

Introduction to Cryptography

Exercise Week 2 Solutions

Dr. Patrick Struck
patrick.struck@uni.kn

Leon Weingarten
leon.weingarten@uni.kn

Jonah Herr
jonah.herr@uni.kn

Exercise 1.

Consider the following scenario:

Alice wants to encrypt a message of length n using the One-Time Pad, but knows that it will be sent in the clear if the key k happens to be 0^n . To prevent that, she chooses a new random key until $k \neq 0^n$, and only then encrypts her message.

Prove that the resulting scheme is no longer perfectly secret, using

- (a) Definition 2.3.
- (b) Lemma 2.5. (Reminder: A scheme is perfectly secret if and only if, for every $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$ and every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, Equation (2.1)

$$\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m) = c] = \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m') = c]$$

holds.)

Solution 1.

- (a) Assume \mathcal{M} is uniformly distributed. Given any ciphertext $c \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Pr[C = c] > 0$, an adversary aware of the scheme (Kerckhoffs' principle!) can immediately deduce that $M \neq c$, thus for $m = c$

$$\Pr[M = m | C = c] = 0 \neq \frac{1}{2^n} = \Pr[M = m]$$

and therefore the scheme is not perfectly secret.

- (b) For any fixed $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we can choose $m = c$ and $m' \neq c$. Then

$$\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m) = c] = 0 \neq \frac{1}{2^n - 1} = \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m') = c]$$

and again, it follows that the scheme is not perfectly secret.

Exercise 2.

In each of the following schemes, $\text{Enc}_k(m) = [m + k \bmod 3]$. State in each case whether the scheme is perfectly secret, and justify your answers.

- (a) The message space is $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}$, and **Gen** chooses a uniform key from the key space $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}$.
- (b) The message space is $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1, 2\}$, and **Gen** chooses a uniform key from the key space $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, 2\}$.
- (c) The message space is $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}$, and **Gen** chooses a uniform key from the key space $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Solution 2.

For all cases the ciphertext space is $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

- (a) The scheme is not perfectly secret.

Proof. For any probability distribution over \mathcal{M} with $\Pr[M = 0] > 0$ and $\Pr[M = 1] > 0$ (the latter is to ensure $\Pr[C = 2] > 0$) we get

$$\Pr[M = 0 | C = 2] = 0 \neq \Pr[M = 0]$$

□

- (b) The scheme is perfectly secret.

Proof. Here we can use Shannon's theorem, since $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{K}| = |\mathcal{C}|$. The key is chosen uniformly and clearly, for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$ there is exactly one key for which $\text{Enc}_k(m) = c$, which is $k := c - m \bmod 3$. □

- (c) The scheme is perfectly secret.

Proof. For arbitrary $c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m) = c] &= \Pr[m + K = c \bmod 3] \\ &= \Pr[K = c - m \bmod 3] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

where the first equation follows by definition of **Enc** and the second because **Gen** chooses keys uniformly. The probability is independent of the message, thus the scheme is perfectly secret, since Equation (2.1) is fulfilled. □

Exercise 3.

What is the ciphertext that results when the plaintext 0x012345 (written in hex) is encrypted using the one-time pad with key 0xFFEEDD?

Solution 3.

Recall that in hexadecimal notation, each digit represents exactly four bits. For example, 0x0 = 0000, 0x1 = 0001, ..., 0xF = 1111. Therefore, we can just decode the hexadecimal representation of the plaintext and the key into binaries.

$$0x012345 = 0000\ 0001\ 0010\ 0011\ 0100\ 0101$$

$$0xFFEEDD = 1111\ 1111\ 1110\ 1110\ 1101\ 1101.$$

XORing this yields

$$1111\ 1110\ 1100\ 1111\ 1001\ 1000,$$

which translates in hex to 0xFECF98.

Exercise* 4.

Recall the affine cipher from question 4 of the first exercise sheet. Assume that every key $(a, b) \in \mathcal{K}$ is chosen with equal probability $1/|\mathcal{K}|$.

- (a) Show that for messages of length $n \geq 2$, this cipher is not perfectly secret.
- (b) Prove that for messages of length $n = 1$, this cipher *is* perfectly secret.

Solution 4.

- (a) Take the uniform distribution on \mathcal{M} and choose $m = 0\dots 0$ of length $n \geq 2$. Further, let $c = 10\dots 0$ be the ciphertext of length n starting with a one and followed by $n - 1$ zeros, and let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{K}$ the key which encrypts m to c , that is $\text{Enc}_{(a,b)}(m) = c$ (assuming such a key exists). Encrypting the first two letters of m yields the following system of equations:

$$1 \equiv a \cdot 0 + b \pmod{26},$$

$$0 \equiv a \cdot 0 + b \pmod{26},$$

which leads to $b \equiv 1$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{26}$, which is clearly a contradiction. In particular, this means that there is no such key (a, b) that encrypts m to c and therefore,

$$\Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = 0 \neq \frac{1}{26^n} = \Pr[M = m]$$

for this particular choice of m and c .

Another possible argument is that for $n \geq 2$, we have $|\mathcal{M}| = 26^2 > 316 = |\mathcal{K}|$ (this was proven on the last exercise sheet), which contradicts Theorem 2.11.

