



Course: ENC2135-0008.fa20: RESEARCH GENRE AND CONTEXT




2 - The work required of m	e was appro	priate base	d on course	e objectives.											
Response Option		Weigl	nt Freque	ncy Percent	Р	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	8	61.54%					4.62		4.52	4.39			
Agree		(4)	5	38.46%											
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1										
		•		•	0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	Т	STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Med	dian
13/19 (68.42%)	4.62	0.51	5.00	3612		4.52		0.72	5.00		91245	4.39	0.86	5.0	00

3 - The tests, project, etc.	accurately r	measured w	hat I learne	ed in this course.											
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	9	69.23%					4.62		4.46	4.28			
Agree		(4)	3	23.08%											
Neutral		(3)	1	7.69%											
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1										
			'	'	0	25	50	100	Questio	n	Department	University	У		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	Т	STD	Median		University	Mean	STI	)	Median
13/19 (68.42%)	4.62	0.65	5.00	3602		4.46		0.79	5.00		91152	4.28	0.9	6	5.00






Course: ENC2135-0008.fa20: RESEARCH GENRE AND CONTEXT



6 - Ellen Cecil-Lemkin prov	vided clear e	expectations	s for the co	ourse.	-											
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency	Percent	P	ercent R	es	ponses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	12	2	92.31%					4.92		4.58	4.43			
Agree		(4)	1		7.69%											
Neutral		(3)	0		0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0		0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0		0.00%	1								l		
		•				0	25	50	0 100	Question	n	Department	University	у		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	De	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	ΓD	Median
13/19 (68.42%)	4.92	0.28	5.00		3631		4.58	T	0.79	5.00		101239	4.43	0.0	88	5.00

7 - Ellen Cecil-Lemkin comn	nunicated ef	ectively									
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent F	Responses		Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	13	100.00%			5.00	4.57	4.37		
Agree		(4)	0	0.00%	1						
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1						
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	]						
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1						
				•	0 25	50 100	Questio	n Department	University	У	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
13/19 (68.42%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	3625	4.57	0.81	5.00	101170	4.37	0.96	5.00






**Course:** ENC2135-0008.fa20: RESEARCH GENRE AND CONTEXT

9 - Ellen Cecil-Lemkin provi	ded helpfu	l feedback	on my woi	rk												
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	10	)	76.92%					4.69		4.57	4.20			
Agree		(4)	2	!	15.38%											
Neutral		(3)	1		7.69%											
Disagree		(2)	0	)	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	)	0.00%	1								ı		
		•				0	25	50	100	Question	ı	Department	Universit	у		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D.	Median
13/19 (68.42%)	4.69	0.63	5.00		3620		4.57		0.81	5.00		100793	4.20	1.0	)5	5.00

10 - Ellen Cecil-Lemkin der	nonstrated	respect for	students											
Response Option		Weigl	nt Freque	ncy Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			Mea	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	12	100.00%					5.00	П	4.77	4.55	1	
Agree		(4)	0	0.00%	1									
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
		•		•	0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	3618		4.77		0.59	5.00		100665	4.55	0.78	5.00

11 - Ellen Cecil-Lemkin dem	onstrated m	astery of th	ne subject ma	itter							
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequency	Percent	Percent R	esponses		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	13	100.00%			5.00	4.70	4.57	1	
Agree		(4)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1						
					0 25	50 100	Questio	n Department	University	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
13/19 (68.42%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	3615	4.70	0.62	5.00	100735	4.57	0.76	5.00





**Course:** ENC2135-0008.fa20: RESEARCH GENRE AND CONTEXT

13 - Overall rating for Ellen	Cecil-Lemi	kin -														
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	Р	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Excellent		(5)	11	1	91.67%					4.92	П	4.56	4.32			
Above Satisfactory		(4)	1		8.33%											
Satisfactory		(3)	0		0.00%	1										
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0		0.00%	1										
Poor		(1)	0		0.00%	1										
		•		•		0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	De	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	4.92	0.29	5.00		3608		4.56		0.81	5.00		100492	4.32	0.9	9	5.00

14 - What is your year in school	l?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
1st year	(1)	11	91.67%				
Sophomore	(2)	1	8.33%				
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%	]			
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	]			
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	1			
				0 25 50 100			
			Res	ponse Rate			
			12/1	9 (63.16%)			

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent R	esponse	s	Me	ans	
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	1					
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%						
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%						
3.0-3.49	(4)	0	0.00%	1					
3.5-4.0	(5)	9	75.00%						
Not Applicable	(6)	3	25.00%						
	·			0 25	50 1	00			
			Res	onse Rate					
			12/1	9 (63.16%)					

16 - What grade do you expect	to receive in this cou	rse?								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	Respor	nses	Me	ans	
A	(1)	12	100.00%							
В	(2)	0	0.00%							
С	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%							
				0	25	50	100			
			Res	onse	Rate					
			12/1	9 (63	.16%)					



Course: ENC2135-0008.fa20: RESEARCH GENRE AND CONTEXT

Instructor: Ellen Cecil-Lemkin \*
Response Rate: 13/19 (68.42 %)

17 - Is this a required course fo	r you?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respo	nses	Me	ans	
Yes	(1)	13	100.00%							
No	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
										_
				0	25	50	100			
			Res	oonse	Rate					
			13/1	9 (68.	42%)					

## 18 - What aspects of the course and/or Ellen Cecil-Lemkin's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 8/19 (42.11%)

- Dr. Ellen made sure to go out of her way to check in on me and that was something that I could not be more grateful for. She pushed me to do better while also making sure I wasn't struggling.
- All of our zoom classes were very productive and she made us do breakout groups a lot, which allowed me to participate more and make new friends. She hosted lots of office hours or conferences to help us. I liked how she forced us to work on our projects over time by having the first draft be 50% complete, and each other draft be somewhat more complete. The discussion board posts were also helpful and interesting.
- She is always eager to help you succeed, and will do anything she can to help her students!
- Dr. Cecil-Lemkin's before-class activities were a big help for understanding how to complete projects or how to apply the course content to everyday life. I also appreciate the optional Fridays, as I think that going to them helped me to stay on top of what was happening in class.
- Dr. Cecil-Lemkin is incredibly kind, understanding, and cares about teaching. She shows examples for everything we have to do and does individual conferences to make sure every student knows exactly what they need to do to succeed. I couldn't ask for more in this course.
- · I enjoyed how she promoted that the class was a safe space to have cameras on and encouraged us to work together in breakouts.
- I greatly valued how accessible the professor was. Being able to email her or schedule a zoom meeting in order to discuss certain matters made the material and projects easier to handle.
- I liked how the layout of this class was made very clear by my instructor from the very beginning. The feedback that my instructor provided on the assignments and being available for one-on-one conferences was also very helpful for my learning for individualized assistance.

#### 19 - What aspects of the course and/or Ellen Cecil-Lemkin's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples.

Response Rate 8/19 (42.11%)

- · Honestly nothing, she did fantastic.
- I wish she read some of our essays before we had conferences with her so she could give us more productive feedback during our conferences.
- I thoroughly enjoyed this course.
- I can't think of any
- I can't think of anything. I think Dr. Cecil-Lemkin is the best teacher I could've had for this course.
- I loved the course
- Honestly, the only issue I had with the class in the beginning of the year was resolved by the end. No comment here.
- I would suggest improving this class by possibly changing the due dates set up for the assignments to be midnight the night before the class they are needed for or by midnight the day of, since the before class deadline was sort of awkward and different from the norm when compared to my other classes. This is only a suggestion, because I know this would have helped me as a student. That's really all I can think of, as this class was structured in a way that was great for learning.

#### 20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 4/19 (21.05%)

- · She was a great professor!
- Thank you Dr. Cecil-Lemkin! Your class helped me tremendously in improving my writing skills. I feel much more comfortable with writing college-level material now. Not only did I learn a lot, but I also enjoyed our class environment as well. For being online, your class felt very personal and engaging. I love that you do questions for attendance, and really care about how all of us are doing. I appreciate all that you have done, thanks again:)
- Overall, I was grateful for having this professor for this course. She did a fantastic job at conveying the information in an effective way, and despite the content being relatively elementary, she held our attention.
- I loved the daily attendance questions and sometimes they were a highlight of my day! Thank you for all of your help this semester and for being a great professor!