

## 4.4 Ordering Relations

Alejandro Ruiz

April 2021

### Exercise 5

*Proof.* To prove that  $R \cap (B \times B)$  is a partial order on  $B$  we need to prove that  $R \cap (B \times B)$  is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric. To prove reflexivity, let  $x \in B$ . Since  $B \subseteq A$ , Then  $x \in A$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order on  $A$ , then  $R$  is reflexive on  $A$ . Thus,  $xRx$ . Since  $x \in B$ , then  $(x, x) \in (B \times B)$ . Since  $x$  was an arbitrary element of  $B$ , then  $R \cap (B \times B)$  is reflexive on  $B$ .

To prove transitivity, let  $x, y, z \in B$ , and suppose that  $(x, y) \in R \cap (B \times B)$  and  $(y, z) \in R \cap (B \times B)$ . Then,  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(y, z) \in R$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order on  $A$ , then  $R$  is transitive on  $A$ . Thus, since  $xRy$  and  $yRz$ , then  $xRz$ . Since  $x, z \in B$ , then  $(x, z) \in B \times B$ . Hence,  $(x, z) \in R \cap (B \times B)$  and so  $R \cap (B \times B)$  is transitive on  $B$ .

To prove antisymmetry, let  $x, y \in B$ , and suppose that  $(x, y) \in R \cap (B \times B)$  and  $(y, x) \in R \cap (B \times B)$ . Then,  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(y, x) \in R$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order on  $A$ , then  $R$  is antisymmetric on  $A$ , and given that  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(y, x) \in R$ , we conclude that  $y = x$ . Thus,  $R \cap (B \times B)$  is antisymmetric on  $B$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 8

*Proof.* To prove that  $T$  is a partial order on  $A \times B$  we need to prove that  $T$  is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric. To prove reflexivity, let  $(x, y) \in A \times B$ . Thus,  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order on  $A$ , then  $R$  is reflexive on  $A$ , and since  $x \in A$ , then  $xRx$ . Similarly, since  $S$  is a partial order on  $B$ , then  $S$  is reflexive on  $B$ , and since  $y \in B$ , then  $ySy$ . Since  $xRx$  and  $ySy$ , then  $(x, y)T(x, y)$ . Since  $(x, y)$  was an arbitrary element of  $A \times B$ , then  $T$  is reflexive on  $A \times B$ .

To prove that  $T$  is transitive, let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in A \times B$ , and suppose that  $(x_1, y_1)T(x_2, y_2)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)T(x_3, y_3)$ . Since  $(x_1, y_1)T(x_2, y_2)$ , then  $x_1Rx_2$  and  $y_1Sy_2$ . Similarly, since  $(x_2, y_2)T(x_3, y_3)$ , then  $x_2Rx_3$  and  $y_2Sy_3$ . Given that  $R$  is a partial order on  $A$ , then  $R$  is transitive on  $A$ , so  $x_1Rx_3$ . Similarly, since  $S$  is a partial order on  $B$ , then  $S$  is transitive on  $B$ , so  $y_1Sy_3$ . Since  $x_1Rx_3$  and  $y_1Sy_3$ , then  $(x_1, y_1)T(x_3, y_3)$ , since  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)$  were arbitrary elements of  $A \times B$ , then  $T$  is transitive on  $A \times B$ .

To prove that  $T$  is antisymmetric, let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in A \times B$ , and suppose that  $(x_1, y_1)T(x_2, y_2)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)T(x_1, y_1)$ . Thus,  $x_1Rx_2$  and  $y_1Sy_2$ , and  $x_2Rx_1$  and  $y_2Sy_1$ , respectively. Since  $R$  is a partial order on  $A$ , then  $R$  is antisymmetric so  $x_1 = x_2$ . Similarly, since  $S$  is a partial order on  $B$ , then  $S$  is antisymmetric so  $y_1 = y_2$ . Thus,  $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$ . Given that  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$  were arbitrary elements of  $A \times B$ , then  $T$  is antisymmetric on  $A \times B$ .  $\square$

To answer the second question, try proving it. Doing the cases that will appear, there will be a contradiction. Thus,  $T$  does not have to be a total order even if both  $R$  and  $S$  are.

### Exercise 12

*Proof.* Suppose  $B$  has a minimal element  $B_1$ . By definition, then  $B_1 \in B$ , so that  $B_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} [(x \in B_1 \wedge x < y) \rightarrow y \in B_1]$ . Since  $B_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then there is some element  $b \in B_1$ . Since  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} [(x \in B_1 \wedge x < y) \rightarrow y \in B_1]$  and  $b \in B_1$ , then  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R} [(b \in B_1 \wedge b < y) \rightarrow y \in B_1]$ . Let

$Y = \{y \in \mathbb{R} | y > b\}$ , so  $Y \subseteq B_1$ . Note that since  $b \in B_1$  and  $b \notin Y$ , then  $B_1 \neq Y$ . Given that  $Y \subset B_1$  and  $B_1 \subseteq B$ , then  $Y \subseteq B$ . Thus, there is some set  $Y \in B$  so that  $Y \subseteq B_1$ , but  $Y \neq B_1$ , which is a contradiction of our definition of the S-minimal element of  $B$ . Thus,  $B$  has no minimal element.  $\square$

## Exercise 14

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ). Suppose that  $b$  is the  $R$ -largest element of  $B$  and let  $x \in B$  be an arbitrary element. It follows that  $xRb$ , so it is true that  $(b, x) \in R^{-1}$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ). Suppose that  $b$  is the  $R^{-1}$ -smallest element of  $B$  and let  $x \in B$  be an arbitrary element. It follows that  $bR^{-1}x$ , so it is true that  $(x, b) \in R$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ). Suppose  $b$  is the  $R$ -maximal element of  $B$ . Also, let  $x \in B$  and suppose that  $xR^{-1}b$ , so it follows that  $bRx$ . Since  $x \in B$  and  $bRx$ , and given that  $b$  is the  $R$ -maximal element of  $B$ , it follows that  $x = b$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ). Suppose that  $b$  is an  $R^{-1}$ -minimal element of  $B$ . Furthermore, let  $x \in B$  and suppose that  $bRx$ , so it is true that  $xR^{-1}b$ . Since  $x \in B$  and  $xR^{-1}b$ , and given that  $b$  is an  $R^{-1}$ -minimal element of  $B$ , it follows that  $x = b$ .  $\square$

## Exercise 16

*Proof.* Suppose that  $b$  is the  $R$ -largest element of  $B$ . First, we prove that  $b$  is also the  $R$ -maximal element of  $B$ . Let  $x \in B$  and suppose that  $bRx$ . Thus, since  $b$  is the  $R$ -largest element of  $B$ , it follows that  $xRb$ . Since  $b, x \in B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ , then  $b, x \in A$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order, then it is antisymmetric, so we conclude that  $x = b$ . Thus,  $b$  is a largest element of  $B$ . To prove that  $b$  is the only  $R$ -maximal element of  $B$ , suppose  $c$  is an  $R$ -maximal element of  $B$ , so  $c \in B$ . Thus, since  $c \in B$  and  $b$  is an  $R$ -maximal element of  $B$ , it follows that  $cRb$ . Since  $b \in B$  and  $cRb$ , and given that  $c$  is  $R$ -maximal, it follows that  $c = b$ . Thus,  $b$  is the only maximal element of  $B$ .  $\square$

## Exercise 17

If I have any mistakes, please let me know. I am solving it in the way I understand the question that is being posed. We can try proving this statement, so let's you see how our scratch work would look like. The goal would be that  $c$  is  $R$ -smallest element of  $C$ , which we know that it is represented as  $\forall x \in C cRx$ . Our list of givens would be the following:

- $R$  is a partial order on  $A$
- $B \subseteq A$
- $C \subseteq R : \forall c_1 \in C \forall c_2 \in C [(c_1, c_2) \in C \rightarrow (c_1, c_2) \in R]$
- $C \subseteq A$
- $c$  is  $R$ -minimal element of  $C : \forall x \in C (xRc \rightarrow x = c)$  and  $c \in C$
- $x \in C$ , where  $x$  is arbitrary (this comes from the logical form of the goal we are trying to prove)

Based on this list, we can get to the following:

1.  $x, c \in C$ . Since  $C \subseteq A$ , then  $x, c \in A$
2. Since  $C \subseteq R$ , then  $xRc$  or  $cRx$ 
  - It is true that  $xRc$ . However, since  $c$  is  $R$ -minimal, then  $x = c$ . Thus,  $(x, x) = (c, c) = (x, c) \in R$  and we would be stuck

Thus, our reasoning tells us that the statement is not necessarily true

## Exercise 18

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ). Let  $x \in A$ , and suppose that  $x$  is an upper bound of  $B_1$ . Also, suppose that  $z \in B_2$ . Since  $\forall x \in B_2 \exists y \in B_1 (xRy)$  and  $z \in B_2$ , then there is some element  $y_1 \in B_1$  so that  $zRy_1$ . Since  $B_2 \subseteq A$  and  $z \in B_2$ , then  $z \in A$ . Similarly, since  $B_1 \subseteq A$  and  $y_1 \in B_1$ , then  $y_1 \in A$ . Given that  $R$  is a partial order,  $R$  is transitive. Hence, since  $zRy_1$  and  $y_1Rx$ , it follows that  $zRx$ . Since  $z$  was an arbitrary element of  $B_2$ , if  $x$  is an upper bound of  $B_1$ , then  $x$  is an upper bound of  $B_2$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ). Let  $x \in A$ , and suppose that  $x$  is an upper bound of  $B_2$ . Also, suppose that  $x \in A$ . Since  $\forall x \in B_1 \exists y \in B_2 (xRy)$  and  $z \in B_1$ , then there is some element  $y_2 \in B_2$  so that  $zRy_2$ . Given that  $x$  is an upper bound of  $B_2$  and  $y_2 \in B_2$ , then  $y_2Rx$ . Note that  $B_1 \subseteq A$  and  $B_2 \subseteq A$ , so  $z, y_2 \in A$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order, it is transitive, and given that  $zRy_2$  and  $y_2Rx$ , it follows that  $zRx$ . Given that  $z$  was an arbitrary element of  $B_1$ , if  $x$  is an upper bound of  $B_2$ , then  $x$  is an upper bound of  $B_1$ . □

To prove (b), we can actually use the contrapositive or contradiction strategies, since they share something in common

*Proof.* Suppose that  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ . We will prove by contradiction, so suppose that either  $B_1$  or  $B_2$  has a maximal element.

**Case 1:**  $B_1$  has a maximal element. Let  $b_1 \in B_1$  be the maximal element of  $B_1$ . Since  $\forall x \in B_1 \exists m \in B_2 (xRm)$  and  $b_1 \in B_1$ , then there is some element  $m_1 \in B_2$  and  $b_1Rm_1$ . Since  $\forall x \in B_2 \exists n \in B_1 (xRn)$  and  $m_1 \in B_2$ , there is some  $n_1 \in B_1$  and  $m_1Rn_1$ . Since  $B_1 \subseteq A$  and  $B_2 \subseteq A$ , and  $b_1, n_1 \in B_1$  and  $m_1 \in B_2$ , then  $b_1, m_1, n_1 \in A$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order, then it is transitive and since  $b_1Rm_1$  and  $m_1Rn_1$ , then  $b_1Rn_1$ . Since  $b_1$  is a maximal element and  $n_1 \in B_1$ , then  $n_1 = b_1$ , so  $m_1Rn_1 = m_1Rb_1$ . Given that  $m_1Rb_1$  and  $b_1Rm_1$ , and since  $R$  is antisymmetric on  $A$ , then  $m_1 = b_1$ . Since  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$  and  $b_1 \in B_1$ , then  $b_1 \notin B_2$ . But  $m_1 = b_1$  and  $m_1 \in B_2$ , so this is a contradiction. Thus, neither  $B_1$  nor  $B_2$  has a maximal element.

**Case 2:** The logic is similar to Case 1. □

## Exercise 20

*Proof.* Suppose  $b$  is the smallest element of  $B$ . This implies that since  $B \subseteq A$  and  $b \in B$ , then  $b \in A$ , and so  $b$  is a lower bound of  $B$ . Let  $L$  be the set of all lower bounds of  $B$ , so we can conclude that  $L \neq \emptyset$ . Now, let  $l \in L$  be an arbitrary element. In particular, we know that  $L \subseteq A$ . We can think of cases:

**Case 1:**  $L = \{b\}$ . Since  $b \in B$ , and  $b \in A$ , and since  $R$  is reflexive on  $A$ , then  $bRb$  and  $b$  is the g.l.b.

**Case 2:**  $L$  has more than one element. All other elements of  $L$  must only be in  $A$  and be smaller than  $b$ , otherwise there would be no smallest element in  $B$ . Thus,  $b$  is the g.l.b. □

The proof for part (b) follows a similar reasoning.

## Exercise 21

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in U$  and  $xRy$ . We need to prove that  $y \in U$ , so let  $z \in B$  be an arbitrary element. Since  $x \in U$ , then  $\forall m \in B mRx$  and  $x \in A$ . Using the latter and since  $z \in B$ , then  $zRx$ . Since  $B \subseteq A$  and  $z \in B$ , then  $z \in A$ . Given that  $R$  is a partial order, then it is transitive, so since  $zRx$  and  $xRy$ , it follows that  $zRy$ . Since  $z$  was an arbitrary element of  $B$ , we conclude that  $y \in U$ . □

*Proof.* Let  $x \in B$  an arbitrary element. We now have to prove that  $x$  is a lower bound for  $U$ . Then, suppose that  $u \in U$  so  $\forall m \in B mRu$ . Since  $x \in B$ , then  $xRu$ . Given that  $u$  was an arbitrary element of  $U$ , then  $x$  is a lower bound for  $U$ . Since  $x$  was an arbitrary element of  $B$ , then  $\forall x \in B (x \text{ is a lower bound for } U)$ . □

Part (c) is kind of tricky. We have to be able to distinguish that if  $x$  is a l.u.b. of  $B$ , we are saying that  $x$  is the smallest element of  $U$ , not  $B$ !

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x$  is g.l.b. of  $U$ . Suppose  $u_2 \in U$ , and so we have to prove that  $xR_2$  and  $x \in U$ . Suppose  $b \in B$ , so our new goals are  $xRu_2$ ,  $bRx$ , and  $x \in A$ . Let  $L_U$  be the set of l.b. of  $U$ . Since  $x$  is g.l.b. of  $U$ , then it is the largest element of  $L_U$ . Thus,  $\forall m_1 \in U(xRm_1)$  and  $x \in A$ . Given that  $\forall m_1 \in U(xRm_1)$  and  $u_2 \in U$ , then  $xRu_2$ . Also, since  $u_2 \in U$ , it follows that  $\forall b \in B(bRu_2)$  and  $u_2 \in A$ . Since  $b \in B$  and  $\forall b \in B(bRu_2)$ , then  $bRu_2$ . Given that  $x, u_2 \in A$ , then  $u_2Rx$ . Since  $R$  is a partial order, then it is transitive on  $A$ . Given that  $bRu_2$  and  $u_2Rx$ , then  $bRx$ . Since  $u_2$  was an arbitrary element of  $U$ , then  $x \in U$ . Since  $u_2$  was an arbitrary element of  $U$ , then  $x$  is a l.u.b. of  $B$ . □

## Exercise 23

This proof is quite tricky. We really need to know our definitions. I will first prove the l.u.b. and then the g.u.b.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $M \in Up$ , where  $Up$  is the set of all upper bounds of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that  $x \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, there is some set  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $x \in N$ . Since  $M \in Up$ , this means that  $M$  is an upper bound for  $\mathcal{F}$ , and given that  $N \in \mathcal{F}$ , it follows that  $N \subseteq M$ . We know that  $x \in N$ , so  $x \in M$ . Since  $x$  was an arbitrary element of  $\cup \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq M$ . We would like to prove that  $\cup \mathcal{F} \in Up$ . Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  and let  $y \in T$ . Also, suppose that  $z \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, there is some set  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $z \in N$ . Since  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ , and  $N \in \mathcal{F}$ , it follows that  $N \subseteq A$ . Since  $z \in N$ , then  $z \in A$ . Also, since  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $y \in T$ , then  $y \in \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Given that  $z$  was an arbitrary element of  $\cup \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$ . Also, given that  $y$  was an arbitrary element of  $T$ , then  $T \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Furthermore, since  $T$  was an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\forall T \in \mathcal{F}(T \subseteq \cup \mathcal{F})$ . And given that  $\cup \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$ , which means that  $\cup \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , we conclude that  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  is an upper bound. Hence,  $\cup \mathcal{F}$  is the l.u.b. for  $\mathcal{F}$ . □

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ . We want to prove that  $\cap \mathcal{F}$  is a the g.l.b. of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus, two conditions must be satisfied: 1)  $\forall M \in L(M \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \cap \mathcal{F} \in L$ , where  $L$  is the set of lower bounds for  $\mathcal{F}$ . To prove the second condition,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and suppose that  $x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, since  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \in \cap \mathcal{F}$ , then  $x \in F$ . Since  $F \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$  and  $x \in F$ , then  $x \in A$ . This also shows that  $\cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$ . To prove the second condition, suppose  $M \in L$  and let  $x \in M$ . Also, suppose that  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, since  $M \in L$  means that  $M$  is a lower bound for  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $M \subseteq F$ . Since  $x \in M$ , then  $x \in F$ . Given that  $x$  was an arbitrary element of  $M$ , then  $M \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $F$  was an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\forall M \in L(M \subseteq \cap \mathcal{F})$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\cap \mathcal{F}$  is the g.l.b. of  $\mathcal{F}$ . □