# Importance Sampling

Alena Shilova

Skoltech

June 05,2017

### Normal distribution

For the normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$  to look like the one from exponential family, let's make a change of variables:  $S = \Sigma^{-1}$  and  $m = \Sigma^{-1}\mu$ . Then the density of distribution will look like the following:

$$g_{m,S}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(m^T x - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(Sxx^T)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(m^T S^{-1} m - \log|S|\right)\right).$$

So in this case 
$$A(m, S) = \frac{1}{2}m^T S^{-1}m - \frac{1}{2}\log|S|$$
,  $T(x) = (x, -\frac{1}{2}xx^T)$  and  $h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ 

It is a well-known fact that if f(x) is strong convex with a coefficient  $\beta$ , then  $e^{f(x)}$  is a strongly convex function on some compact and if  $x \in [-C, C]$  for some C > 0, then the coefficient of strong convexity is equal to  $\beta e^{-C}$ . In our case if  $\lambda(\Sigma) \in [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2} x^T S x - m^T x + \frac{1}{2} m^T S^{-1} m - \frac{1}{2} \log |S| \ge -\frac{1}{2} \log |S| \ge \frac{n}{2} \log \lambda_{min}$$

Therefore,  $\alpha = \lambda_{\min}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . Now we need to find  $\beta$ .

It is quite obvious that  $\frac{1}{2}x^TSx - m^Tx$  is convex w.r.t. distribution parameters m, S. One can easily check the convexity of  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}m^TS^{-1}m$  using the following criterion:

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0$$

Indeed, here  $\nabla f(x) = (S^{-1}m, -\frac{1}{2}S^{-1}mm^TS^{-1})$ , so

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(S_{1}^{-1}m_{1}m_{1}^{T}S_{1}^{-1}-S_{2}^{-1}m_{2}m_{2}^{T}S_{2}^{-1}\right)^{T}\left(S_{2}-S_{1}\right)\right)+ \\
+\left(S_{1}^{-1}m_{1}-S_{2}^{-1}m_{2}\right)^{T}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(x_{1}-x_{2})^{T}(S_{1}+S_{2})(x_{1}-x_{2}) > 0$$

where  $x_i = S_i^{-1} m_i$  and last inequality holds due to  $S_i$  is positive-definite for any  $i \in \{1,2\}$ 

Let's prove the strong convexity of the function  $f(S) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |S|$ .

#### Theorem (about strong/smooth duality)

f(S) is  $\beta$ -strongly convex w.r.t. a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  if and only if  $f^*$  is  $\frac{1}{\beta}$ -strongly smooth w.r.t. the dual norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$ 

The dual function is  $f^*(S) = -2n + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln |\lambda_i(S)|$ , where S is a negative-definite matrix with eigenvalues lying in the interval  $\left[-\frac{\lambda_{max}}{2}, -\frac{\lambda_{min}}{2}\right]$ , i.e. has only negative eigenvalues.  $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\lambda_{min}$  are respectively maximal and minimal eigenvalues of the covariance matrix of the considered normal distribution.

To prove its strong smoothness, I'm going to use lemma of Juditsky and Nemirovski (2008).

# Juditsky and Nemirovski

#### Lemma

Let  $\delta$  be an open interval. Suppose  $\phi:\delta\to\mathbb{R}_*$  is a twice differentiable convex function such that  $\phi''$  is monotonically non-decreasing. Let  $\mathbb{S}_n(\delta)$  be the set of all symmetric  $n\times n$  matrices with eigenvalues in  $\delta$ . Define the function  $F:\mathbb{S}_n(\delta)\dagger'\mathbb{R}_*$ 

$$F(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(\lambda_i(X))$$

and let

$$f(t) = F(X + tH)$$

for some  $X \in \mathbb{S}_n(\delta)$ ,  $H \in \mathbb{S}_n$ . Then, we have,

$$f''(0) \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^n \phi''(\lambda_i(X))\lambda_i^2(H)$$



Let's use this Lemma and consider  $f(t) = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 - 2n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln |\lambda_i(X + tH)|$  for some fixed X, H. Applying lemma on it:

$$f''(0) \le 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i^2(H)}{2\lambda_i^2(X)} \le \frac{4}{\lambda_{min}^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2(H) = \frac{4}{\lambda_{min}^2} \|H\|_F^2$$

It means that f(S) is  $\frac{\lambda_{min}^2}{4}$  -strong convex.

Finally, g(m, S) is  $\frac{\lambda_{min}^{\frac{n}{2}+2}}{4}$  –strong convex

# Closing remark

If we have a proper  $\alpha(x)$  (here  $\alpha(x)=\frac{1}{4}\lambda_{min}^{\frac{n}{2}+2}$ ) and a set  $\Theta$ , then for all  $\theta_1,\theta_2\in\Theta$  we will have:

$$\int \exp(A(t(\theta_1) + (1-t)\theta_2) - (t\theta_1 + (1-t)\theta_2)^T T(x)) f^2(x) \phi^2(x) h(x) dx \le$$

$$\le t \int \exp(A(\theta_1) - \theta_1^T T(x)) f^2(x) \phi^2(x) h(x) dx +$$

$$+ (1-t) \int \exp(A(\theta_2) - \theta_2^T T(x)) f^2(x) \phi^2(x) h(x) dx -$$

$$-t(1-t) \frac{\lambda_{\min}^{\frac{n}{2}+2}}{8\pi} \int f^2(x) \phi^2(x) dx \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2^2$$

The coefficient will depend on the value of  $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^2(x)\phi^2(x)\,dx$ , but we can also take  $\alpha(x)=\frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\min}^{\frac{n}{2}+2}\mathbb{I}(x\in X)$  for some X and then it is enough to know the value  $\int\limits_X f^2(x)\phi^2(x)\,dx$