## [Brief Review\*]

## A hole-ographic spacetime [1]

Vijay Balasubramanian, Borun D. Chowdhury, Bartlomiej Czech, Jan de Boer, Michal P. Heller.

In pure  $AdS_3/CFT_2$  correspondence, they proposed a boundary quantity called differential entropy, which measures the circumference of a bulk curve.

The static coordinate of  $AdS_3$  is

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 + \frac{R^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)dT^{2} + \left(1 + \frac{R^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)^{-1}dR^{2} + R^{2}d\phi^{2}.$$
 (1)

The vacuum entanglement entropy for any interval with its length  $2\alpha$ , is computed as

$$S(\alpha) = \frac{c}{3} \ln \left( \frac{2L}{\mu} \sin \alpha \right). \tag{2}$$

In the paper, they introduced differential entropy as the modified version of the entanglement entropy, in which the UV regulator  $\mu$  is removed.

**Definition** Let  $\alpha(\theta)$  be a smooth function of  $\theta$  ( $\alpha(\theta + 2\pi) = \alpha(\theta)$ ) defined on a boundary time slice T = 0. The differential entropy, which is a functional of  $\alpha(\theta)$ , is defined as

$$E[\alpha] := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left. \frac{dS(\alpha)}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \alpha(\theta)}.$$
 (3)

In this holographic formula, function  $\alpha$  corresponds a certain closed bulk curve on T=0. This is understood as follows. There is a unique spacelike geodesic  $\gamma_{\alpha}(\theta)$  anchored at  $\theta \pm \alpha(\theta)$  on the boundary. If we move  $\theta$ ,  $\gamma_{\alpha}(\theta)$  sweeps out the slice T=0, leaving a hole on the slice. The hole is the one we are considering and each  $\gamma_{\alpha}(\theta)$  is tangent on the hole.

In the remaining of this note, we overview the derivation of the formula. First, let us see how  $R(\phi)$  is related to  $\alpha(\theta)$ . For each point  $\phi$  on  $R = R(\phi)$ , there is a unique geodesic on T = 0 which is tangent to the curve at  $\phi$ . Each of such spacelike geodesics parametrized by  $\phi$  reaches the boundary points  $\theta = \theta(\phi) \pm \alpha(\phi)$ :

$$\alpha(\phi) = \arctan \left[ \frac{L}{R} \sqrt{1 + \frac{L^2}{R^2 + L^2} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln R(\phi)}{\mathrm{d} \phi} \right)^2} \right], \tag{4}$$

$$\theta(\phi) = \phi - \arctan\left[\frac{L^2}{R^2 + L^2} \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln R(\phi)}{\mathrm{d}\phi}\right]. \tag{5}$$

These two equations relate the curve  $R = R(\phi)$  to the interval function  $\alpha(\theta)$ . These formula are inverted to be

$$R(\theta) = L \cot \alpha(\theta) \sqrt{\frac{1 + \alpha'(\theta)^2 \tan^2 \alpha(\theta)}{1 - \alpha'(\theta)^2}},$$
(6)

$$\phi(\theta) = \theta - \alpha'(\theta) \tan \alpha(\theta). \tag{7}$$

<sup>\*</sup> The reviewer: Daichi Takeda (takedai.gauge@gmail.com)

Next, let us see the formula

$$E[\alpha] = \frac{\text{circumference of } (R, \phi) = (R(\theta), \phi(\theta))}{4G}.$$
 (8)

To see this, we rewrite the right hand side by the bulk terms as

r.h.s. = 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{4G} \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{L^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{dR}{d\phi}\right)^2 + R^2}$$
. (9)

Note that we should regard  $\phi$  and  $R(\phi)$  in this expression as  $\phi(\theta)$  and  $R(\theta)$  given by (6) and (7). Comparing each integrand of both hand sides in (8), we get

l.h.s. 
$$\rightarrow \frac{d\theta}{2} \left. \frac{dS(\alpha)}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=\alpha(\theta)}$$
, (10)

r.h.s. 
$$\rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{4G}\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{R^2}{L^2}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}\phi}\right)^2+R^2}$$
. (11)

Subtracting the integrand of the l.h.s. from the r.h.s., we find the result is df, with f defined as

$$f(\theta) := \left[ \frac{L}{8G} \ln \left( \frac{\sin(\alpha(\theta) + \phi(\theta) - \theta)}{\alpha(\theta) - \phi(\theta) + \theta} \right) \right]. \tag{12}$$

Therefore the formula (8) is shown, since df is an exact form. It is also shown that  $f(\theta)$  is equal to the proper length along the spacelike geodesic on T=0 between two points  $\phi$  and  $\theta(\phi)$  of  $R=R(\phi)$ . Then we get a corollary: let L be a proper length of the bulk curve  $\{(R,\phi)=(R(\theta),\phi(\theta))|\theta_i\leq\theta\leq\theta_f\}$ , then

$$\frac{L}{4G} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_f} d\theta \left. \frac{dS(\alpha)}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha = \alpha(\theta)} + f(\theta_f) - f(\theta_i). \tag{13}$$

A heuristic approach is taken in their paper, and a brief but more concrete review appears in [2].

## References

- [1] V. Balasubramanian, B.D. Chowdhury, B. Czech, J. de Boer and M.P. Heller, *Bulk curves from boundary data in holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 086004 [1310.4204].
- [2] B. Czech and L. Lamprou, Holographic definition of points and distances, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 106005 [1409.4473].