

# $\begin{tabular}{ll} Track Me \\ Software Engineering 2 Project \\ ATD Document \end{tabular}$

Stefano Martina, Alessandro Nichelini, Francesco Peressini

A.Y. 2018/2019 Version 1.0.0

January 19, 2019

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction
	1.1	Purpose and Scope
	1.2	Reference
	1.3	Overview
	1.4	Revision History
<b>2</b>	Pro	ject 4
2	2.1	Project info
		2.1.1 Authors
		2.1.2 Links
3	Inst	allation Setup
	3.1	Backend
	_	3.1.1 Installation and launch
		3.1.2 Conclusion
	3.2	Frontend
		3.2.1 Installation and launch
		3.2.2 Conclusion
4	Acc	eptance Tests
	4.1	Tests
	4.2	Actor registration
	4.3	Actor Authentication
	4.4	Individuals Management
	4.5	Data management
	4.6	Query management
		4.6.1 Issues
	4.7	Race management
		4.7.1 Issues
	4.8	Users Spectating Race
		4.8.1 Issues
5	Con	clusion 10
6	Effo	ort spent 11

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose and Scope

The Acceptance Test Document has the purpose of evaluating the adherence of the implementation with respect to the documents previously delivered. To do so, we have considered the three documents available in the provided GitHub repository.

#### 1.2 Reference

As said above, the three documents analysed are:

- ITD Document (v.1.0): link
- DD Document (v.1.1): link
- RASD Document (v.1.2): link

#### 1.3 Overview

The document is organised in this way:

- **Project**: the identification of the project we have analysed;
- Installation Setup: explains what we did to install the prototype of the tested project as well as any problem or incoherency we have found following the provided documentation;
- Acceptance Tests: the acceptance test cases we have applied and the corresponding outcome.

#### 1.4 Revision History

• 1.0.0 - Initial version (20/01/2019)

# 2 Project

# 2.1 Project info

In this section we present the details about the project we have tested.

#### 2.1.1 Authors

- Daniele Montesi 912980
- $\bullet\,$  Nicola Fossati 915244
- $\bullet\,$ Francesco Sgherzi 915377

#### 2.1.2 Links

• GitHub repository: link

# 3 Installation Setup

#### 3.1 Backend

#### 3.1.1 Installation and launch

We have installed the backend as explained in the ITD Document, with the only exception of the particular system-dependent command (we tested the project on macOS).

We installed the following module with "brew" command:

- PostgreSQL: an open source object-relational database system;
  - installed with the command: brew install postgresql
- NodeJS: an asynchronous event driven JavaScript runtime.
  - installed with the command: brew install nodejs

After the launch of the postgre service (with the command brew services start postgresql), we created a new database and a new role for the admin user. Then, with the new role just created, we imported the dump of the database provided in the Implementation folder of the previously mentioned repository. As last step we have configured the "start.sh" file with the following configuration and launched it with the command "node app.js".

```
TEST_API="enabled" _____1

DATABASE_URL=" postgres: //admin:password@localhost:5432/test"

JWT_SECRET="E9q14cmZzDNG9qL8xh6F"

MAIL_PROVIDER="gmail"

MAIL_ADDR="mail@prova.it"

MAIL_PASSWD="pass"

LOCAL="enabled"

PORT=12345

HOST="localhost:${PORT}/v1"

MIN_USER_NUMBER=2
```

Figure 1: Error in the database configuration

#### 3.1.2 Conclusion

We didn't encounter particular issues, the guide lines are clear and enough explicative.

The only thing which makes sense to be mentioned is one error encountered during the database connection. It always responds with "message: role //-password// does not exist". This is due to a small error in the configuration file, probably to the fact that is a machine dependant parameter (view image 1).

#### 3.2 Frontend

#### 3.2.1 Installation and launch

Regarding the mobile application, we have installed the provided APK file on an Android Smartphone. We also tried to install it on a few simulators. Regarding the web-app, we launched it using the command "python3 -m http.server", as explained in the guidelines.

#### 3.2.2 Conclusion

Following the instructions on the ITD Document, on a smartphone, everything works correctly. Doing the same steps on a simulator, the application crashes, probably due to some incompatibilities with the running version on the simulator.

The web-app launch works correctly.

