

# Hecke algebras for $p$ -adic groups

Albert Lopez Bruch

5 September, 2025

# Introduction

**Setup:** Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (think of  $F$  as a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ), and let  $G$  be a connected reductive group over  $F$ .

For example, think of  $G$  as  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ ,  $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)$  ..., but also as an exceptional group such as  $G_2(F)$ .

We will denote by  $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$  the category of smooth admissible complex representations of  $G$ .

## Fact (supercuspidal representations as building blocks)

*For any irreducible object  $(\pi, V)$  in  $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ , there is some parabolic subgroup  $P \subseteq G$  with Levi subgroup  $M$  and supercuspidal representation  $\sigma$  of  $M$  such that  $\pi \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \sigma$ .*

# Bernstein Decomposition

## Theorem (Bernstein)

*There is a direct product decomposition*

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G) \cong \prod_{[M,\sigma] \in \mathfrak{J}(G)} \mathrm{Rep}(G)_{[M,\sigma]}$$

*into full indecomposable categories  $\mathrm{Rep}(G)_{[M,\sigma]}$  known as Bernstein blocks. The product ranges over conjugacy classes of pairs  $(M, \sigma)$ , denoted by  $[M, \sigma] \in \mathfrak{J}(G)$ .*

**Example:**  $\mathrm{Rep}(G)_{[T,1]}$  is the *principal block*.

**Upshot:** We study the irreducible objects  $\mathrm{Irr}(G)_{[M,\sigma]}$  of each block individually, and the extensions between them.

Consider a pair  $(K, \rho)$  where

- $K$  is a compact open subgroup of  $G$ .
- $(\rho, W)$  is a smooth irreducible representation of  $K$ .

For such a pair, we construct the associated Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}(G, K, \rho) := \text{End}_G(\text{ind}_K^G \rho)$$

**Example:** If  $\rho = \mathbf{1}$  is the trivial character, then

$$\mathcal{H}(G, K, \rho) = C_c(K \backslash G / K)$$

is the space of locally constant, compactly supported and  $K$ -invariant complex functions on  $G$ , equipped with the convolution product.

# Theory of types

To study each block using Hecke algebras, we use the theory of types introduced by Bushnell-Kutzko.

## Theorem (Kim, Yu, Fintzen, Kaletha, Spice)

*Under mild conditions, one can associate to any  $[M, \sigma] \in \mathfrak{J}(G)$  a pair  $(K, \rho)$  (called a  $[M, \sigma]$ -type) such that there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G)_{[M, \sigma]} \cong \text{right } \mathcal{H}(G, K, \rho) - \text{modules}.$$

**Example:** The pair  $(I, \mathbf{1})$  is a  $[T, \mathbf{1}]$ -type. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Rep}(G)_{[T, \mathbf{1}]} &\cong \text{right } C_c(I \backslash G / I) - \text{modules} \\ &\cong \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) : V \text{ is generated by } V^I\} \end{aligned}$$

**Main idea:** Hecke algebras reduce infinite dimensional problems to finite-dimensional ones.

# Questions

All these results are useful if we

- 1 understand structure of Hecke algebras,
- 2 describe their irreducible modules.

**Example:** Suppose that  $G$  is semisimple and that  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is supercuspidal of depth-zero. Then  $\pi = \text{ind}_K^G \rho$  for some pair  $(K, \rho)$ , and this is a  $[G, \pi]$ -type! Thus,

$$\mathcal{H}(G, K, \rho) = \text{End}_G(\pi) = \mathbb{C},$$

so  $\pi$  is the only irreducible element of  $\text{Rep}(G)_{[G, \pi]}$ , and it has no nontrivial extensions!

# The Iwahori-spherical Hecke algebra

Let  $G$  be a semisimple split adjoint group (e.g.  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n(F)$ ) with maximal torus  $T$ . Associated to  $G$ , there is the extended affine Weyl group  $\widetilde{W} = N_G(T)(F)/T(\mathcal{O}_F)$  with the properties:

- 1 There is a canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{T_w : w \in \widetilde{W}\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}(G, I, \mathbf{1})$ .
- 2 There is a semidirect product  $\widetilde{W} = W_{\mathrm{aff}} \rtimes \Omega$  with  $\Omega$  finite group and  $(W_{\mathrm{aff}}, S_{\mathrm{aff}})$  an affine Coxeter group.
- 3 This decomposition induces an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras

$$\mathcal{H}(G, I, \mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{H}(W_{\mathrm{aff}}, S_{\mathrm{aff}}, q) \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}[\Omega].$$

- 4  $\mathcal{H}(W_{\mathrm{aff}}, S_{\mathrm{aff}}, q)$  has basis  $\{T_w : w \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}\}$  and relations
  - $T_{w_1} T_{w_2} = T_{w_1 w_2}$  if  $l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$ ,
  - $T_s^2 = (q - 1)T_s + qT_1$  if  $s \in S_{\mathrm{aff}}$ .

# Hecke algebras in general

Similar results hold for general types  $(K, \rho)$ .

- 1 There is a group  $W(\rho)$  and a canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{T_w : w \in W(\rho)\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}(G, K, \rho)$ .
- 2 There is a semidirect product  $W(\rho) = W(\rho)_{\text{aff}} \rtimes \Omega(\rho)$  with  $(W(\rho)_{\text{aff}}, S(\rho)_{\text{aff}})$  an affine Coxeter group.
- 3 This decomposition induces an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras

$$\mathcal{H}(G, K, \rho) = \mathcal{H}(W_{\text{aff}}(\rho), S_{\text{aff}}(\rho), q) \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}[\Omega],$$

where  $q : S(\rho)_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>1}$  is a parameter function.

**Open problem:** Determine the parameter function  $q : S(\rho)_{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>1}$  in the modular representation setting.



# Unramified Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$

For simplicity, we assume now that  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ . The work of Kazhdan–Lusztig gives a complete classification of the irreducible modules of  $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), I, \mathbf{1}) = C_c(I \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(F) / I)$ :

- For each  $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) = G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ , we construct a canonical  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -representation  $V_x$  using Borel–Moore homology.
- This representation has one unique irreducible subquotient, say  $\pi_x$ , depending only on the conjugacy class of  $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .
- All irreducible  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -modules arise this way.
- Conjugacy classes of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  are in bijection with unramified Langlands parameters  $\varphi : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  (trivial on  $\mathcal{I}_F \times \{1\}$ ) up to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy.

This is precisely the statement of the Unramified LLC for  $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ !

Thank you for listening!