

Completeness of

Alexander Maringele

alexander.maringele@gmail.com

November 27, 2017





Harald Ganzinger and Konstantin Korovin.

Integrating Equational Reasoning into Instantiation-Based Theorem Proving.

In *18th CSL 2004. Proceedings*, volume 3210 of *LNCS*, pages 71–84, 2004.

Clauses and Closures

Orderings

\succ_{gr} order on ground terms, literals, and clauses defined by
 a total, well-founded, and monotone extension of
 a total simplification ordering \succ'_{gr} on ground terms

\succ_{ℓ} an arbitrary total well-founded extension of \succ_{gr} such that

$$L\sigma \succ_{gr} L'\sigma' \Rightarrow L \cdot \sigma \succ_{\ell} L' \cdot \sigma'$$

\succ_{cl} an arbitrary total well-founded extension of \succ_{gr} such that

$$C\tau \succ_{gr} D\rho \Rightarrow C \cdot \tau \succ_{cl} D \cdot \rho$$

$$(C\tau = D\rho \text{ and } C\theta = D) \Rightarrow C \cdot \tau \succ_{cl} D \cdot \rho$$

Unit Paramodulation

Definition

$$\frac{(\ell \approx r) \cdot \sigma \quad L[\ell'] \cdot \sigma'}{L[r]\theta \cdot \rho} \theta \qquad \frac{(s \not\approx t) \cdot \tau}{\square} \mu$$

where

- ▶ $\ell\sigma \succ_{gr} r\sigma$, $\theta = \text{mgu}(\ell, s)$, $\ell\sigma = \ell'\sigma' = \ell'\theta\rho$, $\ell' \notin \mathcal{V}$
- ▶ $s\tau = t\tau$, $\mu = \text{mgu}(s, t)$

Definition

Let $L \cdot \sigma$ be a literal closure, \mathcal{L} be a set of literal closures and R a ground rewrite system.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{irred}_R(\mathcal{L}) &= \{L' \cdot \sigma' \mid L' \cdot \sigma' \in \mathcal{L}, \sigma' \text{ is irreducible w.r.t. } R\} \\ \mathcal{L}_{L \cdot \sigma \succ_\ell} &= \{L' \cdot \sigma' \mid L' \cdot \sigma' \in \mathcal{L}, L \cdot \sigma \succ_\ell L' \cdot \sigma'\} \end{aligned}$$

Definition

A literal closure $L \cdot \sigma$ is UP-redundant in a set of literal closures \mathcal{L} if

$$R \cup \text{irred}_R(\mathcal{L}_{L \cdot \sigma \succ_\ell}) \models L\sigma$$

for any ground rewrite System R

oriented by \succ_{gr} where σ is irreducible w.r.t. R .

\mathcal{R}_{UP} denotes the set of all UP-redundant closures in \mathcal{L} .

Saturation I

Definition

A UP- saturation process is a sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of sets of literal closures where \mathcal{L}_{i+1} can be obtained from \mathcal{L}_i by adding a conclusion of an UP-inference with premises in \mathcal{L}_i or by removing a UP-redundant w.r.t. \mathcal{L}_i closure:

$$\mathcal{L}_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_i \cup \square & \text{if } \mathcal{L}_i \ni (s \not\approx t) \cdot \tau, s\tau = t\tau, \mu = \text{mgu}(s, t) \\ \mathcal{L}_i \setminus L \cdot \sigma & \text{if } R \cup \text{irred}_R(\mathcal{L}_{L \cdot \sigma \succ_\ell}) \models L\sigma \\ \mathcal{L}_i \cup L[r]\theta \cdot \rho & \text{if } \begin{cases} (l \approx r) \cdot \sigma \in \mathcal{L}_i, L[l'] \cdot \sigma' \in \mathcal{L}_i \\ l\sigma \succ_{gr} r\sigma, \theta = \text{mgu}(l, l'), \\ l' \notin \mathcal{V}, l\sigma = l'\sigma' = l'\theta\rho, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{L}_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Saturation II

Definition

Let \mathcal{L}^∞ be the set of persistent closures, i.e. the lower limit of the sequence. The process is fair if for every UP-inference with premiss in \mathcal{L}^∞ the conclusion is UP-redundant w.r.t. \mathcal{L}_j for some j . For a set of literals \mathcal{L} we define the saturated set of literal closures $\mathcal{L}^{sat} = \mathcal{L}^\infty \setminus \mathcal{R}_{UP}(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ for some UP-saturation process $\{\mathcal{L}_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ with $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}$.

Lemma

The set \mathcal{L}^{sat} is unique because for any two UP-fair saturation processes $\{\mathcal{L}_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and $\{\mathcal{L}'_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ with $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}'_0$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}^\infty \setminus \mathcal{R}_{UP}(\mathcal{L}^\infty) = \mathcal{L}'^\infty \setminus \mathcal{R}_{UP}(\mathcal{L}'^\infty)$$

Assume \mathcal{L}^\perp is satisfiable and $\Box \notin \mathcal{L}^{sat}$.

We define by induction on \succ_ℓ . Assume $L = L' \cdot \sigma \in \mathcal{L}^{sat}$

$$I_L = \bigcup_{L \succ_\ell M} \epsilon_M$$

$$R_L = \{s \rightarrow t \mid s \approx t \in I_L, s \succ_{gr} t\}$$

$$\epsilon_L = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } L'\sigma \text{ reducible by } R_L \\ \emptyset & \text{if } I_L \models L'\sigma \text{ or } I_L \models \overline{L'}\sigma \\ \{L'\sigma\} & L'\sigma \text{ irreducible by } R_L \text{ and undefined in } I_L \end{cases}$$

$$I_S = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}^{sat}} \epsilon_L \qquad R_S = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}^{sat}} R_L$$

Lemma

Let $M \cdot \tau = \min_{\succ_\ell} \{M' \cdot \tau' \mid M' \cdot \tau' \in \text{irred}_{R_S}(\mathcal{L}_S^{\text{sat}}), \mathcal{I} \not\models M' \tau'\}$

Then, $M \cdot \tau$ is irreducible by R_S .

Proof.

Assume $M \cdot \tau$ is reducible by $(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R_S$ and $(\ell \rightarrow r)$ is produced by $(\ell' \approx r') \cdot \rho \in \mathcal{L}_S^{sat}$. Bei construction τ is irreducible by R_S . Hence UP-inference is applicable:

$$\frac{(\ell' \approx r) \cdot \rho \quad M[\ell''] \cdot \tau}{M[r']\theta \cdot \mu} \text{ UP}$$

$$\ell' \rho = \ell'' \tau = \ell'' \theta \mu, \theta = \text{mgu}(\ell', \ell''), \mathcal{I} \not\models M[r']\theta \mu$$

- ▶ Assume $M[r']\theta \cdot \mu$ is UP-redundant in \mathcal{L}_S^{sat} .
 α is irreducible (lemma ..) by R_S . From definiton:
- ▶ Assume $M[r']\theta \cdot \mu$ is not UP-redundant in \mathcal{L}_S^{sat} .

