

Synthetic Romanian Legal Corpus Generation

1 Methodology

The proposed approach utilizes a multi-stage pipeline designed to generate synthetic Romanian legal documents (specifically notary powers of attorney and declarations). The methodology circumvents the need for computationally expensive fine-tuning on a small dataset (approx. 27 documents) by leveraging In-Context Learning (ICL) and a Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) inspired workflow. The pipeline consists of four distinct phases:

1.1 Data Preparation and Cleaning

The initial dataset consisted of 27 raw, anonymized real-world templates containing placeholders (e.g., "..."). An initial Large Language Model (LLM) pass was employed to “repair” these documents, replacing ellipses with coherent synthetic entities using a probabilistic approach. This resulted in a “Golden Standard” library of clean, complete text files stored in a persistent environment (Google Drive).

1.2 Scenario Generation (AI Screenwriter)

To ensure corpus diversity and prevent overfitting to specific templates, a distinct LLM instance acts as a “Screenwriter.” Instead of using static procedural generation, this agent generates complex JSON metadata containing diverse legal scenarios (e.g., real estate sales, vehicle registration, travel declarations for minors, succession). This introduces semantic variety and realistic edge cases into the input data.

1.3 Context-Aware Generation

The generation phase employs a retrieval mechanism. Based on the metadata generated in the previous step, the system retrieves the most semantically relevant template from the “Golden Standard” library. The LLM is then prompted using a One-Shot strategy: it is provided with the retrieved template as a strict stylistic guide and instructed to rewrite it using the new synthetic metadata. This ensures 100% adherence to legal formatting and terminology while varying the factual content.

1.4 Unified Critic (Evaluation)

A final validation step is performed by a **Critic** agent, implemented using the **RoMistral 7B Instruct** model. This model is prompted as an *AUDITOR NOTARIAL EXPERT*, instructed to review generated legal documents according to a structured evaluation schema.

Prompt: The model is asked to analyze each document and evaluate the following aspects:

- **Structural Integrity (structura):** Checks whether the document has a correct title, a logical structure (introduction, body, conclusion), and completeness (no missing or incomplete clauses).
- **Legal Language (limbaj):** Evaluates whether the text is formal, neutral, and uses correct legal terminology without colloquial expressions or ambiguities.
- **Purpose Compliance (respectare_scop):** Assesses whether the document clearly mentions the mandant, the mandatar, and explicitly fulfills the requested purpose (e.g., “reprezentarea intereselor în instanță”).

Evaluation Schema: The model is instructed to return a **strict JSON** with four fields:

- `structura` (int 1–10) — structural quality score.
- `limbaj` (int 1–10) — legal language quality score.
- `respectare_scop` (int 1–10) — alignment with requested purpose.
- `observatii` — concise textual remarks.

Results: After processing all generated documents, the average scores obtained were:

- Average structura: 6.94
- Average limbaj: 7.94
- Average respectare_scop: 6.63
- **Overall mean:** 7.17

Only documents that satisfy structural, linguistic, and purpose criteria are included in the final JSONL dataset.

2 Model Specifications

The core model used for all agents (Cleaner, Screenwriter, Generator, and Critic) is **RoLLama3-8b-Instruct-DPO-2025-04-23**, a Llama 3 derivative fine-tuned for the Romanian language. To facilitate execution on consumer-grade hardware (Google Colab T4 GPU with 16GB VRAM), the model was loaded using 4-bit quantization (NF4) via the `bitsandbytes` library. This configuration allowed for an efficient inference pipeline without significant degradation in linguistic quality.

3 Results

The pipeline successfully generated a synthetically augmented corpus that mimics the stylistic nuances of the 27 original source documents. The implementation of the “AI Screenwriter” and template retrieval mechanism solved the issue of mode collapse, ensuring that the output covers a wide taxonomy of legal acts. The “Unified Critic” loop effectively filtered out hallucinations and incomplete generations, resulting in a high-quality JSONL dataset suitable for future downstream tasks such as Named Entity Recognition (NER) or legal text classification.

4 Comparison with State of the Art

The landscape of Romanian legal NLP has evolved from static corpus collection to discriminative deep learning models. Our approach represents a shift towards generative, agentic workflows. This section compares our methodology with two landmark contributions in the field: the MARCELL corpus [1] and the jurBERT model [2].