- (b) Take an arbitrary distribution on \mathcal{M} . It suffices to show that for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}$ and every $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}$, there is always the same number of possible

keys (a, b) such that $c \equiv a \cdot m + b \pmod{26}$. And indeed, for every pair (m, c) we can choose an arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}$ with $\gcd(a, 26) = 1$, and define $b := c - a \cdot m \pmod{26}$. It is easy to see that

$$\text{Enc}_{(a, c-a \cdot m)}(m) = a \cdot m + (c - a \cdot m) = c.$$

As there are $12 (= \varphi(26))$ possible choices for a , and every a corresponds to exactly one b (defined as above), we see that for every pair (m, c) , there are 12 different keys (a, b) which encrypt m to c . Phrased differently, this means that for any given c , the probability that c is the ciphertext of a message m is equal for all messages m , namely $12/312 = 1/26$. Therefore, for every message $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and every ciphertext $c \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = \frac{1}{26} = \Pr[M = m],$$

(respectively $= 0$ for $\Pr[M = m] = 0$) which proves perfect secrecy.

Exercise* 5.

In this problem we consider definitions of perfect secrecy for the encryption of *two* messages, using the same key. Here we consider distributions on pairs of messages from the message space \mathcal{M} ; we let M_1, M_2 be random variables denoting the first and second message, respectively. (These random variables are not assumed to be independent.) We generate a (single) key k , sample a pair of messages (m_1, m_2) according to the given distribution, and then compute ciphertexts $c_1 \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_1)$ and $c_2 \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_2)$; this induces a distribution on pairs of ciphertexts and we let C_1, C_2 be the corresponding random variables.

- (a) Say encryption scheme $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ is *perfectly secret for two messages* if for all distributions on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, all $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$, and all ciphertexts $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2] > 0$:

$$\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2 \mid C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2] = \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]$$

Prove that *no* encryption scheme can satisfy this definition.

- (b) Say encryption scheme $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ is *perfectly secret for two distinct messages* if for all distributions on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ where the first and second messages are guaranteed to be different (i.e., distributions on pairs of *distinct* messages), for all $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and for all ciphertexts $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2] > 0$:

$$\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2 \mid C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2] = \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]$$

Give an encryption scheme that fulfills this property. Can you also prove it?

and the law of total probability.

Hint: Think of permutations. For the proof, you may use Bayes theorem

Solution 5.

- (a) Consider the uniform distribution over $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$. We want to show that the equation above is not fulfilled for distinct $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ but equal $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, which proves the claim. Therefore, let's choose $m_1 \neq m_2$ and $c_1 = c_2$ with $\Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2] > 0$. As **Dec** is required to be deterministic (equal ciphertexts must be decrypted to equal messages when using the same key), we deduce that the original messages m_1, m_2 must be equal. Consequently, if we choose $m_1 \neq m_2$, we get

$$\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2 \mid C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2] = 0 \neq \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2].$$

- (b) We define the key space \mathcal{K} to be the set of all permutations on the message space \mathcal{M} . Then $|\mathcal{K}| = |\mathcal{M}|!$. We define the following cipher:
- **Gen** chooses a random permutation $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ from \mathcal{K} .
 - **Enc $_{\pi}$** (m) encrypts a message m by applying the permutation π to obtain the ciphertext $c = \pi(m)$. Note that this gives another element from the message space as ciphertext.
 - **Dec $_{\pi}$** (c) decrypts the ciphertext c by applying the inverse π^{-1} of the permutation π to the ciphertext $m = \pi^{-1}(c)$. (Note that with $\pi \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\pi^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}$.)

It is clear that this scheme is correct. Next, we want to show that it is *perfectly secret for two distinct messages*. Consider an arbitrary distribution on the set of pairs of distinct messages from \mathcal{M} , and fix $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then there are $(|\mathcal{M}| - 2)!$ different permutations π with $\pi(m_1) = c_1, \pi(m_2) = c_2$ (Note that we can assume $|\mathcal{M}| > 1$, because otherwise there are no distinct m_1, m_2). Using Bayes Theorem, it follows

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2 \mid C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2] \\
&= \frac{\Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2 \mid M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2] \cdot \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{\Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2]} \\
&= \frac{\Pr[\mathcal{K}(M_1) = c_1 \wedge \mathcal{K}(M_2) = c_2 \mid M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2] \cdot \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{\Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2]} \\
&= \frac{\Pr[\mathcal{K}(m_1) = c_1 \wedge \mathcal{K}(m_2) = c_2] \cdot \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{\Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2]} \\
&= \frac{(|\mathcal{M}| - 2)! \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{|\mathcal{M}|! \Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2]} \\
&= \frac{\frac{(|\mathcal{M}| - 2)!}{|\mathcal{M}|} \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{\sum_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} \Pr[C_1 = c_1 \wedge C_2 = c_2 \mid M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2] \cdot \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]} \\
&= \frac{\frac{(|\mathcal{M}| - 2)!}{|\mathcal{M}|} \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{\sum_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{(|\mathcal{M}| - 2)!}{|\mathcal{M}|} \cdot \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]} \\
&= \frac{\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{\sum_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]} \\
&= \frac{\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2]}{1} = \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \wedge M_2 = m_2].
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.