above, it is not hard to show that all characters we are considering are trivial except for $\chi_{g_2^w}^{J_1}$ and $\chi_{g_3^w}^{J_2}$, and we can use directly Lemma 4.11 to compute the restrictions. The last cases must be dealt with separately.

- **Restriction of $\pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, 1)$ to K_{J_2} .** In this case, $W_{J_2} = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ (where s_0 is the reflection along the highest short root) and $W_{g_3} = \langle s^0, s_2 \rangle$ (where s^0 is the reflection along the highest root). Then $W_{g_3} \backslash W / W_{J_2} = \{1\}$, $W_{J_2, g_3} \cong C_3$ and $\chi_{g_3}^{J_2}$ is a primitive character. Thus,

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_2, g_3}}^{W_{J_2}} \chi_{g_3}^{J_2} = r.$$

- **Restriction of $\pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, 1)$ to K_{J_1} .** In this case, $W_{J_1} = \langle s_0, s_2 \rangle$ and $W_{g_2} = \langle s^0, s_1 \rangle$. Then $W_{g_2} \backslash W / W_{J_1} = \{1, w\}$ has two elements, and $W_{J_1, g_2} \cong C_2$ with $\chi_{g_2}^{J_1}$ non-trivial and $W_{J_1, g_2^w} = W_{J_1}$

with $\chi_{g_2^w}^{J_1}$ the trivial character. Thus,

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_1, g_2}}^{W_{J_1}} \chi_{g_2}^{J_1} + \text{Ind}_{W_{J_1, g_2^w}}^{W_{J_1}} \chi_{g_2^w}^{J_1} = \varepsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \varepsilon + \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}.$$

Langlands parameter (u, s, ϕ)	$K_0 = G_2$	$K_1 = A_1 + \tilde{A}_1$	$K_2 = A_2$
$\pi(G_2, 1, \mathbf{1})$	$\phi_{(1,6)}$	$\epsilon \otimes \epsilon$	ϵ
$\pi(G_2(a_1), 1, \mathbf{1})$	$\phi_{(1,6)} + \phi_{(2,1)}$	$\epsilon \otimes \epsilon + \epsilon \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \epsilon$	$\epsilon + r$
$\pi(G_2(a_1), 1, r)$	$\phi'_{(1,3)}$	$\epsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}$	ϵ
$\pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, \mathbf{1})$	$\phi_{(1,6)} + \phi''_{(1,3)}$	$\mathbf{1} \otimes \epsilon + \epsilon \otimes \epsilon$	r
$\pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, \mathbf{1})$	$\phi_{(1,6)} + \phi_{(2,2)}$	$\epsilon \otimes \epsilon + \epsilon \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \epsilon$	$\epsilon + r$
$\pi(G_2(a_1), 1, \epsilon)$	$G_2[1]$	0	0
$\pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, \epsilon)$	$G_2[-1]$	0	0
$\pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, \theta)$	$G_2[\theta]$	0	0
$\pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, \theta^2)$	$G_2[\theta^2]$	0	0

Figure 7: Restrictions of G_2 -representations $\pi(G_2(a_1), s, \phi)$

The proof of Conjecture 1.1 is now just a matter of putting all the pieces together. We can compute the parahoric restriction of the virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$. The results are in the table below. Lusztig's nonabelian Fourier transform acts trivially on every representation, except for the family

$$\{\phi_{2,1}, \phi_{2,2}, \phi'_{1,3}, \phi''_{1,3}, G_2[1], G_2[-1], G_2[\theta], G_2[\theta^2]\}.$$

The action of Lusztig's nonabelian fourier transform on this family is given by the matrix 2, whose labelling is explained in Figure 1. It is then a routine calculation to see that the square in Conjecture 1.1 commutes.

	$K_0 = G_2$	$K_1 = A_1 \times \tilde{A}_1$	$K_2 = A_2$
$\Pi(G_2, 1, 1)$	$\phi_{1,6}$	$\epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	ϵ
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), 1, 1)$	$\phi_{2,1} + G_2[1] + 2\phi'_{1,3} + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + 3\epsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1} + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	$3\epsilon + r$
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), 1, g_2)$	$\phi_{2,1} - G_2[1] + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + \epsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1} + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	$\epsilon + r$
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, 1)$	$\phi_{2,1} + \phi_{2,2} + G_2[-1] + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + \epsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1} + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	$\epsilon + r$
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), 1, g_3)$	$\phi_{2,1} + G_2[1] - \phi'_{1,3} + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	r
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, 1)$	$\phi''_{1,3} + G_2[\theta] + G_2[\theta^2] + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	r
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), g_2, g_2)$	$\phi_{2,1} + \phi_{2,2} - G_2[-1] + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + \epsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1} + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	$\epsilon + r$
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, g_3)$	$\phi''_{1,3} + \theta G_2[\theta] + \theta^2 G_2[\theta^2] + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	r
$\Pi(G_2(a_1), g_3, g_3^{-1})$	$\phi''_{1,3} + \theta^2 G_2[\theta] + \theta G_2[\theta^2] + \phi_{1,6}$	$\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \epsilon + \epsilon \boxtimes \epsilon$	r

5 The p-adic symplectic group

Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ be the simply connected p -adic group of type C_n , whose complex dual group $G^\vee = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. We note that G has no pure inner twists other than itself. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.1 (In progress). *Let u be a subregular unipotent element of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. For any elliptic pair $(s, h) \in \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_\mathrm{ell}$,*

$$\mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{par}}(\Pi(u, h, s)) = \mathrm{FT}^{\mathrm{par}}(\mathrm{res}_{\mathrm{un}}^{\mathrm{par}}(\Pi(u, s, h))).$$

In other words, Conjecture 1.1 holds when $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and u is a subregular unipotent element.

For the sake of completeness, we briefly verify that the conjecture is true if u is a regular unipotent element of G^\vee . It is well-known that $\dim Z_{G^\vee}(u) = \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} = n$ and that $\Gamma_u = Z(G^\vee) = 1$ and consequently $\mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_\mathrm{ell} = \{(1, 1)\}$. The representation $\Pi(u, 1, 1) = \pi(u, 1, 1)$ is fixed by $\mathrm{FT}^{\mathrm{ell}}$ and moreover the Springer correspondence implies that

$$\mathrm{res}_{K_i} \pi(u, 1, \mathbf{1}) = \mathrm{St}_{K_i} \quad \text{for any maximal parahoric } K_i \subset G.$$

This representation is fixed by each FT^{K_i} , so the conjecture is verified.

To progress any further, we need to understand representation theoretic aspects of the reductive quotients of maximal parahoric subgroups of $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ and some structural properties of $G^\vee = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, which we discuss now.

5.1 Unipotent representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$

We recall from Proposition 2.6 that for any unipotent representation χ of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ there exists a parabolic subgroup $P \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with Levi decomposition $P = LN$ and a cuspidal unipotent character ϕ of L such that $\chi \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \phi$ and that moreover the pair (P, ϕ) are unique up to conjugacy. Lusztig showed that finite groups of Lie type of type A_m , $m \geq 1$ have no cuspidal unipotent representations, while those of type C_m have a unique cuspidal unipotent representation ϕ_s if $m = s^2 + s$ for some $s \geq 0$, and none otherwise. Thus, the only parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ whose Levi component has a cuspidal unipotent representation has type C_m for some $m = s^2 + s$.

For each $s \geq 0$ such that $s^2 + s \leq n$, let $P_s = L_s N_s$ be the standard parabolic of type C_{s^2+s} and let ϕ_s be the unique cuspidal unipotent representation of L_s . The unipotent representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are the components of the representations

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P_s}^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \phi_s \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0 \text{ satisfying } s^2 + s \leq n.$$

For each choice of s , the components of $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_s}^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \phi_s$ define a *series* of unipotent representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denoted by $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q), P_s, \phi_s)$. Let us focus first on $s = 0$, corresponding to the principal series representations. In this case, $P_0 = B$ is a Borel subgroup, $L_0 = T$ is a maximal torus and $\phi_0 = \mathbf{1}$, so we aim to characterize the components of $\mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathbf{1}$. In 2.3, we proved that there is a canonical bijection between principal series representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and irreducible representations of its Weyl group $W(C_n) \cong S_n \ltimes C_2^n$. As we explained

in Remark 4.8, most of our computation take place inside the Weyl $W(B_n)$ group of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ isomorphic to $W(C_n)$ by swapping short and long roots. For convenience, therefore, we state the classification theorem for irreducible $W(B_n)$ in terms of combinatorial data (see [Car93, §11.4]).

Theorem 5.2. *There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between irreducible representations of $W(C_n)$ and ordered pairs of partitions (or bipartitions) (α, β) with $|\alpha| + |\beta| = n$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ with*

$$0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \dots \quad 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \dots,$$

where some of the α_i, β_i may be 0. Let α^*, β^* be the dual partitions of α, β . Then consider a subsystem $\Phi' \subseteq \Phi$ of type

$$D_{\alpha_1^*} + D_{\alpha_2^*} + \dots + B_{\beta_1^*} + B_{\beta_2^*} + \dots,$$

where D_1 is the empty root system and $D_2 = A_1 \times A_1$ has only long roots. If W' is the Weyl group of Φ' , then the corresponding irreducible representation $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ of $W(B_n)$ is the Macdonald representation $j_{W'}^W(\varepsilon_{W'})$ obtained from W' . Moreover, the dimension of $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is given by the formula

$$\dim \phi_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{n!}{\mathrm{hook}(\alpha)\mathrm{hook}(\beta)}.$$

Throughout, we will label the representations of $W(B_n)$ by (α, β) instead of $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}$. The above theorem provides a complete classification of the irreducible representations of $W(B_n)$ and, with some work, provides a way to obtain explicit models of each representation a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{Sym}^N(V^*)$ (homogeneous degree N polynomials on V), where $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}e_i$ is the natural reflection representation of $W(B_n)$ described above and N is the number of positive roots of the associated root subsystem Φ' . To simplify notation, let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset V^*$ be the dual basis of $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$.

Let us discuss some important examples and models of the above theorem.

1. If $(\alpha, \beta) = (n, \emptyset)$, then $\Phi' = \emptyset$ so $N = 0$ and (n, \emptyset) is the trivial representation.
2. If $(\alpha, \beta) = (\emptyset, n)$, then $\Phi' = \{\text{short roots}\}$ so $N = n$ and (\emptyset, n) is the non-trivial character where long reflections act trivially and whose model is given by

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{x_1 \cdots x_n\} \leq \mathrm{Sym}^n(V^*).$$

3. If $(\alpha, \beta) = (1^n, \emptyset)$, then $\Phi' = \{\text{long roots}\}$ so $N = n^2 - n$ and $(1^n, \emptyset)$ is the non-trivial character where short reflections act trivially and whose model is given by

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i^2 - x_j^2) \right\} \leq \mathrm{Sym}^{n^2-n}(V^*).$$

4. If $(\alpha, \beta) = (\emptyset, 1^n)$, then $\Phi' = \Phi$ and $W' = W$. Then $N = n^2$ and $(\emptyset, 1^n)$ is the sign representation whose model is given by

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ x_1 \cdots x_n \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i^2 - x_j^2) \right\} \leq \mathrm{Sym}^{n^2}(V^*).$$

5. If $(\alpha, \beta) = (n-1, 1)$, then Φ' has type A_1 so $N = 1$ and $(n-1, 1) = \text{Sym}^1 V^* = V^*$ is the natural reflection representation of W .
6. If $(\alpha, \beta) = ((n-1)1, \emptyset)$, then Φ' has type $A_1 \times A_1$ so $N = 2$ and $((n-1)1, \emptyset)$ is the $n-1$ -dimensional representation with model

$$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_1^2 - x_2^2, \dots, x_{n-1}^2 - x_n^2\}.$$

7. If $(\alpha, \beta) = (n-2, 2)$, then Φ' has type $A_1 \times A_1$ so $N = 2$ too and $(n-2, 2)$ is the $n(n-1)/2$ -dimensional representation with model

$$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

In particular, by noting that $\mathbb{C}(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$ affords the trivial representation and by counting dimensions, this shows that $\text{Sym}^2(V^*) = (n, \emptyset) + ((n-1)1, \emptyset) + (n-2, 2)$.

In addition to the previous examples, we also provide an explicit description of the effect of twisting by the sign representation $\varepsilon = (\emptyset, 1^n)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let (α, β) be a representation of $W(B_n)$ labelled as in Theorem 5.2 and let α^*, β^* be the dual partitions. Then*

$$\varepsilon \otimes (\alpha, \beta) = (\emptyset, 1^n) \otimes (\alpha, \beta) = (\beta^*, \alpha^*).$$

In particular, if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are two characters of the same family, so are $\varepsilon \otimes \phi_1$ and $\varepsilon \otimes \phi_2$.

Lemma 5.4. *The smallest normal subgroup of $W(B_n) = S_n \ltimes C_2^n$ containing a short reflection is isomorphic to C_2^n . The set of short reflections lies in the kernel of a representation (α, β) if and only if $\beta = \emptyset$, and the bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr}(W(A_{n-1})) &\longrightarrow \text{Irr}(W(B_n)) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto (\alpha, \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

is the natural inflation map of representations.

Next, we wish to understand, using the previous parametrization, the families of the Weyl group as defined in 2.3. To do this, we need to introduce the notion of *symbols* associated to a pair of partitions (α, β) . Choose an appropriate number of zeros as parts of α or β so that α has one more part than β . Then define the symbol of (α, β) to be the array

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 + 1 & \alpha_3 + 2 & \cdots & \alpha_m + (m-1) & \alpha_{m+1} + m \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 + 1 & \beta_3 + 2 & \cdots & \beta_m + (m-1) & \end{array} \right)$$

We consider the equivalence relation on the symbols generated by

$$\sim \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & \lambda_1 + 1 & \lambda_2 + 1 & \cdots & \lambda_m + 1 & \lambda_{m+1} + 1 \\ 0 & \mu_1 + 1 & \mu_2 + 1 & \cdots & \mu_{m-1} + 1 & \mu_m + 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_m & \lambda_{m+1} \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_{m-1} & \mu_m \end{array} \right).$$

Thus, each pair (α, β) defines a unique equivalence class of symbols.

Theorem 5.5. *Two characters of $W(B_n)$ lie in the same family if and only if they possess symbols for which the unordered sets $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+1}, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\}$ are the same. Moreover, each family contains a unique character whose symbol has the property that*

$$\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_m \leq \lambda_{m+1},$$

and these are precisely the special characters of $W(B_n)$.

This concludes the classification of principal series representations of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. To understand the remaining series, we need to consider more general symbols of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \cdots & \lambda_b \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 & \cdots & \mu_b \end{array} \right)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_a$, $0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_b$, $a - b$ is odd and positive and λ_1, μ_1 are not both 0.

We define the *defect* of such a symbol as $d = a - b$ and its *rank* as

$$\sum_{i=1}^a \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_j - \left[\left(\frac{a+b-1}{2} \right)^2 \right].$$

We can finally state the classification theorem for unipotent characters of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Theorem 5.6. *Unipotent characters of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are parametrized in terms of symbols of the form as above whose rank equals n . Such a character is a component of $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_s}^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \phi_s$, where $d = 2s + 1$. Finally, two unipotent characters lie in the same family of characters if and only if their symbols contain the same entries with the same multiplicities.*

5.2 Structure theory of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$

We recall that

$$\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n+1} \mid AJA^T = J\}, \quad \text{where} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & & & I_n \\ & & I_n & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

The diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ are

$$T_n = \left\{ h(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & a_1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & a_n & \\ & & & & a_1^{-1} \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & a_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\},$$

and they form a maximal torus in $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. We fix a set of simple roots $\Delta_n = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ given by

$$\alpha_i(h(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \begin{cases} a_i a_{i+1}^{-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ a_n & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

The simple root α_n is the only short one and the corresponding Dynkin diagram is

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \cdots - \alpha_{n-1} \implies \alpha_n.$$

Using the Killing form, we can embed Δ_n in a n -dimensional Euclidean space V with orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n and such that $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_n = e_n$.

We also let $\alpha_0 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n = e_1$ be the highest short root of $\Phi(B_n)$. This is slightly unconventional, since α_0 is normally the highest root of Φ , but this notation will be very useful. For example, $\check{\alpha}_0$ is the highest root of type C_n so $S_{\mathrm{aff}}(C_n) := \{-\check{\alpha}_0, \check{\alpha}_1, \dots, \check{\alpha}_n\}$ is the set of affine roots of type C_n with corresponding affine Dynkin diagram

$$-\check{\alpha}_0 \implies \check{\alpha}_1 - \check{\alpha}_2 - \cdots - \check{\alpha}_{n-1} \iff \check{\alpha}_n.$$

In particular, $\alpha_0(h(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = a_1$ and the corresponding simple coroots are

$$\check{\alpha}_i(t) = \begin{cases} h(t^2, 1, \dots, 1) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ h(1, \dots, 1, t, t^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ h(1, \dots, 1, t^2) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

The Weyl group $W(B_n) = N(T_n)/T_n$ is isomorphic to the group $S_n \ltimes C_2^n$ and it acts on T_n faithfully by the transformations

$$h(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto h(a_{\sigma(1)}^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}^{\pm 1}), \quad \text{where } \sigma \in S_n.$$

For each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $s_i \in W(B_n)$ be the simple reflection associated to the root α_i . Then

$$W(B_n) = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \text{ (long reflections)}, s_n \text{ (short reflection)} \rangle = \langle s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \rangle,$$

and the simple reflections act by

$$s_i \cdot h(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{cases} h(a_1^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ h(a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}, a_i, \dots, a_n) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ h(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n^{-1}) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the Euclidean space $V = \oplus_{i=1}^n e_i$ is the Lie algebra of T_n so admits a natural $W(B_n)$ -action given by

$$s_i(v) = v - \frac{2(\alpha_i, v)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \alpha_i, \quad v \in V \quad \text{so} \quad s_i = \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} & \\ 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & & I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad s_n = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and under this action V becomes the natural reflection representation of $W(B_n)$.

5.3 The subregular unipotent orbit of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$

In this section, we prove Conjecture 1.1 for the subregular unipotent class, commonly labelled by the partition $(2n-1, 1, 1)$. The first step is to find a nice representative of this class, which is fortunately possible.

Lemma 5.7. *The unipotent element $u = \exp(e_{\alpha_2} + \cdots + e_{\alpha_{n-1}} + e_{\alpha_n})$ lies in the subregular orbit and the reductive part of its centralizer is $\Gamma_u = \Gamma_u^0 \rtimes \langle h_u w_{\alpha^0} \rangle$, where*

$$\Gamma_u^0 = \{(\alpha^0)^\vee(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{C}^\times\} \quad \text{and} \quad h_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -I_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \check{\alpha}_1(-1)\check{\alpha}_3(-1)\cdots\check{\alpha}_{n-2}(-1)\check{\alpha}_n(i) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \check{\alpha}_1(-1)\check{\alpha}_3(-1)\cdots\check{\alpha}_{n-3}(-1)\check{\alpha}_{n-1}(-1) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first part can be found in [CM93, §5.2], while the second one can be easily checked with a computer algebra program such as GAP. \square

The above result implies that there is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_u \cong \langle z, \delta \mid z \in \mathbb{C}^\times, \delta^2 = 1, \delta z \delta^{-1} = z^{-1} \rangle \cong C^\times \rtimes C_2$$

given by

$$1 \longleftrightarrow t_0 = (\alpha^0)^\vee(\pm 1) = I_{2n+1}, \quad -1 \longleftrightarrow t_1 = (\alpha^0)^\vee(\pm i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & \\ & I_{n-1} & -1 & \\ & & I_{n-1} & \\ & & & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\delta \longleftrightarrow t_2 = h_u w_{\alpha^0} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & -I_{n-1} & 1 \\ & & 1 & -I_{n-1} \\ & & & -I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, we note that $\Gamma_{ut_0} = \Gamma_{ut_1} = \Gamma_u$ so $A_{ut_0} = A_{ut_1} = C_2$ while $\Gamma_{ut_2} = A_{ut_2} = \{t_0, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2\} = C_2 \times C_2$. We label its representations by $\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1} \boxtimes \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1}$, $\varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon$, where the first term indicates the action of t_1 and the second term indicates the action of t_2 .

Lemma 5.8. *The group Γ_u has six elliptic pairs up to Γ_u -conjugacy:*

$$\Gamma_u \backslash \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_{\text{ell}} = \{(\pm 1, \delta), (\delta, \pm 1), (\delta, \pm \delta)\}.$$

Proof. All elements in Γ_u are semisimple, and any two $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_u$ commute if and only if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ (in which case their common centralizer is infinite) or $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \{(\pm 1, z\delta), (z\delta, \pm 1), (z\delta, \pm z\delta) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$. It is easy to see that these pairs have finite centralizer and are \mathbb{C}^\times -conjugate to $\{(\pm 1, \delta), (\delta, \pm 1), (\delta, \pm \delta)\}$, respectively. \square

Thus, we wish to compute the parahoric restrictions with respect to open maximal compact subgroups of the virtual representations

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(u, 1, \delta) &= \pi(ut_0, \mathbf{1}) - \pi(ut_0, \varepsilon), \quad \Pi(u, -1, \delta) = \pi(ut_1, \mathbf{1}) - \pi(ut_1, \varepsilon), \\ \Pi(u, \delta, 1) &= \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) + \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \varepsilon) + \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) + \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon), \\ \Pi(u, \delta, -1) &= \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) + \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \varepsilon) - \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) - \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon), \\ \Pi(u, \delta, \delta) &= \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) - \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \varepsilon) + \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) - \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon), \\ \Pi(u, \delta, -\delta) &= \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) - \pi(ut_2, \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \varepsilon) - \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) + \pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

We first compute these restrictions for representations having Iwahori-fixed vectors, whose restrictions to \overline{K}_J are a direct sum of principal series representations. The restrictions of the remaining representations will be calculated afterwards.

We recall from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 that if $\pi(ut, \rho)$ has Iwahori-fixed vectors and $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}} = \{-\check{\alpha}_0, \check{\alpha}_1, \check{\alpha}_2, \dots, \check{\alpha}_n\}$, for any irreducible K_J module χ trivial on U_J , we have that

$$\langle \chi, V^{U_J} \rangle_{K_J} = \langle \chi^{\mathcal{I}}, V^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(K_J, \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{1})} = \langle \chi_{q=1}^{\mathcal{I}}, \pi(ut, \rho)_{q=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \rangle_{\widetilde{W}_J}.$$

The operation $\chi \mapsto \chi_{q=1}^{\mathcal{I}}$ corresponds to the aforementioned bijection between principal series representations of \overline{K}_J and irreducible representations of \widetilde{W}_J , while Theorem 4.7 and Proposition 4.9 imply that

$$\pi(ut, \rho)_{q=1}^{\mathcal{I}} = \varepsilon \otimes \text{Ind}_{\widetilde{W}_t}^{\widetilde{W}} [s \otimes H^*(\mathcal{B}_t^u)^{\rho}]$$

as a \widetilde{W} -module, up to semisimplification. In particular, we are interested in the W_J -module (see (10))

$$\psi_J^* \left(\pi(ut, \rho)_{q=1}^{\mathcal{I}} |_{\widetilde{W}_J} \right) = \varepsilon \otimes \bigoplus_{w \in W_t \setminus W / W_J} \text{Ind}_{W_J \cap W_{t^w}}^{W_J} \chi_{t^w}^J \otimes [H^*(\mathcal{B}_t^u)^{\rho}]^w. \quad (11)$$

Our aim is to compute parahoric restriction with respect to maximal compact subgroups. Since $\text{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ is simply connected, these coincide with maximal parahoric subgroups and its conjugacy classes are in natural bijection with maximal subsets $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$. For each $r = 0, \dots, n$, let $J_r = S_{\text{aff}} \setminus \{\check{\alpha}_r\}$ be the maximal subset of S_{aff} , K_r be the corresponding parahoric subgroup with unipotent radical U_r and reductive quotient \overline{K}_r isomorphic to $\text{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \text{Sp}_{2(n-r)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In particular, the Weyl group W_{J_r} of \overline{K}_r is generated by

$$W_{J_r} = \langle s_0, \dots, s_{r-1} \rangle \times \langle s_{r+1}, \dots, s_n \rangle \cong W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r}).$$

Case 0: $t = t_0$. In this case, $Z_{G^\vee}(t_0) = G^\vee$ so $W_{t_0} = W$, $\mathcal{B}_{t_0}^u = \mathcal{B}^u$ and the character $\chi_{t_0}^{J_r}$ is trivial. Hence, the right hand side of (11) becomes

$$\varepsilon \otimes H(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\rho} |_{W_{J_r}}.$$

The variety \mathcal{B}^u is one-dimensional, so $H(\mathcal{B}^u) = H^0(\mathcal{B}^u) + H^2(\mathcal{B}^u)$. The W -action on $H(\mathcal{B}^u)$ is described by the Springer correspondence. The 0-th cohomology group is easy to describe since $H^0(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\mathbf{1}}$ affords the trivial W -representation while $H^0(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\varepsilon} = 0$. On the other hand, the top cohomology groups $H^2(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\mathbf{1}}$ and $H^2(\mathcal{B}^u)^{\varepsilon}$ afford the W -representations $(n-1, 1)$ and $((n-1)1, \emptyset)$, respectively.

The restriction of the 0-th cohomology modules to W_{J_r} is straightforward, so we focus on the restriction of the top cohomology modules to W_{J_r} . Let $V_{(n-1,1)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}x_i$ be the natural reflection representation and let $V_{((n-1)1,\emptyset)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(x_i^2 - x_{i+1}^2)$ be the representation labelled by $((n-1)1,\emptyset)$.

If $r = 0, n$, then $W_{J_r} = W$ so there is nothing to prove. If $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, then there are direct sum decompositions

$$V_{(n-1,1)} = \text{Span}\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\} \oplus \text{Span}\{x_i \mid r+1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

$$V_{((n-1)1,\emptyset)} = \text{Span}\{x_i^2 - x_{i+1}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \oplus \text{Span}\{x_i^2 - x_{i+1}^2 \mid r+1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \oplus \text{Span}\{(n-r) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i^2 - r \sum_{i=r+1}^n x_i^2\}$$

into irreducible W_{J_r} -modules, affording the representations $(r-1,1) \boxtimes (n-r,\emptyset)$ and $(r,\emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r-1,1)$ for the first line, and $((r-1)1,\emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r,\emptyset)$, $(r,\emptyset) \boxtimes ((n-r-1)1,\emptyset)$ and $(r,\emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r,\emptyset)$ for the second line. This finishes the first case, which is the content of the first two rows of Table 1.

Case 1: $t = t_1$. In this case,

$$Z_{G^\vee}(t_1) = Z_{G^\vee}(t_1)^0 \times \langle s_0 \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{G^\vee}(t_1)^0 = \langle T, \mathfrak{X}_{\pm\alpha_2}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\pm\alpha_{n-1}}, \mathfrak{X}_{\pm\alpha_n} \rangle \quad (12)$$

is a connected reductive group of type B_{n-1} . The Weyl group of $Z_{G^\vee}(t_1)$ is

$$W_{t_1} = \langle s_0 \rangle \times \langle s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n \rangle \cong C_2 \times W(B_{n-1}) \cong C_2^n \rtimes S_n$$

and acts on T by the transformations

$$h(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) \mapsto h(a_1^{\pm 1}, a_{\tau(2)}^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_{\tau(n)}^{\pm 1}), \quad \text{where } \tau \in S_{n-1}.$$

Thus $|W_{t_1}| = 2^n(n-1)!$ and the index inside W is $[W : W_{t_1}] = n$. To understand the right hand side of (11), we first need to understand the double coset space $W_{t_1} \backslash W / W_{J_r}$. If $r = 0$ or $r = n$, then $W_{J_r} \cong W$, so there is only one double coset. In these two cases, the right hand side of (11) simplifies to

$$\varepsilon \otimes \text{Ind}_{W_{t_1}}^W \chi_{t_1}^{J_r} \otimes H^*(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\rho.$$

Using the explicit formulas in [Ree00, §8.3], one can compute that $\chi_{t_1}^{J_0}$ is the trivial character while $\chi_{t_1}^{J_n}$ is trivial on $\langle s_2, \dots, s_n \rangle$, but it takes s_0 to -1 . To finish the calculations, we need to study the W_{t_1} -action on the cohomology complex $H^*(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)$. From (12), $u \in Z_{G^\vee}(t_1)$ and is a regular unipotent element, so $\dim \mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u = 0$. However, since $Z_{G^\vee}(t_1)$ is not connected, the structure of $\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u$ is more interesting. The following result gives an explicit description of $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)$ as a W_{t_1} -module.

Lemma 5.9. *The variety $\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u$ consists of two points. The two dimensional complex vector space $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)$ has a natural action of $W_{t_1} \times A_{ut_1}$ and it admits a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u) = H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^{\mathbf{1}} + H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\varepsilon$$

into 1-dimensional representations of $W_{t_1} \cong C_2 \times W(B_{n-1})$ affording the characters $\mathbf{1} \boxtimes (n-1, \emptyset)$, $\varepsilon \boxtimes (n-1, \emptyset)$, respectively.

It is therefore enough, in order to calculate these restrictions, to decompose the abstract W -representations

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{t_1}}^W \mathbf{1} \boxtimes (n-1, \emptyset) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{W_{t_1}}^W \varepsilon \boxtimes (n-1, \emptyset)$$

into a direct sum of irreducible representations. Firstly, we note that the trivial representation (n, \emptyset) is certainly an irreducible factor of the first representation. Similarly, the representation $((n-1)1, \emptyset)$, with model given by $\text{Span}\{x_i^2 - x_{i+1}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ has a one-dimensional subspace spanned by $(n-1)x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \cdots - x_n^2$ on which W_{t_1} acts trivially. By Frobenius reciprocity, it follows that $((n-1)1, \emptyset)$ is another irreducible factor. By counting dimensions, we have that

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{t_1}}^W \mathbf{1} \boxtimes (n-1, \emptyset) = (n, \emptyset) + ((n-1)1, \emptyset). \quad (13)$$

To calculate the second induction, we follow a similar argument. The one-dimensional subspace of the natural reflection representation of W spanned by e_1 is W_{t_1} invariant, and it affords the character $\varepsilon \otimes (n-1, \emptyset)$ of W_{t_1} . By Frobenius reciprocity and counting dimensions,

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{t_1}}^W \mathbf{1} \boxtimes (n-1, \emptyset) = (n-1, 1). \quad (14)$$

Putting everything together immediately gives the desired parahoric restrictions.

If $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, the problem is more involved. The natural quotient map $W \rightarrow S_n$ induces a bijection

$$W_{t_1} \backslash W/W_{J_r} \longrightarrow \text{Stab}_{S_n}(1) \backslash S_n / \text{Stab}_{S_n}(1, \dots, r) \times \text{Stab}_{S_n}(r+1, \dots, n),$$

and the action of $\text{Stab}_{S_n}(1, \dots, r) \times \text{Stab}_{S_n}(r+1, \dots, n)$ on $\text{Stab}_{S_n}(1) \backslash S_n$ has two orbits:

$$\{\text{Stab}(1), \text{Stab}(1)(1 \ 2), \dots, \text{Stab}(1 \ r)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\text{Stab}(1)(1 \ r+1), \dots, \text{Stab}(1 \ n)\}.$$

For convenience, we choose representatives e and η of $W_{t_1} \backslash W/W_{J_r}$, where η acts on T by the permutation $(1 \ n)$.

This calculation shows that

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W_{t_1} \backslash W/W_{J_r}} \text{Ind}_{W_{J_r, t_1^w}}^{W_{J_r}} \chi_{t_1^w}^{J_r} \otimes [H^*(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\rho]^w = \text{Ind}_{W_{J_r, t_1}}^{W_{J_r}} \chi_{t_1}^{J_r} \otimes H(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\rho \oplus \text{Ind}_{W_{J_r, t_1^\eta}}^{W_{J_r}} \chi_{t_1^\eta}^{J_r} \otimes [H(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\rho]^\eta \quad (15)$$

To calculate the W_{J_r} -modules above, we first calculate the characters $\chi_{t_1}^{J_r}$ and $\chi_{t_1^\eta}^{J_r}$. Using the explicit formulas in [Ree00, §8.3], we can show that $\chi_{t_1}^{J_r}$ is the trivial character. On the other hand, $W_{J_r, t_1} \cong \langle s_0 \rangle \times W(B_{r-2}) \times W(B_{n-r})$ as a subgroup of $W_{J_r} \cong W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r})$, and $\chi_{t_1}^{J_r}$ is trivial on $W(B_{r-2}) \times W(B_{n-r})$ while $\chi_{t_1}^{J_r}(s_0) = -1$.

We are now ready to calculate the right hand side of (15). If $\rho = \mathbf{1}$, then $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial module so

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_r, t_1}}^{W_{J_r}} \chi_{t_1}^{J_r} = \text{Ind}_{\langle s_0 \rangle \times W(B_{r-2}) \times W(B_{n-r})}^{W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r})} \varepsilon \boxtimes (r-2, \emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r, \emptyset) = (r-1, 1) \boxtimes (n-r, \emptyset),$$

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_r, t_1^\eta}}^{W_{J_r}} \chi_{t_1^\eta}^{J_r} = \text{Ind}_{W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r-2}) \times \langle s_n \rangle}^{W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r})} (r, \emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r-2, \emptyset) \boxtimes \mathbf{1} = (r, \emptyset) \boxtimes [(n-r, \emptyset) + ((n-r-1)1, \emptyset)].$$

If $\rho = \varepsilon$, then s_0 acts on $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\varepsilon$ by -1 while s_n acts on $[H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\varepsilon]^\eta$ also by -1 . Thus,

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_r, t_1}}^{W_{J_r}} \chi_{t_1}^{J_r} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\varepsilon = \text{Ind}_{\langle s_0 \rangle \times W(B_{r-2}) \times W(B_{n-r})}^{W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r})} \mathbf{1} \boxtimes (r-2, \emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r, \emptyset) = [(r, \emptyset) + ((r-1)1, \emptyset)] \boxtimes (n-r, \emptyset),$$

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_r, t_1^\eta}}^{W_{J_r}} \chi_{t_1^\eta}^{J_r} \otimes [H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\varepsilon]^\eta = \text{Ind}_{W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r-2}) \times \langle s_n \rangle}^{W(B_r) \times W(B_{n-r})} (r, \emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r-2, \emptyset) \boxtimes \varepsilon = (r, \emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r-1, 1).$$

Remark 5.10. The above computations do not hold if $r = 1$ or $r = n - 1$, but very similar ideas apply. If $r = 1$, all formulas above hold without change except for

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_1,t_1}}^{W_{J_1}} \chi_{t_1}^{J_1} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^\varepsilon = (1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (n-1, \emptyset).$$

Similarly, all formulas hold for $r = n - 1$ except for

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_{n-1},t_1}^\eta}^{W_{J_{n-1}}} \chi_{t_1}^{J_{n-1}} \otimes [H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_1}^u)^{\mathbf{1}}]^\eta = (n-1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$$

This gives us all the parahoric restrictions, and the results can be found in the third and fourth rows in Table 1.

Case 2: $t = t_2$. Firstly, we note that t_2 is conjugate to the diagonal matrix $(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ & I_{2n} \end{smallmatrix})$, and therefore

$$Z_{G^\vee}(t_2) = Z_{G^\vee}(t_2)^0 \times \langle s_0 \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{G^\vee}(t_2)^0 = \langle T, \mathfrak{X}_\beta \mid \beta \text{ is a long root} \rangle$$

is a connected reductive group of type D_n . Thus, the Weyl group of $Z_{G^\vee}(t_2)$ is $W_{t_2} = W$ and u is a regular unipotent element in $Z_{G^\vee}(t_2)$, so $\dim \mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u = 0$. The right hand side of (11) simplifies to

$$\varepsilon \otimes \chi_{t_2}^{J_r} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u)^\rho|_{W_{J_r}}. \quad (16)$$

The character $\chi_{t_2}^{J_r}$ of $W_{J_r} = \langle s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-1} \rangle \times \langle s_{r+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n \rangle$ is trivial on the long reflections, while $\chi_{t_2}^{J_r}$ sends s_n to 1 and s_0 to -1 . Thus, $\chi_{t_2}^{J_r}$ is the W_{J_r} representation labelled by $(\emptyset, r) \boxtimes (n-r, \emptyset)$.

Finally, we need an explicit description of the W -action on $H^*(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u) = H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u)$.

Lemma 5.11. *The variety $\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u$ consists of two points. The two dimensional complex vector space $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u)$ has a natural action of $W \times A_{ut_2}$ where $A_{ut_2} = \{t_0, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2\}$. It admits a direct sum decomposition*

$$H^*(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u) = H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u)^{\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}} \oplus H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u)^{\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}}$$

into 1-dimensional W -representations, affording the characters (n, \emptyset) and (\emptyset, n) , respectively.

In particular, the above result implies that $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u)^{\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}}|_{W_{J_r}}$ is the trivial representation labelled by $(r, \emptyset) \boxtimes (n-r, \emptyset)$ while $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{t_2}^u)^{\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}}|_{W_{J_r}}$ is the character labelled by $(\emptyset, r) \boxtimes (\emptyset, n-r)$. We can then calculate the parahoric restriction directly using (16), and the results are contained in the fifth and seventh row of Table 1.

This concludes the computations of all parahoric restrictions of Iwahori-spherical representations. It remains to compute the restrictions for the remaining two representations $\pi(u, t_2, \infty \otimes \varepsilon)$ and $\pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$ that are not Iwahori-spherical representations.

Proposition 5.12 (Proof in progress. It relies on the generalized Springer correspondence). *For $r \geq 2$, let θ'_r be the irreducible representation of $\text{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ labelled by the symbol*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots & r-2 & r-1 & r \\ & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & \dots & r-2 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the parahoric restrictions of $\pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1})$ and $\pi(ut_2, \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$ with respect to K_{J_r} are irreducible representations labelled by $\theta'_r \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r})$ and $(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes \theta'_{n-r}$, respectively. For $r = 0, 1$, we set $\theta'_r = 0$.

Assuming this last result, it is a routine calculation to prove Theorem 5.1. Table 2 contains the restrictions of the virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$, from which the proof easily follows.

$\pi(us, \phi)$	$K_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-1)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-r)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-1)}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$
$(t_0, \mathbf{1})$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-1}})$	$(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) +$ $(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) + (\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-2}})$	$(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{r-1}}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) + (\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-r-1}})$	$(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-2}}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) + (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-1}})$
(t_0, ε)	$(\emptyset, 21^{n-2})$	$(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 21^{n-3})$	$(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\emptyset, 21^{r-2}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) + (\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 21^{n-r-2})$	$(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $(\emptyset, 21^{n-3}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1)$	$(\emptyset, 21^{n-2})$
$(t_1, \mathbf{1})$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(\emptyset, 21^{n-2})$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes [(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) + (\emptyset, 21^{n-3})]$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{r-1}}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes [(\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) + (\emptyset, 21^{r-n-2})]$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-2}}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1)$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-1}})$
(t_1, ε)	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-1}})$	$(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-2}}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1})$	$(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1^{n-r-1}}) +$ $[(\emptyset, 1^r) + (\emptyset, 21^{r-2})] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r})$	$(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset) +$ $[(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) + (\emptyset, 21^{n-3})] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(\emptyset, 21^{n-2})$
$(t_2, \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$	$(\emptyset, 1^n)$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1})$	$(1^r, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r})$	$(1^{n-1}, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1)$	$(1^n, \emptyset)$
$(t_2, \mathbf{1} \otimes \varepsilon)$	0	0	$\theta'_r \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r})$	$\theta'_{n-1} \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1)$	θ'_n
$(t_2, \varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1})$	$(1^n, \emptyset)$	$(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes (1^{n-1}, \emptyset)$	$(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes (1^{n-r}, \emptyset)$	$(\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n)$
$(t_2, \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$	θ'_n	$(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes \theta'_{n-1}$	$(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes \theta'_{n-r}$	0	0

Table 1: Parahoric restrictions of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$ -representations labelled by the subregular unipotent in $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$

	$K_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-1)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_r \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-r)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2(n-1)}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$	$K_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$
$\Pi(u, 1, \delta)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-1}) - (\emptyset, 21^{n-2})$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes [(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-2}) - (\emptyset, 21^{n-3})]$	$[(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{r-1}) - (\emptyset, 21^{r-2})] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes [(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-r-1}) - (\emptyset, 21^{n-r-2})]$	$[(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-2}) - (\emptyset, 21^{n-3})] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-1}) - (\emptyset, 21^{n-2})$ $+ (\emptyset, 1^n)$
$\Pi(u, \delta, 1)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(1^n, \emptyset) + \theta'_n$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes [(1^{n-1}, \emptyset) + \theta'_{n-1}]$	$[(1^r, \emptyset) + \theta'_r] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes [(1^{n-r}, \emptyset) + \theta'_{n-r}]$	$[(1^{n-1}, \emptyset) + \theta'_{n-1}] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(1^n, \emptyset) + \theta'_n$ $+ (\emptyset, 1^n)$
$\Pi(u, -1, \delta)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(\emptyset, 21^{n-2}) - (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-1})$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes [(\emptyset, 21^{n-3}) - (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-2})]$	$[(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{r-1}) - (\emptyset, 21^{r-2})] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes [(\emptyset, 21^{r-n-2}) - (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{r-n-1})]$	$[(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-2}) - (\emptyset, 21^{n-3})] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $- (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^{n-1}) - (\emptyset, 21^{n-2})$ $- (\emptyset, 1^n)$
$\Pi(u, \delta, -1)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) -$ $- [\theta'_n + (1^n, \emptyset)]$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) -$ $- (\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes [\theta'_{n-1} + (1^{n-1}, \emptyset)]$	$[(1^r, \emptyset) + \theta'_r] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) -$ $- (\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes [\theta'_{n-r} + (1^{r-n}, \emptyset)]$	$[(1^{n-1}, \emptyset) + \theta'_{n-1}] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) -$ $- (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(1^n, \emptyset) + \theta'_n$ $- (\emptyset, 1^n)$
$\Pi(u, \delta, \delta)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $(1^n, \emptyset) - \theta'_n$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^n - 1) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes [(1^{n-1}, \emptyset) - \theta'_{n-1}]$	$[(1^r, \emptyset) - \theta'_r] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes [(1^{n-r}, \emptyset) - \theta'_{n-r}]$	$[(1^{n-1}, \emptyset) - \theta'_{n-1}] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $+ (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(1^n, \emptyset) - \theta'_n$ $+ (\emptyset, 1^n)$
$\Pi(u, \delta, -\delta)$	$(\emptyset, 1^n) +$ $\theta'_n - (1^n, \emptyset)$	$(1, \emptyset) \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^n - 1) +$ $(\emptyset, 1) \boxtimes [\theta'_{n-1} - (1^{n-1}, \emptyset)]$	$[(1^r, \emptyset) - \theta'_r] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1^{n-r}) +$ $(\emptyset, 1^r) \boxtimes [\theta'_{n-r} - (1^{n-r}, \emptyset)]$	$[(1^{n-1, \emptyset}) - \theta'_{n-1}] \boxtimes (\emptyset, 1) +$ $- (\emptyset, 1^{n-1}) \boxtimes (1, \emptyset)$	$(1^n, \emptyset) - \theta'_n$ $- (\emptyset, 1^n)$

Table 2: Parahoric restrictions of the virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$ where $u \in \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is subregular unipotent

6 The p-adic group of type F_4

In this chapter, we let $G = F_4(F)$ be a simple p -adic group of type F_4 . Since the fundamental group Ω is trivial, F_4 is both simply connected and adjoint. This is an important fact that simple groups of type F_4 share with simple groups of type G_2 that simplifies many results and calculations. Its dual group is $G^\vee = F_4(\mathbb{C})$, and we let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ be the simple roots of G^\vee , with Dynkin diagram

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \Longrightarrow \alpha_3 - \alpha_4.$$

We denote by α_0 and α^0 the highest short root and highest root of the root system, respectively, so the simple affine roots of G are $J_{\text{aff}} = \{-\check{\alpha}_0, \check{\alpha}_4, \check{\alpha}_3, \check{\alpha}_2, \check{\alpha}_1\}$ with affine Dynkin diagram

$$(-\check{\alpha}_0) - \check{\alpha}_4 - \check{\alpha}_3 \Longrightarrow \check{\alpha}_2 - \check{\alpha}_1.$$

Since G is simply connected, it has no pure inner twists other than itself. Moreover, the set of maximal open compact subgroups of G agree with the set of maximal parahoric subgroups of G , and their conjugacy classes can be parametrized by proper maximal subsets $J \subsetneq S_{\text{aff}}$. It is then easy to see that there are five maximal open compact subgroups $\{K_0, K_4, K_3, K_2, K_1\}$ of G up to conjugacy, where K_i corresponds to $J_i := S_{\text{aff}} \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 4$. The reductive quotients $\overline{K}_0, \overline{K}_4, \overline{K}_3, \overline{K}_2, \overline{K}_1$ are finite reductive groups of type $F_4, A_1 \times C_3, A_2 \times \tilde{A}_2, A_3 \times \tilde{A}_1, B_4$, respectively. In particular,

$$\mathcal{C}(G)_{\text{cpt}, \text{un}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}_i)$$

and $\text{FT}^{\text{par}} = (\text{FT}^{K_i})_i$ is Lusztig's Fourier transform on $R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}_i)$ on each coordinate.

The aim of this section is to prove that Conjecture 1.1 holds for G . In other words, we prove that

Theorem 6.1 (In progress). *Let G be a simple p -adic group of type F_4 . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{FT}_{\text{ell}}^\vee} & \mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G) \\ \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{par}} & & \downarrow \text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{par}} \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^5 R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}_i) & \xrightarrow{(\text{FT}^{K_i})_i} & \bigoplus_{i=0}^5 R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}_i), \end{array}$$

commutes.

We remark that the above result was already proven by Ciubotaru, in an unpublished paper [Ciu20] for the reduction to the hyperspecial parahoric subgroup K_0 . We complete the proof for the remaining 4 parahoric subgroups.

Firstly, we need to compute the basis $\{\Pi(u, s, h) \mid u \in G^\vee, (s, h) \in \Gamma_u \backslash \mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)_{\text{ell}}\}$ of the space $\mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G)$. Here u is a unipotent element of $G^\vee = F_4(\mathbb{C})$, the complex simple reductive group of type F_4 , and $\mathcal{Y}(\Gamma_u)$ is the set of elliptic pairs of Γ_u (see Section 3.6 for the Definitions). The classification of all elliptic pairs of $F_4(\mathbb{C})$ is well-known (see Table 3 for a complete description). In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G)$ is a 31-dimensional vector space, where 21 of these dimensions come from the distinguished unipotent class $F_4(a_3)$.

Unipotent	Γ_u^0	A_u	$ \Gamma_u \setminus (\Gamma_u)_{\text{ell}} $	$\mathcal{F}_u n$	$\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}_u}$
F_4	1	1	1	$\phi_{1,24}$	1
$F_4(a_1)$	1	S_2	4	$\phi_{4,13}$	C_2
$F_4(a_2)$	1	S_2	4	$\phi_{9,10}$	1
B_3	$\text{PGL}(2)$	1	1	$\phi''_{8,9}$	1
$F_4(a_3)$	1	S_4	21	$\phi_{12,4}$	S_4

Table 3: Elliptic pairs for F_4

To prove Theorem 6.1 we need an explicit computation of the restriction map

$$\text{res}_{\text{un}}^{\text{par}} : \mathcal{R}_{\text{un}, \text{ell}}^p(G) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^5 R_{\text{un}}(\overline{K}_i).$$

This takes a large amount of work (we have to compute 155 parahoric restrictions, with varying amounts of effort) to do this. For the purposes of this document, we will instead just discuss in detail a few examples that already highlight the main ideas involved in the calculation for distinct parahoric subgroups K_i . All examples discussed come from Iwahori-spherical representations, since the parahoric restrictions of the other representations are still work in progress. This work was mostly completed by [Ree00, §10], but his tables contain some mistakes, systematically arising from the two representations $\pi(F_4(a_3), 1, 31)$ and $\pi(F_4(a_3), 1, 211)$. We have been unable to guess where the mistake may have come from. We gather all the results in large tables at the end of the section that fix the mistakes in Reeder's tables. We omit the tables for the parahoric restriction to maximal hyperspecial for a few reasons; they take the most space, the are already correct in Reeder's paper (except for the restriction of $[B_4(531), \epsilon'']$, where the second K_0 type should be $\phi'_{2,16}$ instead of $\phi''_{2,16}$) and Theorem 6.1 has already been proven in this case.

