EDAN₂₀

Language Technology

http://cs.lth.se/edan20/

Chapter 13: Dependency Parsing

Pierre Nugues

Lund University Pierre.Nugues@cs.lth.se http://cs.lth.se/pierre_nugues/

September 23, 2014



Parsing Dependencies

Generate all the pairs:

Which sentence root?






Talbanken: An Annotated Corpus of Swedish

```
Äktenskapet
                        NN
                              NN
                                              SS
    och
                                         3
                                              ++
                        ++
                              ++
3
    familjen
                                              CC
                        NN
                              NN
     är
                        AV
                              AV
                                         0
                                              ROOT
4
5
                        ΕN
                              EN
                                              DT
     en
                                              AT
6
    gammal
                        AJ
                              AJ
    institution
                        NN
                              NN
                                         4
                                              SP
                        ΙK
                              ΙK
                                              ΙK
8
9
                        PO
                              PO
                                              SS
                                         10
    som
10
     funnits
                        VV
                              VV
                                              ET
                        PR
                              PR
                                              TA
11
    sedan
                                         10
12
     1800-talet
                        NN
                              NN
                                         11
                                              PA
                                              IΡ
13
                        IP
                              IΡ
                                         4
```



Visualizing the Graph

Using What's Wrong With My NLP (https://code.google.com/p/whatswrong/):





Parser Input

The words and their parts of speech obtained from an earlier step.


Äktenskapet	_	NN	NN	_
och	_	++	++	_
familjen		NN	NN	_
är	_	AV	AV	_
en		EN	EN	_
gammal		AJ	AJ	_
institution		NN	NN	_
,		IK	IK	
som		PO	PO	
funnits		VV	VV	
sedan		PR	PR	
1800-talet		NN	NN	
	_	IP	IP	
	och familjen är en gammal institution , som funnits sedan	och familjen är en gammal institution , som funnits sedan	och _ ++ familjen _ NN är _ AV en _ EN gammal _ AJ institution _ NN ,	och familjen ir gammal institution , IK som funnits sedan 1800-talet - H+ ++ - H+ - H+ - H+ - H+ - H+ - H+



Nivre's Parser

Joakim Nivre designed an efficient dependency parser extending the shift-reduce algorithm.

He started with Swedish and has reported the best results for this language and many others.



His team obtained the best results in the CoNLL 2007 shared task dependency parsing.

The Parser

The first step is a POS tagging

The parser applies a variation/extension of the shift-reduce algorithm since dependency grammars have no nonterminal symbols

The transitions are:

- Shift, pushes the input token to the stack
- Reduce, reduces the token on the top of the stack
- Left arc, adds an arc from the next input token to the token on the top of the stack and reduces it.
- Right arc, adds an arc from the token on top of the stack to the next input token and pushes the input token on the top of the stack.




Transitions' Definition

Actions	Parser states	Conditions
Initialization	$\langle nil, W, \emptyset \rangle$	
Termination	$\langle S, nil, A \rangle$	
Left-arc	$\langle n S,n' I,A\rangle \rightarrow \langle S,n' I,A\cup \{(n',n)\}\rangle$	$ \exists n''(n'',n) \in A $
Right-arc	$\langle n S,n' I,A\rangle \rightarrow \langle n' n S,I,A\cup \{(n,n')\}\rangle$	
Reduce	$\langle n S,I,A\rangle \to \langle S,I,A\rangle$	$\exists n'(n',n) \in A$
Shift	$\langle S, n I, A\rangle \rightarrow \langle n S, I, A\rangle$	

- The first condition $\nexists n''(n'',n) \in A$, where n'' is the head and n, the dependent, is to enforce a unique head.
- ② The second condition $\exists n'(n',n) \in A$, where n' is the head and n, the dependent, is to ensure that the graph is connected.

