Programming FPGAs for Economics:

An Introduction to Electrical Engineering Economics

Replication Package PARSER

Bhagath Cheela*

André DeHon[†]

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde[‡]

Alessandro Peri[§]

September 25, 2024

1 Introduction

This document serves as parser file for replicating all tables presented in the paper "Programming FPGAs for Economics: An Introduction to Electrical Engineering Economic", by Bhagath Cheela, André DeHon, Jesús Fernández-Villaverde and Alessandro Peri.

^{*}Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, cheelabhagath@gmail.com

[†]Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, andre@acm.org

[‡]Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania, jesusfy@econ.upenn.edu

[§]Department of Economics, University of Colorado, Boulder, alessandro.peri@colorado.edu

2 Tables

Table 1: Calibrated Parameters

α	0.36	Output capital share
β	0.99	Quarterly discount factor
γ	1	Relative risk aversion coefficient
δ	0.025	Quarterly depreciation rate
μ	0.15	Unemployment benefits in terms of wages
$rac{\mu}{l}$	1/0.9	Time endowment
Δ_A	0.01	Aggregate productivity shock size

Table 2: Benchmarking the CPU: Alternative Search Algorithms

	Linear Search	Binary Search	Jump Search
$Solution\ Time$	73657.8	38392.0	28452.5
Speedup	-	1.92	2.59

Note: Solution time (in seconds) and speedups of alternative interpolation interval search algorithms. Speedups are computed relative to the linear search algorithm. Results are obtained by solving 1,200 baseline economies sequentially using a single core instance (m5n.large).

Table 3: Efficiency Gains and Implementation Costs of FPGA Acceleration

Panel A: Efficiency Gains of FPGA Acceleration

	Speedup		Relat	Relative Costs (%)		Energy (%)			
CPU-cores	\overline{FPGAs}		FPGAs			\overline{FPGAs}			
CPU-cores	1	2	8	1	2	8	1	2	8
1	68.54	137.09	548.56	20.23	20.23	20.22	6.02	6.02	6.02
8	8.80	17.61	70.46	19.69	19.69	19.68	5.86	5.86	5.85
48	1.48	2.96	11.83	19.55	19.55	19.54	5.82	5.82	5.81

Panel B: Implementation Costs of FPGA Acceleration

	K	Kernel	Nor	n-kernel
	Number	Percent (%)	Number	Percent (%)
Extra Lines of Code	75	5.37	128	51

Note: Panel A reports speedups provided by the FPGA and cost and energy usage of the FPGA relative to the CPU. The results are obtained by solving 1,200 baseline economies using AWS instances connected to 1, 2, and 8 FPGAs and using open-MPI parallelization on AWS instances with 1, 8, and 48 cores (rows). Speedup is obtained by dividing the total solution time in the CPU by that in the FPGA. Relative costs and energy are calculated using on-demand AWS prices and total energy consumption, and reported as FPGA usage as a percent of CPU usage. Table A.4 in Appendix C reports the details. Panel B estimates implementation costs for both kernel and non-kernel segments of our codebase by reporting the extra lines of code required by the HLS-enhanced C code when compared to standard C code designed to be executed on the CPU using Open MPI.

Table 4: Single-Kernel FPGA vs. Single CPU Core

Panel A: Benchmark Model, $\{N_k, N_M\} = \{100, 4\}$

FPGA-Time(sec)	CPU-Time(sec)	$Speedup(\mathbf{x})$	$Relative\ Costs(\%)$	Energy(%)
0.84	23.71	28.38	48.86	7.49

Panel B: Speedup across Grid Sizes

Aggregate Capital, N_M		4			8	
Individual Capital, N_k	100	200	300	100	200	300
Speedup(x)	28.38	34.41	34.31	27.81	31.05	32.06
Relative Costs (%)	48.86	40.30	40.41	49.85	44.65	43.26
Energy (%)	7.49	6.18	6.19	7.64	6.84	6.63

Note: Figures are obtained by comparing the solution of 1,200 economies using AWS instances connected to 1 FPGA and sequential CPU execution on a single core. Panel A focuses on the benchmark economy, $\{N_k, N_M\} = \{100, 4\}$. Columns 1-2 detail the average solution time (in seconds) to compute the benchmark economy in a single-kernel, single-device FPGA (f1.2xlarge), and a single-core instance (m5n.large), respectively. Columns 3-5 display the efficiency gains of FPGA acceleration in terms of speedup, costs (in percent), and energy savings (in percent), computed as described in Table 3. The FPGA average power consumption on a single-kernel design is 17 watts. Panel B studies how speedup, relative costs, and energy consumption vary with the size (columns) of the individual household capital holdings grid (N_k) and aggregate capital grid (N_M) .

Table 5: Speedup Gains: Acceleration Channels Accounting

	Baseline	Pipelining	Data Parallelism		
	Buscone	1 opcoming	$\overline{Within} \ Economy$	Across $Economies$	
$\frac{\text{Single-core Execution}}{\text{FPGA Solution}}$	0.21	6.94	28.38	68.54	
CL Resources Utilization (%)					
BRAM	6.01	7.14	21.31	44.29	
DSP	7.75	9.68	31.13	55.32	
Registers	3.99	5.12	12.00	25.71	
LUT	5.96	9.20	25.21	57.03	
URAM	5.50	5.50	5.38	16.50	

Note: Column 1 reports the speedup for a kernel design where all acceleration channels are switched off (baseline). Columns 2-4 report the speedup associated with implementing efficient pipelines (Column 2), introducing data parallelism in the kernel design (Column 3), and instantiating three kernels in the same FPGA (Column 4). The speedup (row 1) is computed by dividing the total solution time in the one-core CPU by the solution time in the FPGA. The acceleration in Columns 1-3 is performed using a single-kernel, single-device FPGA (f1.2xlarge), where Column 4 coincides with the single-kernel design. The acceleration in Column 4 is performed by deploying the three-kernel design in parallel across the three SLRs in a single FPGA (f1.2xlarge). Averages are computed over 1,200 economies, except for the Baseline and Pipeline designs, which for cost considerations are computed over 120 economies. Resources are measured (using Xilinx Vivado) as a percentage of the Xilinx VU9P FPGA's resources utilized by AWS images associated with the different designs (columns). Available Resources: BRAM (1,680), DSP (5,640), Registers (1,790,400), LUTs (895 thousand), URAM (800).

A Online Appendix Tables

Table A.1: List of Abbreviations

ALM	Aggregate Law of Motion	Algorithm stage
AFI	Amazon FPGA Image	CL design implemented on AWS FPGAs
AWS	Amazon Web Services	Cloud service
.AWSXCLBIN	FPGA executable	Executable to be run on AWS FPGA
BRAM	Block RAM	Local memory
CL	Custom logic	FPGA logical units
CPU	Central processing unit	-
DRAM	Dynamic random access memory	Global memory
DSP	Digital signal processing unit	Accumulator unit
FPGA	Field-programmable gate array	Custom accelerator
GPU	Graphics processing unit	Graphics accelerator
HLS	High level synthesis	Compiler-based hardware design
IEEE754	Double-precision floating-point standard	Floating-point standard
IHP	Individual Household Problem	Algorithm stage
II	Initiation Interval	
LUT	Lookup table	Logical units available for CL design
OpenCL	Open Computing Language	https://www.khronos.org/opencl
Open MPI	Open message passing interface	https://www.open-mpi.org
PCIe	Peripheral Component Interconnect Express	Bus-connections with host
SLR	Super Logic Region	FPGA CL regions
URAM	Ultra RAM	Local memory
Xilinx VU9	FPGA on AWS	

Table A.2: Technical Specifications

AWS Instance	Cores	FPGAs	Pricing (\$/hour)	Memory (GiB)
m5n.large	1	-	0.119	8
m5n.4xlarge	8	-	0.952	64
m5n.24xlarge	48	-	5.712	384
f1.2xlarge	4	1	1.650	122
f1.4xlarge	8	2	3.300	244
f1.16xlarge	32	8	13.200	976

Note: Hardware architecture and AWS cloud pricing (Columns 2-5) for deployed AWS instances (Column 1). The column marked Cores reports the number of physical cores. The column marked FPGAs reports the number of connected FPGA chips (f1 instances only). The column marked Pricing denotes the AWS On Demand Pricing per instance per hour as of September 2021. Memory is measured in Gigabytes. Source: AWS instances, AWS specs.

Table A.3: FPGA Designs Performance and Resource Utilization by Grid Size

	Three-Kernel						
Aggr. Capital	4		4			8	
Indiv. Capital	100	100	200	300	100	200	300
Time (s)	415.14	1002.62	1482.11	2245.56	2579.66	4627.80	7147.36
Cost (\$)	0.19	0.46	0.68	1.03	1.18	2.12	3.28
Energy (J)	13699.54	17044.46	25195.90	38174.60	43854.19	78672.63	121505.20
BRAM(%)	44.29	21.31	27.32	33.10	27.32	37.92	47.26
DSP(%)	55.32	31.13	31.13	31.13	31.31	31.31	31.31
Registers(%)	25.71	12.00	12.00	12.12	12.06	12.17	12.26
LUT(%)	57.03	25.21	25.97	26.56	25.43	26.18	26.74
URAM(%)	16.50	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38

