

Non-trivial solutions of the Einstein-Hilbert and Gauss-Bonnet metric-affine lagrangians

Alejandro Jiménez Cano



Universidad de Granada
Dpto. de Física Teórica y del Cosmos

✉ alejandrojcano@ugr.es

🌐 www.ugr.es/~alejandrojcano

- 1 Introduction (geometry and notation)
- 2 Metric-Affine Lovelock theory
- 3 The Einstein metric-affine lagrangian. General and critical ($D = 2$) theory
- 4 The Gauss-Bonnet metric-affine lagrangian. General and critical ($D = 4$) theory
- 5 Final discussion (general critical Lovelock theory) and conclusions

B. Janssen, A. Jiménez-Cano, J. A. Orejuela

[Janssen, Jiménez, Orejuela 2019]

A non-trivial connection for the metric-affine Gauss-Bonnet theory in $D = 4$.

Physics Letters B **795** (2019) 42 – 48

B. Janssen, A. Jiménez-Cano, J. A. Orejuela

[Work in progress]

The role of the non-metricity in critical Lovelock theories in the metric-affine formulation. (?)

A. Jiménez-Cano,

[My PhD Thesis – Still in progress]

Metric-Affine Gauge theory of gravity. Foundations, perturbations and gravitational wave solutions.

1. Introduction (geometry and notation)

Geometric gravity (Einstein 1915) \rightsquigarrow The spacetime is modelled as a *differentiable manifold* \mathcal{M} .

Geometric structures

□ *Metric structure: $g_{\mu\nu}$ (metric tensor)*

⇒ Measuring (length, volume...)

$$s[\gamma](\sigma) = \int_{0, \gamma}^{\sigma} \sqrt{|g_{\mu\nu}(\sigma') \dot{x}^{\mu}(\sigma') \dot{x}^{\nu}(\sigma')|} d\sigma'. \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{U}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \omega_{\text{vol}}. \quad (1.2)$$

⇒ Module of a vector (not necessarily non-negative) \Rightarrow light cones \Rightarrow causality.

⇒ Notion of scale (conformal transformations...)

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{2\Omega} g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1.3)$$

□ *Affine structure: $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}$ (affine connection)*

⇒ Notion of parallel in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow Covariant derivative ∇_{μ}

⇒ Geometrical objects:

$$\text{Curvature:} \quad R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho} := \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\rho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\rho} + \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^{\rho}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\sigma} - \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\rho}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\sigma}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\text{Torsion:} \quad T_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} := \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\rho}. \quad (1.5)$$

Def.: In the presence of metric and affine connection we define the *non-metricity tensor*:

$$Q_{\mu\nu\rho} := -\nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} . \quad (1.6)$$

Theorem. Given $g_{\mu\nu}$, there is only one connection that satisfies

$$T_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = 0 \quad (\text{torsionless condition}), \quad (1.7)$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \quad (\text{compatibility condition}), \quad (1.8)$$

the *Levi-Civita connection*:

$$\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} [\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}] . \quad (1.9)$$

Notation. Objects associated to the Levi-Civita connection: $\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^\rho, \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu}, \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \dots$

Three fundamental objects: coframe, metric and connection 1-form.

Three fundamental objects: coframe, metric and connection 1-form.

□ **Coframe.** We can fix a general frame in the manifold and the corresponding dual basis (coframe):

$$e_a = e^\mu{}_a \partial_\mu, \quad \boxed{\vartheta^a = e_\mu{}^a dx^\mu} \quad [\vartheta^a(e_b) = \delta_b^a \Leftrightarrow e_\mu{}^a e^\mu{}_b = \delta_b^a]. \quad (1.10)$$

Notation:

$$\vartheta^{a_1 \dots a_k} \equiv \vartheta^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vartheta^{a_k}. \quad (1.11)$$

Three fundamental objects: coframe, metric and connection 1-form.

□ **Coframe.** We can fix a general frame in the manifold and the corresponding dual basis (coframe):

$$e_a = e^\mu{}_a \partial_\mu, \quad \boxed{\vartheta^a = e_\mu{}^a dx^\mu} \quad [\vartheta^a(e_b) = \delta_b^a] \Leftrightarrow e_\mu{}^a e^\mu{}_b = \delta_b^a. \quad (1.10)$$

Notation:

$$\vartheta^{a_1 \dots a_k} \equiv \vartheta^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vartheta^{a_k}. \quad (1.11)$$

□ **Metric.** Components of the metric in the arbitrary basis:

$$\boxed{g_{ab} = e^\mu{}_a e^\nu{}_b g_{\mu\nu}}. \quad (1.12)$$

⇒ Canonical volume form

$$\omega_{\text{vol}} := \frac{1}{D!} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_D} \vartheta^{a_1 \dots a_D} = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^D, \quad |g| \equiv |\det(g_{\mu\nu})|. \quad (1.13)$$

⇒ Hodge star of an arbitrary k -form $\alpha = \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_{a_1 \dots a_k} \vartheta^{a_1 \dots a_k}$

$$\begin{aligned} \star : \Omega^k(\mathcal{M}) &\longrightarrow \Omega^{D-k}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \star \alpha := \frac{1}{(D-k)! k!} \alpha^{b_1 \dots b_k} \mathcal{E}_{b_1 \dots b_k c_1 \dots c_{D-k}} \vartheta^{c_1 \dots c_{D-k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Three fundamental objects: coframe, metric and connection 1-form.

Three fundamental objects: coframe, metric and connection 1-form.