The conjecture can be verified one unipotent class $u \in G^\vee$ at a time. By far, the most interesting case is the class $F_4(a_3)$, so all examples will involve representations labelled by this class. Let us introduce some helpful notation used in [Ree00]. The class $F_4(a_3)$ is distinguished with component group isomorphic to S_4 , so there are 5 conjugacy classes of semisimple elements commuting with any $u \in F_4(a_3)$. If s is such a semisimple element, then $Z_{G^\vee}(s)$ is a pseudo-Levi subgroup of a certain type, and u is a unipotent element of this group with a certain label. Then the representation of $F_4(F)$ parametrized by $(us, \phi), \phi \in \widehat{A_{su}}$ will be labelled by the type of unipotent u inside $Z_{G^\vee}(s)$, together with the representation ϕ .

For example, if s is a two-cycle, then $Z_{G^\vee}(s)$ has type $C_3 \times A_1$, $\widehat{A_{su}} = C_2 \times C_2$ and u is labelled by $C_3(42) \times A_1$ (subregular in the first group and regular in the second). If s is a three-cycle, then $Z_{G^\vee}(s)$ has type $A_2 \times A_2$, $\widehat{A_{su}}$ and u is a regular unipotent labelled by $2A_2$. See the tables at the end for all the labels.

6.1 Examples of parahoric restrictions

The first example is the computation of parahoric restrictions with respect to the maximal hyperspecial parahoric K_0 . This is the simplest case, but it will prove very useful in other ones.

Example 6.2 (Restriction to hyperspecial parahoric $K_0 \rightarrow F_4$). This case is the simplest, since the characters $\chi_t^{J_0}$ are all trivial. For Iwahori-spherical representations, we first use the Springer correspondence for the group $Z_{G^\vee}(s)$ to understand the structure of the cohomology groups $H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)$, and then we induce them from W_s to W . To do this, we have used the tables by Dean Alvis in [Alv05], which have been of great help.

For a concrete example, consider $u \in G^\vee$ unipotent of type $F_4(a_3)$ and let s be a disjoint product of two 2-cycles. Then Z_{G^\vee} has type B_4 , $W_s \cong W(B_4)$ and $u \in Z_{G^\vee}$ is the unipotent labelled by $B_4(531)$. In particular, \mathcal{B}_s^u is 2 dimensional, and Springer theory gives

$$H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)^{\mathbf{1}} = [2, 2] + [3, 1] + [4, \cdot] \quad \text{as } W_s\text{-modules.}$$

Next, using again the tables in [Alv05], we obtain that

$$\text{Ind}_{W_s}^W [2, 2] + [3, 1] + [4, \cdot] = \phi_{9,2} + \phi''_{9,6} + \phi''_{8,3} + \phi_{4,1} + \phi''_{2,4} + \phi_{1,0}.$$

To obtain the parahoric restriction of the representation $[B_4(531), \mathbf{1}]$, we twist by ε and by the outer automorphism of W swapping long and short roots, and

$$[B_4(531), \mathbf{1}] \xrightarrow{\text{res}^{K_0}} \phi_{9,10} + \phi''_{9,6} + \phi''_{8,9} + \phi_{4,13} + \phi''_{2,16} + \phi_{1,24}.$$

Once the parahoric restrictions for the maximal hyperspecial have been calculated, we can turn our attention towards computing the rest. The first step is to determine when the characters χ_t^J are trivial using Lemma 4.10. We know the orders of all semisimple elements s commuting with u , and it is not hard to show that $Z_{J_1}, Z_{J_2}, Z_{J_3}$ and Z_{J_4} have sizes 2, 2, 3 and 2, respectively. Whenever the orders of s and J_1 are relatively prime, we can use Lemma 4.11 and Alvis' tables to compute the restriction directly without further computations. Let us discuss another interesting example.

Example 6.3. We calculate the parahoric restriction of $\pi(us, \mathbf{1})$ when s is a 4-cycle with respect to K_2 . Let $J_2 = \{-\check{\alpha}_0, \check{\alpha}_4, \check{\alpha}_3, \check{\alpha}_1\}$ and note that $W_{J_2} = \langle s_0, s_4, s_3, s_1 \rangle \cong W(A_3) \times W(A_1)$. Consider the pseudo-Levi subgroup L^\vee of G^\vee generated by the affine simple roots $\{\alpha_4, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, -\alpha^0\}$ and isomorphic to the group $(\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))/\mu_2$. Then u can be taken to be a regular unipotent element in this pseudo-Levi, while s is a non-trivial central element. In particular, $s = \check{\alpha}_3(-1)\check{\alpha}_4(i)$, and $W_s = \langle s_4, s_2, s_1, s^0 \rangle \cong W(A_1) \times W(A_3)$ is the Weyl group of L^\vee . Then

$$W_s \backslash W / W_{J_2} = \{1, w\},$$

where $w \in W$ can be computed with computer algebra programs such as GAP. In addition,

$$W_{J_2, s} = \langle s_4, s_1 \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2, \quad \text{while} \quad W_{J_2, s^w} = \langle d \rangle \cong C_4,$$

for some $d \in W$ explicitly computable with GAP. With some work, we can show that $\chi_s^{J_2}$ is trivial on $W_{J_3, s}$, while $\chi_{s^w}^{J_2}$ is a primitive character. Since u is regular unipotent in $Z_{G^\vee}(s) = L^\vee$ by construction, $H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)$ is a one-dimensional space affording the trivial representation of W . So we want to calculate the two W_{J_2} -modules

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_2, s}}^{W_{J_2}} \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{W_{J_2, s^w}}^{W_{J_2}} \chi,$$

where χ is a primitive character of C_4 . To do this, we need to understand how the two groups $W_{J_2,s}$ and W_{J_2,s^w} embed inside W_{J_2} . This can be done by studying the action of these groups on the subsystem $\Phi_{J_2} = \Phi(A_3 \times A_1)$ of $\Phi(F_4)$ spanned by the roots in J_2 . The conjugacy classes of W_{J_2} are labelled by (λ, μ) , where λ is a permutation of 4 and μ is a permutation of 2. The elements s_4, s_1 and d embed inside W_{J_2} as the partitions $(211, 11)$, $(1^4, 2)$ and $(4, 2)$, respectively. A direct computation then yields

$$\text{Ind}_{W_{J_2,s}}^{W_{J_2}} \mathbf{1} = [[4] + 2[311] + [22] + [211]] \boxtimes [2] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{W_{J_2,s^w}}^{W_{J_2}} \chi = [[31] + [211]] \boxtimes [[2] + [11]].$$

Adding these and twisting by sign gives the required parahoric restriction.

As a final challenging example, we sketch the calculation of the parahoric restriction of $\pi(us, \mathbf{1})$, when s is a 3-cycle with respect to K_3 . This example completely resolves the parahoric restriction for $K_3 \rightarrow A_2 \times A_2$.

Example 6.4. Let $J_3 = \{-\check{\alpha}_0, \check{\alpha}_4, \check{\alpha}_2, \check{\alpha}_1\}$ and note that $W_{J_3} = \langle s_0, s_4, s_2, s_1 \rangle \cong W(A_2) \times W(A_2)$. Consider the pseudo-Levi subgroup L^\vee of G^\vee generated by the affine simple roots $\{\alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_1, -\alpha^0\}$ and isomorphic to the group $(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C}))/\mu_3$. Then u can be taken to be a regular unipotent element in this pseudo-Levi, while s is a non-trivial central element. In particular, $s = \check{\alpha}_3(\theta)\check{\alpha}_4(\theta^{-1})$, where θ is a primitive third root of unity, and $W_s = \langle s_4, s_3, s_1, s^0 \rangle \cong W(A_2) \times W(A_2)$ is the Weyl group of L^\vee . Then

$$W_s \backslash W / W_{J_3} = \{w_1 = 1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\},$$

where $w_i \in W$ are representatives of double cosets with sizes 324, 324, 36, 36 and 432, respectively. In particular,

$$W_{J_3, s^{w_3}} = W_{J_3, s^{w_4}} = W_{J_3} \quad \text{while} \quad W_{J_3, s} \cong W_{J_3, s^{w_2}} \cong C_2 \times C_2.$$

These are all reflection subgroups of W_{J_3} and the characters $\chi_{s^{w_i}}^{J_3}$ are trivial for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The remaining coset is more interesting since

$$W_{J_3, s^{w_5}} = \langle d \rangle \cong C_3,$$

is no longer a reflection subgroup, and $\chi_{s^{w_4}}^{J_3}$ is a primitive character.

Similarly to the previous example, u is regular unipotent in $Z_{G^\vee}(s) = L^\vee$ so $H^*(\mathcal{B}_s^u)$ is a one-dimensional space affording the trivial representation of W . It remains to understand the embedding of the groups $W_{J_3, s^{w_i}}$ inside $W_{J_3} \cong W(A_2) \times W(A_2)$, whose conjugacy classes are labelled by two partitions (λ, μ) of 3. Again, this can be done by studying the action on the subsystem $\Phi_{J_3} = \Phi(A_2 \times A_2)$ of $\Phi(F_4)$ spanned by the roots in J_3 .

