flea

bit(e)s and pieces

Alexander Maringele

June 15th, 2016

Hofstadter's Law: It always takes longer than you expect, even when you take into account Hofstadter's Law.

— Douglas Hofstadter, Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid

1. Previously
2. Procedure
3. Equality
4. work to do

References

- Douglas R. Hofstadter, *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid*, Basic Books, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- Robert Nieuwenhuis, Thomas Hillenbrand, Alexandre Riazanov, and Andrei Voronkov, *On the evaluation of indexing techniques for theorem proving*, Automated Reasoning (Rajeev Goré, Alexander Leitsch, and Tobias Nipkow, eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2083, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2001, pp. 257–271.

Goal


Is F a theorem?
Is S satisfiable?
unsatisfiable
theorem
F
S
maybe
time out
not a theorem
satisfiable
$\neg F \approx S$

Definition (Ordered Resolution)

$$\frac{L \vee C \quad \neg L' \vee D}{(C \vee D)\sigma}$$

where

$L\sigma$ strictly maximal in $C\sigma$, $\neg L'\sigma$ maximal in $D\sigma$, $\sigma = \mathrm{mgu}(L, L')$.

Definition (Inst-Gen)

$$\frac{L \vee C \quad \neg L' \vee D}{(L \vee C)\sigma \quad (\neg L' \vee D)\sigma}$$

where

$$\mathrm{sel}(L \vee C) = L \qquad \mathrm{sel}(\neg L' \vee D) = \neg L' \qquad \sigma = \mathrm{mgu}(L, L')$$

Example (Resolution)

$$\cfrac{\cfrac{\mathsf{P}(x) \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(y) \quad \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a})}{\neg\mathsf{P}(y) \quad \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{b})}\; x \mapsto \mathsf{a}}{\square}\; y \mapsto \mathsf{b}$$

Example (Inst-Gen)

$$S_0\bot = \{\mathsf{P}(\bot) \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(\bot), \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}), \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{b})\} \qquad \text{satisfiable}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{P}(x) \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(y)) \quad \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a})}{\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}) \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(y)}\; x \mapsto \mathsf{a}$$

$$S_1\bot \supsetneq \{\neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}), \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{b}), \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}) \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(\bot)\} \qquad \text{satisfiable}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{b}) \quad \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}) \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(y)}{\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}) \vee \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{b})}\; y \mapsto \mathsf{b}$$

$$S_2\bot \supsetneq \{\neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}), \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{b}), \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}) \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{b})\} \qquad \text{unsatisfiable}$$

Run-Loop


active
clauses
given clause
selection
selected
literal
unsatis-
fiable
SMT
passive
clauses
search for
conflicts
satis-
fiable
instantiation
substitution
new
clauses
read
file(s)

Subsumption

$$S = \{C, D, \ldots\} \qquad \exists\theta\ C\theta \subseteq D$$ C subsumes D

$$S \text{ satisfiable } \overset{\checkmark}{\Longleftrightarrow} (S \setminus D) \text{ satisfiable}$$

$$\theta \text{ is proper, } S\bot \text{ satisfiable } \overset{\times}{\Longleftrightarrow} (S \setminus D)\bot \text{ satisfiable}$$

$$\theta \text{ is renaming, } S\bot \text{ satisfiable } \overset{\checkmark}{\Longleftrightarrow} (S \setminus D)\bot \text{ satisfiable}$$

Example

$$\{\mathsf{P}(x, y), \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}, z)\} \qquad \{\mathsf{P}(x, y), \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}, z), \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}, z)\}$$

$$\{\mathsf{P}(\bot, \bot), \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}, \bot)\} \qquad \{\mathsf{P}(\bot, \bot), \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}, \bot), \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}, \bot)\}$$

needed data structures

1. representation of clauses, literals and terms
2. fast retrieval of clauses with a selected literal that is unifiable with the negation selected literal of a given clause
3. fast retrieval of clauses with a set of literals that is a renamed subset of a given clause

$$\{^{1:}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{f}(x,x)),{}^{2:}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{a},x)),{}^{3:}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{f}(y,z)),{}^{4:}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{a},y)),{}^{5:}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{f}(y,x)),{}^{6:}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{g}(y,a))\}$$



$$\neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{b},z)) \mapsto \{\mathsf{P}.1.\mathsf{g}.1.\mathsf{b}, \mathsf{P}.1.\mathsf{g}.2.*\} \mapsto \{6\} \cap \{2,4,6\}$$

Example

$$S = \{\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}), \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})), \mathsf{f}(x,\mathsf{b}) = x\} \qquad \text{unsatisfiable}$$

$$\mathsf{a} \neq y \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}) \vee \mathsf{P}(y) \qquad \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{a}),\ \text{congruence}$$

Schemata

$x = x$	$s \neq s$
$x \neq y \vee y = x$	$s \neq t$
$x \neq y \vee y \neq z \vee x = z$	$s \neq t$
$x_1 \neq y_1 \vee x_2 \neq y_2 \vee \mathsf{f}(x_1, x_2) = \mathsf{f}(y_1, y_2)$	$\mathsf{f}(s_1, s_2) \neq \mathsf{f}(t_1, t_2)$
$x \neq y \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(x) \vee \mathsf{P}(y)$	$\mathsf{P}(s)$
$x \neq y \vee \neg\mathsf{P}(x) \vee \mathsf{P}(y)$	$\neg\mathsf{P}(s)$

Lemma

Symmetry and transitivity are consequences of reflexivity and congruence.

Symmetry.

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{x_1 \neq y_1 \vee x_2 \neq y_2 \vee x_1 \neq x_2 \vee y_1 = y_2}\,\mathsf{C}}{\dfrac{x \neq y \vee x \neq x \vee x \neq x \vee y = x}{x \neq y \vee y = x}\,\mathsf{R}}\; x_1 \mapsto x, x_2 \mapsto x, y_1 \mapsto y, y_2 \mapsto x$$

□

Transitivity.

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{x_1 \neq y_1 \vee x_2 \neq y_2 \vee x_1 \neq x_2 \vee y_1 = y_2}\,\mathsf{C}}{\dfrac{x \neq x \vee y \neq z \vee x \neq y \vee x = z}{x \neq y \vee y \neq z \vee x = z}\,\mathsf{R}}\; x_1 \mapsto x, x_2 \mapsto y, y_1 \mapsto x, y_2 \mapsto z$$

□

- migrate to Linux / Swift 3
- integrate unit superposition
- integrate ordered maximal completion
- experiments
- optimizations


ARE YOU PREMATURELY OPTIMIZING OR JUST TAKING TIME TO DO THINGS RIGHT?
ARE YOU CONSULTING A FLOWCHART TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION?
YES
YOU ARE PREMATURELY OPTIMIZING