

Completeness of

Alexander Maringele

a lexander. maringele @gmail.com

November 28, 2017





Harald Ganzinger and Konstantin Korovin.

Integrating Equational Reasoning into Instantiation-Based Theorem Proving.

In 18th CSL 2004. Proceedings, volume 3210 of LNCS, pages 71–84, 2004.

- ▶ a clause C is a multiset of literals
- literals are (in)equations of first order terms
- ightharpoonup a closure $C \cdot \sigma$ is a pair of clause C and substitution σ
- orderings
 - \succ_{gr} order on ground terms, literals, and clauses defined by a total, well-founded, and monotone extension of a total simplification ordering \succ'_{gr} on ground terms
 - \succ_{ℓ} an arbitrary total well-founded extension of \succ_{gr} such that $L\sigma \succ_{gr} L'\sigma' \Rightarrow L \cdot \sigma \succ_{\ell} L' \cdot \sigma'$
 - \succ_{cl} an arbitrary total well-founded extension of \succ_{gr} such that $C\tau \succ_{gr} D\rho) \Rightarrow C \cdot \tau \succ_{cl} D \cdot \rho$ ($C\tau = D\rho$ and $C\theta = D$) $\Rightarrow C \cdot \tau \succ_{cl} D \cdot \rho$

Unit Paramodulation

Definition

$$\frac{(\ell \approx r) \cdot \sigma \quad L[\ell'] \cdot \sigma'}{L[r]\theta \cdot \rho} \ \theta \qquad \qquad \frac{(s \not\approx t) \cdot \tau}{\Box} \ \mu$$

where

- $\blacktriangleright \ \ell\sigma \succ_{gr} r\sigma, \ \theta = \mathrm{mgu}(\ell, s), \ \ell\sigma = \ell'\sigma' = \ell'\theta\rho, \ \ell' \notin \mathcal{V}$
- ightharpoonup s au=t au, $\mu= ext{mgu}(s,t)$

Remark

The set of literal closures $\{(f(x) \approx b) \cdot \{x \to a\}, a \approx b, f(b) \not\approx b\}$ is inconsistent, but with a $\succ_{gr} b$ the empty clause is not derivable.

A ℓ M (UIBK) Completeness 4/10

Definition

For a set of literal closures $\mathcal L$ and arbitrary ground term rewrite system R we define

$$irred_R(\mathcal{L}) = \{L' \cdot \sigma' \mid L' \cdot \sigma' \in \mathcal{L}, \sigma' \text{ is irreducible w.r.t. } R\}$$

A literal closure $L \cdot \sigma$ is UP-redundant \mathcal{L} if

$$R \cup irred_R(\mathcal{L}_{L \cdot \sigma \succ_{\ell}}) \vDash L\sigma$$

for any ground term rewrite system R and

$$\mathcal{L}_{L \cdot \sigma \succ_{\ell}} = \{ L' \cdot \sigma' \mid L' \cdot \sigma' \in \mathcal{L}, L \cdot \sigma \succ_{\ell} L' \cdot \sigma' \}$$

oriented by \succ_{gr} where σ is irreducible w.r.t. R.

 $\mathcal{R}_{\mathit{UP}}$ denotes the set of all UP-redundant closures in \mathcal{L} .

Saturation Satuaration

Saturation I

Definition

A UP- saturation process is a sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of sets of literal closures where \mathcal{L}_{i+1} can be obtained from \mathcal{L}_i by adding a conclusion of an UP-inference with premises in \mathcal{L}_i or by removing a UP-redundant w.r.t. \mathcal{L}_i closure:

$$\mathcal{L}_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_i \cup \Box & \text{if} \quad \mathcal{L}_i \ni (s \not\approx t) \cdot \tau, \ s\tau = t\tau, \ \mu = \mathsf{mgu}(s,t) \\ \mathcal{L}_i \backslash L \cdot \sigma & \text{if} \quad R \cup \mathsf{irred}_R(\mathcal{L}_{L \cdot \sigma \succ_{\ell}}) \vDash L\sigma \\ \mathcal{L}_i \cup L[r]\theta \cdot \rho & \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} (\ell \approx r) \cdot \sigma \in \mathcal{L}_i, \ L[\ell'] \cdot \sigma' \in \mathcal{L}_i \\ \ell \sigma \succ_{gr} r\sigma, \ \theta = \mathsf{mgu}(\ell,\ell'), \\ \ell' \notin \mathcal{V}, \ \ell \sigma = \ell' \sigma' = \ell' \theta \rho, \end{cases}$$
otherwise