# 4 Acceptance Tests

## 4.1 Tests

We have tested the implementation of each requirements that has been implemented, according to ITD document provided.

## 4.2 Actor registration

- $[RM_M]$ : OK. Users can register providing information required, but registration process succeed also without an associated smartwatch.
- [R2<sub>M</sub>]: OK. Implementation works: registration process is successful if
  there is NOT another user with the same email/fiscal code. However,
  errors-handling is not able to distinguish between a duplicate email or a
  duplicate fiscal code.
- $[R11_W]$ : OK. Organisers can successfully register.
- $[R1_W]$ : OK. Companies can successfully register.
- $[R14_C]$ : OK. Information such as birthday and fiscal code are validated through UI form.

#### 4.3 Actor Authentication

- $[R1_M]$ : OK. Users can successfully log into the application.
- $[R12_M]$ : OK. Organisers can successfully log into the application.
- $[R2_W]$ : OK. Companies can successfully log into the application.

#### 4.4 Individuals Management

- $[R6_M]$ : OK. Requests appear in the right section of the mobile app. Users can actually accept or decline them.
- $[R2_C]$ : OK. Users received notification about new requests at the email address provided during the registration phase.

#### 4.5 Data management

- $[R4_C]$ : OK. We can't directly test this feature, but it seems that all data loaded into the application is also available after a logout.
- $[R5_C]$ : OK. Same as the previous one.
- $[R2_S]$ : OK. Assuming the requirement  $[R2_S]$  as "App can send data registered locally to Data4Help Web App", the mobile application can actually send data to the Web App.

## 4.6 Query management

- [R6<sub>W</sub>]: OK. Logged-in companies can actually query on some group of individuals data providing location, heart rate and accelerometer information.
- $[R7_W]$ : OK. Companies can actually request data of individuals.
- $[R8_W]$ : OK. Companies can access to individuals data through the web app.
- $[R9_W]$ : OK. The web app actually provides the data download functionality.
- $[R6_C]$ : OK. After the acceptance by the user, third parties can retrieve data from the individual correctly.
- $[R6bis_W]$ : OK. The web app implement the subscription functionality through a slider. The company actually receives a notification when new data is available.
- $[R7_C]$ : KO. Check the "Issues" section.

#### **4.6.1** Issues

During the testing of requirements  $[R7_W]$  and  $[R8_W]$ , we have found the following issue:

• the process fails if requests are made by a company that has the same email address of a user.

The error message is the following: "Error: Unauthorised. Retry" and it appears on the website as an alert. However, since it's unlikely that a company uses the same address of a user, we still marked the requirement as "OK".

#### 4.7 Race management

- $[R8_M]$ : OK. Registration is possible through the track4run tab at anytime.
- $[R9_M]$ : KO. Check the "Issues" section.
- $[R13_M]$ : OK. Organisers actually have all the necessary information to create a path.
- $[R14_M]$ : OK. Organisers can define the path of the run and the starting/ending time and date.

#### 4.7.1 Issues

Concerning requirement  $[R9_M]$ , the application only displays the name of the run, its status and (partially) the position of participants. Information about the starting point, the ending point, the precise path and the run length are not available.

# 4.8 Users Spectating Race

•  $[R10_M]$ : KO. Check the "Issues" section.

•  $[R13_C]$ : KO. Check the "Issues" section.

#### 4.8.1 Issues

Concerning the requirements  $[R10_M]$  and  $[R13_C]$ , the application only displays a static pin on a Google Maps interface for each participants of the run. We were not able to test if the application actually track the position of the runners, also considering that the authorisation to access to the actual location of the runners were never asked at no time.

# 5 Conclusion

Apart from the few issues described above and in the ITD document provided to us by the developers, the application is working as expected: all the major features described by the ITD document are present and ready to be used by a final user.

The user interface is pretty intuitive and easy to user, even at a first start; the initial set-up is super easy concerning the installation of the application for Android, a little bit difficult concerning the installation on an iOS device, also due to the strictly policy imposed by Apple.

Future update should focus on providing new features to the final user such as AutomatedSOS, for which is already present a section in the application.

# 6 Effort spent

• Stefano Martina: 3.00h

 $\bullet\,$  Alessandro Nichelini: 3.00h

• Francesco Peressini: 3.00h