□ **Connection 1-form**

$$\boxed{\omega_a{}^b = \omega_{\mu a}{}^b dx^\mu} . \quad (1.15)$$

where $\omega_{\mu a}{}^b$ are the components of the affine connection in the anholonomic basis:

$$\omega_{\mu a}{}^b = e^\nu{}_a e_\lambda{}^b \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\lambda + e_\sigma{}^b \partial_\mu e^\sigma{}_a . \quad (1.16)$$

N.B. $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\lambda$ and $\omega_{\mu a}{}^b$ contain the same information.

Three fundamental objects: coframe, metric and connection 1-form.

□ Connection 1-form

$$\boxed{\omega_a^b = \omega_{\mu a}^b dx^\mu} . \quad (1.15)$$

where $\omega_{\mu a}^b$ are the components of the affine connection in the anholonomic basis:

$$\omega_{\mu a}^b = e^\nu_a e_\lambda^b \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + e_\sigma^b \partial_\mu e^\sigma_a . \quad (1.16)$$

N.B. $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ and $\omega_{\mu a}^b$ contain the same information.

⇒ Exterior covariant derivative (of algebra-valued forms)

$$D\alpha_{a\dots}^{b\dots} = d\alpha_{a\dots}^{b\dots} + \omega_c^b \wedge \alpha_{a\dots}^{c\dots} + \dots - \omega_a^c \wedge \alpha_{c\dots}^{b\dots} - \dots , \quad (1.17)$$

⇒ Curvature, torsion and non-metricity forms:

$$R_a^b := d\omega_a^b + \omega_c^b \wedge \omega_a^c = \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\nu a}^b dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu , \quad (1.18)$$

$$T^a := D\vartheta^a = \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}^a dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu , \quad (1.19)$$

$$Q_{ab} := -Dg_{ab} = Q_{\mu ab} dx^\mu . \quad (1.20)$$

⇒ Notation for Levi-Civita: $\hat{\omega}_a^b, \hat{R}_a^b$.

2. Metric-Affine Lovelock theory

Def. (Metric) Lovelock term of order k in D dimensions:

$$S[g] = \int \hat{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1}^{[\nu_1} \dots \delta_{\mu_{2k}}^{\nu_{2k}]} \hat{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \hat{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Def. (Metric) Lovelock term of order k in D dimensions:

$$S[g] = \int \mathcal{L}_k^{(D)} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1}^{[\nu_1} \dots \delta_{\mu_{2k}}^{\nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Properties

- 2nd order differential equations for the metric (by constr.)

[Lovelock 1971]

Def. (Metric) Lovelock term of order k in D dimensions:

$$S[g] = \int \mathcal{L}_k^{(D)} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2k}}^{[\nu_1 \dots \nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Properties

- 2nd order differential equations for the metric (by constr.)
- Total derivative in $D = 2k$ dimensions (*critical dimension*).

[Lovelock 1971]

Def. (Metric) Lovelock term of order k in D dimensions:

$$S[g] = \int \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2k}}^{[\nu_1 \dots \nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Properties

- 2nd order differential equations for the metric (by constr.)
- Total derivative in $D = 2k$ dimensions (*critical dimension*).

[Lovelock 1971]

Example I. Case $k = 1$, Einstein(-Hilbert) lagrangian

$$\text{sgn}(g) \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_1^{(D)} = \delta_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{[\nu_1 \nu_2]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} = \mathring{R}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Rightarrow [\text{EoM } g_{\mu\nu}] \quad 0 = \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \mathring{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the critical dimension ($D = 2$):

- Conformal symmetry of the theory
- In $D = 2$ all the metrics are conformally flat

So the equation reduces to:

$$0 = 0 \quad \text{No conditions.} \quad (2.5)$$

Def. (Metric) Lovelock term of order k in D dimensions:

$$S[g] = \int \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2k}}^{[\nu_1 \dots \nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Properties

□ 2nd order differential equations for the metric (by constr.)

[Lovelock 1971]

□ Total derivative in $D = 2k$ dimensions (*critical dimension*).

Example II. Case $k = 2$, Gauss-Bonnet lagrangian

$$\text{sgn}(g) \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(D)} = 3! \delta_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{[\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \mathring{R}_{\nu_3 \nu_4}^{\mu_3 \mu_4} = \mathring{R}^2 - 4 \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu} + \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}. \quad (2.3)$$

Equation of motion of the metric in critical dimension $D = 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{R} + 2 \mathring{R}_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu} \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu} - 2 \mathring{R}_{\mu\alpha} \mathring{R}^\mu{}_\beta + \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu\alpha}{}^\lambda \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_\beta{}^\lambda - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} \left(\mathring{R}^2 - 4 \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu} + \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \right) \\ &= \mathring{C}_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu\rho} \mathring{C}_{\beta\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \mathring{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}, \quad \mathring{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \equiv \text{Weyl tensor} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

And this is a known property of the Weyl tensor of ANY metric in $D = 4 \Rightarrow$ no conditions.

