

# Algebraic Number Theory

read by Prof. Dr. Werner Bley

notes by Stefan Albrecht

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München – winter term 2025/26

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Motivation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Integrality</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Motivation

**Theorem 1.1** (Lagrange). *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then*

$$p = x^2 + y^2 \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ if and only if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

*Proof.* For any integer  $x$  we have  $x^2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ , hence  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0, 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Conversely, assume that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$  is a cyclic group of order  $p - 1$ , so there exists some  $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  of order 4. Thus there is  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , i.e.  $p \mid m^2 + 1 = (m + i)(m - i) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Since the Gaussian integers form a Euclidean ring, it is in particular a PID.

Consider its norm  $N : \mathbb{Z}[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha = a + bi \mapsto \alpha\bar{\alpha} = a^2 + b^2$ , which is a multiplicative function. Suppose that  $p \mid m + i$ . Then  $p \mid m - i$  as well, hence  $p \mid 2i$ , which is clearly wrong. Hence  $p$  is not a prime element in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Since we are in a PID,  $p$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , i.e. there exist non-units  $\alpha = x + yi, \beta = x' + y'i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $p = \alpha\beta$ . Now we see  $p^2 = N(\alpha)N(\beta) = (x^2 + y^2)(x'^2 + y'^2)$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta$  aren't units, each factor is  $> 1$ , hence  $p = x^2 + y^2 = x'^2 + y'^2$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.** A finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is called a *number field*.

**Example 1.3.**  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is a number field of degree 2. In the above example, we worked in  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i)$ . We want to generalize this.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K := \{\alpha \in K \mid \exists f \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \text{ normalized s.t. } f(\alpha) = 0\},$$

i.e. the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $K$ , is called the *ring of integers* in  $K$ .

We will show:  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a Dedekind domain.

**Example 1.5.** (i) For  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$  we have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$

(ii) For  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  one gets  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$

(iii) For  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})$  we have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$

(iv) (Exercise) More generally, for  $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  squarefree, the ring of integers of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{d} & \text{if } d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then*

$$p = x^2 - 2y^2 \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ if and only if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}.$$

*Proof.* The forward direction follows as in the first theorem. For the converse, we work in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Consider the norm  $N : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha = x + y\sqrt{2} \mapsto \alpha\sigma(\alpha) = x^2 - 2y^2$ , where  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . We will see later (Quadratic Reciprocity) that  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  is equivalent to  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$ , i.e. 2 being a square mod  $p$ .

Hence there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \mid m^2 - 2 = (m - \sqrt{2})(m + \sqrt{2})$ . As before, we see that  $p$  is not prime, hence reducible ( $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  is again Euclidean) and we finish as before.  $\square$

The main difference between theorems 1.1 and 1.6 is that the unit group of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is finite, while  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^\times = \{\pm 1\} \times (1 + \sqrt{2})^\mathbb{Z}$  is infinite<sup>1</sup>. This implies that  $p = x^2 - 2y^2$  has infinitely many solutions for  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , for  $N((1 + \sqrt{2})^{2k}\alpha) = N(\alpha)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In this vein, an important goal of this lecture is

**Theorem 1.7** (Dirichlet's unit theorem). *Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field. Let  $s$  be the number of real embeddings and let  $t$  be the number of pairs of complex embeddings of  $K$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is a finitely generated abelian group of rank  $r = s + t - 1$ , i.e. there exist fundamental units  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r$  and  $\zeta \in \mu_K = \{\text{roots of unity in } K\}$  such that each  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  can be uniquely written in the form*

$$\varepsilon = \zeta^l \varepsilon_1^{a_1} \cdots \varepsilon_r^{a_r}$$

with  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}/\text{ord}(\zeta)\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 1.8.** For  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  we have  $\mu_K = \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$  and  $r = 2 + 0 - 1 = 1$ , since both embeddings  $\sqrt{2} \mapsto \sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{2} \mapsto -\sqrt{2}$  are real.

