Cache Memories

15-213: Introduction to Computer Systems
11th Lecture, Feb. 18, 2014

Instructors:

Seth Copen Goldstein, Anthony Rowe, Greg Kesden

Refresher: An Example Memory Hierarchy



Caches

- *Cache:* **A smaller, faster storage device that acts as a staging area for a subset of the data in a larger, slower device.**
- **Fundamental idea of a memory hierarchy:**
  - For each k, the faster, smaller device at level k serves as a cache for the larger, slower device at level k+1.
- **Why do memory hierarchies work?**
  - Because of locality, programs tend to access the data at level k more often than they access the data at level k+1.
  - Thus, the storage at level k+1 can be slower, and thus larger and cheaper per bit.
- *Big Idea:* **The memory hierarchy creates a large pool of storage that costs as much as the cheap storage near the bottom, but that serves data to programs at the rate of the fast storage near the top.**

General Cache Concepts



Smaller, faster, more expensive memory caches a subset of the blocks

Data is copied in block-sized transfer units

Larger, slower, cheaper memory viewed as partitioned into “blocks”

General Cache Concepts: Hit



Data in block b is needed

Block b is in cache:
Hit!

How locality induces cache hits

- **Temporal locality:**
  - 2^{nd} through N^{th} accesses to same location will be hits



How locality induces cache hits

- **Temporal locality:**
  - 2nd through Nth accesses to same location will be hits



- **Spatial locality:**
  - Cache blocks contains multiple words, so 2nd to Nth word accesses can be hits on cache block loaded for 1st word
  - Row buffer in DRAM is another example



General Cache Concepts: Miss



Data in block b is needed

Block b is not in cache:
Miss!

Block b is fetched from memory

Block b is stored in cache
- Placement policy: determines where b goes
- Replacement policy: determines which block gets evicted (victim)

General Caching Concepts: Types of Cache Misses

- **Cold (compulsory) miss**
  - The first access to a block has to be a miss
  - Most cold misses occur at the beginning, because the cache is empty
- **Conflict miss**
  - Most caches limit blocks at level k+1 to a small subset (sometimes a singleton) of the block positions at level k
    - E.g., Block i at level k+1 must be placed in block (i mod 4) at level k
  - Conflict misses occur when the level k cache is large enough, but multiple data objects all map to the same level k block
    - E.g., Referencing blocks 0, 8, 0, 8, 0, 8, ... would miss every time
- **Capacity miss**
  - Occurs when the set of active cache blocks (working set) is larger than the cache

Examples of Caching in the Hierarchy

Cache Type	What is Cached?	Where is it Cached?	Latency (cycles)	Managed By
Registers	4-8 bytes words	CPU core	0	Compiler
TLB	Address translations	On-Chip TLB	0	Hardware
L1 cache	64-bytes block	On-Chip L1	1	Hardware
L2 cache	64-bytes block	On/Off-Chip L2	10	Hardware
Virtual Memory	4-KB page	Main memory	100	Hardware + OS
Buffer cache	Parts of files	Main memory	100	OS
Disk cache	Disk sectors	Disk controller	100,000	Disk firmware
Network buffer cache	Parts of files	Local disk	10,000,000	AFS/NFS client
Browser cache	Web pages	Local disk	10,000,000	Web browser
Web cache	Web pages	Remote server disks	1,000,000,000	Web proxy server

Today

- **Cache memory organization and operation**
- Performance impact of caches
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

General Cache Concept



Smaller, faster, more expensive memory caches a subset of the blocks

Data is copied in block-sized transfer units

Larger, slower, cheaper memory viewed as partitioned into “blocks”

Many types of caches

Examples

- Hardware: L1 and L2 CPU caches, TLBs, …
- Software: virtual memory, FS buffers, web browser caches, …

Many common design issues

- each cached item has a "tag" (an ID) plus contents
- need a mechanism to efficiently determine whether given item is cached
  - combinations of indices and constraints on valid locations
- on a miss, usually need to pick something to replace with the new item
  - called a "replacement policy"
- on writes, need to either propagate change or mark item as "dirty"
  - write-through vs. write-back

Different solutions for different caches

- Lets talk about CPU caches as a concrete example…

CPU Cache Memories

- **CPU Cache memories are small, fast SRAM-based memories managed automatically in hardware**
  - Hold frequently accessed blocks of main memory
- **CPU looks first for data in caches (e.g., L1, L2, and L3), then in main memory**
- **Typical system structure:**



