

[Brief Review\*]

## A hole-ographic spacetime [1]

Vijay Balasubramanian, Borun D. Chowdhury,  
Bartłomiej Czech, Jan de Boer, Michal P. Heller.

For  $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$  correspondence, they defined differential entropy on the boundary CFT, which gives the circumference of the hole in the bulk. The static coordinate of  $\text{AdS}_3$  is

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{L^2}\right) dT^2 + \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{L^2}\right)^{-1} dR^2 + R^2 d\phi^2. \quad (1)$$

The boundary entanglement entropy  $S(\alpha)$  is defined for any interval  $I = (\theta - \alpha/2, \theta + \alpha/2)$  on the time slice  $T = 0$ , where  $\theta$  is the boundary angular coordinate. The entanglement entropy  $S(\alpha)$  is calculated as

$$S(\alpha) = \frac{c}{3} \ln \left( \frac{2L}{\mu} \sin \alpha \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu$  is the UV cutoff.

In the paper, they introduced “differential entropy” as the modified version of the entanglement entropy, in which the UV regulator is removed.

**Definition** Let  $\alpha(\theta)$  be a function of  $\theta$  defined on the time slice  $T = 0$  of the boundary  $\text{CFT}_2$ . The differential entropy, which is a functional of  $\alpha(\theta)$ , is defined as

$$E[\alpha] := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left. \frac{dS(\alpha)}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=\alpha(\theta)}. \quad (3)$$

The differential entropy measures the circumference of the hole surrounded by the bulk closed curve  $R = R(\phi)$ , which is identified by the choice of  $\alpha(\theta)$ . This fact is briefly explained in the remaining of this review.

First, let us see how  $R(\phi)$  is related to  $\alpha(\theta)$ . For each point  $\phi$  on  $R = R(\phi)$ , there is a unique geodesic on  $T = 0$  which is tangent to the curve at  $\phi$ . Each of such null geodesics parametrized by  $\phi$  reaches the boundary points  $\theta = \theta(\phi) \pm \alpha(\phi)$ :

$$\alpha(\phi) = \arctan \left[ \frac{L}{R} \sqrt{1 + \frac{L^2}{R^2 + L^2} \left( \frac{d \ln R(\phi)}{d\phi} \right)^2} \right], \quad (4)$$

$$\theta(\phi) = \phi - \arctan \left[ \frac{L^2}{R^2 + L^2} \frac{d \ln R(\phi)}{d\phi} \right]. \quad (5)$$

---

\* The reviewer: Daichi Takeda (takedai.gauge@gmail.com)

These two equations relate the curve  $R = R(\phi)$  to the interval function  $\alpha(\theta)$ . These formula are inverted to be

$$R(\theta) = L \cot \alpha(\theta) \sqrt{\frac{1 + \alpha'(\theta)^2 \tan^2 \alpha(\theta)}{1 - \alpha'(\theta)^2}}, \quad (6)$$

$$\phi(\theta) = \theta - \alpha'(\theta) \tan \alpha(\theta). \quad (7)$$

These inverted expressions are more important in the standpoint of describing the bulk by the boundary objects.

Next, let us see the formula

$$E[\alpha] = \frac{\text{circumference of } (R, \phi) = (R(\theta), \phi(\theta))}{4G}. \quad (8)$$

To see this, we rewrite the right hand side by the bulk terms as

$$\text{r.h.s.} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{4G} \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{L^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{dR}{d\phi}\right)^2 + R^2}. \quad (9)$$

Note that we should regard  $\phi$  and  $R(\phi)$  in this expression as  $\phi(\theta)$  and  $R(\theta)$  given by (6) and (7). Comparing each integrand of both hand sides in (8),

$$\text{l.h.s.} \rightarrow \frac{d\theta}{2} \frac{dS(\alpha)}{d\alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=\alpha(\theta)}, \quad (10)$$

$$\text{r.h.s.} \rightarrow \frac{d\phi}{4G} \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{L^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{dR}{d\phi}\right)^2 + R^2}, \quad (11)$$

it is found that the integrand of r.h.s. is subtracted by that of l.h.s. to be  $df$  with

$$f(\theta) := \left[ \frac{L}{8G} \ln \left( \frac{\sin(\alpha(\theta) + \phi(\theta) - \theta)}{\alpha(\theta) - \phi(\theta) + \theta} \right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

Therefore the formula (8) is shown, since this is an exact form. It is also shown that  $f(\theta)$  is equal to the proper length along the space-like geodesic on  $T = 0$  between two points  $\phi$  and  $\theta(\phi)$  of  $R = R(\phi)$ . Then we get a corollary: let  $L$  be a proper length of the bulk curve  $\{(R, \phi) = (R(\theta), \phi(\theta)) | \theta_i \leq \theta \leq \theta_f\}$ , then

$$\frac{L}{4G} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_f} d\theta \frac{dS(\alpha)}{d\alpha} \Big|_{\alpha=\alpha(\theta)} + f(\theta_f) - f(\theta_i). \quad (13)$$

A heuristic approach is taken in their paper, and a brief but more concrete review appears in [2].

## References

- [1] V. Balasubramanian, B.D. Chowdhury, B. Czech, J. de Boer and M.P. Heller, *Bulk curves from boundary data in holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 086004 [1310.4204].
- [2] B. Czech and L. Lamprou, *Holographic definition of points and distances*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 106005 [1409.4473].