

## MATH 103B Homework 4 - Solutions

DUE May 3, 2013

- (1) (*Gallian Chapter 15 # 2*) Prove Theorem 15.2: Let  $\varphi$  be a ring homomorphism from a ring  $R$  to a ring  $S$ . Then  $\text{Ker}\varphi$ , defined as  $\{r \in R : \varphi(r) = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

*Solution:* We will prove that  $\text{Ker}\varphi$  passes the ideal test:

- Nonempty? Since any ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  maps  $0_R$  to  $0_S$ ,  $0_R \in \text{Ker}\varphi$ .
- Closure under subtraction? Let  $x, y \in \text{Ker}\varphi$ . We will show that  $x - y \in \text{Ker}\varphi$  as well. By the definition of kernel, we need to show that  $\varphi(x - y) = 0_S$ . We compute:

$$\varphi(x - y) \stackrel{\text{hom}}{=} \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) = 0_S - 0_S = 0_S,$$

as required.

- Strong closure under multiplication? Let  $x \in \text{Ker}\varphi$  and  $r \in R$ . We will show that  $xr \in \text{Ker}\varphi$  as well. By the definition of kernel, we need to show that  $\varphi(xr) = 0_S$ . We compute:

$$\varphi(xr) \stackrel{\text{hom}}{=} \varphi(x)\varphi(r) = 0_S\varphi(r) = 0_S,$$

as required.

Thus,  $\text{Ker}\varphi$  is an ideal.

- (2) (*Gallian Chapter 15 # 10*)

- (a) Is the ring  $2\mathbb{Z}$  isomorphic to the ring  $3\mathbb{Z}$ ? Justify your answer.

*Solution:* No. We consider properties of homomorphism: for each positive  $n$ , and each  $r \in R$ , if  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism then  $\varphi(nr) = n\varphi(r)$  and  $\varphi(r^n) = (\varphi(r))^n$ .

Let  $\varphi : 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 3\mathbb{Z}$  be an arbitrary ring homomorphism. Consider  $\varphi(4)$ . By definition, there are some  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varphi(2) = 3j$  and  $\varphi(4) = 3k$ . On the one hand,  $4 = 2 \cdot 2$ . On the other hand,  $4 = 2^2$ . Therefore,

$$3k = \varphi(4) = 2 \cdot \varphi(2) = 6j$$

and

$$3k = \varphi(4) = (\varphi(2))^2 = 9j^2.$$

Thus, we get  $6j = 9j^2$ . This is possible if  $j = 0$  or  $6 = 9j$ . In the latter case,  $j = \frac{2}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . We conclude that the only homomorphism between  $2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $3\mathbb{Z}$  is the trivial homomorphism. This homomorphism is neither injective nor surjective so there are no ring isomorphisms between these two rings.

- (b) Is the ring  $2\mathbb{Z}$  isomorphic to the ring  $4\mathbb{Z}$ ? Justify your answer.

A similar calculation to that above gives

$$4k = \varphi(4) = 2 \cdot 4j = 8j \quad 4k = \varphi(4) = (4j)^2 = 16j^2.$$

Equating the two, we get  $8j = 16j^2$ . Our two solutions here are  $j = 0$  and  $j = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, again, the only homomorphism is the trivial one and  $2\mathbb{Z} \not\cong 4\mathbb{Z}$ .

---

(3) (*Gallian Chapter 15 #14*) Let  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  and  $H$  are isomorphic as rings.

*Solution:* Consider the map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow H$  given by

$$\varphi(a + b\sqrt{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will prove this is an isomorphism.

- 1-1? Let  $a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $\varphi(a + b\sqrt{2}) = \varphi(a' + b'\sqrt{2})$ . We will show this implies that  $a = a'$  and  $b = b'$ . Recall that two matrices are equal iff they have the same  $(i, j)$ -entry for each  $i, j$ . Equality of the  $(1, 1)$ -entries guarantees that  $a = a'$ . Equality of the  $(2, 1)$ -entries guarantees that  $b = b'$ .
- Onto? From definition of  $H$  and  $\varphi$ , any matrix in  $H$  can be written as the image of some element in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ .
- Ring homomorphism?
  - Preserves  $+$ ? Let  $a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a + b\sqrt{2}) + \varphi(a' + b'\sqrt{2}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a' & 2b' \\ b' & a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + a' & 2b + 2b' \\ b + b' & a + a' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a + a' & 2(b + b') \\ b + b' & a + a' \end{pmatrix} = \varphi((a + a') + (b + b')\sqrt{2}) \end{aligned}$$