This embedding is trivial for $i = 3, 4$, while both $W_{J_3, s^{w_2}}$ and $W_{J_3, s^{w_5}}$ are conjugate in W_{J_3} embedded as $\{(1^3, 1^3), (1^3, 21), (21, 1^3), (21, 21)\}$. Finally, the generator d of $W_{J_3, s^{w_5}}$ embeds in W_{J_3} as $(3, 3)$. A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{W_{J_3,s}}^{J_3} \mathbf{1} &= \text{Ind}_{W_{J_3,s^{w_2}}}^{J_3} \mathbf{1} = [3, 3] + [3, 21] + [21, 3] + [21, 21], & \text{Ind}_{W_{J_3,s^{w_3}}}^{J_3} \mathbf{1} &= \text{Ind}_{W_{J_3,s^{w_4}}}^{J_3} \mathbf{1} = [3, 3] \\ \text{and} \quad \text{Ind}_{W_{J_3,s^{w_5}}}^{J_3} \mathbf{1} &= [21, 3] + [3, 21] + [21, 21] + [21, 1^3] + [1^3, 21]. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these and twisting by sign gives the required parahoric restriction.

6.2 Parahoric restriction tables for F_4

Let us clarify some of the notation used in the tables below. The labelling on the representations of F_4 used by Reeder is discussed above. The unipotent representations of finite reductive groups of Lie type are labelled as follows. For groups of type A_n , these are parametrized by partitions λ of $n+1$. For groups of type B_n/C_n , the principal series representations are parametrized by double partitions $[\lambda, \mu]$ of n . The classification of non-principal series is explained in section 5.1. To understand these tables, it is enough to know that groups of type B/C_3 have two non-principal series representations θ_1 and θ_2 , while groups of type B/C_4 have five non-principal series representations $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_5$. These all come from the unipotent cuspidal representation of their Levi subgroup of type B/C_2 .

For every parahoric subgroup K_i , we present two tables. The first one is the table of parahoric restrictions for all Iwahori-spherical representations of $F_4(F)$ of interest. The second table contains the parahoric restrictions of all virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$. The representations of \bar{K}_i are organized in terms of the families. Firstly, we write the characters in trivial families; then we write each family, with the special character always coming first. It is important to remark that not all entries of these tables have been proven; we have not yet explicitly calculated the parahoric restriction of unipotent representations in the block $\text{Irr}(G, K(B_2), \sigma)$, where $K(B_2)$ is the parahoric subgroup whose reductive quotient is $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and σ is its unique unipotent cuspidal representations. All entries depending on these calculations are conjectured and painted in red.

From these tables, the statement of Theorem 6.1 can be easily verified using Lusztig's nonabelian transform. For reference, we write here the virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$ in terms of representations of $F_4(F)$ when $u \in F_4(a_3)$. In the notation below, $\tau = g_2 \cdot g'_2$ is another 2-cycle conjugate to g_2 in $A_u = S_4$ but not in $A_{ug_2} = C_2 \times C_2$ and, similarly, γ is an element conjugate to g'_2 in $A_u = S_4$ but not in $A_{ug'_2} = D_8$. The analogous expressions for other unipotent classes are much simpler.

$$\Pi(u, 1, 1) = [F_4(a_3), 4] + 3[F_4(a_3), 31] + 3[F_4(a_3), 211] + [F_4(a_3), 1^4] + 2[F_4(a_3), 22];$$

$$\Pi(u, 1, g_2) = [F_4(a_3), 4] + [F_4(a_3), 31] - [F_4(a_3), 211] - [F_4(a_3), 1^4];$$

$$\Pi(u, 1, g'_2) = [F_4(a_3), 4] - [F_4(a_3), 31] - [F_4(a_3), 211] + [F_4(a_3), 1^4] + 2[F_4(a_3), 22];$$

$$\Pi(u, 1, g_3) = [F_4(a_3), 4] + [F_4(a_3), 1^4] - [F_4(a_3), 22];$$

$$\Pi(u, 1, g_4) = [F_4(a_3), 4] - [F_4(a_3), 31] + [F_4(a_3), 211] - [F_4(a_3), 1^4];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_2, 1) = [C(42)A_1, ++] + [C(42)A_1, +-] + [C(42)A_1, -+] + [C(42)A_1, --];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_2, g_2) = [C(42)A_1, ++] - [C(42)A_1, +-] + [C(42)A_1, -+] - [C(42)A_1, --];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_2, \tau) = [C(42)A_1, ++] + [C(42)A_1, +-] - [C(42)A_1, -+] - [C(42)A_1, --];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_2, g'_2) = [C(42)A_1, ++] - [C(42)A_1, +-] - [C(42)A_1, -+] + [C(42)A_1, --];$$

$$\Pi(u, g'_2, 1) = [B_4(531), \mathbf{1}] + 2[B_4(531), r] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon'] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon''] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon];$$

$$\Pi(u, g'_2, g'_2) = [B_4(531), \mathbf{1}] - [B_4(531), \varepsilon'] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon''] - [B_4(531), \varepsilon];$$

$$\Pi(u, g'_2, g''_2) = [B_4(531), \mathbf{1}] - 2[B_4(531), r] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon'] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon''] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon];$$

$$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_4) = [B_4(531), \mathbf{1}] - [B_4(531), \varepsilon'] - [B_4(531), \varepsilon''] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon];$$

$$\Pi(u, g'_2, \gamma) = [B_4(531), \mathbf{1}] + [B_4(531), \varepsilon'] - [B_4(531), \varepsilon''] - [B_4(531), \varepsilon];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_3, 1) = [2A_2, \mathbf{1}] + [2A_2, \theta] + [2A_2, \theta^2];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_3, g_3) = [2A_2, \mathbf{1}] + \theta^2[2A_2, \theta] + \theta[2A_2, \theta^2];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_3, g_3^{-1}) = [2A_2, \mathbf{1}] + \theta[2A_2, \theta] + \theta^2[2A_2, \theta^2];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_4, 1) = [A_3 A_1, 1] + [A_3 A_1, i] + [A_3 A_1, -1] + [A_3 A_1, -i];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_4, g_4) = [A_3 A_1, 1] - i[A_3 A_1, i] - [A_3 A_1, -1] - i[A_3 A_1, -i];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_4, g'_4) = [A_3 A_1, 1] - [A_3 A_1, i] + [A_3 A_1, -1] - [A_3 A_1, -i];$$

$$\Pi(u, g_4, g_4^{-1}) = [A_3 A_1, 1] + i[A_3 A_1, i] - [A_3 A_1, -1] - i[A_3 A_1, -i];$$

Iwahori-spherical B_4 -types

	[4, ·]	[31, ·]	[22, ·]	[21 ² , ·]	[1 ⁴ , ·]	[3, 1]	[21, 1]	[1 ³ , 1]	[2, 2]	[2, 11]	[11, 2]	[11, 11]	[1, 3]	[1, 21]	[1, 1 ³]	[·, 4]	[·, 31]	[·, 22]	[·, 21 ²]	[·, 1 ⁴]
[$F_4(a_3)$, 4]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	1
[$F_4(a_3)$, 31]	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
[$F_4(a_3)$, 22]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
[$F_4(a_3)$, 211]	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[$F_4(a_3)$, 1 ⁴]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[$C_3(42)A_1$, ++]	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	
[$C_3(42)A_1$, +-]	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
[$C_3(42)A_1$, -±]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[$B_4(531)$, 1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0
[$B_4(531)$, ε']	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
[$B_4(531)$, ε'']	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
[$B_4(531)$, r/ε]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[$2A_2$, 1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
[$2A_2$, θ/θ^2]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[$A_1 A_3$, 1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
[$A_1 A_3$, $-1/\pm i$]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4: Parahoric restriction of $F_4(F)$ -representations labelled by the distinguished unipotent $F_4(a_3)$ with respect to K_1

	[4, ·]	[21, 1]	[11, 2]	[1, 21]	[·, 1 ⁴]	[1, 1 ³]	[1 ⁴ , ·]	[·, 21 ²]	θ_1	[11, 11]	[1 ³ , 1]	[·, 22]	θ_2	[2, 11]	[21 ² , ·]	[·, 31]	θ_3	[2, 2]	[22, ·]	[1, 3]	θ_4
$\Pi(u, 1, 1)$	0	0	1	1	4	7	6	1	0	4	4	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g_2)$	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g'_2)$	0	0	1	1	0	3	-2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g_3)$	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g_4)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, 1)$	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, g_2)$	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	-1	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, \tau)$	0	0	1	1	0	2	-1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, g'_2)$	0	0	1	1	0	2	-1	2	-1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, 1)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_2)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g'_2)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	-2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	-2	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, \gamma)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	2	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_4)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g_3, h)$	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g_4, 1/g'_2)$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
$\Pi(u, g_4, g_4^{\pm 1})$	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0

Table 5: Parahoric restrictions of the virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$ where $u \in F_4(a_3)$ with respect to K_1

Iwahori-spherical $A_3 \times A_1$ -types

	[1 ⁴]	[211]	[22]	[31]	[4]
$[F_4(a_3), 4]$	2, $\bar{3}$	3, $\bar{5}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 31]$	3, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 22]$	1, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 211]$	1, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 1^4]$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[C_3(42)A_1, ++]$	2, $\bar{3}$	4, $\bar{6}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[C_3(42)A_1, + -]$	2, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[C_3(42)A_1, - \pm]$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), 1]$	0, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), \varepsilon'']$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), \varepsilon']$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), r/\varepsilon]$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[2A_2, 1]$	1, $\bar{3}$	2, $\bar{4}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[2A_2, \theta/\theta^2]$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[A_1A_3, 1]$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$[A_1A_3, -1/\pm i]$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$

Table 6: Parahoric restriction of

$F_4(F)$ -representations labelled by the
distinguished unipotent $F_4(a_3)$ with respect to K_2

	[1 ⁴]	[211]	[22]	[31]	[4]
$\Pi(u, 1, 1)$	16, $\bar{9}$	11, $\bar{13}$	4, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g_2)$	4, $\bar{5}$	5, $\bar{7}$	2, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g'_2)$	0, $\bar{1}$	3, $\bar{5}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g_3)$	1, $\bar{3}$	2, $\bar{4}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g_4)$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, 1)$	4, $\bar{5}$	5, $\bar{7}$	2, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, g_2)$	4, $\bar{5}$	5, $\bar{7}$	2, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, \tau)$	0, $\bar{1}$	3, $\bar{5}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, g'_2)$	0, $\bar{1}$	3, $\bar{5}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, 1)$	0, $\bar{1}$	3, $\bar{5}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_2)$	0, $\bar{1}$	3, $\bar{5}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, \gamma)$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g'_2)$	0, $\bar{1}$	3, $\bar{5}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_4)$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_3, h)$	1, $\bar{3}$	2, $\bar{4}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_4, h)$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$

Table 7: Parahoric restrictions of the virtual

characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$ where $u \in F_4(a_3)$
with respect to K_2

Iwahori-spherical $A_2 \times A_2$ -types

	$[1^3, 1^3]$	$[1^3, 21]$	$[1^3, 3]$	$[21, 1^3]$	$[21, 21]$	$[21, 3]$	$[3, 1^3]$	$[3, 21]$	$[3, 3]$
$[F_4(a_3), 4]$	4	4	1	4	4	1	1	1	0
$[F_4(a_3), 31]$	1	3	2	0	2	1	0	0	0
$[F_4(a_3), 22]$	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
$[F_4(a_3), 211]$	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
$[F_4(a_3), 1^4]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$[C_3(42)A_1, ++]$	4	5	1	4	5	1	1	1	0
$[C_3(42)A_1, +-]$	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
$[C_3(42)A_1, -\pm]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$[B_4(531), 1]$	3	2	0	5	3	0	2	1	0
$[B_4(531), \varepsilon'']$	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
$[B_4(531), \varepsilon']$	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
$[B_4(531), r/\varepsilon]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$[2A_2, 1]$	4	3	0	3	3	1	0	1	0
$[2A_2, \theta/\theta^2]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$[A_1A_3, 1]$	3	1	0	4	2	0	1	1	0
$[A_1A_3, -1/\pm i]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 8: Parahoric restriction of $F_4(F)$ -representations labelled by the distinguished unipotent $F_4(a_3)$ with respect to K_3

	$[1^3, 1^3]$	$[1^3, 21]$	$[1^3, 3]$	$[21, 1^3]$	$[21, 21]$	$[21, 3]$	$[3, 1^3]$	$[3, 21]$	$[3, 3]$
$\Pi(u, 1, 1)$	7	15	12	6	12	4	3	1	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g_2)$	5	7	2	4	6	2	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g'_2)$	3	3	0	6	4	0	3	1	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g_3)$	4	3	0	3	3	1	0	1	0
$\Pi(u, 1, g_4)$	3	1	0	4	2	0	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, 1)$	5	7	2	4	6	2	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, g_2)$	5	7	2	4	6	2	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, \tau)$	3	3	0	4	4	0	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, g_2, g'_2)$	3	3	0	4	4	0	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, 1)$	3	3	0	6	4	0	3	1	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_2)$	3	3	0	4	4	0	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, \gamma)$	3	1	0	6	2	0	3	1	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g'_2)$	3	3	0	6	4	0	3	1	0
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_4)$	3	1	0	4	2	0	1	1	0
$\Pi(u, g_3, h)$	4	3	0	3	3	1	0	1	0
$\Pi(u, g_4, h)$	3	1	0	4	2	0	1	1	0

Table 9: Parahoric restrictions of the virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$ where $u \in F_4(a_3)$ with respect to K_3

Iwahori-spherical $A_1 \times C_3$ -types

	$[\cdot, 1^3]$	$[1^3, \cdot]$	$[11, 1]$	$[1, 11]$	$[21, \cdot]$	$[\cdot, 21]$	$[2, 1]$	$[1, 2]$	$[\cdot, 3]$	$[3, \cdot]$
$[F_4(a_3), 4]$	$2, \bar{2}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$2, \bar{3}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 31]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 22]$	$1, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 211]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[F_4(a_3), 1^4]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[C_3(42)A_1, ++]$	$2, \bar{2}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$2, \bar{3}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$2, \bar{2}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[C_3(42)A_1, +-]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[C_3(42)A_1, -\pm]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), 1]$	$3, \bar{2}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$2, \bar{2}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), \varepsilon'']$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), \varepsilon']$	$1, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[B_4(531), r/\varepsilon]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[2A_2, 1]$	$1, \bar{2}$	$0, \bar{1}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[2A_2, \theta/\theta^2]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[A_1 A_3, 1]$	$2, \bar{2}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$1, \bar{2}$	$1, \bar{1}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$1, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$
$[A_1 A_3, -1/\pm i]$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$	$0, \bar{0}$

Table 10: Parahoric restriction of $F_4(F)$ -representations labelled by the distinguished unipotent $F_4(a_3)$ with respect to K_4

	[3, ·]	[1, 2]	[11, 1]	[·, 1 ³]	[1, 11]	[1 ³ , ·]	[·, 21]	θ_1	[2, 1]	[21, ·]	[·, 3]	θ_2
$\Pi(u, 1, 1)$	0, $\bar{0}$	4, $\bar{4}$	1, $\bar{2}$	4, $\bar{2}$	4, $\bar{8}$	0, $\bar{1}$	4, $\bar{7}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{6}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{6}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g_2)$	0, $\bar{0}$	2, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g'_2)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	4, $\bar{2}$	4, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $-\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $-\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g_3)$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, 1, g_4)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $-\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, 1)$	0, $\bar{0}$	2, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, g_2)$	0, $\bar{0}$	2, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{4}$	0, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{3}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $-\bar{1}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, \tau)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $-\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$
$\Pi(u, g_2, g'_2)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $-\bar{1}$	0, $-\bar{1}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, 1)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	4, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{3}$	2, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{2}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_2)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{1}$	2, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, \gamma)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	4, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{3}$	0, $-\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g'_2)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	4, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{3}$	2, $\bar{1}$	-2, - $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $-\bar{2}$
$\Pi(u, g'_2, g_4)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{1}$	0, $-\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_3, h)$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{2}$	0, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_4, 1/g'_2)$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$
$\Pi(u, g_4, g_4^{\pm 1})$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	1, $\bar{2}$	2, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{1}$	1, $\bar{2}$	1, $\bar{0}$	-1, - $\bar{1}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$	0, $\bar{0}$

Table 11: Parahoric restrictions of the virtual characters $\Pi(u, s, h)$ where $u \in F_4(a_3)$ with respect to K_4

References

- [ACR24] Anne-Marie Aubert, Dan Ciubotaru, and Beth Romano. “A nonabelian Fourier transform for tempered unipotent representations”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 161.1 (2024). DOI: 10.1112/S0010437X24007401.
- [Alv05] Dean Alvis. “Induce/Restrict Matrices for Exceptional Weyl Groups”. In: (2005). eprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0506377v1>.
- [BT72] François Bruhat and Jacques Tits. “Groupes réductifs sur un corps local I: Données radicielles valuées”. In: *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* 41 (1972), pp. 5–251. DOI: 10.1007/BF02684314.
- [BT84] François Bruhat and Jacques Tits. “Groupes réductifs sur un corps local II: Schémas en groupes, existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée”. In: *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* 60 (1984), pp. 197–376. DOI: 10.1007/BF02698613.
- [Car93] Roger W. Carter. *Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*. Wiley, 1993.
- [Ciu20] Dan Ciubotaru. “The Nonabelian Fourier Transform for Elliptic Unipotent Representations of Exceptional p -adic groups”. In: (2020).
- [CM93] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern. *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras: An Introduction*. Van Nostrand Reinhold / Chapman & Hall, 1993.
- [CO10] Dan Ciubotaru and Eric Opdam. “On the Elliptic Nonabelian Fourier Transform for Unipotent Representations of p -Adic Groups”. In: *J. Cogdell et al. (eds.), Representation Theory, Number Theory, and Invariant Theory, Progress in Mathematics* 323 (2010). DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-59728-7_4.
- [DL76] Pierre Deligne and George Lusztig. “Representations of reductive groups over finite fields”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 103.1 (1976), pp. 103–161.
- [IM65] Nagayoshi Iwahori and Hideya Matsumoto. “On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p -adic Chevalley groups”. In: *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* 25 (1965), pp. 5–48. DOI: 10.1007/BF02684217.
- [Kat83] Shin-ichi Kato. “A realization of irreducible representations of affine Weyl groups”. In: *Indagationes Mathematicae* 86.2 (1983).
- [KL87] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig. “Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 87 (1987), pp. 153–215.
- [Lus95] George Lusztig. “Classification of Unipotent Representations of Simple p -adic Groups”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* (11 1995), pp. 517–589.
- [MP94] Allen Moy and Gopal Prasad. “Unrefined minimal K -types for p -adic groups”. In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 116.1-3 (1994), pp. 393–408. DOI: 10.1007/BF01231568.

- [MW03] Colette Moeglin and Jean-Loup Waldspurger. “Paquets stables de représentations tempérées et de réduction unipotente pour $\mathrm{SO}(2n + 1)$ ”. In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 152 (2003), pp. 461–623.
- [Rab07] Joseph Rabinoff. “The Bruhat–Tits building of a p -adic Chevalley group and an application to representation theory”. In: (2007).
- [Ree00] Mark Reeder. “Formal degrees and L -packets of unipotent discrete series representations of exceptional p -adic groups”. In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 520 (2000), pp. 37–93.
- [Wal18] Jean-Loup Waldspurger. “Représéntations de réduction unipotente pour $\mathrm{SO}(2n + 1)$, I : une involution”. In: *J. Lie Theory* 28.2 (2018), pp. 381–426.