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field. We choose the algebraic closure  $\mathbb{Q}^c$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  that sits inside of  $\mathbb{C}$ , so we may, and will, always assume  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is separable, so we may write  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in K$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Then we have embeddings  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  corresponding to the zeroes  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of  $f$ , i.e. the conjugates of  $\alpha$ .  $\sigma$  is called a real embedding if  $\sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , or equivalently if the corresponding  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Otherwise it is called a complex embedding. These come in pairs, because if  $\alpha_i$  is a conjugate of  $\alpha$ , so is  $\overline{\alpha_i}$ .

**Example 1.9.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  be a quadratic number field. If  $d > 0$  we find as before that  $s = 2, t = 0$ , so  $r = 1$ . If, on the other hand,  $d < 0$ , then  $s = 0, t = 1$ , hence  $r = 0$  and  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is finite.

**Question** Which odd primes  $p$  can be written in the form  $p = x^2 + 6y^2$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? As in the previous theorems, we write this as  $(x + y\sqrt{-6})(x - y\sqrt{-6}) = N(x + y\sqrt{-6})$  in the number field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})$  with ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ . However, our previous proof strategy does *not* work, because  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$  is not a PID (e.g.  $2 \cdot 3 = -\sqrt{-6} \cdot \sqrt{-6}$  are two essentially different factorizations of 6 into irreducibles).

This leads naturally to the question when  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a PID. To investigate this, we will introduce the *class group*: The nonzero ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  form a monoid w.r.t. multiplication.

**Definition 1.10.** Write  $I_K$  for the group of fractional nonzero ideals and  $P_K = \{\alpha\mathcal{O}_K \mid \alpha \in K^\times\}$  the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. The quotient  $\text{cl}_K = I_K/P_K$  is called the *ideal class group*.

One sees directly that  $\text{cl}_K = 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a PID. We will prove

**Theorem 1.11.**  $|\text{cl}_K| < \infty$ .

In any case  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is Dedekind, which is equivalent to prime factorization of *ideals*, i.e. each ideal  $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  can be uniquely written as a product of prime ideals

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ \mathfrak{p} \neq 0}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})}, \quad v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \text{ almost all } v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) = 0.$$

<sup>1</sup> $\supseteq$  is easy by direct computation, which is all we use here. We will see how to prove  $\subseteq$  later.

**Example 1.12.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$  we have  $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_2^2$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \langle 2, \sqrt{-6} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $3\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_3^2$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_3 = \langle 3, \sqrt{-6} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\sqrt{-6}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3$ , so the "problematic" factorization  $2 \cdot 3 = -\sqrt{-6}^2$  becomes  $\mathfrak{p}_2^2\mathfrak{p}_3^2 = (\mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3)^2$  when passing to ideals.

Given an extension of number fields  $L/K$ , and a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ , by the above the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$  splits into a product of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_r^{e_r}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . A further goal of this lecture is to understand and compute this factorization. Denoting  $f_i = [\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}_i : \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}]$ , we will for example be able to show  $[L : K] = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i$ .

**Definition 1.13.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \nmid a$ . Then the *Legendre symbol* is defined as

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p} \text{ has a solution in } \mathbb{Z}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also set  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 0$  if  $p \mid a$ .

We will show: Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Let  $p \neq 2$ . Then

$$p\mathcal{O}_K = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{p} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ prime} & \text{if } \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1, \\ \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime} & \text{if } \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1, \\ \mathfrak{p}^2, \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime} & \text{if } p \mid d. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

**Law of quadratic reciprocity** Let  $p, q$  be odd primes. Then

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)/4} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

Further, we have the two supplements  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}$  and  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p^2-1)/8}$ . This theorem allows quick computation of Legendre symbols.

Using the above, we will be able to generalize the theorems from the beginning:

Lecture 2  
Oct 17, 2025

**Corollary 1.14.** Let  $d$  be a squarefree integer. A prime  $p \neq 2$  can be written in the form  $p = x^2 - dy^2$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a principal ideal, where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is as in  $(*)$ .

## 2 Integrality

Rings are always commutative and contain a multiplicative unit, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be a ring extension. An element  $b \in B$  is *integral* over  $A$  if there exists a normalized polynomial  $f(X) = X^m + a_{m-1}X^{m-1} + \dots + a_1X + a_0 \in A[X]$  such that  $f(b) = 0$ .  $B$  is *integral* over  $A$  if every  $b \in B$  is integral over  $A$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $K$  be a number field. Then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is integral (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

If  $B/A$  is a field extension, then  $B$  is integral over  $A$  if and only if  $B$  is algebraic over  $A$ .

