Object Oriented Programming: Polymorphism

Shahram Rahatlou

Computing Methods in Physics http://www.romal.infn.it/people/rahatlou/cmp/

Anno Accademico 2019/20



Abstract Class

Pure virtual Functions

- virtual functions with no implementation
 - All derived classes are required to implement these functions
- Typically used for functions that can't be implemented (or at least in an unambiguous way) in the base case
- Class with at least one pure virtual method is called an "Abstract" class

```
class Function {
  public:
    Function(const std::string& name);
    virtual double value(double x) const = 0;
    virtual double integrate(double x1, double x2) const = 0;

private:
    std::string name_;
};
```

```
#include "Function.h"

Function::Function(const std::string& name) {
   name_ = name;
}
```

ConstantFunction

```
#ifndef ConstantFunction_h
#define ConstantFunction_h

#include <string>
#include "Function.h"

class ConstantFunction : public Function {
   public:
        ConstantFunction(const std::string& name, double value);
        virtual double value(double x) const;
        virtual double integrate(double x1, double x2) const;

   private:
        double value_;
};
```

```
#include "ConstantFunction.h"

ConstantFunction::ConstantFunction(const std::string& name, double value) :
   Function(name) {
    value_ = value;
}

double ConstantFunction::value(double x) const {
   return value_;
}

double ConstantFunction::integrate(double x1, double x2) const {
   return (x2-x1)*value_;
}
```

Typical Error with Abstract Class

```
// func1.cpp
#include <string>
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

#include "Function.h"

int main() {

Function* gauss = new Function("Gauss");

return 0;
Cannot ma
```

Cannot make an object of an Abstract class!

Pure virtual methods not implemented and the class is effectively incomplete

virtual and pure virtual

- No default implementation for pure virtual
 - Requires explicit implementation in derived classes
- Use pure virtual when
 - Need to enforce policy for derived classes
 - Need to guarantee public interface for all derived classes
 - You expect to have certain functionalities but too early to provide default implementation in base class
 - Default implementation can lead to error
 - User forgets to implement correctly a virtual function
 - Default implementation is used in a meaningless way
- Virtual allows polymorphism

Pure virtual forces derived classes to ensure correct implementation

Abstract and Concrete Classes

- Any class with at least one pure virtual method is called an Abstract Class
 - Abstract classes are incomplete
 - At least one method not implemented
 - Compiler has no way to determine the correct size of an incomplete type
 - Cannot instantiate an object of Abstract class
- Usually abstract classes are used in higher levels of hierarchy
 - Focus on defining policies and interface
 - Leave implementation to lower level of hierarchy

- Abstract classes used typically as pointers or references to achieve polymorphism
 - Point to objects of sub-classes via pointer to abstract class

Example of Bad Use of virtual

```
class BadFunction {
  public:
    BadFunction(const std::string& name);
    virtual double value(double x) const { return 0; }
    virtual double integrate(double x1, double x2) const { return 0; }
  private:
    std::string name_;
};
```

Default dummy implementation

```
class Gauss : public BadFunction {
  public:
    Gauss(const std::string& name, double mean, double width);

  virtual double value(double x) const;
  //virtual double integrate(double x1, double x2) const;

private:
  double mean_;
  double width_;
};
```

Implement correctly
value() but use default
integrate()

We can use ill-defined **BadFunction** and wrongly use **Gauss**!

Function and BadFunction

```
class BadFunction {
  public:
    BadFunction(const std::string& name);
    virtual double value(double x) const { return 0; }
    virtual double integrate(double x1, double x2) const { return 0; }
  private:
    std::string name_;
};
```

```
class Function {
  public:
    Function(const std::string& name);
    virtual double value(double x) const = 0;
    virtual double integrate(double x1, double x2) const = 0;

private:
    std::string name_;
};
```

```
// func3.cpp
int main() {

BadFunction f1 = BadFunction("bad");
Function f2("f2");

$ g+t -o /tmp/app
```

Cannot instantiate Function because abstract

Bad Function can be used

return 0;

Use of virtual in Abstract Class Function

```
class Function {
  public:
    Function(const std::string& name);
    virtual double value(double x) const = 0;
    virtual double integrate(double x1, double x2) const = 0;
    virtual void print() const;
    virtual std::string name() const { return name_; }

  private:
    std::string name_;
};
```

Default implementation of name()

Unambiguous functionality: user will always want the name of the particular object regardless of its particular subclass

print() can be overriden in sub-classes to provide more details about sub-class but still a function with a name

