```
NOTE: X+ represents the closure of attribute set X
Question 1:
a)
A->ACF->ACFH->ACDEFGH->ABCDEFGH => pass
BCG->BCDG => VIOLATE BCNF
CF->ACFH->ACDEFGH->ACBDEFGH => pass
D->BD => VIOLATE BCNF
H->DEGH->BDEGH => VIOLATE BCNF
therefore, BCG->D, D->B, and H->DEG violate BCNF
b)
decompose R using FD D->B:
 R1 = D + = BD
 R2 = R - (R1-D)
   = ABCDEFGH - B
   = ACDEFGH
project FD's onto R1 = BD:
 B + = B => nothing
 D+ = BD => D->B; D a superkey of R1
 BD+ trivial
 R1 satisfies BCNF with FD: D->B FINAL
project FD's onto R2 = ACDEFGH:
 A is a superkey
 C + = C => nothing
 D + = B =  nothing
 E + = E =  nothing
 F + = F =  nothing
 G+=G=> nothing
 H+ = BDEGH => violates BCNF; H->DEG
 must decompose R2 further
decompose R2 using H->DEG:
 R3 = H + = DEGH
 R4 = R2 - (R3 - H)
   = ACDEFGH - (DEGH - H)
   = ACFH
project FD's onto R3 = DEGH:
 D + = BD => nothing
 E + = E =  nothing
 G + = G => nothing
```

```
H+ = DEGH => H->DEG; H a superkey of R3
  DE+ trivial
  EG+ trivial
  DG+ trivial
  DEG+ trivial
  no need to further check supersets of H
  R3 satisfies BCNF with FD: H->DEG FINAL
project FD's onto R4 = ACFH:
  A->CFH; A is a superkey R4
  C + = C => nothing
  F+=F=> nothing
  H+ = DEGH => nothing
  no need to further check supersets of A
  CF+ = ACFH => CF->AH; CF a superkey of R4
  FH+ trivial
  CH+ trivial
  no need to further check supersets of CF
  R4 satisfies BCNF with FD: A->CFH, CF->AH FINAL
Final lossless decomposition of R follows:
  R1=BD; FD: D->B
  R3=DEGH; FD: H->DEG
  R4=ACFH; FD: A->CFH, CF->AH
Question 2:
a)
Look at all LHSs (AB, B, BCD, BCDE, BCE, DF)
B->CEF => BCEF
(BCE)F\rightarrow (BCE)DF \Rightarrow BCDEF
(BCDE)F -> (BCDE)AF => ABCDEF
Therefore, B is a key for R, and any superset of B cannot be a key
the only LHS without B is DF:
DF \rightarrow C \Rightarrow CDF
Therefore DF is NOT a key for R
Therefore, the only key of R is: B
b)
To find the minimal basis for S, we first break all FD's into singleton's:
1) AB -> E
```

```
2) AB -> F
```

Let's call the above set S1. Now sequentially eliminate redundancies by checking closure(LHS[i]) without FD[i]

FD's ignored closure(LHS) result

The resultant S2 is now

Reduce LHS of S2 which have multiple attributes:

3) BCD
$$\rightarrow$$
 F: B+ = BCEDF \Rightarrow reduce 3) to B->F

4) BCDE
$$\rightarrow$$
 A: B+ = BCEDA \Rightarrow reduce 4) to B->A

Thus, we have the following sorted, minimal basis for S:

c)

Following the 3NF synthesis algorithm, we generate a relation per FD AFTER merging the RHS of the minimal basis, which results the following:

- 1) B -> ACDEF
- 2) DF -> C

Thus the set of relations follow: R1(A,B,C,D,E,F) R2(C,D,F)

Clearly the attribute set of R2 is contained in R1, thus we can skip R2. Finally resulting in a single relation: R1(A,B,C,D,E,F)

d)

To check whether the schema above allows redundancy, we check whether all FD's satisfies

the BCNF. If all FD's satisfy BCNF i.e. all LHS are superkeys, then no redundancies are allowed.

By inspection, it is clear that the FD: DF->C does not satisfy BCNF, since DF+ = DFC, not

superkey of R1(A,B,C,D,E,F). Thus the above schema does NOT satisfy BCNF and allows redundancy.