Algebraic Number Theory

read by Prof. Dr. Werner Bley

notes by Stefan Albrecht

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München – winter term 2025/26

Contents

0 Motivation

Lecture 1
Oct 15, 2025

Theorem 0.1 (Lagrange). *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$p = x^2 + y^2 \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ if and only if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4.$$

Proof. For any integer x we have $x^2 \equiv 0, 1 \bmod 4$, hence $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0, 1$ or $2 \bmod 4$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence $p \not\equiv 3 \bmod 4$.

Conversely, assume that $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Then $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is a cyclic group of order $p - 1$, so there exists some $\overline{m} \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ of order 4. Thus there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m^2 \equiv -1 \bmod p$, i.e. $p \mid m^2 + 1 = (m+i)(m-i) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Since the Gaussian integers form a Euclidean ring, it is in particular a PID.

Consider its norm $N : \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha = a + bi \mapsto \alpha\overline{\alpha} = a^2 + b^2$, which is a multiplicative function. Suppose that $p \mid m + i$. Then $p \mid m - i$ as well, hence $p \mid 2i$, which is clearly wrong. Hence p is not a prime element in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Since we are in a PID, p is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, i.e. there exist non-units $\alpha = x + yi, \beta = x' + y'i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $p = \alpha\beta$. Now we see $p^2 = N(\alpha)N(\beta) = (x^2 + y^2)(x'^2 + y'^2)$. Since α, β aren't units, each factor is > 1, hence $p = x^2 + y^2 = x'^2 + y'^2$. □

Definition 0.2. A finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$ is called a *number field*.

Example 0.3. $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is a number field of degree 2. In the above example, we worked in $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i)$. We want to generalize this.

Definition 0.4. Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K := \{\alpha \in K \mid \exists f \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \text{ normalized s.t. } f(\alpha) = 0\},$$

i.e. the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in K, is called the *ring of integers* in K.

We will show: $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a Dedekind domain.

Example 0.5. (i) For $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ we have $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$

(ii) For $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ one gets $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$

(iii) For $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})$ we have $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$

(iv) (Exercise) More generally, for $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ squarefree, the ring of integers of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{d} & \text{if } d \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4, \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 0.6. *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$p = x^2 - 2y^2 \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ if and only if } p \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8.$$

Proof. The forward direction follows as in the first theorem. For the converse, we work in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Consider the norm $N : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha = x + y\sqrt{2} \mapsto \alpha\sigma(\alpha) = x^2 - 2y^2$, where $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \mid \mathbb{Q}) = \langle\sigma\rangle$. We will see later (Quadratic Reciprocity) that $p \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8$ is equivalent to $(\frac{2}{p}) = 1$, i.e. 2 being a square $\bmod p$.

Hence there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \mid m^2 - 2 = (m - \sqrt{2})(m + \sqrt{2})$. As before, we see that p is not prime, hence reducbile ($\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is again Euclidean) and we finish as before. □

The main difference between theorems 0.1 and 0.6 is that the unit group of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is finite, while $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^\times = \{\pm 1\} \times (1+\sqrt{2})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is infinite[1]. This implies that $p = x^2 - 2y^2$ has infinitely many solutions for $p \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 8$, for $N((1+\sqrt{2})^{2k}\alpha) = N(\alpha)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this vein, an important goal of this lecture is

Theorem 0.7 (Dirichlet's unit theorem)**.** *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field. Let s be the number of real embeddings and let t be the number of pairs of complex embeddings of K. Then $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ is a finitely generated abelian group of rank $r = s + t - 1$, i.e. there exist* fundamental units *$\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r$ and $\zeta \in \mu_K = \{$roots of unity in $K\}$ such that each $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ can be uniquely written in the form*

$$\varepsilon = \zeta^l \varepsilon_1^{a_1} \cdots \varepsilon_r^{a_r}$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}/\operatorname{ord}(\zeta)\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 0.8. For $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ we have $\mu_K = \{\pm 1\}$, $\varepsilon_1 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $r = 2 + 0 - 1 = 1$, since both embeddings $\sqrt{2} \mapsto \sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{2} \mapsto -\sqrt{2}$ are real.

Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field. We choose the algebraic closure $\mathbb{Q}^c$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ that sits inside of $\mathbb{C}$, so we may, and will, always assume $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is separable, so we may write $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be the minimal polynomial of α. Then we have embeddings $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to the zeroes $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of f, i.e. the conjugates of α. σ is called a real embedding if $\sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, or equivalently if the corresponding $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Otherwise it is called a complex embedding. These come in pairs, because if α_i is a conjugate of α, so is $\overline{\alpha_i}$.

Example 0.9. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic number field. If $d > 0$ we find as before that $s = 2, t = 0$, so $r = 1$. If, on the other hand, $d < 0$, then $s = 0, t = 1$, hence $r = 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ is finite.

Question Which odd primes p can be written in the form $p = x^2 + 6y^2$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$? As in the previous theorems, we write this as $(x + y\sqrt{-6})(x - y\sqrt{-6}) = N(x + y\sqrt{-6})$ in the number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})$ with ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$. However, our previous proof strategy does *not* work, because $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ is not a PID (e.g. $2 \cdot 3 = -\sqrt{-6} \cdot \sqrt{-6}$ are two essentially different factorizations of 6 into irreducibles).

This leads naturally to the question when $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a PID. To investigate this, we will introduce the *class group*: The nonzero ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ form a monoid w.r.t. multiplication.

Definition 0.10. Write I_K for the group of fractional nonzero ideals and $P_K = \{\alpha\mathcal{O}_K \mid \alpha \in K^\times\}$ the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. The quotient $\mathrm{cl}_K = I_K/P_K$ is called the *ideal class group*

One sees directly that $\mathrm{cl}_K = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a PID. We will prove

Theorem 0.11. $|\mathrm{cl}_K| < \infty$.

In any case $\mathcal{O}_K$ is Dedekind, which is equivalent to prime factorization of *ideals*, i.e. each ideal $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_K$ can be uniquely written as a product of prime ideals

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ \mathfrak{p} \neq 0}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{a})}, \qquad v_\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \text{ almost all } v_\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{a}) = 0.$$

[1] $\supseteq$ is easy by direct computation, which is all we use here. We will see how to prove $\subseteq$ later.

Example 0.12. In $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ we have $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_2^2$ with $\mathfrak{P}_2 = \langle 2, \sqrt{-6}\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $3\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_3^2$ with $\mathfrak{p}_3 = \langle 3, \sqrt{-6}\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\sqrt{-6}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3$, so the "problematic" factorization $2 \cdot 3 = -\sqrt{-6}^2$ becomes $\mathfrak{p}_2^2\mathfrak{p}_3^2 = (\mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3)^2$ when passing to ideals.

