```
§ 6 Rings and Fields
Introduction to Rings and Fields
Definition 6.1
A ring is a set R equipped with binary operations + and · (usually called addition and
multiplication) that satisfy
R_1) (R,+) is an abelian group.
R2) Multiplication · is associative
R3) (Distributive Law) For all a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}, a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c and (a+b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c
Remark: The additive identity is usually denoted by O.
Z,Q, IR, C with usual additions and multiplications are rings
R=\{e\} with e+e=e and e\cdot e=e is a ring, called trivial ring.
Mnxn(IR) is a ring
nZ = \{na \cdot a \in Z\} is a ring
Zn = Zl/nZ is a ring.
RIXI = set of all polynomials with real coefficients is a ring.
Example 6.2
Let R., R., ..., R. be rings. Then R. R. x R. x ... x Rn is a ring with
(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n) and
(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \cdot (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 \cdot b_1, a_2 \cdot b_2, \dots, a_n \cdot b_n) where a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}_1
Notations:
if R is a ring and aeR.
the additive inverse of a is denoted by -a.
a+a+...+a is denoted by na.
Caution: n is a positive integer, which may not be an element of R.
If n is a negative integer, na means (-a)+(-a)+\cdots+(-a)
 If n is zero, oa = o
```

integer additive identity in R

```
Proposition 6.1
```

If R is a ring with additive identity o, then for any a, beR, we have

1) 0.0=0.0=0

2) $a \cdot (-b) = (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$

3) (-a)·(-b) = a·b

Definition 6.2

Let R and R' be rings.

A function $\phi: R \to R'$ is said to be a ring homomorphism from R to R' if for all a, b \in R

1) $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$

2) $\phi(a \cdot b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$

In particular, if ϕ is bijective, ϕ is said to be a ring isomorphism.

Proposition 6.2

If gcd(r,s)=1, $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_{rs} \to \mathbb{Z}_{r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{s}$ defined by $\phi(n)=n(1,1)$ is a ring isomorphism.

Definition 6.3

A ring in which the multiplication is commutative is a commutative ring

A ring with a multiplicative identity is a ring with unity.

Definition 64

Let R be a ring with unity 1 \$0. An element u in R is a unit if it has a multiplicative inverse

If every nonzero element of R is a unit, then R is a division ring.

A field is a commutative division ring.

Idea: Multiplication of a field is commutative and we can "perform division" on a field by $defining \ a/b \ by \ ab^{-1} \ if \ b
eq 0$.

Caution: For example, in $M_{min}(IR)$, the additive and multiplicative identity are the zero matrix and identity matrix (but not real numbers 0 and 1).

Sometimes, it may be more convenient to write down every condition as the following: A field F is a set equipped with binary operations + and · with such that (A1) (Commutative law) a+b=b+a for all a,beF. (A2) (Associative law) (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) for all $a,b,c \in F$ (A3) (Existence of 0) there exists $0 \in F$ such that a+o=o+a for all $a \in F$ (A4) (Existence of additive inverse) for all $a \in F$, there exists $b \in F$ such that a + b = b + a = 0. (MI) (Commutative law) $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ for all $a, b \in F$. (M2) (Associative law) $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for all $a, b, c \in F$ (M3) (Existence of 1) there exists I = F\{0} such that a · I = I · a for all a ∈ F. (M4) (Existence of multiplicative inverse) for all a F \ \{0}, there exists b \ E F such that a.b=b.a=1 (D) (Distributive law) $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ for all $a,b,c \in F$ Definition 6.5 If a and b are nonzero element of a ring R such that aboo, then a and b are called divisors of o. An integral domain D is a commutative ring with unity 1 \$0 and containing no divisors of o Proposition 6.3 Every field is an integral domain. broof: By definition, a field is a commutative division ring, and hence a commutative ring with unity 1 \$0. Therefore, it suffices to show that a field has no divisors of O. Let F be a field and let a, b e F such that a b = 0 If a=o, it is done! If $a \neq 0$, a^{-1} exists and $a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = a^{-1} \cdot 0$ $(a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b = 0$ (R2 and prop. 6.1)

.. There is no divisor

Rings	
	lef
Comm. Rings	Int. Domain Rings with 1
	Fields

Exercise 6.1

Verify the following:

2.0	J	đ	~~~ (~ · ·)	NOT	Zp (p:prime)	M (P)		6
			NZ (N>1)	an (n:prime)	«p (p:prime)	(Inxn(IR)	(IK)	(Q)
Commutative '	Ring	~	~	~	4			~
Ring with U				4	~	~	~	~
d Division Ring					✓		~	~
Integral Dom	•	4			✓		~	4
Field		-			√		•	~
					•			•

To check if \mathbb{Z}_p is a field (where p is a prime), the only nontrivial part is proving the existence of multiplicative inverse

Let [n] \ Zp , for I < n < p - 1.

Since gcd(n,p)=1, there exists $r,s\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that nr+ps=1.

Then nr = 1 (mod p), i.e. [n] = [r].

Definition 6.6

Let R be a ring. If there exists a positive integer n such that na=0 for all $a\in R$, then the least such positive integer is said to be the characteristic of the ring R. If no such positive integer exists, then R is said to be of characteristic 0.

Example 6.3

In is of characteristic n.