4.1 Static Resource Collection: The MARCELL Project

Văduva et al. (2020) established a significant benchmark by creating a massive national corpus of Romanian legislative texts. Their objective was to create a linguistically processed resource comparable to other EU languages.

- **Methodology:** The approach relied on web crawling official portals to collect over 144,000 legislative documents (laws, decisions, regulations) published between 1881 and 2018.
- **Pipeline:** The processing utilized the RELATE portal and TEPROLIN platform. It employed traditional NLP tools such as TTL (for tokenization and lemmatization) and NLP-Cube (neural dependency parsing). Named Entity Recognition (NER) was performed using Conditional Random Fields (CRF), while terminology was annotated using EuroVoc descriptors.
- **Contrast with Our Approach:** While MARCELL provides an invaluable snapshot of *real* historical data, it is static and bound by data privacy constraints (real PII). Our pipeline, conversely, is dynamic. Instead of collecting existing documents, we synthesize an infinite number of realistic variations. Furthermore, our agentic “Critic” replaces the static CRF-based validation with semantic verification, allowing for the generation of data that maintains privacy by design.

4.2 Discriminative Understanding: jurBERT

Masala et al. (2021) advanced the field by moving from resource collection to semantic understanding, developing *jurBERT*, a domain-adapted model for legal judgement prediction.

- **Methodology:** The authors utilized a BERT-base architecture (Encoder-only) and applied a two-stage domain adaptation process. First, they performed continued pre-training on the MARCELL corpus and other judicial decisions to learn legal embeddings. Second, they fine-tuned the model for the specific task of predicting the outcome of lawsuits (admission or rejection).
- **Results:** *jurBERT* achieved state-of-the-art performance ($F1 \approx 86\text{--}88\%$), significantly outperforming multilingual BERT (mBERT) and general Romanian BERT (RoBERT).
- **Contrast with Our Approach:** *jurBERT* represents the pinnacle of *discriminative* AI in Romanian law—it excels at reading and classifying. Our RoLlama3-based approach utilizes *generative* AI (Decoder-only). While *jurBERT* requires massive computational resources for pre-training on millions of documents, our method utilizes In-Context Learning (ICL) and RAG to achieve high-fidelity drafting with minimal compute (T4 GPU). Our system is complementary to *jurBERT*: the synthetic data generated by our pipeline could theoretically be used to train future iterations of *jurBERT*-like models without privacy concerns.

4.3 Synthesis: Generative vs. Traditional Pipelines

The distinct advantages of the proposed Agentic Synthetic Data pipeline compared to the existing State of the Art are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Comparison of Methodologies in Romanian Legal NLP

| Feature | MARCELL (2020) | jurBERT (2021) | Ours (Proposed) |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Paradigm | Resource Collection | Discriminative (Encoder) | Generative (Decoder/Agentic) |
| Core Task | Annotation & Storage | Classification & Prediction | Synthesis & Drafting |
| Data Source | 144k Real Documents | Real Legal Corpora | 27 Templates + AI Screenwriter |
| Privacy | Requires Anonymization | Trained on Real Data | Private by Design (Synthetic PII) |
| Validation | Statistical (CRF/F1) | Accuracy/F1 Score | Semantic Agentic Critic |
| Scalability | Limited by availability | High compute cost | Infinite (Generation on demand) |

In conclusion, while MARCELL solved the problem of *data availability* and *jurBERT* solved the problem of *legal understanding*, our approach addresses the problem of *data scarcity and privacy* through agentic synthesis.

5 Conclusion

This project demonstrates that high-fidelity synthetic legal data can be generated for low-resource languages (like Romanian) without extensive fine-tuning. By separating the workflow into specialized agents—specifically decoupling the scenario invention from the drafting process—and utilizing rigorous self-verification steps, we achieved a robust system capable of scaling a small set of templates into a large, diverse dataset for machine learning applications.

References

- [1] Văduva, V., et al. (2020). *Building a Representative Romanian Corpus*. In Proceedings of the 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC 2020), pp. 3291–3296. <https://aclanthology.org/2020.lrec-1.337.pdf>
- [2] Masala, M., et al. (2021). *jurBERT: A Romanian BERT Model for Legal Judgement Prediction*. In Proceedings of the Natural Legal Language Processing Workshop 2021, pp. 86–94. <https://aclanthology.org/2021.nllp-1.8.pdf>