We want to show that the set of all integral elements form a ring, i.e. that given integral elements  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ ,  $b_1 + b_2$  and  $b_1b_2$  are integral as well.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ . Then  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are integral over  $A$  if and only if  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module.

*Proof.* " $\Rightarrow$ ": By induction. For  $n = 1$  let  $b \in B$  be integral over  $A$ . Let  $f(b) = 0$ . Then  $b^m = -\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i b^i$ , so  $A[b]$  is generated by  $1, b, \dots, b^{m-1}$  as a  $A$ -module.

More explicitly: Let  $g(b) \in A[b]$  be some element. Since  $f$  is normalized, we can perform division with remainder to write  $g = qf + r$  with  $q, r \in A[x]$  with  $\deg(r) < m$ . Hence  $g(b) = q(b)f(b) + r(b) = r(b)$ , which is a linear combination of  $b^i$ ,  $i < m$ .

For the inductive step, we have to prove that  $A \subseteq A[b_1, \dots, b_n] \subseteq A[b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}]$  is finitely generated, knowing that the first extension is finitely generated. Since  $b_{n+1}$  is integral over  $A$ , it is also finitely generated over  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ , hence  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n] \subseteq A[b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}]$  is finitely generated by the  $n = 1$  case, hence we are done.

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$  be a set of  $A$ -generators of  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ . For  $b \in A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$  we have

$$b\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}\omega_j \quad \text{with } a_{ij} \in A.$$

Hence  $(bE - M)(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)^t = 0$ , where  $M = (a_{ij})_{ij} \in A^{r \times r}$ . By cofactor expansion, see lemma 2.4, this implies that  $\det(bE - M)\omega_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , hence  $\det(bE - M) = 0$  since the  $\omega_i$  generate  $A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ . Hence  $\det(XE - M) \in A[X]$  is a normalized equation for  $b$ , i.e.  $b$  is integral over  $A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $A$  a ring and  $M \in A^{r \times r}$ . If  $Mx = 0$ , then  $\det(M)x = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M^*$  be the adjoint matrix, i.e.  $(M^*)_{ij}$  is  $(-1)^{i+j}$  times the determinant of the matrix  $M$  with the  $j$ -th row and  $i$ -th column removed. Then  $M^*M = MM^* = \det(M)E$ . From  $Mx = 0$  we then get  $0 = M^*Mx = \det(M)x$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.5.**  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Proceeding as in the proof, we can compute an integral equation for, say,  $\alpha = 1 + 2\sqrt{2}$ : Take  $\omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = \sqrt{2}$ . Consider

$$T_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}], \quad x \mapsto \alpha x,$$

which has matrix representation w.r.t. the  $\omega_i$  as  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Now  $\det(XE - M) = X^2 - 2X - 7$  is the desired relation.

In the exercises, we will show the following slight generalization of proposition 2.3.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $b$  is integral over  $A$ .
- (ii)  $A[b]$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module.
- (iii) There exists an  $A[b]$ -module  $M$  that is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$  be extensions of rings. Let  $B/A$  be integral and let  $c \in C$  be integral over  $B$ . Then  $c$  is also integral over  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c^n + b_{n-1}c^{n-1} + \dots + b_0$  with  $b_i \in B$ . Then  $A \subseteq A[b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}] \subseteq A[b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}][c]$  is a composition of finitely generated ring extensions by theorem 2.3, hence finitely generated. Again by theorem 2.3, we are done.  $\square$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  be a ring extension.

- (a) Then  $\overline{A} = \mathcal{O}_{A,B} := \{b \in B \mid b \text{ integral over } A\}$  is called the *integral closure* of  $A$  in  $B$ .
- (b)  $A$  is called *integrally closed* in  $B$  if  $\mathcal{O}_{A,B} = A$ .

Note that by theorem 2.3, the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$  is a ring. In particular, the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of a number field  $K$  is indeed a ring.

