In this notes, we study the requirements for the simplest toy generative model that would resemble a full generative model for jets.

We consider a 2-body decay. In the parent rest frame, we have the parent momentum  $p_p^{\mu} = p_1^{\mu} + p_2^{\mu} = (\sqrt{s}, 0, 0, 0)$ . From requiring 4-momentum conservation, the children energies are given by

$$E_{1} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{s} - \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{s} \right)$$

$$E_{2} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{s} - \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{s} \right)$$
(1)

and the magnitude of their 3-momentum by

$$|\vec{p}| = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}\bar{\beta} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{2(m_1^2 + m_2^2)}{s} + \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{s^2}}$$
 (2)

### 1 Case with $m_1 = m_2 = m$

In this section we will specialize to the case where  $m_1 = m_2 = m$  (we will comment on the full general case in the next section).

$$E_{1} = E_{2} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} = E$$

$$|\vec{p}| = E\sqrt{1 - \frac{m^{2}}{E^{2}}}$$
(3)

If we apply a boost to the lab frame, with factor  $\beta \hat{n}$ , we obtain for the children 3-momentum

$$\vec{p'}_{1} = -\gamma E \beta \hat{n} + E \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^{2}}{E^{2}}} \left[ \hat{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} \right]$$

$$\vec{p'}_{2} = -\gamma E \beta \hat{n} - E \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^{2}}{E^{2}}} \left[ \hat{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} \right]$$
(4)

with  $\hat{r}$  a unit vector that specifies the direction of the children momentum in the parent rest frame. For the parent we get

$$\vec{p'}_p = -2\gamma E \beta \hat{n} \tag{5}$$

From (5), we can rewrite (4) as:

$$\vec{p'}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p'}_p + \vec{\Delta} 
\vec{p'}_2 = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p'}_p - \vec{\Delta}$$
(6)

with

$$\vec{\Delta} = E\sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2}{E^2}} \left[ \hat{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} \right]$$
 (7)

Let's study the dependence of  $|\vec{\Delta}| = \Delta(E, m, \hat{r}, \gamma, \hat{n})$ . We will work in the (y,z) plane.

- $\gamma = \frac{E}{m}$
- If we draw an angle  $\phi \in \{-\pi, \pi\}$  from a uniform distribution, we get in the  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$  plane,  $\hat{r} = (\sin \phi, \cos \phi)$ .
- At each step, we draw  $\phi$  and m, and boost the children to the lab frame. Next, we promote each child to a parent and repeat the process. Thus, the unit vector  $\hat{n}$  is fixed by the previous step and given by  $\hat{n} = (\sin \theta_p, \cos \theta_p)$  with  $\theta_p = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{p_y'^p}{p_z'^p}\right)$  the parent angle with respect to the  $\hat{z}$  axis in the lab frame.
- At each step,  $E_1 = E_2 = E = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} = \frac{m_p}{2}$  is fixed by the parent mass.

As a result, we obtain  $|\Delta| = \Delta(m, \phi)$  given by

$$\Delta_y = \frac{m_p}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{m^2}{m_p^2}} \left[ \sin \phi + \left( \frac{m_p}{2m} - 1 \right) \cos \left( \phi - \theta_p \right) \sin \theta_p \right]$$

$$\Delta_z = \frac{m_p}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{m^2}{m_p^2}} \left[ \cos \phi + \left( \frac{m_p}{2m} - 1 \right) \cos \left( \phi - \theta_p \right) \cos \theta_p \right]$$
(8)

#### 1.1 Minimum requirements for a toy generative model for jets

The traditional clustering algorithms are based on a measure given by:

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{\mathrm{T}i}^{2\alpha}, p_{\mathrm{T}j}^{2\alpha}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^2}{R^2} \tag{9}$$

where  $\Delta R_{ij} = (\theta_i - \theta_j)$ , with  $\theta_i$  the angle of particle *i* with respect to the  $\hat{z}$  axis in the lab frame. Also,  $\alpha = \{-1, 0, 1\}$  defines the {anti-kt, CA and kt} algorithms respectively.

Thus for a meaninful definition of  $d_{ij}$  the toy model should be at least 2D. Also, in our 2D model, we identify  $p_T = |p_y| = |\vec{p'}| |\sin \theta|$ .

At each splitting, we define the children momentum following (10):

$$\vec{p'}_{1} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p'}_{p} + \tilde{\Delta}$$

$$\vec{p'}_{2} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p'}_{p} - \tilde{\Delta}$$
(10)

Next, it remains to define  $\tilde{\Delta}$  in the toy model. We consider two options:

1. Set a starting value  $\vec{\tilde{\Delta}}_0$  (and  $\vec{p'}_p^0$ ). At each step j we define:

$$\vec{\tilde{\Delta}}_{j+1} = |\tilde{\Delta}_j| \, r \left( \sin \phi, \cos \phi \right) \tag{11}$$

where we draw  $\phi$  from a uniform distribution and r is drawn from an exponential distribution  $f(x, \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ .

This is the model we currently agreed to build.

2. We could define  $\tilde{\Delta}$  as in (7):

$$\tilde{\Delta} = E\sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2}{E^2}} \left[ \hat{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} \right] = \tilde{\Delta}(m, \phi)$$
(12)

We draw  $\phi$  from a uniform distribution. We can get m in a way to resemble the sudakov factor approach as:

$$m_{j+1} = \frac{m_j}{2} r \tag{13}$$

where r is drawn from an exponential distribution  $f(x,\lambda) \propto e^{-\lambda x}$  for  $x \in (0,1)$ . The prescription of (13) solves one of the problems of the traditional parton showers given that it satisfies  $m_L + m_R \leq m_p^2$ . Then, we could think of  $m_{j+1}$  as the offshell mass value, to avoid the required reshuffling. This results in leaves where each pair of siblings have the same mass, all different among pairs of siblings.

#### This case would be closer to the real parton shower but more complex and time consuming.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ideally, this distribution should be bounded between 0 and 1. The pyro distribution I am using is for  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . My understanding is that we could still use the pyro distribution and accept only the values for  $x \in [0, 1)$ , which would account to a global normalization factor?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Traditional parton showers draw  $m_L$  and  $m_R$  independently, so they should check the constraint is satisfied.

# 2 General case, with $m_1 \neq m_2$

We could also build a model for this case, adding extra features. In this case, (10) becomes

$$\vec{p'}_{1} = \frac{E_{1}}{E_{p}} \vec{p'}_{p} + E_{1} \left[ \hat{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} \right]$$

$$\vec{p'}_{2} = \frac{E_{2}}{E_{p}} \vec{p'}_{p} + E_{2} \left[ \hat{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{r} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} \right]$$
(14)

Also, we should replace (13) by

$$m_1^{j+1} = m_p^j r_1$$
  
 $m_2^{j+1} = (m_p^j - m_1^{j+1}) r_2$  (15)

where  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are independently drawn from an exponential distribution  $f(x, \lambda) \propto e^{-\lambda x}$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$ .

## 3 Previous toy models and their issues