Nivre's Parser in Action

Input W = The waiter brought the meal. The graph is:



$$\{\mathsf{the} \leftarrow \mathsf{waiter}, \mathsf{waiter} \leftarrow \mathsf{brought}, \mathsf{ROOT} \rightarrow \mathsf{brought}, \mathsf{the} \leftarrow \mathsf{meal}, \\ \mathsf{brought} \rightarrow \mathsf{meal}\},$$

Let us apply the sequence:

[sh, sh, la, sh, la, ra, sh, la, ra]



Nivre's Parser in Action

[sh, sh, la, sh, la, ra, sh, la, ra]

Trans. Stack		Queue	Graph	
start	Ø	ROOT the waiter brought the meal	the meal {}	
sh				
	ROOT	the waiter brought the meal	{}	
sh				
	the	waiter brought the meal	{}	
	ROOT			
la				
	ROOT	waiter brought the meal	$\{the \leftarrow waiter\}$	
sh				
	waiter	brought the meal	$\{the \leftarrow waiter\}$	
	ROOT			
la			ast a	
	ROOT	brought the meal	{the ← waiter,	
			brought}	

Nivre's Parser in Action (II)

[sh, sh, la, sh, la, ra, sh, la, ra]

Trans.	Stack	Queue	Graph
ra			
	brought	the meal	{the ← waiter, waiter ← brought,
	ROOT		$ROOT \to brought \}$
sh			
	the	meal	{the ← waiter, waiter ← brought,
	brought		$ROOT \to brought$
	ROOT		_
la			
	brought	meal	{the ← waiter, waiter ← brought,
	ROOT		$ROOT \to brought$, the $\leftarrow meal$
ra			
end	meal		{the ← waiter, waiter ← brought
	brought		$ROOT \to brought$, the
	ROOT		$brought \to meal$
			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

Nivre's Parser in Prolog: Left-Arc

```
% shift_reduce(+Sentence, -Graph)
shift_reduce(Sentence, Graph) :-
    shift_reduce(Sentence, [], [], Graph).

% shift_reduce(+Words, +Stack, +CurGraph, -FinGraph)
shift_reduce([], _, Graph, Graph).
shift_reduce(Words, Stack, Graph, FinalGraph) :-
    left_arc(Words, Stack, NewStack, Graph, NewGraph),
    write('left arc'), nl,
    shift_reduce(Words, NewStack, NewGraph, FinalGraph).
```

Gold Standard Parsing

Nivre's parser uses a sequence of actions taken in the set {la, ra, re, sh}.

We have:

- A sequence of actions creates a dependency graph
- Given a projective dependency graph, we can find an action sequence creating this graph. This is gold standard parsing.

Let TOP be the top of the stack and FIRST, the first token of the input list, and A the dependency graph.

- **1** if $arc(TOP, FIRST) \in A$, then right-arc;
- **2** else if $arc(FIRST, TOP) \in A$, then left-arc;
- **③** else if $\exists k \in Stack, arc(FIRST, k) \in A$ or $arc(k, FIRST) \in A$, then reduce;
- else shift.



Parsing a Sentence

When parsing an unknown sentence, we do not know the dependencies yet The parser will use a "guide" to tell which transition to apply in the set {la, ra, re, sh}.

The parser will extract a context from its current state, for instance the part of speech of the top of the stack and the first in the queue, and will ask the guide.

D-rules are a simply way to implement this



Dependency Rules

D-rules are possible relations between a head and a dependent. They involve part-of-speech, mostly, and words

- determiner \leftarrow noun. 4. noun \leftarrow verb.

- 2. adjective \leftarrow noun. 5. preposition \leftarrow verb.
- 3. preposition \leftarrow noun. 6. verb \leftarrow root.

 $\begin{bmatrix} \textit{category} : \textit{noun} \\ \textit{number} : \textit{N} \\ \textit{person} : \textit{P} \\ \textit{case} : \textit{nominative} \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} \textit{category} : \textit{verb} \\ \textit{number} : \textit{N} \\ \textit{person} : \textit{P} \end{bmatrix}$



Parsing Dependency Rules in Prolog

```
%drule(Head, Dependent, Function).

drule(noun, determiner, determinative).
drule(noun, adjective, attribute).
drule(verb, noun, subject).
drule(verb, noun, object).
```

D-Rules may also include a direction, for instance a determiner is always to the left

%drule(Head, Dependent, Function, Direction).