**Example 2.9.**  $\mathcal{O}_{A,B}$  is integrally closed in  $B$ .

$\mathbb{Z}$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More generally,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is integrally closed in  $K$ , for if  $\alpha \in K$  is integral over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , by transitivity 2.7 it is then integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

$R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] \subseteq K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  is not integrally closed in  $K$ , because  $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-3}) \notin R$  is integral (even over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $R$  be a UFD and  $K = \text{Quot}(R)$ . Then  $R$  is integrally closed in  $K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\frac{a}{b} \in K$  be integral over  $R$ , with  $a, b \in R$  coprime. Let

$$X^n + c_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + c_1X + c_0 = 0 \quad \text{with } c_i \in R$$

be an integral relation for  $\frac{a}{b}$ . Multiplying by  $b^n$ , we get

$$a^n + c_{n-1}ba^{n-1} + \dots + c_1ab^{n-1} + c_0b^n = 0.$$

Suppose  $b \notin R^\times$ , then there exists a prime element  $\pi \in R$  dividing  $b$ . Looking at the equation mod  $\pi$ , we see that  $\pi \mid a^n$ ; i.e.  $\pi \mid a$ , contradicting the coprime assumption.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be an integral domain which is integrally closed in  $K = \text{Quot}(A)$ . Let  $L/K$  be a finite field extension and let  $B = \mathcal{O}_{A,L}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \longleftrightarrow & B \\ | & & | \\ K & \longleftrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

Then, by transitivity,  $B$  is integrally closed in  $L$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** In the above situation,  $L = \text{Quot}(B)$ . More precisely, each  $\beta \in L$  can be written in the form  $\frac{b}{a}$  with  $b \in B$  and  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* For  $\beta \in L$ , let  $a_n\beta^n + \dots + a_1\beta + a_0 = 0$  with  $a_i \in A$ . Multiplying by  $a_n^{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$(a_n\beta)^n + a_{n-1}(a_n\beta)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1a_n^{n-2}(a_n\beta) + a_0a_n^{n-1} = 0.$$

Thus  $a_n\beta$  is integral over  $A$ , and  $\beta = \frac{a_n\beta}{a_n}$  has the desired form.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *One has  $\beta \in B$  if and only if its minimal polynomial  $\mu = \text{mipo}_{\beta, K}$  over  $K$  has coefficients in  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g(\beta) = 0$  with  $g \in A[X]$  normalized. Then  $\mu \mid g$  in  $K[X]$ . Thus all zeroes of  $\mu$  (in some algebraic closure of  $K$ ) are integral over  $A$ . Since the coefficients of  $\mu$  are the elementary symmetric functions in its zeroes, the coefficients of  $\mu$  are integral over  $A$ . Since by assumption  $A$  is integrally closed in  $K$ , it follows that  $\mu \in A[X]$ .  $\square$

We recall from Algebra the notions of trace and norm. Let  $L/K$  be a finite field extension of degree  $n$ , and let  $x \in L$ . Let  $T_x : L \rightarrow L, y \mapsto xy$ .

Lecture 3  
Oct 22, 2025

**Definition 2.13.** We define  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(x) := \text{Tr}(T_x)$  and  $N_{L/K}(x) := \det(T_x)$ .

**Lemma 2.14.** (i) Let  $\chi_x(t) = \det(tE - T_x) \in K[t]$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $T_x$ . Let  $\chi_x(t) = t^n - a_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n a_n$ . Then  $a_1 = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(x)$  and  $a_n = N_{L/K}(x)$ .

(ii)  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$  is  $K$ -linear.

(iii)  $N_{L/K}$  is multiplicative

*Proof.* Everything follows from linear algebra once translated to the linear maps  $T_x$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $L/K$  be separable. Let  $G = G(L/K, K^c/K)$  be the set of all homomorphisms  $\sigma : L \rightarrow K^c$  that fix  $K$ . (By separability we have  $|G| = [L : K]$ .) Then

$$(i) \quad \chi_x(t) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} (t - \sigma(x))$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Tr}_{L/K}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(x)$$

