# Importance Sampling

Alena Shilova

Skoltech

June 05,2017

#### Normal distribution

For the normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$  to look like the one from exponential family, let's make a change of variables:  $S = \Sigma^{-1}$  and  $m = \Sigma^{-1}\mu$ . Then the density of distribution will look like the following:

$$g_{m,S}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\Bigl(m^T x - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(Sxx^T)\Bigr) \exp\Bigl(-\frac{1}{2}\bigl(m^T S^{-1} m - \log|S|\bigr)\Bigr).$$

So in this case 
$$A(m, S) = \frac{1}{2}m^T S^{-1}m - \frac{1}{2}\log|S|$$
,  $T(x) = (x, -\frac{1}{2}xx^T)$  and  $h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ 

#### Strong convexity

It is a well-known fact that if f(x) is strong convex with a coefficient  $\beta$ , then  $e^{f(x)}$  is a strongly convex function on some compact and if  $x \in [-C, C]$  for some C > 0, then the coefficient of strong convexity is equal to  $\beta e^{-C}$ . In our case if  $\lambda(\Sigma) \in [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2} x^T S x - m^T x + \frac{1}{2} m^T S^{-1} m - \frac{1}{2} \log |S| \ge -\frac{1}{2} \log |S| \ge \frac{n}{2} \log \lambda_{min}$$

Therefore,  $e^{-C} = \lambda_{min}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . Now we need to find  $\beta$ .

### Strong convexity

It is quite obvious that  $\frac{1}{2}x^TSx - m^Tx$  is convex w.r.t. distribution parameters m, S. One can easily check the convexity of  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}m^TS^{-1}m$  using the following criterion:

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0$$

Indeed, here  $\nabla f(x) = (S^{-1}m, -\frac{1}{2}S^{-1}mm^TS^{-1})$ , so

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(S_{1}^{-1}m_{1}m_{1}^{T}S_{1}^{-1}-S_{2}^{-1}m_{2}m_{2}^{T}S_{2}^{-1}\right)^{T}\left(S_{2}-S_{1}\right)\right)+ \\
+\left(S_{1}^{-1}m_{1}-S_{2}^{-1}m_{2}\right)^{T}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(x_{1}-x_{2})^{T}(S_{1}+S_{2})(x_{1}-x_{2}) > 0$$

where  $x_i = S_i^{-1} m_i$  and last inequality holds due to  $S_i$  is positive-definite for any  $i \in \{1,2\}$ 

## Strong convexity

Let's prove the strong convexity of the function  $f(S) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |S|$ , using the criterion:

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \ge \beta ||x - y||^2$$

Here, as  $\nabla f(S) = -\frac{1}{2}S^{-1}$ , then

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}((S_1^{-1}-S_2^{-1})^T(S_2-S_1)) = \frac{1}{2}(S_1^{-1}(S_2-S_1)^TS_2^{-1}(S_2-S_1)) \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\lambda_{\max}^2}\operatorname{tr}((S_2-S_1)^T(S_2-S_1)) \end{split}$$

Therefore 
$$\beta=\frac{1}{2\lambda_{max}^2}$$
 Finally,  $\alpha=\frac{\lambda_{min}^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2\lambda^2}$ 

# Closing remark

If we have a proper  $\alpha(x)$  (here  $\alpha(x) = \frac{\lambda_{\min}^2}{2\lambda_{\max}^2}$ ) and a set  $\Theta$ , then for all  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$  we will have:

$$\int \exp(A(t(\theta_1) + (1-t)\theta_2) - (t\theta_1 + (1-t)\theta_2)^T T(x)) f^2(x) \phi^2(x) h(x) dx \le$$

$$\le t \int \exp(A(\theta_1) - \theta_1^T T(x)) f^2(x) \phi^2(x) h(x) dx +$$

$$+ (1-t) \int \exp(A(\theta_2) - \theta_2^T T(x)) f^2(x) \phi^2(x) h(x) dx -$$

$$-t(1-t)rac{\lambda_{min}^{\frac{2}{2}}}{2\pi}\int f^{2}(x)\phi^{2}(x)\,dx\| heta_{1}- heta_{2}\|_{2}^{2}$$

The coefficient will depend on the value of  $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^2(x)\phi^2(x)\,dx$ , but we can also take  $\alpha(x)=\frac{\lambda_{\min}^{\frac{g}{2}}}{2\lambda_{\max}^2}\mathbb{I}(x\in X)$  for some X and then it is enough to know the value  $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(x)\phi^2(x)\,dx$