Concrete Class Gauss

```
#include "Gauss.h"
#include <cmath>
#include <iostream>
using std::cout;
using std::endl;
Gauss::Gauss(const std::string& name,
           double mean, double width) :
  Function(name) {
  mean = mean;
  width = width;
double Gauss::value(double x) const {
  double pull = (x-mean )/width ;
  double y = (1/sqrt(2.*3.14*width)) * exp(-pull*pull/2.);
  return y;
double Gauss::integrate(double x1, double x2) const {
  cout << "Sorry. Gauss::integrate(x1,x2) not implemented yet..."</pre>
       << "returning 0. for now..." << endl;</pre>
  return 0;
}
void
Gauss::print() const {
  cout << "Gaussian with name: " << name()</pre>
       << " mean: " << mean
       << " width: " << width
       << endl;
}
```

```
#ifndef Gauss h
#define Gauss h
#include <string>
#include "Function.h"
class Gauss : public Function {
  public:
    Gauss (const std::string& name,
     double mean, double width);
    virtual double value(double x) const;
    virtual double integrate(double x1,
                        double x2) const;
    virtual void print() const;
  private:
    double mean ;
    double width ;
};
#endif
```

```
int main() {
   Function* g1 = new Gauss("gauss",0.,1.);
   g1->print();
   double x = g1->integrate(0., 3.);
   return 0;
}
```

```
$ g++ -o /tmp/app func4.cpp {Gauss,Function}.cc
$ /tmp/app
Gaussian with name: gauss mean: 0 width: 1
Sorry. Gauss::integrate(x1,x2) not implemented yet...returning 0. for now...
```

Problem with destructors

We now properly delete the Gauss object

```
// func5.cpp
int main() {

Function* g1 = new Gauss("gauss",0.,1.);
  g1->print();
  double x = g1->integrate(0., 3.);

delete g1;
  return 0;
}
```

- In general with polymorphism and inheritance it is a VERY GOOD idea to use virtual destructors
- Particularly important when using dynamically allocated objects in constructors of polymorphic objects

Revisit Person and Student

```
// example7.cpp
int main() {
Person* p1 = new Student("Susan", 123456);
Person* p2 = new GraduateStudent("Paolo", 9856, "Physics");
 delete p1;
               $ g++ -o /tmp/app example7.cpp {Person,Student,GraduateStudent}.cc
 delete p2;
               $ /tmp/app
 return 0;
               Person(Susan) called
               Student(Susan, 123456) called
               Person(Paolo) called
               Student(Paolo, 9856) called
               GraduateStudent(Paolo, 9856, Physics) called
               ~Person() called for Susan
               ~Person() called for Paolo
```

```
Person::~Person() {
  cout << "~Person() called for " << name_ << endl;
}</pre>
```

```
Student::~Student() {
  cout << "~Student() called for name:" << name()
  << " and id: " << id_ << endl;
}</pre>
```

Note that ~Person() is called and not that of the sub class!

We did not declare the destructor to be virtual

destructor called based on the pointer and not the object! Non-polymorphic behaviour

```
GraduateStudent::~GraduateStudent() {
   cout << "~GraduateStudent() called for name:" << name()
        << " id: " << id()
        << " major: " << major_ << endl;
}</pre>
```

virtual destructors

- Derived classes might allocate dynamically memory
 - Derived-class destructor (if correctly written!) will take care of cleaning up memory upon destruction
- Base-class destructor will not do the proper job if called for a subclass object

- Declaring destructor to be virtual is a simple solution to prevent memory leak using polymorphism
- virtual destructors ensure that memory leaks don't occur when delete an object via base-class pointer

Simple Example of virtual Destructor

```
// noVirtualDtor.cc
#include <iostream>
using std::cout;
using std::endl;
class Base {
  public:
  Base(double x) {
    x = new double(x);
    cout << "Base(" << x << ") called" << endl;</pre>
  ~Base() {
    cout << "~Base() called" << endl;</pre>
    delete x ;
  private:
                                   Destructor
   double* x ;
};
                                   Not virtual
class Derived : public Base {
  public:
  Derived(double x) : Base(x) {
    cout << "Derived("<<x<<") called" << endl;</pre>
  ~Derived() {
    cout << "~Derived() called" << endl;</pre>
};
int main() {
  Base* a = new Derived(1.2);
  delete a;
  return 0;
   $ g++ -Wall -o /tmp/noVirtualDtor noVirtualDtor.cc
   $ /tmp/noVirtualDtor
   Base (1.2) called
   Derived(1.2) called
   ~Base() called
```

```
// virtualDtor.cc
#include <iostream>
using std::cout;
using std::endl;
class Base {
  public:
  Base(double x) {
    x = new double(x);
    cout \ll "Base(" \ll x \ll ") called" \ll endl;
  virtual ~Base() {
    cout << "~Base() called" << endl;</pre>
    delete x ;
  private:
                                    Virtual
   double* x ;
                                    Destructor
};
class Derived : public Base {
  public:
  Derived(double x) : Base(x){
    cout << "Derived("<<x<<") called" << endl;</pre>
  virtual ~Derived() {
    cout << "~Derived() called" << endl;</pre>
};
int main() {
  Base* a = new Derived(1.2);
  delete a;
  return 0;
```

```
$ g++ -Wall -o /tmp/VirtualDtor VirtualDtor.cc
$ /tmp/VirtualDtor
Base(1.2) called
Derived(1.2) called
~Derived() called
~Base() called
```

Revised Class Student

```
class Student : public Person {
  public:
    Student(const std::string& name, int id);
    ~Student();
    void addCourse(const std::string& course);
    virtual void print() const;

    int id() const { return id_; }
    const std::vector<std::string>* getCourses() const;
    void printCourses() const;

private:
    int id_;
    std::vector<std::string>* courses_;
};
```

```
void Student::addCourse(const std::string&
course) {
  courses ->push back( course );
void
Student::printCourses() const {
  cout << "student " << name()</pre>
       << " currently enrolled in following</pre>
courses:"
       << endl;
  for(int i=0; i<courses ->size(); ++i) {
    cout << (*courses )[i] << endl;</pre>
  }
}
const std::vector<std::string>*
Student::getCourses() const {
  return courses ;
```

```
Student::Student(const std::string& name,
int id) :
  Person(name) {
  id = id;
  courses = new
std::vector<std::string>();
  cout << "Student(" << name << ", " << id</pre>
<< ") called"
       << endl;</pre>
}
Student::~Student() {
  delete courses ;
  courses = 0; // null pointer
  cout << "~Student() called for name:" <<</pre>
name()
       << " and id: " << id << endl;
void Student::print() const {
  cout << "I am Student " << name()</pre>
       << " with id " << id << endl;
  cout << "I am now enrolled in "</pre>
       << courses ->size() << " courses."</pre>
<< endl;
```

Example of Memory Leak with Student

```
// example8.cpp
int main() {
    Student* p1 = new Student("Susan", 123456);
    p1->addCourse(string("algebra"));
    p1->addCourse(string("physics"));
    p1->addCourse(string("Art"));
    p1->printCourses();

    Student* paolo = new Student("Paolo", 9856);
    paolo->addCourse("Music");
    paolo->addCourse("Chemistry");

    Person* p2 = paolo;

    p1->print();
    p2->print();

    delete p1;
```

Memory leak when deleting paolo because nobody deletes courses_

Need to extend polymorphism also to destructors to ensure that object type not pointer determine correct destructor to be called

```
$ q++ -o /tmp/app example8.cpp {Person,Student,GraduateStudent}.cc
$ /tmp/app
Person(Susan) called
Student(Susan, 123456) called
student Susan currently enrolled in following courses:
algebra
physics
Art
Person(Paolo) called
Student (Paolo, 9856) called
I am Student Susan with id 123456
I am now enrolled in 3 courses.
I am Student Paolo with id 9856
I am now enrolled in 2 courses.
~Student() called for name: Susan and id: 123456
~Person() called for Susan
~Person() called for Paolo
```

delete p2;

return 0;

virtual Destructor for Person and Student

```
class Person {
  public:
    Person(const std::string& name);
    virtual ~Person();
    std::string name() const { return name_; }
    virtual void print() const;

private:
    std::string name_;
};
```

Correct destructor is called using the base-class pointer to Student

```
// example9.cpp
int main() {

Student* p1 = new Student("Susan", 123456);
p1->addCourse(string("algebra"));
p1->addCourse(string("physics"));
p1->addCourse(string("Art"));
p1->printCourses();

Student* paolo = new Student("Paolo", 9856);
paolo->addCourse("Music");
paolo->addCourse("Chemistry");
Person* p2 = paolo;

delete p1;
delete p2;
return 0;
}
```

```
class Student : public Person {
  public:
    Student(const std::string& name, int id);
    virtual ~Student();
    void addCourse(const std::string& course);
    virtual void print() const;

    int id() const { return id_; }
    const std::vector<std::string>* getCourses() const;
    void printCourses() const;

    private:
        int id_;
        std::vector<std::string>* courses_;
};
```