Note: Solution time (in seconds), cost (in USD), energy (in joules) and FPGA resources (rows) across hardware designs (three- and single-kernel) and grid sizes on individual capital $N_k = \{100, 200, 300\}$ and aggregate capital $N_M = \{4, 8\}$ (columns). Time performance is measured in seconds required to solve 1,200 baseline economies on a single FPGA (f1.2xlarge) across the different hardware designs and grid sizes (columns). Resources are measured (using Xilinx Vivado) as a percentage of Xilinx VU9P FPGA's resources utilized by AWS images associated with the different hardware designs and grid sizes (columns). Available Resources: BRAM (1,680), DSP (5,640), Registers (1,790,400), LUTs (895 thousand), URAM (800). Available resources are lower than total resources because they exclude resources utilized by the AWS shell that are not available for CL design.

Table A.4: Performance Comparison

		CPU core	\mathbf{s}	F	FPGA devices			
N.	1	8	48	1	2	8		
Exec Time (s)	28464.55	3656.52	613.81	431.60	223.40	69.51		
Init Time (s)	0.36	0.04	0.01	0.81	0.67	0.84		
Print Time (s)	11.70	1.58	0.28	15.10	14.50	14.81		
Sol. Time (s)	28452.5	3654.74	613.37	415.14	207.55	51.87		
Cost (\$)	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.19	0.19	0.19		
Energy (J)	227619.90	233903.34	235535.59	13699.54	13698.26	13693.02		
AWS Instance	m5n.large	m5n.4xlarge	m5n.24xlarge	f1.2xlarge	f1.4xlarge	f1.16xlarge		

Note: Execution, initialization, printing and solution time (in seconds), cost (in USD) and energy (in joules) to solve 1,200 baseline economies using Open MPI CPU multi-core acceleration on Amazon M5N multi-core instances (with 1, 8, 48 physical cores, Columns 1-3) and using FPGA acceleration on Amazon F1 instances (connected to 1, 2, 8 FPGA devices, Columns 4-6).

Table A.5: CPU Performance by Grid Size

Aggregate Capital, N_M		4			8	
Individual Capital, N_k	100	200	300	100	200	300
Exec. Time (s)	28464.55	51007.22	77061.15	71762.40	143718.80	229127.68
Init. Time (s)	0.36	0.38	0.39	0.37	0.40	0.41
Print Time (s)	11.70	12.72	14.94	14.38	15.94	18.38
Sol. Time (s)	28452.5	50994.12	77045.81	71747.64	143702.46	229108.89
Cost (\$)	0.94	1.69	2.55	2.37	4.75	7.57
Energy (J)	227619.90	407952.96	616366.51	573981.11	1149619.67	1832871.10

Note: Execution, initialization, printing and solution time (in seconds), cost (in USD) and energy (in joules) to solve 1,200 baseline economies on a single core CPU (m5n.large) for different grid sizes (columns) on individual capital $N_k = \{100, 200, 300\}$ and aggregate capital $N_M = \{4, 8\}$.

Table A.6: Precision Accuracy Analysis

Panel A: ALM Coefficients

	$eta_1(a_b)$	$\beta_2(a_b)$	$\beta_1(a_g)$	$\beta_2(a_g)$
Floating-Point	0.1460	0.9599	0.1554	0.9587
Fixed Point	0.1460	0.9599	0.1554	0.9587

Panel B: Policy Function, k'

$\operatorname{Mean}\left(\frac{ \operatorname{Fixed}-Float }{Float}\right)$)%	4.0e-10	$\operatorname{Max}\left(\frac{ \operatorname{Fixed}-Float }{Float}\right)\%$ 2.6e-08	
--	----	---------	---	--

Panel C: Individual Capital Holdings Distribution, T=1,100

	Mean	Std	0.25	0.5	0.75
Floating-Point	40.49	133.44	12.23	16.00	19.78
Fixed Point	40.49	133.44	12.23	16.00	19.78
$\frac{1}{\text{Mean}\left(\frac{ \text{Fixed}-Float }{Float}\right)\%}$	2.4e-09			$\operatorname{Max}\left(\frac{ \operatorname{Fixed}-Float }{Float}\right)\%$	3.0e-08

Panel D: Euler Equation Errors (EEE)

	EEE	FPGA	CPU	$ \Delta_{\mathrm{FPGA-CPU}}/\mathrm{CPU} \%$
$N_k = 100$	Mean (%)	0.12	0.12	1.35e-07
	$\operatorname{Max}(\%)$	1.03	1.03	4.85e-07
$N_k = 300$	Mean $(\%)$	0.14	0.14	3.29e-07
	Max (%)	0.21	0.21	1.83e-07