$$(iii) \quad N_{L/K}(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(x)$$

*Proof.* (ii) and (iii) follow from (i) using lemma 2.14(i). Let  $\mu_x(t)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T_x$ . Then  $\mu_x(T_x) = 0$ , hence also  $\mu_x(x) = 0$  in  $L$ . Further  $\mu_x(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(\mu_x(x)) = 0$ , so  $\mu_x(t) = \prod_{\sigma \in G(K(x)/K, K^c/K)} (t - \sigma(x))$ . We conclude with

$$\chi_x(t) = \mu_x(t)^{[L:K(x)]} = \prod_{\sigma \in G} (t - \sigma(x)),$$

where both steps need further explanation: Let  $\sigma \in G(K(x)/K, K^c/K)$ . Then there are  $[L : K(x)]$  extensions  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$ , which thus all have the same value at  $x$ . This explains the second equality. For the first, choose bases  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m$  and  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$  of  $L/K(x)$  and  $K(x)/K$ , respectively. Then  $\omega_i x^j$  is a basis of  $L/K$ , and  $T_x$  w.r.t. this basis has as matrix representation a block-diagonal matrix with each block equal to the matrix representation of  $\mu_x$  w.r.t. the basis  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.16.** (i)  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is a quadratic extension with  $G = \{\text{id}, \sigma : \sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d}\}$ . Hence for  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}$  one has  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 2a$  and  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = a^2 - b^2d$ .

(ii) Let  $L/K$  be a finite field extension of degree  $m$ . Let  $\alpha \in K$ . Then  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = m\alpha$  and  $N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \alpha^m$ .

(iii) Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/K = \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\alpha^3 = 2$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the exercises we will see  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ . Let  $x = 1 + \alpha$ . We have

$$(1 + \alpha) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \alpha \\ \alpha + \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^2 + 2 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{=: M} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

so  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(1 + \alpha) = \text{Tr}(M) = 3$  and  $N_{L/K}(1 + \alpha) = \det(M) = 3$ . Alternatively, we could have calculated

$$\text{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \alpha) = \text{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1) + \text{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = 3 + 0 = 3,$$

since the minimal polynomial  $t^3 - 2$  of  $\alpha$  has no  $t^2$ -term.

**Corollary 2.17.** *Let  $M/L/K$  be a tower of finite field extensions. Then for  $\alpha \in M$  one has*

$$\text{Tr}_{M/K}(\alpha) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\text{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{M/K}(\alpha) = N_{L/K}(N_{M/L}(\alpha)).$$

*Proof.* For  $\sigma_i : L/K \rightarrow K^c/K$ , we have  $[M : L]$  extensions  $\sigma_{ij} : M \rightarrow K^c$ . Fix one such extension  $\hat{\sigma}_i$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \sigma_{ij} & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma}_i} & \hat{\sigma}_i(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K^c \\ | & & | & & | \\ L & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} & \sigma_i(L) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \sigma_i(L) \\ | & & | & & | \\ K & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} & \sigma_i(K) = K & & \end{array}$$

Then

$$\text{Tr}_{M/K}(\alpha) = \sum_{i,j} \sigma_{ij}(\alpha) = \sum_i \text{Tr}_{\hat{\sigma}_i M / \sigma_i L}(\hat{\sigma}_i(\alpha)). \quad (*)$$

Let  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)^t$  be a  $L$ -basis of  $M$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}_i(\omega_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_i(\omega_m)$  is a  $\sigma_i(L)$ -basis of  $\hat{\sigma}_i(M)$ . Let  $\alpha\omega = M_\alpha\omega$  with  $M_\alpha \in L^{m \times m}$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}_i(\alpha)\hat{\sigma}_i(\omega) = \sigma_i(M_\alpha)\hat{\sigma}_i(\omega)$ , where the actions on vectors and matrices is understood to be component-wise. Therefore,

$$\text{Tr}_{\hat{\sigma}_i(M)/\sigma_i(L)}(\hat{\sigma}_i(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}(\sigma_i(M_\alpha)) = \sigma_i(\text{Tr}(M_\alpha)) = \sigma_i(\text{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)).$$

Continuing from (\*) we get

$$\text{Tr}_{M/K}(\alpha) = \sum_i \sigma_i(\text{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\text{Tr}_{M/L}(\alpha)).$$

The same proof works for the norm, with all sums replaced by products.  $\square$

Let  $L/K$  be a finite separable extension of fields. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be  $[L : K]$ -many elements of  $L$ .