A&M (UIBK) Completeness 6/10

Saturation Satuaration

Saturation II

Definition

Let \mathcal{L}^{∞} be the set of persistent closures, i.e. the lower limit of the sequence. The process is fair if for every UP-inference with premesis in \mathcal{L}^{∞} the conclusion is UP-redundant w.r.t. \mathcal{L}_{j} for some j. For a set of literals \mathcal{L} we define the saturated set of literal closures $\mathcal{L}^{sat} = \mathcal{L}^{\infty} \backslash \mathcal{R}_{UP}(\mathcal{L}^{\infty})$ for some UP-saturation process $\{\mathcal{L}_{i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ with $\mathcal{L}_{0} = \mathcal{L}$.

Lemma

The set \mathcal{L}^{sat} is unique because for any two UP-fair saturation processes $\{\mathcal{L}_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\mathcal{L}_i'\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ with $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0'$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{\infty} \backslash \mathcal{R}_{\textit{UP}}(\mathcal{L}^{\infty}) = \mathcal{L}'^{\infty} \backslash \mathcal{R}_{\textit{UP}}(\mathcal{L}'^{\infty})$$

A&M (UIBK) Completeness 7/10

Let \mathcal{L} be a set of literal closures.

Assume $\mathcal{L}\bot$ is satisfiable and $\square \not\in \mathcal{L}^{\mathit{sat}}$.

We define by induction on \succ_{ℓ} . Assume $L = L' \cdot \sigma \in \mathcal{L}^{sat}$

A ℓ M (UIBK) Completeness 8/10

Saturation Final step

Final Step I

$$M \cdot \tau = \min_{\succeq_{\ell}} \{ M' \cdot \tau' \mid L' \cdot \sigma' \in irred_{R_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{sat}), \mathcal{I} \not\models M'\tau' \}$$

We have that $M \cdot \tau$

- ightharpoonup is false in $\mathcal I$
- ightharpoonup is in \mathcal{L}_{S}^{sat}
- ▶ is irreducible by R_S
- ▶ is not productive.

Hence $I_{M \cdot \tau} \models \overline{M}\tau$ with two possible cases:

1. $M \cdot \tau$ is equation $(s \approx t) \cdot \tau$

$$I_{M \cdot \tau} \models (s \not\approx t)\tau$$

2. $M \cdot \tau$ is inequation $(s \not\approx t) \cdot \tau$

$$I_{M\cdot\tau}\models(s\approx t)\tau$$

A&M (UIBK) Completeness 9/10

Saturation Final step

Final Step II

 $M \cdot \tau = \min_{\succeq_{\ell}} \{ M' \cdot \tau' \mid L' \cdot \sigma' \in irred_{R_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{sat}), \mathcal{I} \not\models M'\tau' \}$ $M \cdot \tau$ is false in \mathcal{I} , in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{sat}$, irreducible in $R_{\mathcal{S}}$, not productive.

- 1. Assume $M \cdot \tau$ is equation $(s \approx t) \cdot \tau$:
 - $I_{M\cdot\tau}\models(s\not\approx t)\tau$
 - ▶ All literals in $I_{M \cdot \tau}$ are irreducible by $R_{M \cdot \tau}$
 - $s\tau$ and $t\tau$ are irreducible by $R_{M\cdot\tau}$
 - $R_{M \cdot \tau}$ is a convergent term rewrite system

Hence $(s \not\approx t)\tau \in I_{M\cdot\tau}$ and produced to $I_{M\cdot\tau}$ by a $(s' \not\approx t')\cdot\tau'$. Contradicts the minimality of $M\cdot\tau$.

- 2. Assume $M \cdot \tau$ is inequation $(s \not\approx t) \cdot \tau$:
 - $I_{M \cdot \tau} \models (s \approx t)\tau$
 - $s\tau$ and $t\tau$ are irreducible by $R_{M\cdot\tau}$

Hence $s\tau = t\tau$ and equality resolution is applicable. Contradicts that the empty clause is not in \mathcal{L}_{S}^{sat} .