Nivre's Parser in Prolog: Left-Arc (II)

```
% left_arc(+WordList, +Stack, -NewStack, +Graph, -NewGraph)
left_arc([w(First, PosF) | _], [w(Top, PosT) | Stack],
    Stack, Graph, [d(w(First, PosF),
    w(Top, PosT), Function) | Graph]) :-
    word(First, FirstPOS),
    word(Top, TopPOS),
    drule(FirstPOS, TopPOS, Function, left),
    \+ member(d(_, w(Top, PosT), _), Graph).
```



Tracing Nivre's Parser

```
shift_reduce([w(the, 1), w(waiter, 2), w(brought, 3),
w(the, 4), w(meal, 5)], G).
shift
left arc
shift
left arc
shift
shift.
left arc
right arc
G = [d(w(brought, 3), w(meal, 5), object),
d(w(meal, 5), w(the, 4), determinative),
d(w(brought, 3), w(waiter, 2), subject),
d(w(waiter, 2), w(the, 1), determinative)]
```



Using Features

D-rules consider a limited context: the part of speech of the top of the stack and the first in the queue

- We can extend the context:
 - Extracts more features (attributes), for instance two words in the stack, three words in the queue
 - Use them as input to a four-class classifier and determine the next action



Training a Classifier

Gold standard parsing of a manually annotated corpus produces training data

	Stack	Queue	Stack		Queue		Trans.
	$POS(T_0)$	$POS(Q_0)$	POS(T ₀)	$POS(T_{-1})$	$POS(Q_0)$	$POS(Q_{+1})$	
	nil	ROOT	nil	nil	ROOT	DT	sh
	ROOT	DT	ROOT	nil	DT	NN	sh
	DT	NN	DT	ROOT	NN	VBD	la
	ROOT	NN	ROOT	nil	NN	VBD	sh
	NN	VBD	NN	ROOT	VBD	DT	la
	ROOT	VBD	ROOT	nil	VBD	DT	ra
	VBD	DT	VBD	ROOT	DT	NN	sh
	DT	NN	DT	VBD	NN	nil	la
,	VBD	NN	VBD	ROOT	NN	nil	# 1

Using Talbanken and CoNLL 2006 data, you can train decision treaminglement a parser.

Feature Vectors

You extract one feature (attribute) vector for each parsing action.

The most elementary feature vector consists of two parameters: POS_TOP, POS_FIRST

Nivre et al. (2006) used from 16 to 30 parameters and support vector machines.

As machine-learning algorithm, you can use decision trees, perceptron, logistic regression, or support vector machines.



Finding Dependencies using Constraints

Parts of speech	Possible governors	Possible functions
Determiner	noun	det
Noun	verb	object, iobject
Noun	prep	pcomp
Verb	root	root
Prep	verb, noun	mod, loc



Tagging

Words	Bring	the	meal	to	the	table
Position	1	2	3	4	5	6
Part of speech	verb	det	noun	prep	det	noun
Possible tags	nil, root	3, det 6, det	4, pcomp 1, object 1, iobject	3, mod 1, loc	3, det 6, det	4, pcomp 1, object 1, iobject

A second step applies and propagates constraint rules.

EDAN20 Language Technology http://cs.lth.se/edan20/

Rules for English describe: projectivity – links must not cross –, function uniqueness – there is only one subject, one object, one indirect object –, topology

Constraints

- A determiner has its head to its right-hand side
- A subject has its head to its right-hand side when the verb is at the active form
- An object and an indirect object have their head to their left-hand side (active form)
- A prepositional complement has its head to its left-hand side

Words	Bring	the	meal	to	the	table
Position	1	2	3	4	5	6
Part of speech	verb	det	noun	prep	det	noun
Possible tags	nil, root	3, det	1, iobject 1, object	3, mod 1, loc	6, det	4, pcomp

Evaluation of Dependency Parsing

Dependency parsing: The error count is the number of words that are assigned a wrong head, here 1/6.

Reference

Output

