## Topology I

read by Prof. Dr. Bernhard Leeb

# Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München winter term 2025/26

notes by Stefan Albrecht

### **Contents**

I	Prel	Preliminaries from Group Theory		
	I.1	The free product of groups	2	
	1.2	Free Groups	4	

#### I Preliminaries from Group Theory

Lecture 1 Oct 13, 2025

**Free groups** usually do not appear in the beginner courses even though they are fundamental objects in group theory. E.g. every group is a quotient of a free group. They play an important role in geometric group theory or (low-dimensional) topology. They can be constructed as *free products* (of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), an equally fundamental construction.

#### I.1 The free product of groups

Intuitively, the free product of a family of groups is the "largest group generated by them". As many basic constructions in algebra or topology, it can be elegantly characterized by a *universal property*, namely as the coproduct in the category of groups.

**Definition I.1.** The *free product* of a family of groups  $G_{\iota}$ ,  $\iota \in I$ , is a group G together with a family of group homomorphisms  $\varphi_{\iota}: G_{\iota} \to G$  such that the following universal property holds:

$$G_{\iota} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\iota}} G$$

$$\downarrow \exists ! \psi$$


$$H$$

For every family of homomorphisms  $\psi_{\iota}:G_{\iota}\to H$  into some group H, there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\psi:G\to H$  such that  $\psi_{\iota}=\psi\circ\varphi_{\iota}$  for all  $\iota\in I$ .

**Notation** The free product will be denoted as  $*_{\iota \in I} G_{\iota}$ , or  $G_1 * \ldots * G_n$  in the finite case.

The *uniqueness* of the free product of groups up to (unique) isomorphism follows from general arguments (sometimes referred to as *general* or *abstract nonsense*), independent of the category (applying to the coproduct in any category):

Consider two free products  $(\varphi_{\iota}: G_{\iota} \to G)_{\iota \in I}$  and  $(\varphi'_{\iota}: G_{\iota} \to G')_{\iota \in I}$  of the family  $(G_{\iota})_{\iota \in I}$ .



By the universal properties, we obtain maps  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  as in the diagram. Applying uniqueness to the big triangle, we see that  $\psi' \circ \psi$  is the *unique* map satisfying the universal property for  $G \to G$ . But the identity map clearly does as well, hence  $\psi' \circ \psi = \mathrm{id}_G$ , and in the same way one sees  $\psi \circ \psi' = \mathrm{id}_{G'}$ . Hence  $G \cong G'$ .

However, the *existence* of the free product is nontrivial (The existence of a coproduct depends on the category).

**Immediate requirements** for the free product as a consequence of the universal property:

- The  $\varphi_{\iota}$  are injective (i.e. embeddings), so we can think of the  $G_{\iota}$  as subgroups of G.
- The subgroups  $G_{\iota}$  generate G.

Thus every element in the free product has a representation as a product of the form  $g_1 \cdots g_n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $g_i \in G_{\iota_i} - \{1\}$  such that  $\iota_i \neq \iota_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . This form is called *reduced*.

Not clear right away: The free product being the "largest gorup generated by its factors" should mean that the factors are "algebraically independent" in the sense that group elements have *unique representations* as reduced products.

#### **First proof of existence** For a family of families

$$(\psi_{\iota\kappa}G_{\iota}\to H_{\kappa})_{\iota\in I}, \quad \kappa\in K$$

the mapping problem is solved by the family of induced homomorphisms into the direct product of the groups  $H_{\kappa}$ .

$$G_{\iota} \xrightarrow{(\varphi_{\iota\kappa})_{\kappa}} \prod_{\kappa \in K} H_{\kappa}$$

$$\downarrow^{\operatorname{proj}_{\kappa_{0}}}$$

$$H_{\kappa_{0}}$$

To achieve uniqueness, replace  $\prod_{\kappa} H_{\kappa}$  by the subgroup generated by the images of all  $(\varphi_{\iota\kappa})_{\kappa}$ . This family can be regarded as an "approximation" of the free product of the  $G_{\iota}$ .

Now note that the universal property needs only be checked for families  $(\psi_{\iota}:G_{\iota}\to H)_{\iota}$  whose images generate H. The equivalence classes of such families form a set, because the size of H is restricted in terms of the sizes of I and the  $G_{\iota}$ . Apply the above-mentioned construction to a family of representatives of these equivalence classes.