General Cache Organization (S, E, B)



Cache size:

C = S x E x B data bytes

Cache Read

- *Locate set*
- *Check if any line in set has matching tag*
- *Yes + line valid: hit*
- *Locate data starting at offset*



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set
Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set
Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set
Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



If tag doesn't match: old line is evicted and replaced

Direct-Mapped Cache Simulation

t=1	s=2	b=1
x	xx	x

M=16 bytes (4-bit addresses), B=2 bytes/block,
S=4 sets, E=1 Blocks/set

Address trace (reads, one byte per read):

0	**[0<u>00</u>0$_2$],**	miss
1	**[0<u>00</u>1$_2$],**	hit
7	**[0<u>11</u>1$_2$],**	miss
8	**[1<u>00</u>0$_2$],**	miss
0	**[0<u>00</u>0$_2$]**	miss

	v	Tag	Block
Set 0	1	0	M[0-1]
Set 1			
Set 2			
Set 3	1	0	M[6-7]

E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set
Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



No match:
- One line in set is selected for eviction and replacement
- Replacement policies: random, least recently used (LRU), …

2-Way Set Associative Cache Simulation

t=2	s=1	b=1
xx	x	x

M=16 byte addresses, B=2 bytes/block, S=2 sets, E=2 blocks/set

Address trace (reads, one byte per read):

0	**[00<u>0</u>0$_2$],**	miss
1	**[00<u>0</u>1$_2$],**	hit
7	**[01<u>1</u>1$_2$],**	miss
8	**[10<u>0</u>0$_2$],**	miss
0	**[00<u>0</u>0$_2$]**	hit

	v	Tag	Block
Set 0	1	00	M[0-1]
	1	10	M[8-9]
Set 1	1	01	M[6-7]
	0		

What about writes?

- **Multiple copies of data exist:**
  - L1, L2, Main Memory, Disk
- **What to do on a write-hit?**
  - Write-through (write immediately to memory)
  - Write-back (defer write to memory until replacement of line)
    - Need a dirty bit (line different from memory or not)
- **What to do on a write-miss?**
  - Write-allocate (load into cache, update line in cache)
    - Good if more writes to the location follow
  - No-write-allocate (writes straight to memory, does not load into cache)
- **Typical**
  - Write-through + No-write-allocate
  - **Write-back + Write-allocate**

Intel Core i7 Cache Hierarchy



L1 i-cache and d-cache:
32 KB, 8-way,
Access: 4 cycles

L2 unified cache:
256 KB, 8-way,
Access: 11 cycles

L3 unified cache:
8 MB, 16-way,
Access: 30-40 cycles

Block size: 64 bytes for all caches.

Cache Performance Metrics

- **Miss Rate**
  - Fraction of memory references not found in cache (misses / accesses) = 1 – hit rate
  - Typical numbers (in percentages):
    - 3-10% for L1
    - can be quite small (e.g., < 1%) for L2, depending on size, etc.
- **Hit Time**
  - Time to deliver a line in the cache to the processor
    - includes time to determine whether the line is in the cache
  - Typical numbers:
    - 1-2 clock cycle for L1
    - 5-20 clock cycles for L2
- **Miss Penalty**
  - Additional time required because of a miss
    - typically 50-200 cycles for main memory (Trend: increasing!)

Lets think about those numbers

- **Huge difference between a hit and a miss**
  - Could be 100x, if just L1 and main memory

- **Would you believe 99% hits is twice as good as 97%?**
  - Consider:
    cache hit time of 1 cycle
    miss penalty of 100 cycles

  - Average access time:
    97% hits: 1 cycle + 0.03 * 100 cycles = **4 cycles**
    99% hits: 1 cycle + 0.01 * 100 cycles = **2 cycles**

- **This is why "miss rate" is used instead of "hit rate"**

Writing Cache Friendly Code

- **Make the common case go fast**
  - Focus on the inner loops of the core functions

- **Minimize the misses in the inner loops**
  - Repeated references to variables are good (temporal locality)
  - Stride-1 reference patterns are good (spatial locality)

Key idea: Our qualitative notion of locality is quantified through our understanding of cache memories

Back to Observations

- **Programmer can optimize for cache performance**
  - How data structures are organized
  - How data are accessed (examples follow)
    - Nested loop structure
    - Blocking is a general technique
- **All systems favor “cache friendly code”**
  - Getting absolute optimum performance is very platform specific
    - Cache sizes, line sizes, associativities, etc.
  - Can get most of the advantage with generic code
    - Keep working set reasonably small (temporal locality)
    - Use small strides (spatial locality)

Today

- Cache organization and operation
- **Performance impact of caches**
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

The Memory Mountain

- **Read throughput (read bandwidth)**
  - Number of bytes read from memory per second (MB/s)

- **Memory mountain: Measured read throughput as a function of spatial and temporal locality.**
  - Compact way to characterize memory system performance.

Memory Mountain Test Function

```
/* The test function */
void test(int elems, int stride) {
    int i, result = 0;
    volatile int sink;

    for (i = 0; i < elems; i += stride)
        result += data[i];
    sink = result; /* So compiler doesn't optimize away the loop */
}

/* Run test(elems, stride) and return read throughput (MB/s) */
double run(int size, int stride, double Mhz)
{
    double cycles;
    int elems = size / sizeof(int);

    test(elems, stride);                     /* warm up the cache */
    cycles = fcyc2(test, elems, stride, 0);  /* call test(elems,stride) */
    return (size / stride) / (cycles / Mhz); /* convert cycles to MB/s */
}
```

The Memory Mountain

Intel Core i7
32 KB L1 i-cache
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB unified L2 cache
8M unified L3 cache

All caches on-chip



The Memory Mountain

Intel Core i7
32 KB L1 i-cache
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB unified L2 cache
8M unified L3 cache

All caches on-chip



A Ridge of Temporal Locality (s=16)



The Memory Mountain

Intel Core i7
32 KB L1 i-cache
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB unified L2 cache
8M unified L3 cache

All caches on-chip



A Slope of Spatial Locality (size=4MB)



The Memory Mountain

Intel Core i7
32 KB L1 i-cache
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB unified L2 cache
8M unified L3 cache

All caches on-chip



Today

- Cache organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Miss Rate Analysis for Matrix Multiply

- **Assume:**
  - Line size = 32B (big enough for four 64-bit words)
  - Matrix dimension (N) is very large
    - Approximate 1/N as 0.0
  - Cache is not even big enough to hold multiple rows
- **Analysis Method:**
  - Look at access pattern of inner loop



Matrix Multiplication Example

- **Description:**
  - Multiply N x N matrices
  - O(N^3) total operations
  - N reads per source element
  - N values summed per destination
    - but may be able to hold in register

Variable **sum** *held in register*

```
/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum;
  }
}
```

Layout of C Arrays in Memory (review)

- **C arrays allocated in row-major order**
  - each row in contiguous memory locations
- **Stepping through columns in one row:**
  - ```
    for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
      sum += a[0][i];
    ```
  - accesses successive elements
  - if block size (B) > 4 bytes, exploit spatial locality
    - miss rate = 4 bytes / B
- **Stepping through rows in one column:**
  - ```
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
      sum += a[i][0];
    ```
  - accesses distant elements
  - no spatial locality!
    - miss rate = 1 (i.e. 100%)

Matrix Multiplication (ijk)

```
/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum;
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Row-wise   Column-wise   Fixed

Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
0.25	1.0	0.0

Matrix Multiplication (jik)

```
/* jik */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
  for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum
  }
}
```



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
0.25	1.0	0.0

Matrix Multiplication (kij)

```
/* kij */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
  for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    r = a[i][k];
    for (j=0; j<n; j++)
      c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
  }
}
```



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
0.0	0.25	0.25

Matrix Multiplication (ikj)

```
/* ikj */
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    r = a[i][k];
    for (j=0; j<n; j++)
      c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



<u>Misses per inner loop iteration:</u>

A	B	C
0.0	0.25	0.25

Matrix Multiplication (jki)

```
/* jki */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
  for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    r = b[k][j];
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
1.0	0.0	1.0

Matrix Multiplication (kji)

```
/* kji */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    r = b[k][j];
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
1.0	0.0	1.0

Summary of Matrix Multiplication

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
   sum = 0.0;
   for (k=0; k<n; k++)
     sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
   c[i][j] = sum;
 }
}
```

ijk (& jik):
- 2 loads, 0 stores
- misses/iter = **1.25**