– Preserves  $\cdot$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a + b\sqrt{2})\varphi(a' + b'\sqrt{2}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & 2b' \\ b' & a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa' + 2bb' & 2ab' + 2ba' \\ ba' + ab' & 2bb' + aa' \end{pmatrix} \\ \varphi((a + b\sqrt{2})(a' + b'\sqrt{2})) &= \varphi(aa' + ba'\sqrt{2} + ab'\sqrt{2} + 2bb') \\ &= \varphi((aa' + 2bb') + (ba' + ab')\sqrt{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} aa' + 2bb' & 2ba' + 2ab' \\ ba' + ab' & aa' + 2bb' \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and these are equal because addition and multiplication each commute in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

(4) (*Gallian Chapter 15 # 44*) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring of prime characteristic  $p$ . Show that the Frobenius map, defined by  $x \mapsto x^p$  is a ring homomorphism from  $R$  to  $R$ .

*Solution:* We need to prove that this map respects addition and multiplication. Let  $x, y \in R$ .

- For addition, we use the Binomial Theorem:

$$(x + y)^p \stackrel{\text{Bin Thm}}{=} x^p + \binom{p}{1}x^{p-1}y + \cdots + \binom{p}{p-1}xy^{p-1} + y^p.$$

It would be enough to show that each of the binomial coefficients is divisible by  $p$ , since we assume that  $R$  has characteristic  $p$  and so each of the corresponding terms would then be zero.

Combinatorial identity: For any  $n > 0$  and  $0 < k < n$ ,  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ .

Therefore, since  $\binom{p-1}{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq}$ ,  $p|k\binom{p}{k}$  for each  $k$ . Since  $p$  is prime, by Euclid's Lemma,  $p|k$  or  $p|\binom{p}{k}$ . But,  $p$  cannot divide  $k$  since  $k < p$ . Therefore, for each  $0 < k < p$ ,  $p$  divides  $\binom{p}{k}$ , as required.

- For multiplication, we compute

$$(xy)^p = xyxy \cdots xy \stackrel{R \text{ comm.}}{=} x^p y^p,$$

as required.

- (5) (*Gallian Chapter 16 # 12*) If the rings  $R$  and  $S$  are isomorphic, show that  $R[x]$  and  $S[x]$  are isomorphic.

*Solution:* Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring isomorphism. Define  $\psi : R[x] \rightarrow S[x]$  by

$$\psi(a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0) = \varphi(a_n) x^n + \cdots + \varphi(a_0)$$

We will prove that this is a ring isomorphism.

- One-to-one: Suppose  $p(x), q(x) \in R[x]$  and  $\psi(p(x)) = \psi(q(x))$ . That is, the coefficients of each pair of corresponding terms in the polynomials  $\psi(p(x)), \psi(q(x)) \in S[x]$  are equal. By definition of  $\psi$ , each of these coefficients is the image of a coefficient of  $p(x)$  or  $q(x)$  under  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is one-to-one, if the coefficients in  $\psi(p(x)), \psi(q(x))$  are equal then so are the coefficients in  $p(x), q(x)$ . Thus, by definition of equality of polynomials,  $p(x) = q(x)$ .
- Onto: Let  $r(x) = c_n x^n + \cdots + c_0 \in S[x]$ . We want to find  $p(x) \in R[x]$  such that  $\psi(p(x)) = r(x)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is onto  $S$ , there are  $a_n, \dots, a_0 \in R$  such that  $\varphi(a_n) = c_n, \dots, \varphi(a_0) = c_0$ . Let  $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$ . Then, by definition of  $\psi$ ,  $\psi(p(x)) = \varphi(a_n) x^n + \cdots + \varphi(a_0) = c_n x^n + \cdots + c_0 = r(x)$ , as required.
- Respects  $+$ : Let  $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0, q(x) = b_n x^n + \cdots + b_0 \in R[x]$ . (Without loss of generality, we write these with the same top power; if  $p(x), q(x)$  have different degrees, the top terms of the lower degree of the two will be all zero.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(x) + q(x)) &= \psi([a_n + b_n]x^n + \cdots + [a_0 + b_0]) \\ &= \varphi(a_n + b_n)x^n + \cdots + \varphi(a_0 + b_0) \\ &\stackrel{\varphi \text{ hom.}}{=} [\varphi(a_n) + \varphi(b_n)]x^n + \cdots + \varphi(a_0) + \varphi(b_0) \\ &= \varphi(a_n)x^n + \cdots + \varphi(a_0) + \varphi(b_n)x^n + \cdots + \varphi(b_0) = \psi(p(x)) + \psi(q(x)), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

- Respects  $\cdot$ : Let  $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0, q(x) = b_m x^m + \cdots + b_0 \in R[x]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(x)q(x)) &= \psi\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m a_i b_j x^{i+j}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m \varphi(a_i b_j) x^{i+j} \\ &\stackrel{\varphi \text{ hom.}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m \varphi(a_i) \varphi(b_j) x^{i+j} = \psi(p(x)) \psi(q(x)), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

- (6) (*Gallian Chapter 16 # 24*) Let  $F$  be an infinite field and let  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ . If  $f(a) = g(a)$  for infinitely many elements  $a$  of  $F$ , show that  $f(x) = g(x)$ .