Given an extension of number fields L/K, and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, by the above the ideal $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$ splits into a product of prime ideals $\mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_r^{e_r}$ in $\mathcal{O}_L$. A further goal of this lecture is to understand and compute this factorization. Denoting $f_i = [\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}_i : \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}]$, we will for example be able to show $[L : K] = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i$.

Definition 0.13. Let p be a prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$. Then the *Legendre symbol* is defined as

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x^2 \equiv a \bmod p \text{ has a solution in } \mathbb{Z}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also set $(\frac{a}{p}) = 0$ if $p \mid a$.

We will show: Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Let $p \neq 2$. Then

$$p\mathcal{O}_K = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\ \mathfrak{p} \neq \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ prime} & \text{if } (\frac{d}{p}) = 1, \\ \mathfrak{p},\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime} & \text{if } (\frac{d}{p}) = -1, \\ \mathfrak{p}^2,\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime} & \text{if } p \mid d. \end{cases} \tag{$*$}$$

Law of quadratic reciprocity Let p, q be odd primes. Then

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)/4} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \text{ or } q \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \text{ and } q \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}.$$

Further, we have the two supplements $(\frac{-1}{p}) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}$ and $(\frac{2}{p}) = (-1)^{(p^2-1)/8}$. This theorem allows quick computation of Legendre symbols.

Lecture 2
Oct 17, 2025

Using the above, we will be able to generalize the theorems from the beginning:

Corollary 0.14. *Let d be a squarefree integer. A prime $p \neq 2$ can be written in the form $p = x^2 - dy^2$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $(\frac{d}{p}) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a principal ideal, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is as in $(*)$.*

1 Integrality

Rings are always commutative and contain a multiplicative unit, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Definition 1.1. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a ring extension. An element $b \in B$ is *integral* over A if there exists a normalized polynomial $f(X) = X^m + a_{m-1}X^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1X + a_0 \in A[X]$ such that $f(b) = 0$. B is *integral* over A if every $b \in B$ is integral over A.

Example 1.2. Let K be a number field. Then $\mathcal{O}_K$ is integral (over $\mathbb{Z}$).
If B/A is a field extension, then B is integral over A if and only if B is algebraic over A.

We want to show that the set of all integral elements form a ring, i.e. that given integral elements $b_1, b_2 \in B$, $b_1 + b_2$ and b_1b_2 are integral as well.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B$. Then $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ are integral over A if and only if $A[b_1, \ldots, b_n]$ is a finitely generated A-module.*

Proof. "$\Rightarrow$": By induction. For $n = 1$ let $b \in B$ be integral over A. Let $f(b) = 0$. Then $b^m = -\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_ib^i$, so $A[b]$ is generated by $1, b, \ldots, b^{m-1}$ as a A-module.

More explicitly: Let $g(b) \in A[b]$ be some element. Since f is normalized, we can perform division with remainder to write $g = qf + r$ with $q, r \in A[x]$ with $\deg(r) < m$. Hence $g(b) = q(b)f(b) + r(b) = r(b)$, which is a linear combination of b^i, $i < m$.

For the inductive step, we have to prove that $A \subseteq A[b_1, \ldots, b_n] \subseteq A[b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}]$ is finitely generated, knowing that the first extension is finitely generated. Since b_{n+1} is integral over A, it is also finitely generated over $A[b_1, \ldots, b_n]$, hence $A[b_1, \ldots, b_n] \subseteq A[b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}]$ is finitely generated by the $n = 1$ case, hence we are done.

"$\Leftarrow$": Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r$ be a set of A-generators of $A[b_1, \ldots, b_n]$. For $b \in A[b_1, \ldots, b_n]$ we have

$$b\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{ij}\omega_j \qquad \text{with } a_{ij} \in A.$$

Hence $(bE - M)(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r)^t = 0$, where $M = (a_{ij})_{ij} \in A^{r\times r}$. By cofactor expansion, see lemma 1.4, this implies that $\det(bE - M)\omega_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$, hence $\det(bE - M) = 0$ since the ω_i generate $A[b_1, \ldots, b_n]$. Hence $\det(XE - M) \in A[X]$ is a normalized equation for b, i.e. b is integral over A. ☐

Lemma 1.4. *Let A a ring and $M \in A^{r\times r}$. If $Mx = 0$, then $\det(M)x = 0$.*

Proof. Let M^* be the adjoint matrix, i.e. $(M^*)_{ij}$ is $(-1)^{i+j}$ times the determinant of the matrix M with the j-th row and i-th column removed. Then $M^*M = MM^* = \det(M)E$. From $Mx = 0$ we then get $0 = M^*Mx = \det(M)x$. ☐

Example 1.5. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Proceeding as in the proof, we can compute an integral equation for, say, $\alpha = 1 + 2\sqrt{2}$: Take $\omega_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = \sqrt{2}$. Consider

$$T_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}], \qquad x \mapsto \alpha x,$$

which has matrix representation w.r.t. the ω_i as $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Now $\det(XE - M) = X^2 - 2X - 7$ is the desired relation.

In the exercises, we will show the following slight generalization of proposition 1.3.

Proposition 1.6. *Let A be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *b is integral over A.*

(ii) *$A[b]$ is finitely generated as an A-module.*

(iii) *There exists an $A[b]$-module M that is finitely generated as an A-module.*

Theorem 1.7. *Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ be extensions of rings. Let B/A be integral and let $c \in C$ be integral over B. Then c is also integral over A.*

Proof. Let $c^n + b_{n-1}c^{n-1} + \ldots + b_0$ with $b_i \in B$. Then $A \subseteq A[b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1}] \subseteq A[b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1}][c]$ is a composition of finitely generated ring extensions by theorem 1.3, hence finitely generated. Again by theorem 1.3, we are done. $\square$

Definition 1.8. Let $A \subseteq B$ be a ring extension.

(a) Then $\overline{A} = \mathcal{O}_{A,B} := \{b \in B \mid b \text{ integral over } A\}$ is called the *integral closure* of A in B.

(b) A is called *integrally closed* in B if $\mathcal{O}_{A,B} = A$.

Note that by theorem 1.3, the integral closure of A in B is a ring. In particular, the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of a number field K is indeed a ring.

Example 1.9. $\mathcal{O}_{A,B}$ is integrally closed in B.