```
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
 for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  r = a[i][k];
  for (j=0; j<n; j++)
   c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
 }
}
```

kij (& ikj):
- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = **0.5**

```
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
 for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
   r = b[k][j];
   for (i=0; i<n; i++)
    c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
 }
}
```

jki (& kji):
- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = **2.0**

Core i7 Matrix Multiply Performance



Core i7 Matrix Multiply Performance



- Pay attention to spatial locality!
- Miss rate more important than # of mem refs
- Pay particular attention to inner loops (Amdahl's law)
- Its not that hard to do the analysis

Today

- Cache organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Example: Matrix Multiplication

```
c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b  */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
            for (k = 0; k < n; k++)
                c[i*n+j] += a[i*n + k]*b[k*n + j];
}
```



Cache Miss Analysis

- **Assume:**
  - Matrix elements are doubles
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)

- **First iteration:**
  - n/8 + n = 9n/8 misses



Cache Miss Analysis

- **Assume:**
  - Matrix elements are doubles
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)

- **First iteration:**
  - n/8 + n = 9n/8 misses



Cache Miss Analysis

- **Assume:**
  - Matrix elements are doubles
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)
- **First iteration:**
  - n/8 + n = 9n/8 misses



  - Afterwards in cache: (schematic)



Cache Miss Analysis

- **Assume:**
  - Matrix elements are doubles
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)

- **Second iteration:**
  - Again:
    $n/8 + n = 9n/8$ misses



- **Total misses:**
  - $9n/8 * n^2 = (9/8) * n^3$

Blocked Matrix Multiplication

```
c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b  */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i+=B)
        for (j = 0; j < n; j+=B)
            for (k = 0; k < n; k+=B)
                /* B x B mini matrix multiplications */
                for (i1 = i; i1 < i+B; i++)
                    for (j1 = j; j1 < j+B; j++)
                        for (k1 = k; k1 < k+B; k++)
                            c[i1*n+j1] += a[i1*n + k1]*b[k1*n + j1];
}
```



Cache Miss Analysis

- **Assume:**
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)
  - Three blocks fit into cache: $3B^2 < C$

- **First (block) iteration:**
  - $B^2/8$ misses for each block
  - $2n/B * B^2/8 = nB/4$ (omitting matrix c)



  - Afterwards in cache (schematic)

Cache Miss Analysis

- **Assume:**
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)
  - Three blocks fit into cache: $3B^2 < C$

- **Second (block) iteration:**
  - Same as first iteration
  - $2n/B * B^2/8 = nB/4$



- **Total misses:**
  - $nB/4 * (n/B)^2 = n^3/(4B)$

Summary

- **No blocking: (9/8) * n^3**
- **Blocking: 1/(4B) * n^3**

- **Suggest largest possible block size B, but limit $3B^2$ < C!**

- **Reason for dramatic difference:**
  - Matrix multiplication has inherent temporal locality:
    - Input data: $3n^2$, computation $2n^3$
    - Every array elements used O(n) times!
  - But program has to be written properly

Pay Attention to the Cache!



Slopes of ***spatial locality***

Ridges of ***temporal locality***

A Higher Level Example

```
int sum_array_rows(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (i = 0; i < 16; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < 16; j++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

```
int sum_array_cols(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (j = 0; i < 16; i++)
        for (i = 0; j < 16; j++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

Ignore the variables sum, i, j

assume: cold (empty) cache, a[0][0] goes here



32 B = 4 doubles

blackboard

A Higher Level Example

Ignore the variables sum, i, j

```
int sum_array_rows(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (i = 0; i < 16; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < 16; j++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

```
int sum_array_rows(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (j = 0; i < 16; i++)
        for (i = 0; j < 16; j++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

assume: cold (empty) cache, a[0][0] goes here



32 B = 4 doubles

blackboard

The Memory Mountain

Intel Core i7
32 KB L1 i-cache
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB unified L2 cache
8M unified L3 cache

All caches on-chip



The Memory Mountain

Intel Core i7
32 KB L1 i-cache
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB unified L2 cache
8M unified L3 cache

All caches on-chip



The Memory Mountain

Intel Core i7
32 KB L1 i-cache
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB unified L2 cache
8M unified L3 cache

All caches on-chip