C++ C

X Macros in C

FredBienvenu

9 Aug 2016 CPOL 6 min read 26.3K 24 13

How to enhance C/C++ language with macro to auto generate some code

Introduction

In this article, I want to explain what are **X macros** and how they work.

I know that this is **not a new idea**, but I have not found a lot of documentation over the web. You can find below a list of articles talking or using **X Macros**:

- http://www.codeproject.com/Articles/25541/C-C-macros-programming
- http://stackoverflow.com/questions/147267/easy-way-to-use-variables-of-enum-types-as-string-in-c
- http://www.drdobbs.com/the-new-c-x-macros/184401387

The idea here is to describe this technique **as simply as possible**. I will not tell you how to use them, but simply describe them with simple examples and I am sure you will find utilities for your projects.

I have discovered **X Macros** a few years ago and I found them extremely powerful and useful.

Description

Basics Behind

The concept behind **X Macros** is based on the possibility to pass macro **as parameter** to another macro.

Let's look at the below macro declaration:

C++

```
#define APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER(PARAM_MACRO, parameter) PARAM_MACRO(parameter)
```

As it is declared, the macro `APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER` will expand as:

C++

```
PARAM_MACRO(parameter)
```

Nothing complicated here. But now, you can ask **what is PARAM_MACRO?**

A First Example

At this time, `PARAM_MACRO` is **nothing** because it is **not declared yet**. And that is what makes this technique very powerful.

Now, we can declare **different kind of macros** that apply on only one parameter and pass them to `APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER`.

C++

```
// Let's declare two different macros
```

```
// first one will declare one integer with parameterized name
#define PARAMETER_TO_INT_DECL(parameter) int parameter;

// second one will create a getter function that will return the variable
#define PARAMETER_TO_GETTER_FUNC(parameter) int get_##parameter() { return parameter; }
```

Now, we can **call** APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER with those two macros.

Starting with PARAMETER_TO_INT_DECL:

C++

```
APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER(PARAMETER_TO_INT_DECL, width)
```

1. APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER expands like this:

   C++

   ```
   PARAM_MACRO(parameter)
   ```

2. Let's now replace with the **parameters values**:

   C++

   ```
   PARAMETER_TO_INT_DECL(width)
   ```

3. PARAMETER_TO_INT_DECL expands like this:

   C++

   ```
   int parameter;
   ```

4. Let's now replace with the **parameter value** for the last expansion:

   C++

   ```
   int width;
   ```

Let's look at the expansion with PARAMETER_TO_GETTER_FUNC now:

C++

```
APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER(PARAMETER_TO_GETTER_FUNC, width)
```

1. APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER expands like this:

   C++

   ```
   PARAM_MACRO(parameter)
   ```

2. Let's now replace with the **parameters values**:

   C++

   ```
   PARAMETER_TO_GETTER_FUNC(width)
   ```

3. PARAMETER_TO_GETTER_FUNC expands like this:

   C++

   ```
   int get_##parameter() { return parameter; }
   ```

4. Let's now replace with the **parameter value** for the last expansion:

   C++

   ```
   int get_width() { return width; }
   ```

To sum up:

C++

```
APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER(PARAMETER_TO_INT_DECL, width)
APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER(PARAMETER_TO_GETTER_FUNC, width)

// will turn into
int width;
int get_width() { return width; }
```

This example may not impress you much. I stayed basic but here is what we can say: we have created a way to **automatically standardize** the getters function.

It remains a bit complicated to use, so we can declare a new macro like this one:

C++

```
#define INT_VAR_PLUS_GETTER(variable_name)\
    APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER(PARAMETER_TO_INT_DECL, variable_name)\
    APPLY_A_MACRO_ON_SECOND_PARAMETER(PARAMETER_TO_GETTER_FUNC, variable_name)
```

Now, let's call `INT_VAR_PLUS_GETTER`:

C++

```
INT_VAR_PLUS_GETTER(width)

// will turn into
int width;
int get_width() { return width; }
```

Now, we have a macro library that permits in one call to **declare both variable and getter**. Everything is standardized.

At this point, I am sure most of you **are still not impressed**.

What is the point of all this complexity to such a simple (and maybe unuseful) result?

Let's move to a **more complex and useful example**.

Useful Example: enum to string

Motivations

As I said in the introduction, I found **X macros** technique a few years ago. At that time, I was working on maintaining the code of a big C project.

On this project, there were a **lot of enums** used and I wanted to add logs in order to trace what were the `enum` values when some bugs happened.

In the first place, I was logging like that:

C++

```
printf("enum value : %d\n", enum_value);
```

But the problem with this is when the **enum type** of `enum_value` has **more than a hundred entries**.

Let's say then that you have this log to interpret : "`enum value : 57`".

Then you have to find the **enum declaration**, and count the entries till you reach the 57^{th} entry. This is painful. Furthermore, 57 is not an informative enough data regarding the `enum` type. We just want to have an `enum` that allows to **transform 57 into a readable string**.

Let's Do It Without Macros

First, we will implement such a function **without macro help** in order to see how **X macros** will help us in automatizing work and avoiding errors.

Let's start with a **simple enum declaration**:

C++

```
typedef enum IceCreamFlavors
{
    CHOCOLATE = 56,
    VANILLA = 27,
    PISTACHIO = 72,
}
IceCreamFlavors;
```

Now, let's define the function that will **turn the enum values into strings**:

C++

```
const char* IceCreamFlavors_toString(IceCreamFlavors flavor)
{
    switch(flavor)
    {
    case CHOCOLATE:
        return "CHOCOLATE";
    case VANILLA:
        return "VANILLA";
```

```
    case PISTACHIO:
        return "PISTACHIO";
    default:
        // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !
        return 0;
        // you can also return something like:
        return "## unknown IceCreamFlavors value ##";
    }
}
```

Creating and maintaining this `_toString` function is **a bit repetitive**. You can easily make **copy/paste errors** or **forget one entry**. Furthermore, when you **update the enum**, you **have to ensure** that the `_toString` function is **properly updated**. This is **potentially a source of errors**.

Now, Let's See How X Macros Will Help Us

What we want is a **single location** in the code where to store the `enum` values. And we want that the *_toString* function **exists** and **is updated automatically** according to `enum` values.

Storing the Enum Values

First, we create a macro that stores the `enum` values:

C++

```
#define SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors(_)\
    _(CHOCOLATE, 56)\
    _(VANILLA, 27)\
    _(PISTACHIO, 72)
```

Remember the description chapter. What we have done here is to declare a macro `SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors` that **takes another macro** (_) as parameters. This will allow us to **do what we want** with the parameters given to _.

Enum Declaration

First, we want to **declare the enum** the C way.

We first need a macro that will turn the `SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors` entries (lines under) into **C enum entries**.

C++

```
#define SMART_ENUM_ENTRY(entry_name, entry_value) entry_name = entry_value,
```

Then, we need a macro to **build the entire C enum**:

C++

```
// the macro takes the enum macro definition as parameter
// (in our case we will pass SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors)
#define SMART_ENUM_DECLARE_ENUM(MACRO_DEFINITION, enum_name)\
typedef enum enum_name\
{\
    MACRO_DEFINITION(SMART_ENUM_ENTRY)\
}\
enum_name;
```

Now, let's call this macro and see the expansion:

C++

```
// the first parameter is the macro definition of the enum,
// the second one is the name we want to give to the enum
SMART_ENUM_DECLARE_ENUM(SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors, IceCreamFlavors)
```

1. `SMART_ENUM_DECLARE_ENUM` expands like this:

   C++

   ```
   typedef enum enum_name\
   {\
      MACRO_DEFINITION(SMART_ENUM_ENTRY)\
   }\
   enum_name;
   ```

2. Replacing with the macro parameters:

   C++

```C++
typedef enum IceCreamFlavors
{
    SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors(SMART_ENUM_ENTRY)
}
IceCreamFlavors;
```

3. Expanding `SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors`:

```C++
typedef enum IceCreamFlavors
{
    _(CHOCOLATE, 56)\
    _(VANILLA, 27)\
    _(PISTACHIO, 72)
}
IceCreamFlavors;
```

4. and replacing with macro in parameter:

```C++
typedef enum IceCreamFlavors
{
    SMART_ENUM_ENTRY(CHOCOLATE, 56)
    SMART_ENUM_ENTRY(VANILLA, 27)
    SMART_ENUM_ENTRY(PISTACHIO, 72)
}
IceCreamFlavors;
```

5. `SMART_ENUM_ENTRY` expands like:

```C++
entry_name = entry_value,
```

6. So the final result is:

```C++
typedef enum IceCreamFlavors
{
    CHOCOLATE = 56,
    VANILLA = 27,
    PISTACHIO = 72,
}
IceCreamFlavors;
```

And **here we are**!

_toString Function Creation

Now, we want to create such a similar macro in order to **create the _toString function** with the **same macro definition** of the `enum`. Thus, we will have **only one location** where the `enum` values are stored !

Let's start with the macro that will **turn each entry** of the macro definition **into a case statement** for the function:

```C++
// the macro takes two parameters as the macro definition use macro that takes two
// so entry value is not use, but it is not a big deal
#define SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE(entry_name, entry_value) case entry_name: return #entry_name;
```

Now, let's write the macro that will **build the entire _toString function**:

```C++
// the macro takes the enum macro definition as parameter
// (in our case we will pass SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors)
#define SMART_ENUM_DEFINE_TOSTRING_FUNCTION(MACRO_DEFINITION, enum_name)\
const char* enum_name##_toString(enum_name enum_value)\
{\
    switch(enum_value)\
    {\
    MACRO_DEFINITION(SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE)\
    default:\
        // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !\
        return 0;\
        // you can also return something like:\
        return "## unknown enum_name value ##";\
    }\
}
```

Now, let's call this macro and see the expansion:

C++

```
// the first parameter is the macro definition of the enum,
// the second one is the name we want to give to the enum
SMART_ENUM_DEFINE_TOSTRING_FUNCTION(SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors, IceCreamFlavors)
```

1. SMART_ENUM_DEFINE_TOSTRING_FUNCTION expands like this:

   C++

   ```
   const char* enum_name##_toString(enum_name enum_value)\
   {\
       switch(enum_value)\
       {\
       MACRO_DEFINITION(SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE)\
       default:\
           // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !\
           return 0;\
           // you can also return something like:\
           return "## unknown enum_name value ##";\
       }\
   }
   ```

2. Replacing with the macro parameters:

   C++

   ```
   const char* IceCreamFlavors_toString(IceCreamFlavors enum_value)
   {
       switch(enum_value)
       {
       SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors(SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE)
       default:
           // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !
           return 0;
           // you can also return something like:
           return "## unknown IceCreamFlavors value ##";
       }
   }
   ```

3. Expanding SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors:

   C++

   ```
   const char* IceCreamFlavors_toString(IceCreamFlavors enum_value)
   {
       switch(enum_value)
       {
       _(CHOCOLATE, 56)\
       _(VANILLA, 27)\
       _(PISTACHIO, 72)
       default:
           // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !
           return 0;
           // you can also return something like:
           return "## unknown IceCreamFlavors value ##";
       }
   }
   ```

4. and replacing with macro in parameter:

   C++

   ```
   const char* IceCreamFlavors_toString(IceCreamFlavors enum_value)
   {
       switch(enum_value)
       {
       SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE(CHOCOLATE, 56)
       SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE(VANILLA, 27)
       SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE(PISTACHIO, 72)
       default:
           // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !
           return 0;
           // you can also return something like:
           return "## unknown IceCreamFlavors value ##";
       }
   }
   ```

5. SMART_ENUM_TOSTRING_CASE expands like:

   C++

   ```
   case entry_name: return #entry_name;
   ```

6. So finally, by expanding it, we got:

C++

```
const char* IceCreamFlavors_toString(IceCreamFlavors enum_value)
{
    switch(enum_value)
    {
    case CHOCOLATE: return "CHOCOLATE";
    case VANILLA: return "VANILLA";
    case PISTACHIO: return "PISTACHIO";
    default:
        // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !
        return 0;
        // you can also return something like:
        return "## unknown IceCreamFlavors value ##";
   }
}
```

And here we are again! We have **built the _toString function** with the **same macro definition** that served to create the **enum declaration**.

Final Macro

Now we have all the tools, let's create the last macro:

C++

```
#define DEFINE_SMART_ENUM(MACRO_DECLARATION, enum_name)\
    SMART_ENUM_DECLARE_ENUM(MACRO_DECLARATION, enum_name)\
    SMART_ENUM_DEFINE_TOSTRING_FUNCTION(MACRO_DECLARATION, enum_name)
```

Now, if we call the macro:

C++ Shrink ▲

```
// I rewrite the macro declaration here to remember
#define SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors(_)\
    _(CHOCOLATE, 56)\
    _(VANILLA, 27)\
    _(PISTACHIO, 72)

// we call the builder
DEFINE_SMART_ENUM(SMART_ENUM_IceCreamFlavors, IceCreamFlavors)

// the result will be...

typedef enum IceCreamFlavors
{
    CHOCOLATE = 56,
    VANILLA = 27,
    PISTACHIO = 72,
}
IceCreamFlavors;

const char* IceCreamFlavors_toString(IceCreamFlavors enum_value)
{
    switch(enum_value)
    {
    case CHOCOLATE: return "CHOCOLATE";
    case VANILLA: return "VANILLA";
    case PISTACHIO: return "PISTACHIO";
    default:
        // the error handling might seem a bit too strict !
        return 0;
        // you can also return something like:
        return "## unknown IceCreamFlavors value ##";
    }
}
```

We now have a beautiful and powerful one line builder to:

1. **ensure a deep linkage** between the `enum` declaration and the `_toString` function
2. reduce the coding time
3. avoid stupid errors

Of course, **each update on the macro definition** will have an impact on both **enum declaration** and **_toString function**.

Conclusion

I hope this article is **clear enough** and **not too boring**. I tried to make it **as clear as possible**, so that explains its size. The macro expansions can be hard to follow. It happens frequently that I have to mentally re expand macros in order to understand what they do.

This said, I truly think that X macros is a **wonderful tool**.

I have read a lot of criticism against that technique because it uses macros that are considered **unsafe**.

I totally agree that using macros is touchy and I don't recommend to use them for everything.

But I see that as a **language extension**, that can't be achieved in another way.

If you look at the "`Enum` to `string`" example, the built code is always **pretty simple**. Macros are not hiding some **critical code** that we will need to be debugged. It should be used to automate **well known simple mechanisms** that **have been tested before** macro-izing them.

License

Written By

FredBienvenu

France

This member has not yet provided a Biography. Assume it's interesting and varied, and probably something to do with programming.

Comments and Discussions