$\mathbb{Z}$ is integrally closed in $\mathbb{Q}$. More generally, $\mathcal{O}_K$ is integrally closed in K, for if $\alpha \in K$ is integral over $\mathcal{O}_K$, by transitivity 1.7 it is then integral over $\mathbb{Z}$, hence $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

$R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] \subseteq K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ is not integrally closed in K, because $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-3}) \notin R$ is integral (even over $\mathbb{Z}$).

Theorem 1.10. *Let R be a UFD and $K = \mathrm{Quot}(R)$. Then R is integrally closed in K.*

Proof. Let $\frac{a}{b} \in K$ be integral over R, with $a, b \in R$ coprime. Let

$$X^n + c_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \ldots + c_1X + c_0 = 0 \qquad \text{with } c_i \in R$$

be an integral relation for $\frac{a}{b}$. Multiplying by b^n, we get

$$a^n + c_{n-1}ba^{n-1} + \ldots + c_1ab^{n-1} + c_0b^n = 0.$$

Suppose $b \notin R^\times$, then there exists a prime element $\pi \in R$ dividing b. Looking at the equation $\bmod \pi$, we see that $\pi \mid a^n$; i.e. $\pi \mid a$, contradicting the coprime assumption. $\square$

Let A be an integral domain which is integrally closed in $K = \mathrm{Quot}(A)$. Let L/K be a finite field extension and let $B = \mathcal{O}_{A,L}$ be the integral closure of A in L.

$$\begin{tikzcd} L & B \arrow[l, hook'] \\ K \arrow[u, dash] & A \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[u, dash] \end{tikzcd}$$

Then, by transitivity, B is integrally closed in L.

Lemma 1.11. *In the above situation, $L = \mathrm{Quot}(B)$. More precisely, each $\beta \in L$ can be written in the form $\frac{b}{a}$ with $b \in B$ and $a \in A$.*

Proof. For $\beta \in L$, let $a_n\beta^n + \ldots + a_1\beta + a_0 = 0$ with $a_i \in A$ Multiplying by a_n^{n-1}, we obtain

$$(a_n\beta)^n + a_{n-1}(a_n\beta)^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1a_n^{n-2}(a_n\beta) + a_0a_n^{n-1} = 0.$$

Thus $a_n\beta$ is integral over A, and $\beta = \frac{a_n\beta}{a_n}$ has the desired form. $\square$

Lemma 1.12. *One has $\beta \in B$ if and only if its minimal polynomial $\mu = \mathrm{mipo}_{\beta,K}$ over K has coefficients in A.*

Proof. Let $g(\beta) = 0$ with $g \in A[X]$ normalized. Then $\mu \mid g$ in $K[X]$. Thus all zeroes of μ (in some algebraic closure of K) are integral over A. Since the coefficients of μ are the elementary symmetric functions in its zeroes, the coefficients of μ are integral over A. Since by assumption A is integrally closed in K, it follows that $\mu \in A[X]$. □

We recall from Algebra the notions of trace and norm. Let L/K be a finite field extension of degree n, and let $x \in L$. Let $T_x : L \to L, y \mapsto xy$. Lecture 3 Oct 22, 2025

Definition 1.13. We define $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x) := \mathrm{Tr}(T_x)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(x) := \det(T_x)$.

Lemma 1.14. *(i) Let $\chi_x(t) = \det(tE - T_x) \in K[t]$ be the characteristic polynomial of T_x. Let $\chi_x(t) = t^n - a_1 t^{n-1} + \ldots + (-1)^n a_n$. Then $a_1 = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x)$ and $a_n = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(x)$.*

(ii) $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}$ is K-linear.

(iii) $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}$ is multiplicative

Proof. Everything follows from linear algebra once translated to the linear maps T_x. □

Theorem 1.15. *Let L/K be separable. Let $G = G(L/K, K^c/K)$ be the set of all homomorphisms $\sigma : L \to K^c$ that fix K. (By separability we have $|G| = [L : K]$.) Then*

(i) $\chi_x(t) = \prod_{\sigma\in G}(t - \sigma(x))$

(ii) $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x) = \sum_{\sigma\in G} \sigma(x)$

(iii) $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(x) = \prod_{\sigma\in G} \sigma(x)$

Proof. (ii) and (iii) follow from (i) using lemma 1.14(i). Let $\mu_x(t)$ be the minimal polynomial of T_x. Then $\mu_x(T_x) = 0$, hence also $\mu_x(x) = 0$ in L. Further $\mu_x(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(\mu_x(x)) = 0$, so $\mu_x(t) = \prod_{\sigma\in G(K(x)/K, K^c/K)}(t - \sigma(x))$. We conclude with

$$\chi_x(t) = \mu_x(t)^{[L:K(x)]} = \prod_{\sigma\in G}(t - \sigma(x)),$$

where both steps need further explanation: Let $\sigma \in G(K(x)/K, K^c/K)$. Then there are $[L : K(x)]$ extensions $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of σ, which thus all have the same value at x. This explains the second equality. For the first, choose bases $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$ and $1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}$ of $L/K(x)$ and $K(x)/K$, respectively. Then $\omega_i x^j$ is a basis of L/K, and T_x w.r.t. this basis has as matrix representation a block-diagonal matrix with each block equal to the matrix representation of μ_x w.r.t. the basis $1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}$. □

Example 1.16. (i) $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a quadratic extension with $G = \{\mathrm{id}, \sigma : \sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d}\}$. Hence for $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}$ one has $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 2a$ and $\mathrm{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = a^2 - b^2 d$.

(ii) Let L/K be a finite field extension of degree m. Let $\alpha \in K$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = m\alpha$ and $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \alpha^m$.

(iii) Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/K = \mathbb{Q}$, where $\alpha^3 = 2$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. In the exercises we will see $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. Let $x = 1 + \alpha$. We have

$$(1+\alpha)\begin{pmatrix}1\\ \alpha\\ \alpha^2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1+\alpha\\ \alpha+\alpha^2\\ \alpha^2+2\end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 1\\ 2 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}}_{=:M}\begin{pmatrix}1\\ \alpha\\ \alpha^2\end{pmatrix},$$

so $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(1+\alpha) = \mathrm{Tr}(M) = 3$ and $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(1+\alpha) = \det(M) = 3$. Alternatively, we could have calculated

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1+\alpha) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1) + \mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = 3 + 0 = 3,$$

since the minimal polynomial $t^3 - 2$ of α has no t^2-term.

Corollary 1.17. *Let $M/L/K$ be a tower of finite field extensions. Then for $\alpha \in M$ one has*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{M/K}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\mathrm{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{N}_{M/K}(\alpha) = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(\mathrm{N}_{M/L}(\alpha)).$$

Proof. For $\sigma_i : L/K \to K^c/K$, we have $[M : L]$ extensions $\sigma_{ij} : M \to K^c$. Fix one such extension $\widehat{\sigma}_i$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\widehat{\sigma}_i"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\sigma_{ij}"] \arrow[d, dash] & \widehat{\sigma}_i(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dash] & K^c \arrow[d, dash] \\
L \arrow[r, "\sigma_i"] \arrow[d, dash] & \sigma_i(L) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, dash] & \sigma_i(L) \\
K \arrow[r, "\sigma_i"] & \sigma_i(K) = K &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{M/K}(\alpha) = \sum_{i,j} \sigma_{ij}(\alpha) = \sum_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\widehat{\sigma}_i M/\sigma_i L}(\widehat{\sigma}_i(\alpha)). \tag{$*$}$$

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)^t$ be a L-basis of M. Then $\widehat{\sigma}_i(\omega_1), \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_i(\omega_m)$ is a $\sigma_i(L)$-basis of $\widehat{\sigma}_i(M)$. Let $\alpha\omega = M_\alpha \omega$ with $M_\alpha \in L^{m \times m}$. Then $\widehat{\sigma}_i(\alpha)\widehat{\sigma}_i(\omega) = \sigma_i(M_\alpha)\widehat{\sigma}_i(\omega)$, where the actions on vectors and matrices is understood to be component-wise. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\widehat{\sigma}_i(M)/\sigma_i(L)}(\widehat{\sigma}_i(\alpha)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_i(M_\alpha)) = \sigma_i(\mathrm{Tr}(M_\alpha)) = \sigma_i(\mathrm{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)).$$

Continuing from $(*)$ we get

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{M/K}(\alpha) = \sum_i \sigma_i(\mathrm{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\mathrm{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)).$$

The same proof works for the norm, with all sums replaced by products. □

Let L/K be a finite separable extension of fields. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be $[L : K]$-many elements of L.

Definition 1.18. The discriminant of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is defined as

$$d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j))^2_{i,j=1,\dots,n},$$

where $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} = G(L/K, K^c/K)$.

Lemma 1.19. *(i) $d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha_j))_{1 \le i,j \le n}$.*

(ii) For $\theta \in L$ we have $d(1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = \prod_{i<j}(\theta_i - \theta_j)^2$, where $\theta_i := \sigma_i(\theta)$.

Proof. One calculates

$$(\sigma_k(\alpha_i))_{k,i}^t(\sigma_k(\alpha_j))_{kj} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i\alpha_j)\right)_{i,j} = (\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha_j))_{i,j}$$

and takes determinants for the first part. For the second, the matrix in the definition 1.18 of d is the Vandermonde matrix of the θ_i. □

Theorem 1.20. *Let L/K be a finite separable field extension of degree n. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$. Then*

(i) $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a K-basis of L if and only if $d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq 0$.

(ii) The bilinear map $\langle -, - \rangle : L \times L \to K$, $(x, y) \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(xy)$ (called trace form*) is nondegenerate.*

Proof. For (ii), separability of L/K implies that $L = K(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in L$. The structure matrix of the bilinear form is given by

$$M = (\langle\theta^i, \theta^j\rangle)_{i,j} = (\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\theta^i\theta^j))_{i,j}.$$

Thus $\det(M) = d(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = \prod_{i<j}(\theta_i - \theta_j)^2 \neq 0$ by lemma 1.19.

Now let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be elements of L. Let S be the transition matrix from $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$ to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Then S^tMS is the structure matrix of $\langle -, - \rangle$ w.r.t. the α_i, so

$$d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(S^tMS) = \det(S)^2 \det(M).$$

Hence $d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ iff $\det(S) = 0$ iff $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is not a basis. □

As before, let A be an integral domain which is integrally closed in $K = \mathrm{Quot}(A)$. Let L/K be a finite separable extension and $B = \mathcal{O}_{A,L} \subseteq L$ the integral closure of A in L. Lecture 4 Oct 24, 2025

Lemma 1.21. *For $b \in B$, one has $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(b), \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(b) \in A$. Further, $b \in B$ is a unit if and only if $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(b) \in A^\times$.*

Proof. If b is integral, so is $\sigma(b)$ for all $\sigma \in G = G(L/K, K^c/K)$. Thus $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(b) = \sum_\sigma \sigma(b)$ $Norm_{L/K}(b) = \prod_\sigma \sigma(b) \in K \cap B = A$, since A is integrally closed.

Let $b \in B^\times$, then $bc = 1$ for some $c \in B$. It follows that

$$1 = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(1) = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(bc) = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(b)\,\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(c),$$

so $\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(b) \in A^\times$.

Conversely, let $a = \mathrm{N}_{L/K}(b) \in A^\times$. Then

$$1 = a^{-1}\,\mathrm{N}_{L/K}(b) = a^{-1}\prod_{\sigma\in G}\sigma(b) = b\,\underbrace{a^{-1}\prod_{\mathrm{id}\neq\sigma\in G}\sigma(b)}_{\in L,\text{ integral }\Rightarrow \in B}$$

□

Example 1.22. Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha^3 = 2$. Then

$$d(1, \alpha, \alpha^2) = \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha^i\alpha^j))_{0\leq i,j\leq 2} = \det\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -108.$$

In the exercises we will use this to prove $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

Further we compute

$$\mathrm{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\alpha) = (1-\alpha)(1-\zeta_3\alpha)(1-\zeta_3^2\alpha) = -1,$$

so by the above lemma $1-\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$. (Alternatively, we could have noticed that $(\alpha-1)^{-1} = \frac{\alpha^3-1}{\alpha-1} = 1+\alpha+\alpha^2 \in \mathcal{O}_L$.) Actually, we have $\mathcal{O}_L^\times = \{\pm 1\} \times (1-\alpha)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, which agrees with the result of Dirichlet's unit theorem 0.7, since there is one real and one pair of complex embeddings.

Lemma 1.23. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in B$ be a K-basis of L. Let $d = d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$. Then*

$$dB \subseteq A\alpha_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus A\alpha_n.$$

Proof. Let $B \ni \alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + \ldots + a_n\alpha_n$ with $a_i \in K$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \,\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha_j)$, hence $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a solution of

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \underbrace{\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha_j)}_{=:A} x_j = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha), \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Cramer's rule shows that $a_j = \frac{\det A_j}{\det A} = \frac{\det A_j}{d}$, where A_j is the matrix A with j-th column replaced by the vector $(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha))_i$. Hence $d(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ □

Recall that for R a PID, each finitely generated torsion-free R-module M is free of finite rank, i.e. $M \cong R^n$, $n < \infty$. Further, if M is a free R-module and $N \subseteq M$ is an R-submodule, then N is free of rank at most the rank of M.

Theorem 1.24. *Assume further that A is a PID. Then any finitely generated B-submodule $0 \neq M \subseteq L$ is a free A-module of rank $n = [L : K]$. In particular, B has an* integral basis *over A, i.e. there exist $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in B$ such that $B = A\omega_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus A\omega_n$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in B$ be a K-basis of L. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in M \subseteq L$ be a B-generating system of M. Let $0 \neq a \in A$ such that $a\mu_i \in B$ (possible by lemma 1.11). Let $d = d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, which is nonzero by theorem 1.20. Then $daM \subseteq dB \subseteq A\alpha_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus A\alpha_n \cong A^n$ by lemma 1.23. It follows that $daM \cong A^m$ with $m \leq n$, hence also $M \cong A^m$.

Let $0 \neq \mu \in M$. Then $\mu\alpha_1, \dots, \mu\alpha_n \in M$ are a K-basis of L, so they are certainly linearly independent in M as well, hence $m \geq n$. □

Example 1.25.

(i) $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $\omega = \sqrt{d}$ for $d \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4$ or $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}$ for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ as before. Then $1, \omega$ is an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_L$.

(ii) $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, $\alpha^3 = 2$. In the exercises we will see that $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$ is an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_L$.

(iii) Let K be a number field. Let $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis, equivalently $\mathfrak{a}$ is free over $\mathbb{Z}$ of rank n.

Remark 1.26. Let $L/K/\mathbb{Q}$ be number fields. Then $\mathcal{O}_K$ is in general not a PID, so theorem 1.24 is not applicable to $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$. However, one can look at the localization $\mathcal{O}_{L,\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}\mathcal{O}_L$ at $S = \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{L,\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}},L}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$-module and a DVR, so the theorem can be applied to this ring extension.

Definition 1.27. Let $L/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be an integral basis, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n$. Then $d_L = d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} := d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is called the *discriminant* of L (over $\mathbb{Q}$). More generally, if $0 \neq M \subseteq L$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_L$-module, then $d_L(M) = d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(M) := d(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ for some integral basis $m_1, \dots, m_n$ of M.

d_L is well-defined: Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ be another integral basis. Let $S \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be the transition matrix from the α_i to the β_i. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) &= \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_i \beta_j)) = \det(S^t (\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{ij} S) \\ &= \det(S)^2 \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)) = d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.28. $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $d \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4$. Then

$$d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \sqrt{d}) = \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{d}^{i+j}))_{0 \le i,j \le 1} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2d \end{pmatrix} = 4d.$$

Similarly one computes $d_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}} = d$ for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

Remark 1.29. (i) We will show that a prime p is ramified in $L/\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $p \mid d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}$ (where p is called ramified if the factorization $p\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ has some $e_i > 1$).

(ii) If L/K are number fields. One can easily define a "relative" discriminant $d_{L/K}$ if $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a PID by the same procedure as above, except that it is only well-defined up to units, i.e. the ideal $d_{L/K} := (d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$ for an integral basis α_i is well-defined.

Now assume $\mathcal{O}_K$ is arbitrary. As in remark 1.26, consider the extensions $\mathcal{O}_{L,\mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{O}_{K/\mathfrak{p}}$ for prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_K$. As above, we may define thus "local" discriminant ideals $d_{L/K,\mathfrak{p}} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$. One can then prove that there exists a unique ideal $\mathfrak{D} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathfrak{D}_\mathfrak{p} = d_{L/K,\mathfrak{p}}$ called the relative discriminant.

Lecture 5
Oct 29, 2025

Theorem 1.30. *Let $L/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field. Let $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}'$ be $\mathcal{O}_L$-submodules of L. Then*

$$d_L(\mathfrak{a}) = [\mathfrak{a}' : \mathfrak{a}]^2 d_L(\mathfrak{a}').$$

In particular, $[\mathfrak{a}' : \mathfrak{a}]$ is finite.

Proof. Let $\alpha_1', \dots, \alpha_n'$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathfrak{a}'$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. Let T be the transition matrix, i.e. $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij} \alpha_j'$, $t_{ji} \in \mathbb{Z}$. As before, we see that $d(\mathfrak{a}) = \det(T)^2 d(\mathfrak{a}')$. So it remains to show that $|\det(T)| = [\mathfrak{a}' : \mathfrak{a}]$. By the elementary divisor theorem, we may assume that T is a diagonal matrix, from where the claim follows easily. □

Corollary 1.31. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}_L$. If $d_L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is squarefree, then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is an integral basis.*

Remark 1.32. This is not a necessary condition: In example 1.28 we saw $4 \mid d_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ for $d \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4$.

2 Ideals

Noetherian Rings Let R be a ring. Recall from commutative algebra that an R-module M is called *Noetherian* if all submodules of M are finitely generated. In particular, M is finitely generated. For $M = R$ this says that R is Noetherian if all ideals of R are finitely generated. For example, PIDs, finite rings, or finite modules are clearly Noetherian.

Further recall that if R is noetherian and M a finitely generated R-module, then M is noetherian; as well as the following

Theorem 2.1. *The following are equivalent:*

(i) M is Noetherian

(ii) Each ascending chain $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$ of submodules of M stabilizes, i.e. there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $M_i = M_{n_0}$ for all $i \geq n_0$.

(iii) Every non-empty family of R-submodules of M contains maximal elements.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field. Then $\mathcal{O}_K$ is Noetherian, integrally closed and of dimension 1, i.e. each non-zero prime ideal is maximal.*

Proof. Each ideal $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ has a finite $\mathbb{Z}$-basis by theorem 1.24, hence in particular finitely generated. Thus $\mathcal{O}_K$ is noetherian. $\mathcal{O}_K$ is integrally closed by definition and transitivity 1.7.

Finally, for $0 \neq \mathfrak{p}$ prime, $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is an integral domain which is finite by theorem 1.30, hence a field. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}$ is maximal. □

Definition 2.3. A noetherian, integrally closed integral domain of dimension 1 is called a *Dedekind* domain.

Example 2.4. By theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a Dedekind domain. Further, any PID is clearly Dedekind.

Our next goal will be to show that in a Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}$, every ideal factors uniquely as a product of prime ideals.

Definition 2.5. Let R be a ring and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be ideals.

(i) We write $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{b}$ for $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

(ii) The ideal sum $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is also called the gcd of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$.

(iii) The intersection $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ is also called the lcm of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a Dedekind domain and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ an ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \neq (0), (1)$. Then there exists a unique presentation (up to order) of $\mathfrak{a}$ in the form*

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \tag{$*$}$$

with prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i \neq (0)$. If we write $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_s^{e_s}$ with pairwise distinct primes $\mathfrak{p}_j$, then also $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_s^{e_s}$

Proof. We start with the second statement: In general, one has $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ for coprime ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq R$ for any ring R. Also, if $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ are coprime, then so are $\mathfrak{p}^e$ and $\mathfrak{q}^f$.

For the main statement, we will need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be an ideal. Then there are non-zero prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$, $r \geq 1$, s.t. $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$*

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{M} := \{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \text{ ideal} \mid \mathfrak{a} \text{ does not satisfy the statement of the lemma}\}.$$

Suppose $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is noetherian, by theorem 2.1 there exists a maximal element $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is not a prime ideal, so there exist $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $b_1 b_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$, but $b_1, b_2 \notin \mathfrak{a}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_i := \mathfrak{a} + (b_i)$. By choice of $\mathfrak{a}$, we have $\mathfrak{a}_i \notin \mathcal{M}$, hence we can write

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s, \qquad \mathfrak{a}_2 \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r$$

for nonzero prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{q}_j$. But then

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{a} + (b_1 b_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{a},$$

contradicting $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{M}$. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let $0 \neq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal. Let $K := \operatorname{Quot}(\mathcal{O})$ and*

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid x\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\} \subseteq K.$$

Then $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \supseteq \mathcal{O}$ is a non-zero $\mathcal{O}$-module, and for any ideal $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ one has $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \supsetneq \mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Everything is clear but the strictness of the final inclusion. Let $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{p}$. By lemma 2.7 there exists a product of nonzero prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq (a)$ with r minimal. Since $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and all these ideals are maximal, we have $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}$, say. By minimality of r, $\mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \not\subseteq (a)$, so there exists $b \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \setminus (a)$, hence $a^{-1}b \notin \mathcal{O}$. On the other hand $b\mathfrak{p} \subseteq (a)$, so $a^{-1}b\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, i.e. $a^{-1}b \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \supsetneq \mathcal{O}$.

Let now $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be an ideal. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and suppose $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$. Then

$$x\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ji}\alpha_j, \qquad a_{ji} \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Let $A = (xE - (a_{ji}))$. Then $A(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)^t = 0$, so by lemma 1.4, $\det(A)\alpha_i = 0$, so x is a zero of the normalized polynomial $\det(tE - (\alpha_{ji})) \in \mathcal{O}[t]$, hence x is integral over $\mathcal{O}$. But $\mathcal{O}$ is integrally closed by definition, so $x \in \mathcal{O}$. Thus we have shown $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, contradicting the previous paragraph. □

Now we can return to the proof of theorem 2.6. Let

$$\mathcal{M} := \{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \text{ ideal } \mid \mathfrak{a} \neq (0), (1);\ \mathfrak{a} \text{ cannot be written as in } (*)\}.$$

Suppose $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is Noetherian, by theorem 2.1 there exists a maximal element $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal ideal containing $\mathfrak{a}$. By lemma 2.8, $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is maximal, $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$. By choice of $\mathfrak{a}$, we know that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \notin M$, so there is a factorization

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s\mathfrak{p}.$$

This contradicts $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{M}$, showing the existence of ideal factorizations.

For uniqueness, suppose $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s$. Then $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1$, so one of the factors is already contained in $\mathfrak{p}_1$, wlog $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_1$ is maximal, $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1$. Then multiply the original equation by $\mathfrak{p}_1^{-1}$ and proceed inductively. □

For convenience, we will often write prime ideal factorizations in the form $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq 0} \mathfrak{p}^{v_\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{a})}$, where $v_\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is zero for almost all $\mathfrak{p}$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have

Lecture 6
Oct 31, 2025

$$\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a} \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq 0} \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^{v_\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

Definition 2.9. A *fractional ideal* in $K = \operatorname{Quot}(\mathcal{O})$ is a nonzero finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of K.

Example 2.10.
(i) For $a \in K^\times$, $(a) = a\mathcal{O}$ is a principal fractional ideal.
(ii) More generally, $c\mathfrak{a}$ is a fractional ideal for $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ an ideal and $c \in K^\times$.

Lemma 2.11. $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq K$ *be a fractional ideal if and only if there exists $c \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. The backwards direction is clear. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s)$ be a fractional ideal. Write $\alpha_1 = \frac{b_i}{c_i}$ with $b_i, c_i \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $\prod c_i\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. □

To better distinguish fractional ideals and ideals contained in $\mathcal{O}$, we will often call the latter "integral ideals".

Theorem 2.12. *Let $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the set of fractional ideals. Then $J_{\mathcal{O}}$ is an abelian group w.r.t. multiplication of ideals. The identity element is $\mathcal{O}$, and the inverse of $\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = (\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a})$, where*

$$(\mathfrak{b} : \mathfrak{c}) := \{x \in K \mid x\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}\}$$

Proof. In the proof of theorem 2.6 we have seen $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$. Let know $\mathfrak{a}$ be an integral ideal. For $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$, we have the inverse $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{-1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{-1}$. By lemma 2.11, each fractional ideal has an inverse.

Let now $\mathfrak{a}$ be a fractional ideal and $\mathfrak{b}$ its inverse, we want to show $\mathfrak{b} = (\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a})$. The inclusion $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq (\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a})$ is clear from the definition of inverse. If $x \in (\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a})$. Then $x\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, so $x\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, i.e. $x \in \mathfrak{b}$, finishing the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \in J_{\mathcal{O}}$ be a fractional ideal. Then we have a unique representation of $\mathfrak{a}$ in the form*

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq 0} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})}$$

with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and almost all $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. Further, we can uniquely write $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^{-1} =: \frac{\mathfrak{b}}{\mathfrak{c}}$ with $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ integral ideals s.t. $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}) = 1$.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be an integral ideal, and let $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ be a prime ideal. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})}\mathfrak{b}$ with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{b}$. Then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) = n$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^n$ and $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$, i.e. $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is the highest power of $\mathfrak{p}$ dividing $\mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^n\mathfrak{b}$, it is clear that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^n$, and if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$, then we would have $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^n$. Then $\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}^{-n} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ is an ideal, and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p}^n$ shows $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq n$. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{b}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^n\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$, contradicting the assumption. $\square$

Definition 2.15. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a Dedekind domain and $K = \mathrm{Quot}(\mathcal{O})$. Set $P_{\mathcal{O}} = \{x\mathcal{O} \mid x \in K^\times\} \subseteq J_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. Then $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}} := J_{\mathcal{O}}/P_{\mathcal{O}}$ is called the *ideal class group* of $\mathcal{O}$.

In the case of a number field $K/\mathbb{Q}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, write $\mathrm{cl}_K = \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ and similarly for J_K and P_K. Our next aim is to prove that cl_K is a finite group. This is not true for general Dedekind domains.

Remark 2.16. From the definition it is clear that a Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}$ is a PID if and only if $|\,\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}}\,| = 1$. In general, we have the following exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}^\times \hookrightarrow K^\times \xrightarrow{a \mapsto (a)} J_{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a} \mapsto [\mathfrak{a}]} \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}} \to 1$$

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a Dedekind domain with finitely many prime ideals. Then $\mathcal{O}$ is a PID.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be the nonzero prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}$. It suffices to show that each $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is principal, the result then follows from the prime ideal factorization 2.6. Let $a_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{p}_1^2$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists $a \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $a \equiv a_1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}_1^2$ and $a \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i > 1$.

Then $\mathfrak{p}_1 = a\mathcal{O}$. Indeed, let $a\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{\nu_n}$. Since $a \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{p}_1^2$ and $a \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i$, lemma 2.14 shows $\nu_1 = 1$ and $\nu_i = 0$ for $i > 1$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq K = \mathrm{Quot}(\mathcal{O})$ be a Dedekind domain and $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a multiplicative subset. Then $S^{-1}\mathcal{O}$ is still Dedekind: It is clearly a noetherian integral domain of dimension 1, by the correspondence of ideals in $\mathcal{O}$ and $S^{-1}\mathcal{O}$. For integrally closed check in general that $S^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{B,C} = \mathcal{O}_{S^{-1}B,S^{-1}C}$.

Now take a prime $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ and $S = S_\mathfrak{p} := \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p} = S_\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathcal{O}$ is a Dedekind domain with exactly one prime $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{p}$, hence a PID by theorem 2.17, even a DVR.

Theorem 2.18. *Let $0 \neq \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be an ideal. Let $c \in \mathrm{cl}_\mathcal{O}$ be an ideal class. Then c contains an integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ with $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}) = 1$.*

Proof. If there are only finitely many primes, then $\mathrm{cl}_\mathcal{O} = 1$ by theorem 2.17, so we may take $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}$. Suppose now we have infinitely many primes. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{f_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{f_s}$ be the unique prime ideal factorization of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $c = [\mathfrak{a}]$, wlog $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}\mathfrak{b}$, $r \leq s$ and $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{m}) = 1$. Choose $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_{i+1}}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ such that

$$\alpha \equiv \alpha_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i+1} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, r,$$
$$\alpha \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}_i \qquad \text{for } i = r+1, \ldots, s.$$

Then by lemma 2.14 $\alpha\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}\mathfrak{c}$ for an integral ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ with $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{m}) = 1$. □

In general, $\mathcal{O}$ is not a PID, but Lecture 7 Nov 5, 2025

Theorem 2.19. *Each ideal $\mathfrak{a} \in J_\mathcal{O}$ can be generated by two elements. In fact, given $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$, then there exists $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha, \beta)$.*

Proof. Suffices to consider $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Claim: If $0 \neq \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ is an ideal, then every ideal of $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{b}$ is principal.

Given this, let $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ and let $\pi : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/(\alpha)$ be the canonical projection. Then the image of $\mathfrak{a}$ under π is principal by the claim, say $\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = (\overline{\beta})$. Hence $\mathfrak{a} = \pi^{-1}((\overline{\beta})) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Hence it remains to prove the claim. Write $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ with $e_i \geq 1$ and $(\mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{p}_j) = 1$. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{c}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal, with $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{f_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{f_r}$, $f_i \leq e_i$ the corresponding ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$, let $\mathfrak{q}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{q}_r$ be the image of $\mathfrak{p}_i$ under this isomorphism. It suffices to show that the $\mathfrak{q}_j$ are principal. But $\mathfrak{q}_j = 1$ for $i \neq j$, and $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_1}$.

More generally, $\mathfrak{p}^i/\mathfrak{p}^e$ is principal in $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^e$: Take $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}^i \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$, then $\alpha\mathcal{O} + \mathfrak{p}^e = \mathfrak{p}^i$ by lemma 2.14, so $(\overline{\alpha}) = \mathfrak{p}^i/\mathfrak{p}^e$. □

In general, computing integral bases is difficult. However, sometimes they can be pieced together from smaller rings: Let K, L be number fields of degree n, m, respectively. Let $M = KL$ be their composite. Then $\mathcal{O}_K\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \mathcal{O}_M$.

Theorem 2.20. *Assume that $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$. Let $d := \gcd(d_K, d_L)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_M \subseteq \frac{1}{d}\mathcal{O}_K\mathcal{O}_L$.*

Corollary 2.21. *If $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$ and $\gcd(d_K, d_L) = 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}_L\mathcal{O}_K$. In addition, $d_M = d_L^n d_K^m$.*

Example 2.22. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let ζ_m be a primitive m-th root of unity. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}$ is a number field, called *cyclotomic field*, of degree $\varphi(m) = |(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times|$, and a Galois extension with $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, where the isomorphism is given by $k \mapsto \sigma_k : \zeta_m \mapsto \zeta_m^k$.

We will show $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n}} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^n}]$ and that $d_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})}$ is a power of p. Further it is easy to see that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mn})$ for m, n coprime. So corollary 2.21 implies $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ and gives a formula for the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$.

Proof. Claim: Let $\sigma : K \to \mathbb{C}$, $\tau : L \to \mathbb{C}$ be embeddings. Then there exists a unique embedding $\kappa : M \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\kappa|_K = \sigma$ and $\kappa|_L = \tau$. For the restriction map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{C}) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(L, \mathbb{C})$ is clearly injective and between finite sets of the same size nm, so bijective.

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_K$, and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m$ an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_L$. Then $\alpha_i\beta_j$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_K\mathcal{O}_L$. Any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_N$ can be written in the form $\alpha = \sum_{i,j} \frac{m_{ij}}{r}\alpha_i\beta_j$ with $m_{ij}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(r, \gcd(m_{ij})_{ij}) = 1$. To show: $r \mid d$.

By symmetry, it suffices to show $r \mid d_K$. By the claim, for each $\sigma : K \to \mathbb{C}$ there exists a unique $\widetilde{\sigma} : M \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}|_K = \sigma$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}|_L = \mathrm{id}_L$. Then

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(\alpha) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{m_{ij}}{r}\widetilde{\sigma}(\alpha_i\beta_j) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{m_{ij}}{r}\sigma(\alpha_i)\beta_j.$$

Set $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{m_{ij}}{r}\beta_j$. Then we have n equations $\widetilde{\sigma}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(\alpha_i)x_i$, one for each σ. By Cramer's rule, $x_i = \frac{\gamma_i}{\delta}$, where $\delta = \det(\sigma(\alpha_i))_{\sigma,i}$. Clearly, $\gamma_i, \delta_i \in \mathcal{O}_M$, and by definition $\delta^2 = d_K$. Hence $d_K x_i = \delta\gamma_i$, so $d_K x_i = \sum_j \frac{d_K m_{ij}}{r}\beta_j \in \mathcal{O}_N \cap L = \mathcal{O}_L$. But this means $r \mid d_K m_{ij}$ for all i, j, so $r \mid d_K$ by the coprimiality assumption.

For the discriminant formula in the corollary, we now know that $\alpha_i\beta_j$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_M$, hence Lecture 8 Nov 7, 2025

$$\begin{aligned}
d_N = d(\alpha_i\beta_j) &= \det(\operatorname{Tr}_{M/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i\beta_j\alpha_k\beta_l)) = \det(\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{Tr}_{M/K}(\alpha_i\beta_j\alpha_k\beta_l)))\\
&= \det(\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i\alpha_k \operatorname{Tr}_{M/K}(\beta_j\beta_l))) = \det(\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i\alpha_k \operatorname{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_j\beta_l)))\\
&= \det(\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i\alpha_k) \operatorname{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_j\beta_l)) = \det((\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i\alpha_k)) \otimes (\operatorname{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_j\beta_l)))\\
&= d_K^m d_L^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact from linear algebra that $A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B) \in R^{nm\times nm}$ for $A \in R^{n\times n}, B \in R^{m\times m}$ satisfies $\det(A \otimes B) = \det(A)^m \det(B)^n$ ☐

3 Lattices

Definition 3.1. Let V be an n-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. A *lattice* in V is a subgroup Γ of V of the form $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}v_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_m$ with linearly independent vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$, $m \leq n$. The set $\Phi = \{x_1v_1 + \ldots + x_mv_m \mid 0 \leq x_i < 1\}$ is called a *fundamental domain* of Γ. Further, Γ is a *full* lattice if $m = n$.

Definition 3.2. A subgroup Γ of V is called discrete if for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists a neighbourhood U such that $\Gamma \cap U = \{\gamma\}$

Lemma 3.3. *If Γ is a discrete subgroup of V, then Γ is closed.*

Proof. Claim: Each $a \in V \setminus \Gamma$ has an open neighbourhood U with $|\Gamma \cap U| < \infty$.

Then since V is Hausdorff, there exists an open neighbourhood $\widetilde{U}$ of a that avoids these finitely many points, so $(U \cap \widetilde{U}) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, i.e. $U \cap \widetilde{U}$ is a neighbourhood of a in $V \setminus \Gamma$.

To prove the claim, let $a \in V \setminus \Gamma$. By assumption, there exists an open $\widetilde{U} \subseteq V$ such that $\widetilde{U} \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$. Since $V \times V \to V$, $(a, b) \mapsto a - b$ is continuous, there exists an open neighbourhood U of 0 such that $U - U \subseteq \widetilde{U}$. Then $a + U$ is an open neighbourhood of a, suppose there are $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma \cap (a + U)$. But then $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in \widetilde{U}$, so $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *Let Γ be a subgroup of V. Then Γ is discrete if and only if for all bounded $C \subseteq V$ one has $|C \cap \Gamma| < \infty$.*

Proof. Let Γ be discrete. Wlog C is compact. If $C \cap \Gamma$ were infinite, then by Bolzano-Weierstrass, there is an accumulation point $\gamma \in C \cap \Gamma$ (by lemma 3.3), contradicting the definition.

Conversely, let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Choose an open ball around γ. By assumption, this ball contains only finitely many $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, which, as before, can be separated from γ using the Hausdorff property. $\square$

Example 3.5. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is not a lattice in $V = \mathbb{R}$, but $\mathcal{O}_K$ becomes a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$ via

$$j : \mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \qquad a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto (a + b\sqrt{2}, a - b\sqrt{2}).$$

We will prove soon (in general) that $j(\mathcal{O}_K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is a lattice.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\Gamma \subseteq V$ be a subgroup. Then Γ is a lattice if and only if Γ is discrete.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}v_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_m$ be a lattice. Choose a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_m, v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n$ of V. Let $\gamma = a_1 v_1 + \ldots + a_m v_m$. Consider

$$U := \{x_1 v_1 + \ldots + x_n v_n \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R} \mid |a_i - x_i| < 1 \text{ for } i \leq m\}.$$

Then U is open and $U \cap \Gamma = \{\gamma\}$.

Conversely, let Γ be discrete. Let V_0 be the $\mathbb{R}$-subspace of V generated by Γ and denote $m := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_0$. Choose a $\mathbb{R}$-basis $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ of V_0 with $u_i \in \Gamma$. Consider $\Gamma_0 := \mathbb{Z}u_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}u_m \subseteq V_0$, which is a lattice by definition.

<u>Claim:</u> $q := (\Gamma : \Gamma_0) < \infty$. Then $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \frac{1}{q}\Gamma_0$ is a subgroup of a free abelian group, so is itself free (of rank m).

To prove the claim, let $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of representatives of Γ/Γ_0. Let $\Phi_0 = \{x_1 u_1 + \ldots + x_m u_m \mid 0 \leq x_i < 1\}$ be a fundamental domain of Γ_0. Then $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} (\gamma + \Phi_0) = V$, hence $\gamma_i = \gamma_{0i} + \mu_i$ with $\gamma_{0i} \in \Gamma_0$ and $\mu_i \in \Phi_0$. Then the bounded Φ_0 contains all the $\mu_i = \gamma_i - \gamma_{0i} \in \Gamma$, hence I is finite by lemma 3.4. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\Gamma \subseteq V$ be a lattice. Then Γ is full if and only if there exists a bounded subset $M \subseteq V$ such that $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \in \Gamma(\gamma + M) = V$.*

Proof. If Γ is full, take M to be a fundamental domain. Conversely, let V_0 be the $\mathbb{R}$-span of Γ. Let $v \in V$. For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ write $\nu v = \gamma_\nu + a_\nu$ with $\gamma_\nu \in \Gamma$ and $a_\nu \in M$. Since M is bounded, $\frac{a_\nu}{\nu} \xrightarrow{\nu \to \infty} 0$. Hence

$$v = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \frac{\gamma_\nu + a_\nu}{\nu} = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \frac{\gamma_\nu}{\nu} \in V_0,$$

since $V_0 \subseteq V$ is closed. $\square$