Lecture 2 Oct 15, 2025

This proof, while quite general, reveals very little about the structure of the free product. We now give an explicit construction of the free product, which clarifies its structure.

**Second proof of existence** One can construct the free product as an abstract group with underlying set the reduced words, as defined above, and concatenation plus reduction as the group operation. However, verifying associativity is complicated due to possible cancelations.

It is simpler to onstruct the free product as a group of symmetries of a combinatorial object (as a permutation group). Take W to be the set of reduced words  $(g_1,\ldots,g_n)$  with  $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $g_i\in G_{\iota_i}-\{1\}$  and  $\iota_i\neq\iota_{i+1}$  for all  $1\leq i< n$ . For every  $\iota\in I$ , define an action of  $G_\iota$  on W by defining  $g\cdot(g_1,\ldots,g_n)$  as the reduction of the word  $(g,g_1,\ldots,g_n)$ . It is easy to see that this is indeed an action.

These actions are clearly faithful (effective), even free<sup>1</sup>, and yield embeddings  $\varphi_{\iota}: G_{\iota} \hookrightarrow S(W)$  into the symmetric group of W. Take G < S(W) to be the subgroup generated by the images of the  $\varphi_{\iota}$ . Observe that for the action G on W it holds that  $(g_1 \cdots g_n) \cdot () = (g_1 \cdots g_n)$  for a reduced product in G. This shows that different reduced products act by different permutations of W, and therefore are different group elements. In other words, the elements in G have unique representations as reduced products. In this sense, the  $G_i$  are "algebraically independent".

The universal property is now a direct consequence of the uniqueness of reduced product representations. With the notation as in definition I.1, the only possibility to define  $\psi$  on reduced words is as  $\psi(g_1 \cdots g_n) := \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_{\iota_i}(g_i)$ , where  $g_i \in G_{\iota_i}$ . By the uniqueness of reduced representations, this is well-defined and clearly makes the necessary diagram commute. It remains to see that the map  $\psi$  is multiplicative and hence a group homomorphism.

Let  $g_1\cdots g_n$  and  $g_k'\cdots g_1'\in W$ . There is a maximal index m with  $0\leq m\leq n, k$  s.t.  $\iota_j'=\iota_j$  and  $g_j'g_j=1$  for  $1\leq j\leq m$ . Then either the product  $g_k'\cdots g_{m+1}'g_{m+1}\cdots g_n$  obtained from the full unreduced product by m cancellations is reduced (i.e.  $\iota_{m+1}'\neq\iota_{m+1}$  or  $m=\min(n,k)$ ), or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>faithful = nontrivial elements act nontrivially, full = nontrivial elements have no fixed points

 $m<\min(n,k)$  and  $\iota'_{m+1}=\iota_{m+1},$   $g'_{m+1}g_{m+1}\neq 1,$  in which case  $g'_k\cdots(g'_{m+1}g_{m+1})\cdots g_n$  is reduced. In both cases, multiplicativity is clear.

**Remark I.2.** The action of G on W is simply transitive, because the empty word has trivial stabilizer and point stabilizers along orbits are conjugate to each other. It extends to an action of G on a coloured graph with vertices W. To construct it, connect () to (g) for  $g \in G_{\iota} - \{1\}$  by an edge of colour  $\iota$ . Extend this in a G-invariant way by connecting  $(g_1,\ldots,g_n)$  to  $(g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1},g)$ with  $g \in G_{\iota_n} - \{1, g_n\}$ , and  $(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1})$  by edges of colour  $\iota_n$ , and to  $(g_1, \ldots, g_n, g)$  for all  $g \in G_{\iota} - \{1\}, \iota \neq \iota_n$  by an edge of colour  $\iota$ .

This homogeneous graph is in general not a tree, but it has tree-like structure, since vertices disconnect, e.g. when removing the empty word, the connected components correspond to the factors  $G_{\iota}$ , determined by the colour" of the first letter of their vertices.

Note that in general, G is *not* the full group of symmetries of this coloured graph.

**Example I.3.** Consider the free product  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong D_{\infty} = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z})$ : Let a, b be the reflections of  $\mathbb{Z}$  around 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}$ , respectively. Send the generators of the two copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  to a and b to get a surjective map  $\bar{\alpha}: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}*\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to D_{\infty}$ , which one checks to be injective by computing the images of 0 and 1.

#### **I.2** Free Groups

Lecture 3

Intuitively, the free group on a given set is the "largest group generated by it". It is defined via a Oct 20, 2025 universal property:

**Definition I.4.** The *free group* on a set S is a group F = F(S) together with a map  $\varphi : S \to F$ such that the following universal property holds:

$$S \xrightarrow{\varphi} F$$

$$\downarrow \exists ! \widehat{\psi}$$

$$H$$

For any map  $\psi: S \to H$  into some group H, there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\widehat{\psi}: \mathbb{R} \to H$  $F(S) \to H$  such that  $\psi = \widehat{\psi} \circ \varphi$ .

In other words, the free group constitutes a left adjoint to the forgetful functor from groups to sets. As before, this defines the free group uniquely up to isomorphism(, provided it exists). The existence can be established by constructing them as free products:

**Existence** Let S be a set. Consider the map  $S \to *_{s \in S} \mathbb{Z}_s =: F(S), s \mapsto 1_s$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_s$  is a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}$  indexed by s and  $1_s$  is the unit in that copy. The universal property of free products implies that  $\varphi$  satisfies the universal property of a free group: A map  $\psi: S \to H$  corresponds to a family of group homomorphisms  $\psi_s \mathbb{Z}_s \to H, 1_s \mapsto \psi(s)$ . The universal property of free products yields a map  $\hat{\psi}: F(S) \to H$  such that  $\psi_s = \hat{\psi} \circ \varphi_s$ . Restricting those maps to S shows  $\psi = \hat{\psi} \circ \varphi$ , as desired.

We also obtain the following information on the structure of free groups:  $\varphi: S \to F(S)$  is injective, so we can think of S as a subset of F(S), and the elements of S generate F(S). By our analysis of free products, the elements in F(S) have unique reduced normal forms  $s_1^{n_1} \cdots s_k^{n_k}$ with  $s_i \in S$ ,  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  and  $s_i \neq s_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i < k$ . In this sense, the generators  $s \in S$  are "algebraically independent" in F(S).

The free group can be realized (and could in fact also be constructed) as the full group of symmetries of a combinatorial object, namely of a coloured oriented tree. Consider the graph

G=G(S) with vertex set F=F(S) and edges  $(\gamma,\gamma s)$  for all  $\gamma\in F$  and  $s\in S$  with colour s, as in remark I.2. At any point  $\gamma\in F$ , there will be |S| edges going away and towards  $\gamma$  each. Left multiplication gives a natural action of F on G, which is simply transitive on vertices. One easily sees that this is the full group of symmetries (respecting orientation and colours). An (unoriented) path  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 = \gamma_0 s_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ldots, \gamma_n = \gamma_0 s_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots s_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  is "locally embedded" in the sense that  $\gamma_{i-1} \neq \gamma_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  if and only if  $s_i^{\varepsilon_i} s_{i+1}^{\varepsilon_{i+1}}$ , and in this case  $s_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots s_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  is a reduced product (i.e. no cancellations can occur). The uniqueness of reduced product representations in the free group implies that there are no cycles in the graph G, i.e. G is indeed a tree.

**Remark I.5.** This gives an alternate geometric way of proving the existence of free groups: First construct the tree (either inductively or via covering theory as the universal cover of a bouquet of circles), then look at its automorphism group and prove that it satisfies the universal property. We will come back to this later.

Next we will define the *rank* of a free group by abelianizing it. We recall that the *abelianization* of a group G is the left adjoint of the inclusion from abelian groups to groups, obtained by dividing out its commutator subgroup  $G^{ab} := G/[G,G]$ , thereby introducing commutation relations between all elements. It is the largest abelian quotient of G.

Abelianizing the free group F(S) on a set S yields the free abelian group with basis S,  $F^{ab}(S) = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathbb{Z}_s$ . We will think of elements of this direct sum as finite sums  $\sum_{s \in S} m_s s$ . Indeed, the homomorphism  $*\mathbb{Z}_s \to \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_s$  defined by  $1_s \mapsto s$ , i.e.  $s_1^{m_1} \cdots s_n^{m_n} \mapsto \sum m_i s_i$  descends to an isomorphism  $F(S)^{ab} \to F^{ab}(S)$ , whose inverse is given by  $\sum m_i s_i \mapsto [s_1^{m_1} \cdots s_n^{m_n}]$ .

We know that the rank free abelian groups is well-defined as the size of a basis. Therefore, the following definition yields a well-defined invariant of free groups:

**Definition I.6.** The rank of a free group on a set S is defined to be the cardinality |S|.