Z. Q. TR and C are of characteristic o.

```
Proposition 6.4
Let R be a ring with unity.
1) If n \cdot 1 \neq 0 for all n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, then R has characteristic 0.
2) If n is the least positive integer such that n \cdot l = 0, then R has characteristic n
Remark: To find the characterist of R, it suffices to look at 1.
proof:
1) trivial.
2) Let a ER
    na = a + a + ··· + a = a (1+1+···+1) = a · (n·1) = a · 0 = 0
    ∴ characteristic of R < n
    However, if characteristic of R < n, it contradicts to the fact that
    n is the least positive integer such that n.1=0.
    : characteristic of R = n
Ideals and Factor Rings
Definition 6.7
Let N be a subset of a ring R. N is said to be an ideal of R if
1) N is a subgroup of (R,+).
2) aN = \{a \cdot x \cdot x \in N\} \subseteq N and bN = \{x \cdot b : x \in N\} \subseteq N
Remark: If R is a commutative ring, we have aN=Na.
Example 6.4
Let n ∈ Z. Then, nZ is an ideal of Z.
Prove that every ideal of Z is of the form nZ
Example 6.5
Let per (RE) and let <pa) = {perger : qui (RE)}
Then <po>> is an ideal of TREU
```

```
Proposition 6.5
Let R be a ring with unity and let N be an ideal of R
N=R if and only if IEN.
proof:
"⇒" Trivial
← Clearly NSR. To show N=R, It suffices to show RSN.
    Let aER. Note that aNEN and IEN, so a = a·IEN and REN.
Recall: Let R be a ring and let N be an ideal of R.
       We can define a relation n on R such that and if a-b \in N and
       in fact ~ is an equivalence relation.
       Let a eR, the equivalence class of a is a+N={a+x·xeN}
       (left cosets of N in the additive group (R,+),
       in fact a+N=N+a, since (R,+) is an abelian group.)
       Then, the set of all equivalence classes is denoted by R/N (instead of R/L).
Proposition 6.6
R/N is ring with addition and multiplication defined by
(a+N)+(b+N) := (a+b)+N and (a+N)\cdot(b+N) = (a\cdot b)+N
R/N is called factor ring or quotient ring of R by N.
Example 6.6
R[x]/<x+1> = {gw+<x+1> · gw e R[x]} (Recall : gw+<x+1> = {gw+x+1)qw : gw e R[x]})
         = {r(x)+(x+1> · r(x)= a0+a,x, a0, a, e 1R} why?
By division algorithm, for any g(x) \in [RE], there exist unique g(x), r(x) = a_0 + a_1 x such that
ga) = (2+1)ga) + ra). Therefore, ga) = ra) (mod 2+1)
                            g(x)+\langle x^2+1\rangle = r(x)+\langle x^2+1\rangle (Just an analogue to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})
ldea: Given a commutative ring R and an ideal N
```

Will we get a "better" ring by taking quotient, i.e. R/N?

```
Example 6.7
Z is an integral domain and nZ is an ideal.
Consider the factor ring Zn= Z/nZ.
If n=0, \mathbb{Z}_n is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} (still an integral domain).
If n=p which is a prime, Zp is a field (better!)
If n=6, \mathbb{Z}_6 is not an integral domain as [2] \cdot [3] = [6] = [6] (worse!).
Example 6.8
The ring \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} is not an integral domain as (1,0) \cdot (0,1) = (0,0).
Check: N = \{(0,n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}
\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{N} = \{(a,b) + \mathbb{N} : (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}
         = {(a,0) + N: a ∈ Z}
         which is isomorphic to Z (an integral domain, better!)
Definition 6.8
A prime ideal of a ring R is a proper ideal P such that for all a,b\in R,
if abeP, then either aeP or beP
A maximal ideal of a ring R is a proper ideal M such that there exists no ideal N such
that M&N&R
Example 6.9
Let p be a prime. Then pZ is a proper ideal of Z
Suppose a, b ∈ Z such that ab ∈ pZ
We have plab ⇒ pla or plb ⇒ a ∈ pZ or b ∈ pZ.
∴ pZ is a prime ideal
Let N be an ideal such that pZ & N S R
Then there exists meN such that mepZ.
god(m,p)=1 and so 1=mr+ps for some r,s eZ
Since m, p & N, I & N which implies N = R
Therefore, there exists no ideal N of R such that pZ \subseteq N \subseteq R
```

... pil is a maximal ideal

```
Exercise 6.2
Show that N = \{(0,n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} is a prime ideal, but not a maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.
Proposition 6.7
Let R be a commutative ring with unity and let N be a proper ideal of R.
R/N is an integral domain if and only if N is a prime ideal.
R/N is a field if and only if N is a maximal ideal.
Remark: This gives us a way to construct fields
Corollary 6.1
A maximal ideal of a commutative ring with unity is a prime ideal.
N is a maximal ideal \Rightarrow R/N is a field
                      ⇒ R/N is an integral domain
                      ⇒ N is a prime ideal
Example 6.10
If p is a prime, p\mathbb{Z} is a maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z}.
Therefore, Z/pZ is a field.
Example 6.11
Think: Why <x2+1> is a maximal ideal?
Then, RExi/<x2+1> is a field
Brief discussion: Let F = RGJ/<x2+1>.
 IR can be regarded as a subfield of F by a \mapsto (a+ox)+\langle x^2+i\rangle
Therefore, f(x) = x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x] can be regarded as an element in F[x]
 (fa) = (1+<x+1>) x+ (1+<x+1>) EFE)
 Note that we cannot find a real number x_0 such that f(x_0) = 0, but
 +(x+<x+1>) = (1+<x+1>)(x+<x+1>)+ (1+<x+1>) = (x+1)+<x+1> = 0+<x+1>
 i.e. we extend IR to a field F such that zi+1=0 has a solution!
```

In fact, C = [R[x]/(x2+1) and a0+a1 means (a0+a1x)+(x2+1)