411-PROGR-suma-cuadrados

November 4, 2017

```
In [5]: def cuadrado(n):
           return n*n
In [6]: def F(n):
           L = (n).digits()
           return sum(map(cuadrado,L))
In [7]: F(102)
Out[7]: 5
In [8]: def orbitaO(n,N):
           L = [n]
           for _ in xsrange(N):
               n = F(n)
               L.append(n)
           return L
In [13]: [orbita0(n,17) for n in srange(150,170)]
Out[13]: [[150, 26, 40, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42],
          [151, 27, 53, 34, 25, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145],
          [152, 30, 9, 81, 65, 61, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89],
          [153, 35, 34, 25, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42],
          [154, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145],
          [155, 51, 26, 40, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145],
          [156, 62, 40, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42],
          [157, 75, 74, 65, 61, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145],
          [158, 90, 81, 65, 61, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145],
          [159, 107, 50, 25, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42],
          [160, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16],
          [161, 38, 73, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4],
          [162, 41, 17, 50, 25, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145],
          [163, 46, 52, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20],
          [164, 53, 34, 25, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42],
          [165, 62, 40, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42],
          [166, 73, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16],
          [168, 101, 2, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58, 89, 145],
          [169, 118, 66, 72, 53, 34, 25, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4, 16, 37, 58]]
```

Parece que todas las órbitas llegan al 4 o bien al 1. £Es ésto cierto?

```
In [7]: def orbita(n):
            L = \lceil n \rceil
             while n != 4 and n != 1:
                 n = F(n)
                 L.append(n)
            return L
In [8]: orbita(99)
Out[8]: [99, 162, 41, 17, 50, 25, 29, 85, 89, 145, 42, 20, 4]
In [9]: time L = [len(orbita(n)) for n in xsrange(1,10<sup>4</sup>)]
Out[9]: Time: CPU 0.55 s, Wall: 0.55 s
In [10]: time L = [len(orbita(n)) for n in xsrange(10^4,10^5)]
Out[10]: Time: CPU 5.07 s, Wall: 5.07 s
In [11]: time L = [len(orbita(n)) for n in xsrange(10^5,10^6)]
Out[11]: Time: CPU 51.59 s, Wall: 51.60 s
   La diferencia fundamental entre este problema y el de Collatz es que aquí la función tiende a
crear una sucesión decreciente de iteraciones, es decir, para casi todos los valores de n, se verifica
n > F(n). Comprobemos:
In [12]: def buscador(N):
              for j in xsrange(5,N):
                  if F(j)>j:
                      print j
In [13]: buscador(10^6)
Out[13]: 5
         6
         7
         8
         9
         14
         15
         16
         17
```

Parece claro que para $n \ge 100$ se verifica n > F(n), de forma que podemos separar el problema en dos partes:

Si $n \ge 100$ debemos demostrar que n > F(n). Puede encontrase una demostración en el PDF "sumas-cuadrados.pdf" en la carpeta "PDFs/PROGR/".

Para $n \le 99$ utilizamos el ordenador para ver que todas las órbitas llegan al 1 o al 4 (ésto ya está comprobado porque la función *orbita* no entra en un bucle infinito en el intervalo [1,99].

In []: