

# Completeness of InstGenEQ

Alexander Maringele

[alexander.maringele@gmail.com](mailto:alexander.maringele@gmail.com)

November 16, 2017





Harald Ganzinger and Konstantin Korovin.

Integrating Equational Reasoning into Instantiation-Based Theorem Proving.

In *18th CSL 2004. Proceedings*, volume 3210 of *LNCS*, pages 71–84, 2004.

$\succ_{gr}$  total simplification ordering on ground terms  
 $\succ_{\ell}$   $()$   
 $\succ_{cl}$   $()$

# Unit Paramodulation

## Definition

$$\frac{(\ell \approx r) \cdot \sigma \quad L[\ell'] \cdot \sigma'}{L[r]\theta \cdot \rho} \theta \qquad \frac{(s \not\approx t) \cdot \tau}{\square} \mu$$

where

- ▶  $\ell\sigma \succ_{gr} r\sigma$ ,  $\theta = \text{mgu}(\ell, s)$ ,  $\ell\sigma = \ell'\sigma' = \ell'\theta\rho$ ,  $\ell' \notin \mathcal{V}$
- ▶  $s\tau = t\tau$ ,  $\mu = \text{mgu}(s, t)$

saturation process

$\mathcal{R}_{Inst}(S)$ 

selection function,

S-relevant

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an arbitrary total consistent extension of  $\mathcal{I}_S$ .  
Then for every  $C \in S$  and  $\sigma : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}_f, \emptyset)$ .

$$\mathcal{I} \models C \cdot \sigma$$

## Proof.

Assume minimal w.r.t.  $\succ_{cl}$  ground instance  $D' \cdot \sigma'$  of  $S$  with  $\mathcal{I} \not\models D' \cdot \sigma'$ . □

### Lemma

Let  $M \cdot \tau = \min_{\succ_\ell} \{M' \cdot \tau' \mid M' \cdot \tau' \in \text{irred}_{R_S}(\mathcal{L}_S^{\text{sat}}), \mathcal{I} \not\models M' \tau'\}$

Then,  $M \cdot \tau$  is irreducible by  $R_S$ .

Proof.



Assume  $M \cdot \tau$  is reducible by  $(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R_S$  and  $(\ell \rightarrow r)$  is produced by  $(\ell' \approx r') \cdot \rho \in \mathcal{L}_S^{sat}$ . Bei construction  $\tau$  is irreducible by  $R_S$ . Hence UP-inference is applicable:

$$\frac{(\ell' \approx r) \cdot \rho \quad M[\ell''] \cdot \tau}{M[r']\theta \cdot \mu} \text{ UP}$$

$$\ell' \rho = \ell'' \tau = \ell'' \theta \mu, \theta = \text{mgu}(\ell', \ell''), \mathcal{I} \not\models M[r']\theta \mu$$

- ▶ Assume  $M[r']\theta \cdot \mu$  is UP-redundant in  $\mathcal{L}_S^{sat}$ .  
 $\alpha$  is irreducible (lemma ..) by  $R_S$ . From definiton:
- ▶ Assume  $M[r']\theta \cdot \mu$  is not UP-redundant in  $\mathcal{L}_S^{sat}$ .

□