□ The D -dimensional (metric) Lovelock lagrangian of order k ,

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1}^{[\nu_1} \dots \delta_{\mu_{2k}}^{\nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}} . \quad (2.5)$$

- The D -dimensional (metric) Lovelock lagrangian of order k ,

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1}^{[\nu_1} \dots \delta_{\mu_{2k}}^{\nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}} . \quad (2.5)$$

⚠ Jump to metric-affine ⚠

□ The D -dimensional (metric) Lovelock lagrangian of order k ,

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1}^{[\nu_1} \dots \delta_{\mu_{2k}}^{\nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}} . \quad (2.5)$$

⚠ Jump to metric-affine ⚠

Def. D dimensional (metric-affine) Lovelock term of order k :

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{a_1}^{[b_1} \dots \delta_{a_{2k}}^{b_{2k}]} R_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} \dots R_{b_{2k-1} b_{2k}}^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} . \quad (2.6)$$

□ The D -dimensional (metric) Lovelock lagrangian of order k ,

$$\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{\mu_1}^{[\nu_1} \dots \delta_{\mu_{2k}}^{\nu_{2k}]} \mathring{R}_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} \dots \mathring{R}_{\nu_{2k-1} \nu_{2k}}^{\mu_{2k-1} \mu_{2k}} . \quad (2.5)$$

△ Jump to metric-affine △

Def. D dimensional (metric-affine) Lovelock term of order k :

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{(D)} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k} \text{sgn}(g) \delta_{a_1}^{[b_1} \dots \delta_{a_{2k}}^{b_{2k}]} R_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} \dots R_{b_{2k-1} b_{2k}}^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} . \quad (2.6)$$

In the language of differential forms:

$$\mathbf{L}_k^{(D)} \equiv \mathcal{L}_k^{(D)} \sqrt{|g|} d^D x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{\mathbf{L}_k^{(D)} = \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_{2k}}} , \quad (2.7)$$

Metric-affine Lovelock term of order k as the lagrangian D -form:

$$\boxed{\boldsymbol{L}_k^{(D)} = \boldsymbol{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \boldsymbol{R}^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_{2k}}}, \quad (2.8)$$

Metric-affine Lovelock term of order k as the lagrangian D -form:

$$\boxed{L_k^{(D)} = R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_{2k}}}, \quad (2.8)$$

General properties

□ Levi-Civita is a solution of the palatini formalism EoM.

[Borunda, Janssen, Bastero 2008]

□ Projective symmetry:

$$\omega_a^b \rightarrow \omega_a^b + A \delta_a^b \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad R_{ab} \rightarrow R_{ab} + dA g_{ab} \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda \rightarrow R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + 2\partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} \delta_\rho^\lambda). \quad (2.10)$$

Metric-affine Lovelock term of order k as the lagrangian D -form:

$$\boxed{L_k^{(D)} = \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_{2k}}}, \quad (2.8)$$

General properties

□ Levi-Civita is a solution of the palatini formalism EoM.

[Borunda, Janssen, Bastero 2008]

□ Projective symmetry:

$$\omega_a^b \rightarrow \omega_a^b + A \delta_a^b \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{R}_{ab} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{ab} + dA g_{ab} \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda \rightarrow R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + 2\partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} \delta_\rho^\lambda). \quad (2.10)$$

Critical dimension $D = 2k$

□ The lagrangian becomes:

$$L_{D/2}^{(D)} = \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{D-1} a_D} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_D} \quad \equiv \quad \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_D} \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{D-1} a_D}, \quad (2.11)$$

Metric-affine Lovelock term of order k as the lagrangian D -form:

$$\boxed{L_k^{(D)} = R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_{2k}}}, \quad (2.8)$$

General properties

□ Levi-Civita is a solution of the palatini formalism EoM.

[Borunda, Janssen, Bastero 2008]

□ Projective symmetry:

$$\omega_a^b \rightarrow \omega_a^b + A \delta_a^b \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad R_{ab} \rightarrow R_{ab} + dA g_{ab} \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda \rightarrow R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + 2\partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} \delta_\rho^\lambda). \quad (2.10)$$

Critical dimension $D = 2k$

□ The lagrangian becomes:

$$L_{D/2}^{(D)} = R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{D-1} a_D} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_D} \quad \equiv \quad \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_D} R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{D-1} a_D}, \quad (2.11)$$

□ *Question:* Is this a total derivative?

Metric-affine Lovelock term of order k as the lagrangian D -form:

$$\boxed{L_k^{(D)} = R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_{2k}}}, \quad (2.8)$$

General properties

□ Levi-Civita is a solution of the palatini formalism EoM.

[Borunda, Janssen, Bastero 2008]

□ Projective symmetry:

$$\omega_a^b \rightarrow \omega_a^b + A \delta_a^b \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad R_{ab} \rightarrow R_{ab} + dA g_{ab} \quad (\Leftrightarrow \quad R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda \rightarrow R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + 2\partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} \delta_\rho^\lambda). \quad (2.10)$$

Critical dimension $D = 2k$

□ The lagrangian becomes:

$$L_{D/2}^{(D)} = R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{D-1} a_D} \wedge \star \vartheta_{a_1 \dots a_D} \quad \equiv \quad \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_D} R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{D-1} a_D}, \quad (2.11)$$

□ *Question:* Is this a total derivative?

Yes for the Riemann-Cartan case (metric-compatible)

⇒ Two examples (orthonormal frame chosen, i.e. $g_{ab} \equiv \eta_{ab}$):

[Hehl, McCrea, Mielke, Ne'eman 1995]

$$L_1^{(2)}|_{Q=0} \propto d \left[\mathcal{E}^a_b \omega_a^b \right], \quad (2.12)$$

$$L_2^{(4)}|_{Q=0} \propto d \left[\mathcal{E}^a_b{}^c_d \left(R_a^b \wedge \omega_c^d + \frac{1}{3} \omega_a^b \wedge \omega_c^e \wedge \omega_e^d \right) \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

(Exterior derivative of Chern-Simons like terms).

3. The Einstein metric-affine lagrangian.
General and critical ($D = 2$) theory

□ **Einstein lagrangian** (arbitrary dimension)

(We drop the factor $(2\kappa)^{-1}$)

$$L_1^{(D)} = g_{cb} \mathbf{R}_a{}^b \wedge \star \vartheta^{ac} = \text{sgn}(g) e^\nu{}_b e^\mu{}_c g^{ca} R_{\mu\nu a}{}^b(\omega) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (3.1)$$

□ **N.B.** In $D > 2$, the solution of the EoM of the connection is:

$$\omega_a{}^b = \dot{\omega}_a{}^b + A \delta_a^b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \dot{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho. \quad (3.2)$$

Unphysical projective mode \rightarrow can be eliminated using a symmetry of the theory.

□ Einstein lagrangian (arbitrary dimension)

(We drop the factor $(2\kappa)^{-1}$)

$$L_1^{(D)} = g_{cb} \mathbf{R}_a{}^b \wedge \star \vartheta^{ac} = \text{sgn}(g) e^\nu{}_b e^\mu{}_c g^{ca} R_{\mu\nu a}{}^b(\omega) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (3.1)$$

□ N.B. In $D > 2$, the solution of the EoM of the connection is:

$$\omega_a{}^b = \mathring{\omega}_a{}^b + A \delta_a^b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho. \quad (3.2)$$

Unphysical projective mode \rightarrow can be eliminated using a symmetry of the theory.

□ Critical dimension $D = 2$.

\Rightarrow Equations of motion

$$\boxed{0 = D \mathcal{E}^a{}_b} = -\check{Q}^{ca} \mathcal{E}_{bc} \quad \text{where} \quad \check{Q}_{ab} = Q_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} Q_c{}^c. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore the general solution is one that verifies:

$$\boxed{\check{Q}_{ab} = 0}. \quad (3.4)$$

□ Einstein lagrangian (arbitrary dimension)

(We drop the factor $(2\kappa)^{-1}$)

$$L_1^{(D)} = g_{cb} \mathbf{R}_a{}^b \wedge \star \vartheta^{ac} = \text{sgn}(g) e^\nu{}_b e^\mu{}_c g^{ca} R_{\mu\nu a}{}^b(\omega) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (3.1)$$

□ N.B. In $D > 2$, the solution of the EoM of the connection is:

$$\omega_a{}^b = \mathring{\omega}_a{}^b + A \delta_a^b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho. \quad (3.2)$$

Unphysical projective mode \rightarrow can be eliminated using a symmetry of the theory.

□ Critical dimension $D = 2$.

\Rightarrow Equations of motion

$$\boxed{0 = D\mathcal{E}^a{}_b} = -\check{Q}^{ca} \mathcal{E}_{bc} \quad \text{where} \quad \check{Q}_{ab} = Q_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} Q_c{}^c. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore the general solution is one that verifies:

$$\boxed{\check{Q}_{ab} = 0}. \quad (3.4)$$

\Rightarrow But, is this trivial? Or are there conditions over the $D^3 = 8$ degrees of freedom of the connection?

□ Einstein lagrangian (arbitrary dimension)

(We drop the factor $(2\kappa)^{-1}$)

$$L_1^{(D)} = g_{cb} \mathbf{R}_a{}^b \wedge \star \vartheta^{ac} = \text{sgn}(g) e^\nu{}_b e^\mu{}_c g^{ca} R_{\mu\nu a}{}^b(\omega) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (3.1)$$

□ **N.B.** In $D > 2$, the solution of the EoM of the connection is:

$$\omega_a{}^b = \dot{\omega}_a{}^b + A \delta_a^b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \dot{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho. \quad (3.2)$$

Unphysical projective mode \rightarrow can be eliminated using a symmetry of the theory.

□ **Critical dimension** $D = 2$.

\Rightarrow Equations of motion

$$\boxed{0 = D\mathcal{E}^a{}_b} = -\check{Q}^{ca} \mathcal{E}_{bc} \quad \text{where} \quad \check{Q}_{ab} = Q_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} Q_c{}^c. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore the general solution is one that verifies:

$$\boxed{\check{Q}_{ab} = 0}. \quad (3.4)$$

\Rightarrow But, is this trivial? Or are there conditions over the $D^3 = 8$ degrees of freedom of the connection?

Tensor	d.o.f. in D dim.	d.o.f. in 2 dim.	Condition imposed by EoM
$T_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho$	$\frac{1}{2} D^2 (D - 1)$	2 (pure trace)	[Nothing]
$Q_{\mu\lambda}{}^\lambda$	D	2	[Nothing] (in any D due to proj. symmetry)
$\check{Q}_{\mu\nu\rho}$	$\frac{1}{2} D(D + 2)(D - 1)$	4	They are zero

\Rightarrow **Conclusion:** There are conditions over the connection. So the theory CANNOT be topological.

4. The Gauss-Bonnet metric-affine lagrangian.
General and critical ($D = 4$) theory

□ **Gauss-Bonnet lagrangian** (arbitrary dimension)

$$\mathbf{L}_2^{(D)} = g_{mb}g_{nd}\mathbf{R}_a{}^b \wedge \mathbf{R}_c{}^d \wedge \star \vartheta^{amcn} \quad (4.1)$$

$$= \text{sgn}(g) \left[R^2 - R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(1)\nu\mu} + 2R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} - R^{(2)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} R^{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \right] \omega_{\text{vol}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} := R_{\mu\lambda\nu}{}^\lambda, \quad R := g^{\mu\nu} R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad R^{(2)}{}_{\mu}{}^\nu := g^{\lambda\sigma} R_{\mu\lambda\sigma}{}^\nu. \quad (4.3)$$

□ **Gauss-Bonnet lagrangian** (arbitrary dimension)

$$\mathbf{L}_2^{(D)} = g_{mb}g_{nd}\mathbf{R}_a{}^b \wedge \mathbf{R}_c{}^d \wedge \star \vartheta^{amcn} \quad (4.1)$$

$$= \text{sgn}(g) \left[R^2 - R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(1)\nu\mu} + 2R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} - R^{(2)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} R^{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \right] \omega_{\text{vol}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} := R_{\mu\lambda\nu}{}^\lambda, \quad R := g^{\mu\nu} R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad R^{(2)}{}_{\mu}{}^\nu := g^{\lambda\sigma} R_{\mu\lambda\sigma}{}^\nu. \quad (4.3)$$

- **N.B.** In general D , the most general solution is not known. But we know there should be a free (unphysical) projective mode.

□ **Gauss-Bonnet lagrangian** (arbitrary dimension)

$$\mathbf{L}_2^{(D)} = g_{mb}g_{nd}\mathbf{R}_a{}^b \wedge \mathbf{R}_c{}^d \wedge \star \vartheta^{amcn} \quad (4.1)$$

$$= \text{sgn}(g) \left[R^2 - R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(1)\nu\mu} + 2R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} - R^{(2)}{}_{\mu\nu} R^{(2)\nu\mu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} R^{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \right] \omega_{\text{vol}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu} := R_{\mu\lambda\nu}{}^\lambda, \quad R := g^{\mu\nu} R^{(1)}{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad R^{(2)}{}_{\mu}{}^\nu := g^{\lambda\sigma} R_{\mu\lambda\sigma}{}^\nu. \quad (4.3)$$

□ **N.B.** In general D , the most general solution is not known. But we know there should be a free (unphysical) projective mode.

□ Let us try with the Ansatz:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho + B_\nu \delta_\mu^\rho - C^\rho g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.4)$$

⇒ The EoM of the connection forces:

$$B_\mu = C_\mu. \quad (4.5)$$

□ ⇒ Remember our Ansatz:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho + B_\nu \delta_\mu^\rho - B^\rho g_{\mu\nu} . \quad (4.6)$$

⇒ With that in mind, EoM of the connection and the metric (or vielbein) read: [\[Janssen, Jiménez, Orejuela 2019\]](#)

□ ⇒ Remember our Ansatz:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + A_\mu \delta_\nu^\rho + B_\nu \delta_\mu^\rho - B^\rho g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.6)$$

⇒ With that in mind, EoM of the connection and the metric (or vielbein) read: [\[Janssen, Jiménez, Orejuela 2019\]](#)

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EoM } \omega] \quad 0 = & \frac{1}{12} (D-4) \left[2B_{[\beta} \mathring{R} \delta_{\alpha]}^\nu + 4B^\lambda \mathring{R}_{\lambda[\alpha} \delta_{\beta]}^\nu - 2B^\lambda \mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta\lambda}{}^\nu \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{6} (D-4)(D-3) \left[2B_\rho \mathring{\nabla}_{[\alpha} B^\rho \delta_{\beta]}^\nu - 2B_{[\alpha} \mathring{\nabla}_{|\rho|} B^\rho \delta_{\beta]}^\nu + 2B_{[\alpha} \mathring{\nabla}_{\beta]} B^\nu \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{6} (D-4)(D-3)(D-2) B_\sigma B^\sigma B_{[\alpha} \delta_{\beta]}^\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EoM } g, (\sim e)] \quad 0 = & [\text{EoM of } g \text{ in metric-Gauss-Bonnet theory}]_{\alpha\beta} \\ & + \frac{1}{3} (D-4) \left[\mathring{\nabla}_{(\alpha} B_{\beta)} \mathring{R} + 2\mathring{\nabla}_\mu B^\mu (\mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{R}) + 2\mathring{\nabla}^\mu B^\nu \mathring{R}_{\mu(\alpha\beta)\nu} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2\mathring{\nabla}_{(\alpha} B^\mu \mathring{R}_{\beta)\mu} - 2\mathring{\nabla}_\mu B_{(\alpha} \mathring{R}_{\beta)}{}^\mu + 2\mathring{\nabla}_\mu B_\nu \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{3} (D-4) \left[(D-5) B_\mu B^\mu (\mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \mathring{R}) - B_\alpha B_\beta \mathring{R} - 2B^\mu B^\nu \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4(D-3) B^\mu B_{(\alpha} \mathring{R}_{\beta)\mu} - 2B^\mu B^\nu \mathring{R}_{\mu(\alpha\beta)\nu} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{3} (D-4)(D-3) \left[2\mathring{\nabla}_{(\alpha} B_{\beta)} \mathring{\nabla}_\mu B^\mu - 2\mathring{\nabla}_\mu B_{(\alpha} \mathring{\nabla}_{\beta)} B^\mu - 2\mathring{\nabla}_\mu B^{[\mu} \mathring{\nabla}_\nu B^{\nu]} g_{\alpha\beta} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{3} (D-4)(D-3) \left[(D-4) \mathring{\nabla}_{(\alpha} B_{\beta)} B_\mu B^\mu - 2\mathring{\nabla}_\mu B^\mu B_{\alpha\beta} + 2\mathring{\nabla}_\mu B_{(\alpha} B_{\beta)} B^\mu \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2B^\mu B_{(\alpha} \mathring{\nabla}_{\beta)} B_\mu - 2B^\mu B^\nu \mathring{\nabla}_\mu B_\nu g_{\alpha\beta} + (D-4) B_\mu B^\mu \mathring{\nabla}_\nu B^\nu g_{\alpha\beta} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{12} (D-4)(D-3)(D-2) \left[4B_\mu B^\mu B_{\alpha\beta} + (D-5) B_\mu B^\mu B_\nu B^\nu g_{\alpha\beta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

⇒ In $D = 4$ (critical dimension!!) our Ansatz solves the equations. But, is it 'trivial'?

□ It would be trivial if, for example,

$$\Phi : \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho \mapsto \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + B_\nu \delta_\mu^\rho - B^\rho g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi : \quad \begin{cases} T_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho \mapsto T_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho - 2B_{[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}^\rho \\ Q_{\mu\nu\rho} \mapsto Q_{\mu\nu\rho} \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

were a symmetry.

□ It would be trivial if, for example,

$$\Phi : \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho \mapsto \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + B_\nu \delta_\mu^\rho - B^\rho g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi : \quad \begin{cases} T_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho \mapsto T_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho - 2B_{[\mu} \delta_{\nu]}^\rho \\ Q_{\mu\nu\rho} \mapsto Q_{\mu\nu\rho} \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

were a symmetry.

⇒ Let's vary the action (remember that we are in $D = 4$):

[Janssen, Jiménez, Orejuela 2019]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Phi \mathcal{L}_2^{(4)} = & -4B^\mu B^\nu g^{\rho\lambda} \left[2\nabla_{(\mu} Q_{\rho)\nu\lambda} + T_{\mu\rho}{}^\sigma Q_{\sigma\nu\lambda} \right] \\ & - 2Q^{\mu\nu\rho} \left[B_\mu (R^{(1)}{}_{\nu\rho} + R^{(2)}{}_{\nu\rho}) + B^\lambda (R_{\lambda\nu\mu\rho} + R_{\lambda\nu\rho\mu}) \right. \\ & \quad - B^\lambda B_\nu (Q_{\lambda\mu\rho} - 2Q_{\rho\lambda\mu}) - B_\mu B_\nu (Q_{\rho\lambda}{}^\lambda - Q^\lambda{}_{\lambda\rho}) \\ & \quad \left. + 2B_\mu \nabla_\nu B_\rho + 4B_\nu \nabla_\rho B_\mu + 2B_\nu B^\lambda T_{\lambda\rho\mu} + 2B_\mu B_\nu T_{\rho\lambda}{}^\lambda \right] \\ & - 2Q^{\mu\sigma}{}_\rho \left[B^\nu (R^{(1)}{}_{\nu\mu} - R^{(2)}{}_{\nu\mu} - g_{\nu\mu} R) - 2B_\mu B_\nu B^\rho \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2B_\mu \nabla_\nu B^\rho + 2B^\nu \nabla_\nu B_\mu + 3B_\mu B^\nu Q^\lambda{}_{\lambda\nu} \right] \\ & + 2Q_\sigma{}^{\rho\mu} \left[B^\nu (R^{(1)}{}_{\nu\mu} + R^{(2)}{}_{\nu\mu}) + 2B_\mu \nabla_\nu B^\rho + 2B^\nu \nabla_\nu B_\mu + 2B_\mu B^\nu T_{\nu\lambda}{}^\lambda \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

⇒ The non-metricity prevents Φ from being a symmetry.

5. Final discussion (general critical Lovelock theory) and conclusions

- Consider the Lovelock theory in critical dimension:

$$\mathbf{L}_{D/2}^{(D)} = \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots \mathcal{E}^{a_{D-1}}_{a_D} \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-1}}^{a_D} . \quad (5.1)$$

- The general equation of motion for the connection can be written:

$$0 = \mathbf{D} \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots \mathcal{E}^a_b \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-3}}^{a_{D-2}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (5.2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{0 = \left[\check{Q}^c_{a_1} \mathcal{E}_{ca_2 \dots a_{D-2} ab} + \dots + \check{Q}^c_{a_{D-3}} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-4} ca_{D-2} ab} + \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-2} cb} \right] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{D-3} a_{D-2}}} \quad (5.3)$$

□ Consider the Lovelock theory in critical dimension:

$$\mathbf{L}_{D/2}^{(D)} = \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots {}^{a_{D-1}}_{a_D} \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-1}}^{a_D}. \quad (5.1)$$

□ The general equation of motion for the connection can be written:

$$0 = \mathbf{D} \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots {}^a_b \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-3}}^{a_{D-2}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (5.2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{0 = \left[\check{Q}^c_{a_1} \mathcal{E}_{ca_2 \dots a_{D-2} ab} + \dots + \check{Q}^c_{a_{D-3}} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-4} ca_{D-2} ab} + \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-2} cb} \right] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{D-3} a_{D-2}}} \quad (5.3)$$

⇒ Particular cases:

$$(k=1) \quad \text{Einstein:} \quad 0 = \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{\check{Q}_{ab} = 0} \text{ (general sol.).} \quad (5.4)$$

$$(k=2) \quad \text{Gauss-Bonnet:} \quad 0 = [\check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc pq} + \check{Q}^c_p \mathcal{E}_{q abc}] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{pq} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ?. \quad (5.5)$$

- Consider the Lovelock theory in critical dimension:

$$\mathbf{L}_{D/2}^{(D)} = \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots {}^{a_{D-1}}_{a_D} \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-1}}^{a_D}. \quad (5.1)$$

- The general equation of motion for the connection can be written:

$$0 = \mathbf{D} \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots {}^a_b \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-3}}^{a_{D-2}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (5.2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{0 = \left[\check{Q}^c_{a_1} \mathcal{E}_{ca_2 \dots a_{D-2} ab} + \dots + \check{Q}^c_{a_{D-3}} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-4} ca_{D-2} ab} + \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-2} cb} \right] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{D-3} a_{D-2}}} \quad (5.3)$$

- ⇒ Particular cases:

$$(k=1) \quad \text{Einstein:} \quad 0 = \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{\check{Q}_{ab} = 0} \text{ (general sol.).} \quad (5.4)$$

$$(k=2) \quad \text{Gauss-Bonnet:} \quad 0 = [\check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc pq} + \check{Q}^c_p \mathcal{E}_{qabc}] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{pq} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ?. \quad (5.5)$$

- Families of solutions for arbitrary k : connection with $Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = V_\mu g_{\nu\rho}$ (i.e. $\check{Q}_{ab} = 0$). [\[Work in progress\]](#)

- Consider the Lovelock theory in critical dimension:

$$\mathbf{L}_{D/2}^{(D)} = \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots \mathcal{E}^{a_{D-1}}_{a_D} \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-1}}^{a_D} . \quad (5.1)$$

- The general equation of motion for the connection can be written:

$$0 = \mathbf{D} \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots \mathcal{E}^{a_b}_{a_D} \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_1}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-3}}^{a_{D-2}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (5.2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{0 = \left[\check{Q}^c_{a_1} \mathcal{E}_{ca_2 \dots a_{D-2} ab} + \dots + \check{Q}^c_{a_{D-3}} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-4} ca_{D-2} ab} + \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-2} cb} \right] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{D-3} a_{D-2}}} \quad (5.3)$$

- ⇒ Particular cases:

$$(k=1) \quad \text{Einstein:} \quad 0 = \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{\check{Q}_{ab} = 0} \text{ (general sol.)} . \quad (5.4)$$

$$(k=2) \quad \text{Gauss-Bonnet:} \quad 0 = [\check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc pq} + \check{Q}^c_p \mathcal{E}_{qabc}] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{pq} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ? . \quad (5.5)$$

- Families of solutions for arbitrary k : connection with $Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = V_\mu g_{\nu\rho}$ (i.e. $\check{Q}_{ab} = 0$). [\[Work in progress\]](#)

- Families of solutions for arbitrary $k > 1$: [\[Work in progress\]](#)

- ⇒ Teleparallel $\mathbf{R}_c^d = 0$.
- ⇒ Any connection such that $\check{Q}_{ab} \wedge \mathbf{R}_c^d = 0$.

- Consider the Lovelock theory in critical dimension:

$$\mathbf{L}_{D/2}^{(D)} = \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots {}^{a_{D-1}}_{a_D} \mathbf{R}_{a_1}{}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-1}}{}^{a_D}. \quad (5.1)$$

- The general equation of motion for the connection can be written:

$$0 = \mathbf{D} \mathcal{E}^{a_1}_{a_2} \dots {}^a_b \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_1}{}^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}_{a_{D-3}}{}^{a_{D-2}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (5.2)$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$0 = \left[\check{Q}^c_{a_1} \mathcal{E}_{ca_2 \dots a_{D-2} ab} + \dots + \check{Q}^c_{a_{D-3}} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-4} ca_{D-2} ab} + \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \dots a_{D-2} cb} \right] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{R}^{a_{D-3} a_{D-2}} \quad (5.3)$$

- ⇒ Particular cases:

$$(k=1) \quad \text{Einstein:} \quad 0 = \check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{\check{Q}_{ab} = 0} \text{ (general sol.)}. \quad (5.4)$$

$$(k=2) \quad \text{Gauss-Bonnet:} \quad 0 = [\check{Q}^c_a \mathcal{E}_{bc pq} + \check{Q}^c_p \mathcal{E}_{qabc}] \wedge \mathbf{R}^{pq} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ? \quad (5.5)$$

- Families of solutions for arbitrary k : connection with $Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = V_\mu g_{\nu\rho}$ (i.e. $\check{Q}_{ab} = 0$). [\[Work in progress\]](#)

- Families of solutions for arbitrary $k > 1$: [\[Work in progress\]](#)

- ⇒ Teleparallel $\mathbf{R}_c{}^d = 0$.
- ⇒ Any connection such that $\check{Q}_{ab} \wedge \mathbf{R}_c{}^d = 0$.

- Families of solutions for arbitrary $k > 2$:

- ⇒ Any connection such that $\mathbf{R}_{ab} = \alpha_{ab} \wedge \mathbf{k}$ for certain 1-forms α_{ab} and \mathbf{k} (due to $\mathbf{k} \wedge \mathbf{k} \equiv 0$).

Example. Ansatz of grav. wave: \mathbf{k} is the dual form of the wave vector. [\[My PhD Thesis - still in progress\]](#)

Ideas to remember. Consider metric-affine Lovelock in the critical dimension:

- The simplest one (Einstein) cannot be topologically trivial (it imposes conditions!).
- In Gauss-Bonnet there are solutions unrelated through symmetries with LC: our Ansatz with B_μ ; grav. wave Ansatz (ask me)...
- The conclusion is that *these lagrangians (with non-vanishing Q) cannot be total derivatives.*

Ideas to remember. Consider metric-affine Lovelock in the critical dimension:

- The simplest one (Einstein) cannot be topologically trivial (it imposes conditions!).
- In Gauss-Bonnet there are solutions unrelated through symmetries with LC: our Ansatz with B_μ ; grav. wave Ansatz (ask me)...
- The conclusion is that *these lagrangians (with non-vanishing Q) cannot be total derivatives.*

Open questions

- Analysis of the equation of the metric/coframe.
- Is there an easy (systematic) way to solve the EoM of the connection for any k ?
- Which is the role of the non-metricity in breaking the triviality in critical dimension?

Ideas to remember. Consider metric-affine Lovelock in the critical dimension:

- The simplest one (Einstein) cannot be topologically trivial (it imposes conditions!).
- In Gauss-Bonnet there are solutions unrelated through symmetries with LC: our Ansatz with B_μ ; grav. wave Ansatz (ask me)...
- The conclusion is that *these lagrangians (with non-vanishing Q) cannot be total derivatives.*

Open questions

- Analysis of the equation of the metric/coframe.
- Is there an easy (systematic) way to solve the EoM of the connection for any k ?
- Which is the role of the non-metricity in breaking the triviality in critical dimension?

Thanks for your attention!

References for this presentation:

- D. Lovelock, [Lovelock 1971]
The Einstein Tensor and Its Generalizations,
J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), 498–501.
- M. Borunda, B. Janssen, M. Bastero-Gil, , [Borunda, Janssen, Bastero 2008]
Palatini versus metric formulation in higher curvature gravity,
JCAP **0811** (2008), 008.
- B. Janssen, A. Jiménez-Cano, J. A. Orejuela, [Janssen, Jiménez, Orejuela 2019]
A non-trivial connection for the metric-affine Gauss-Bonnet theory in $D = 4$,
Phys. Lett. B **795** (2019) 42 – 48.
- F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke, Y. Ne’eman, [Hehl, McCrea, Mielke, Ne’eman 1995]
Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance,
Phys. Rep. **258** (1995) 1 – 171.
- A. Jiménez-Cano, [My PhD Thesis – Still in progress]
Metric-Affine Gauge theory of gravity. Foundations, perturbations and gravitational wave solutions.

Other interesting references:

- D. Iosifidis, and T. Koivisto,
Scale transformations in metric-affine geometry,
arXiv preprint: 1810.12276.
- J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, T. Koivisto,
Cosmology for quadratic gravity in generalized Weyl geometry,
JCAP **2016** (2016), no 04, 046.
- J. Zanelli,
Chern-Simons Forms in Gravitation Theories,
Class. Quant. Grav. **29** (2012), 133001.

Consider a gravitational lagrangian (vacuum) depending on the connection exclusively through the curvature:

$$S[g, \vartheta, \omega] = \int L(g_{ab}, \vartheta^a, R_a{}^b(\omega)) \equiv \int \mathcal{L}(g_{ab}, e_\mu{}^a, R_{\mu\nu}{}^b(\omega)) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (6.1)$$

with projective symmetry.

Consider a gravitational lagrangian (vacuum) depending on the connection exclusively through the curvature:

$$S[g, \vartheta, \omega] = \int \mathbf{L}(g_{ab}, \vartheta^a, \mathbf{R}_a{}^b(\omega)) \equiv \int \mathcal{L}(g_{ab}, e_\mu{}^a, R_{\mu\nu a}{}^b(\omega)) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (6.1)$$

with projective symmetry.

□ Noether identities of $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{GL}(D, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow$ We only need the EoM of ϑ^a and $\omega_a{}^b$:

$$0 = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \vartheta^a} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \vartheta^a}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$0 = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_a{}^b} \equiv \mathbf{D} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_a{}^b} \right), \quad (6.3)$$

or, in components,

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_\mu{}^a} \equiv e^\mu{}_a \mathcal{L} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial e_\mu{}^a}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$0 = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu a}{}^b} \equiv \left(\nabla_\lambda - \frac{1}{2} Q_{\lambda\sigma}{}^\sigma + T_{\lambda\sigma}{}^\sigma \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\lambda\mu a}{}^b} \right) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\lambda\sigma}{}^\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\lambda\sigma a}{}^b}. \quad (6.5)$$

Consider a gravitational lagrangian (vacuum) depending on the connection exclusively through the curvature:

$$S[g, \vartheta, \omega] = \int \mathbf{L}(g_{ab}, \vartheta^a, \mathbf{R}_a{}^b(\omega)) \equiv \int \mathcal{L}(g_{ab}, e_\mu{}^a, R_{\mu\nu a}{}^b(\omega)) \sqrt{|g|} d^D x, \quad (6.1)$$

with projective symmetry.

□ Noether identities of $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{GL}(D, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow$ We only need the EoM of ϑ^a and $\omega_a{}^b$:

$$0 = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \vartheta^a} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \vartheta^a}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$0 = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_a{}^b} \equiv \mathbf{D} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_a{}^b} \right), \quad (6.3)$$

or, in components,

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_\mu{}^a} \equiv e^\mu{}_a \mathcal{L} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial e_\mu{}^a}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$0 = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu a}{}^b} \equiv \left(\nabla_\lambda - \frac{1}{2} Q_{\lambda\sigma}{}^\sigma + T_{\lambda\sigma}{}^\sigma \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\lambda\mu a}{}^b} \right) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\lambda\sigma}{}^\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\lambda\sigma a}{}^b}. \quad (6.5)$$

□ Noether identity of projective symmetry \Rightarrow the connection EoM is traceless (in a, b indices).