**Definition 2.18.** The discriminant of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is defined as

$$d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{i,j=1,\dots,n}^2,$$

where  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} = G(L/K, K^c/K)$ .

**Lemma 2.19.** (i)  $d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ .

(ii) For  $\theta \in L$  we have  $d(1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = \prod_{i < j} (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2$ , where  $\theta_i := \sigma_i(\theta)$ .



*Proof.* One calculates

$$(\sigma_k(\alpha_i))_{k,i}^t (\sigma_k(\alpha_j))_{k,j} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \right)_{i,j} = (\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{i,j}$$

and takes determinants for the first part. For the second, the matrix in the definition 2.18 of  $d$  is the Vandermonde matrix of the  $\theta_i$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.20.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite separable field extension of degree  $n$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$ . Then*

- (i)  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $L$  if and only if  $d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq 0$ .
- (ii) The bilinear map  $\langle -, - \rangle : L \times L \rightarrow K, (x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{L/K}(xy)$  (called trace form) is nondegenerate.

*Proof.* For (ii), separability of  $L/K$  implies that  $L = K(\theta)$  for some  $\theta \in L$ . The structure matrix of the bilinear form is given by

$$M = (\langle \theta^i, \theta^j \rangle)_{i,j} = (\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\theta^i \theta^j))_{i,j}.$$

Thus  $\det(M) = d(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = \prod_{i < j} (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2 \neq 0$  by lemma 2.19.

Now let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be elements of  $L$ . Let  $S$  be the transition matrix from  $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$  to  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Then  $S^t M S$  is the structure matrix of  $\langle -, - \rangle$  w.r.t. the  $\alpha_i$ , so

$$d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(S^t M S) = \det(S)^2 \det(M).$$

Hence  $d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$  iff  $\det(S) = 0$  iff  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is not a basis.  $\square$

As before, let  $A$  be an integral domain which is integrally closed in  $K = \text{Quot}(A)$ . Let  $L/K$  be a finite separable extension and  $B = \mathcal{O}_{A,L} \subseteq L$  the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ .

**Lemma 2.21.** *For  $b \in B$ , one has  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(b), N_{L/K}(b) \in A$ . Further,  $b \in B$  is a unit if and only if  $N_{L/K}(b) \in A^\times$ .*

*Proof.* If  $b$  is integral, so is  $\sigma(b)$  for all  $\sigma \in G = G(L/K, K^c/K)$ . Thus  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(b) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(b)$  and  $N_{L/K}(b) = \prod_{\sigma} \sigma(b) \in K \cap B = A$ , since  $A$  is integrally closed.

Let  $b \in B^\times$ , then  $bc = 1$  for some  $c \in B$ . It follows that

$$1 = N_{L/K}(1) = N_{L/K}(bc) = N_{L/K}(b) N_{L/K}(c),$$

so  $N_{L/K}(b) \in A^\times$ .

Conversely, let  $a = N_{L/K}(b) \in A^\times$ . Then

$$1 = a^{-1} N_{L/K}(b) = a^{-1} \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(b) = b a^{-1} \underbrace{\prod_{\text{id} \neq \sigma \in G} \sigma(b)}_{\in L, \text{ integral} \Rightarrow \in B}$$

$\square$

**Example 2.22.** Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \alpha^3 = 2$ . Then

$$d(1, \alpha, \alpha^2) = \det(\text{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha^i \alpha^j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 2} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -108.$$

In the exercises we will use this to prove  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

Further we compute

$$N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1 - \alpha) = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \zeta_3\alpha)(1 - \zeta_3^2\alpha) = -1,$$

so by the above lemma  $1 - \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$ . (Alternatively, we could have noticed that  $(\alpha - 1)^{-1} = \frac{\alpha^3 - 1}{\alpha - 1} = 1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 \in \mathcal{O}_L$ .) Actually, we have  $\mathcal{O}_L^\times = \{\pm 1\} \times (1 - \alpha)^\mathbb{Z}$ , which agrees with the result of Dirichlet's unit theorem 1.7, since there is one real and one pair of complex embeddings.

**Lemma 2.23.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in B$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $L$ . Let  $d = d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ . Then*

$$dB \subseteq A\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A\alpha_n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B \ni \alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n$  with  $a_i \in K$ . Then  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha_j)$ , hence  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is a solution of

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha_j)}_{=: A_{ij}} x_j = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Cramer's rule shows that  $a_j = \frac{\det A_j}{\det A} = \frac{\det A_j}{d}$ , where  $A_j$  is the matrix  $A$  with  $j$ -th column replaced by the vector  $(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha))_i$ . Hence  $d(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ .  $\square$

Recall that for  $R$  a PID, each finitely generated torsion-free  $R$ -module  $M$  is free of finite rank, i.e.  $M \cong R^n$ ,  $n < \infty$ . Further, if  $M$  is a free  $R$ -module and  $N \subseteq M$  is an  $R$ -submodule, then  $N$  is free of rank at most the rank of  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.24.** *Assume further that  $A$  is a PID. Then any finitely generated  $B$ -submodule  $0 \neq M \subseteq L$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n = [L : K]$ . In particular,  $B$  has an integral basis over  $A$ , i.e. there exist  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in B$  such that  $B = A\omega_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A\omega_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in B$  be a  $K$ -basis of  $L$ . Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in M \subseteq L$  be a  $B$ -generating system of  $M$ . Let  $0 \neq a \in A$  such that  $a\mu_i \in B$  (possible by lemma 2.11). Let  $d = d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , which is nonzero by theorem 2.20. Then  $daM \subseteq dB \subseteq A\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A\alpha_n \cong A^n$  by lemma 2.23. It follows that  $daM \cong A^m$  with  $m \leq n$ , hence also  $M \cong A^m$ .

Let  $0 \neq \mu \in M$ . Then  $\mu\alpha_1, \dots, \mu\alpha_n \in M$  are a  $K$ -basis of  $L$ , so they are certainly linearly independent in  $M$  as well, hence  $m \geq n$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.25.** (i)  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ,  $\omega = \sqrt{d}$  for  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$  or  $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}$  for  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  as before. Then  $1, \omega$  is an integral basis of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .

(ii)  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha^3 = 2$ . In the exercises we will see that  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$  is an integral basis of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .

(iii) Let  $K$  be a number field. Let  $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis, equivalently  $\mathfrak{a}$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}$  of rank  $n$ .

**Remark 2.26.** Let  $L/K/\mathbb{Q}$  be number fields. Then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is in general not a PID, so theorem 2.24 is not applicable to  $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ . However, one can look at the localization  $\mathcal{O}_{L,\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}\mathcal{O}_L$  at  $S = \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  for a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{L,\mathfrak{p}}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module and a DVR, so the theorem can be applied to this ring extension.

**Definition 2.27.** Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}_L$  be an integral basis, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n$ . Then  $d_L = d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} := d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is called the *discriminant* of  $L$  (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

$d_L$  is well-defined: Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be another integral basis. Let  $S \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be the transition matrix from the  $\alpha_i$  to the  $\beta_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) &= \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_i \beta_j)) = \det(S^t (\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{ij} S) \\ &= \det(S)^2 \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)) = d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.28.**  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ,  $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then

$$d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \sqrt{d}) = \det(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{d}^{i+j}))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2d \end{pmatrix} = 4d.$$

Similarly one computes  $d_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}} = d$  for  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

**Remark 2.29.** (i) We will show that a prime  $p$  is ramified in  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $p \mid d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}$  (where  $p$  is called ramified if the factorization  $p\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$  has some  $e_i > 1$ ).

(ii) If  $L/K$  are number fields. One can easily define a "relative" discriminant  $d_{L/K}$  if  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a PID by the same procedure as above, except that it is only well-defined up to units, i.e. the ideal  $d_{L/K} := (d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$  for an integral basis  $\alpha_i$  is well-defined.

Now assume  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is arbitrary. As in remark 2.26, consider the extensions  $\mathcal{O}_{L, \mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}$  for prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ . As above, we may define thus "local" discriminant ideals  $d_{L/K, \mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{p}}$ . One can then prove that there exists a unique ideal  $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}} = d_{L/K, \mathfrak{p}}$  called the relative discriminant.