*Solution:* Consider the polynomial  $h(x) = f(x) - g(x)$ . For each  $a \in F$  with  $f(a) = g(a)$ ,  $h(a) = 0$ . Therefore,  $h$  is a polynomial with infinitely many roots. By corollary to Theorem 16.2, a polynomial of degree  $n$  can have at most  $n$  many roots. Therefore,  $h$  can't have degree  $n$  for any  $n$ . The only polynomial with no degree is the zero polynomial. Thus,  $h(x) = 0$ . In particular, this means that each of the coefficients of  $h$  is zero. But, each of these coefficients is the difference between the coefficients of  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ . Therefore,  $f(x) = g(x)$ .

- (7) (*Gallian Chapter 16 #32*) Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in F[x]$ . Prove that  $x - 1$  is a factor of  $f(x)$  if and only if  $a_n + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 = 0$ .

*Solution:* Suppose  $x - 1$  is a factor of  $f(x)$ . Then, by Corollary to Theorem 16.2, 1 is a root of  $f(x)$ . Substituting 1 for  $x$  in  $f(x)$  gives

$$0 = f(1) = a_n 1^n + \cdots + a_1 1 + a_0 = a_n + \cdots + a_1 + a_0.$$

Conversely, suppose  $a_n + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 = 0$ . As we just saw, this implies that  $f(1) = 0$  or that 1 is a zero of  $f$ . The corollary is an “if and only if” statement and guarantees that  $x - 1$  is a factor of  $f(x)$ .

- (8) (*Gallian Chapter 16 #46*) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$  is ring-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

*Solution:* We will use the First Isomorphism Theorem. In particular, we will find an onto ring homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$$

whose kernel is the ideal  $\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$ . Define

$$\varphi(a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0) = a_n (\sqrt{2})^n + \cdots + a_0.$$

The following claims finish the proof:

- The function  $\varphi$  has codomain  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} a_n (\sqrt{2})^n + \cdots + a_0 &= \sum_{\text{even powers}} a_{2k} (\sqrt{2})^{2k} + \sum_{\text{odd powers}} a_{2j+1} (\sqrt{2})^{2j+1} \\ &= \sum_{\text{even powers}} a_{2k} 2^k + \sum_{\text{odd powers}} a_{2j+1} 2^j \sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the rational numbers are closed under addition and multiplication, we've expressed the image under  $\varphi$  of an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ .

- The function  $\varphi$  is onto. Why? Let  $r + s\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Then  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$  so  $sx + r \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Moreover,  $\varphi(sx + r) = s\sqrt{2} + r$ , as required.
- The function  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism: note that  $\varphi$  is a restriction of the evaluation function  $\varphi_{\sqrt{2}}$  defined in quiz 2 from  $\mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In that quiz, we proved that the function respects  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  for all pairs of polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ ; hence, it will also respect these operations for polynomials in the subset  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .
- The kernel of  $\varphi$  is  $\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$ :
  - ( $\subseteq$ ) Let  $p(x) \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ . That is,  $\varphi(p(x)) = 0$ . By definition of  $\varphi$ , this means that  $p(\sqrt{2}) = 0$ . Suppose, towards a contradiction that  $p(x) \notin \langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$

---

is a field, Theorem 16.2 gives us the Division Algorithm for  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and we have  $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that

$$p(x) = (x^2 - 2)q(x) + r(x), \quad r(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \deg r(x) < 2.$$

Evaluating both sides at  $x = \sqrt{2}$ , we get

$$0 = p(\sqrt{2}) = (2 - 2)q(\sqrt{2}) + r(\sqrt{2}) = 0 + r(\sqrt{2}) = r(\sqrt{2}).$$

But,  $r$  is of the form  $r(x) = a + b\sqrt{x}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , not both zero. Therefore,

$$0 = a + b\sqrt{2}.$$

But then, either  $b = 0$  (which forces  $a = 0$ , contradicting that  $r(x)$  is nonzero) or

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{-a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

contradicting the irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$ . Thus,  $p(x) \in \langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $p(x) \in \langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$ . Then there is some  $q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that  $p(x) = q(x)(x^2 - 2)$ . By definition of  $\varphi$ ,

$$\varphi(p(x)) = p(\sqrt{2}) = q(\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{2}^2 - 2) = q(\sqrt{2})0 = 0.$$

Thus,  $p(x) \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ .

The First Isomorphism Theorem for rings now implies that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle$  is ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ .