

# POLITECNICO MILANO 1863

# Requirements Analysis and Specification Document CodeKataBattle

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING 2
PROFESSOR DI NITTO ELISABETTA

CONTI ALESSANDRO
DI PAOLA ANTONIO

CODICE PERSONA: 10710583 CODICE PERSONA: 10717589 MATRICOLA: 252665 MATRICOLA: 956038

# Contents

1.	INT	ROD	DUCTION	3
	1.1.	Ove	erview	3
	1.2.	Pur	pose	3
	1.2.	.1.	Goal	3
	1.3.	Sco	ppe	4
	1.3.	.1.	World phenomena	4
	1.3.	.2.	Shared phenomena	4
	1.4.	Def	initions, Acronyms, Abbreviations	4
	1.4.	.1.	Definitions	5
	1.4.	.2.	Acronyms	5
	1.4.	.3.	Abbreviations	5
	<mark>1.5.</mark>	Rei	vision history	5
	1.6.	Ref	erence documents	6
	1.7.	Doo	cuments Structure	6
2.	OV	ERA	LL DESCRIPTION	7
2	2.1.	Pro	duct perspective	7
	2.1.	.1.	Scenarios	7
	2.1.	.2.	Domain class diagram	8
	2.1.	.3.	Statecharts	9
2	2.2.	Pro	duct functions	10
	2.2.	.1.	Sign up and Login	10
	2.2.	.2.	Manage a tournament	10
	2.2.	.3.	Manage a battle	11
2	2.3.	Use	er characteristics	11
	2.3.	.1.	Student	11
	2.3.	.2.	Educator	11
2	2.4.	Ass	cumption, Dependencies and Contraints	11
	2.4.	.1.	Domain Assumption	11
	2.4.	.2.	Dependency	12
	2.4.	.3.	Constraint	12
<mark>3.</mark>	SPI	ECIF	IC REQUIREMENTS	13
	<mark>3.1.</mark>	Ext	ernal interface	13
	<mark>3.1</mark> .	<mark>.1.</mark>	User interface	13
	<mark>3.1</mark> .	<mark>.2.</mark>	Hardware interface	13
	<mark>3.1</mark> .	<mark>.3.</mark>	Software interface	13

<mark>3.</mark>	<mark>1.4.</mark>	Communication interface	.13
3.2.	Fun	nctional requirements	.13
<mark>3.2</mark>	<mark>2.1.</mark>	Use cases diagram	.13
3.2	2.2.	Use cases	.13
3.2	2.3.	Sequence diagram	.18
3.3.	Per	formance requirements	.26
3.4.	Des	sign constraints	.27
3.4	4.1.	Standards compliace	.27
3.4	4.2.	Hardware limitations	.27
3.5.	Sof	tware system attributes	.28
3.5	5.1.	Reliability	.28
3.5	5.2.	Availability	.28
3.5	5.3.	Security	.29
3.5	5.4.	Maintainability	.29
3.5	5.5.	Portability	.29
4. FC	<mark>ORMA</mark>	L ANALYSIS USING ALLOY	.30
4.1.	Sigi	natures	.30
4.2.	Fac	ets	.30
4.3.	Pre	dicatedicate	.31
5. EF	FFORT	C SPENT	.32
6. RE	EFER	ENCES	33

### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Overview

The following RASD aims at providing an overview of the project CodeKataBattle. This document will guide the reader in understanding the purpose of the project, on which grounds it is set and in which environment it will live. Precisely, it will illustrate both informally and formally which are the goals and how these may be reached by guaranteeing certain functional and nonfunctional requirements, while certain phenomena hold in the world. The document will provide a baseline for the project's planning and estimation and will support the software's evaluation. Finally, it will be the starting point for the software's review and update. The document is addressed to all those who intend to benefit from the service and who may be involved in its development.

### 1.2. Purpose

The purpose of our project, named CodeKataBattle (abbreviated as CKB), is to provide a digital platform that enables students to develop and refine their skills in software development through a collaborative experience. The CKB platform allows students to practice in a programming language of their choice. The exercises offered on this platform are created by educators and require students to complete the code or parts of it, adding the missing components they deem appropriate. The code is then executed and subjected to specific tests created by educators to verify its correctness.

Exercises are organized into tournaments by educators, within which 'battles' are held to evaluate the performance of the students. This process culminates in the creation of performance rankings among all students involved in the battles and tournaments. In this way, CKB encourages friendly competition and the growth of students' skills in the field of software development.

### 1.2.1. Goal

- [G1] Educator creates a tournament and within it, he creates one or more battle.
- [G2] Student registers for a tournament and will receive all notifications related to it.
- [G3] Student joins a battle within a tournament they are register for and invite some friends to partecipate with them, thus creates a team for the battle.
- [G4] Student receives an evaluation for the code he pushed to their GitHub repository.
- [G5] Educator reviews all the evaluated code, and if they deem it necessary, he can modify the evaluation they have received.
- [G6] Educator closes a tournament and the platform publishes the ranking.
- [G7] Both students and educators check the update ranking of the battle and the tournament.

### 1.3. Scope

Following the definition originally proposed by M. Jackson and P. Zave in 1995, we will try to clarify the scope and the application range of the System by identifying the so called World and Machine phenomena.

The Machine is the System to be developed, in this case a software, while the World, also known as environment, corresponds to the part of the real world that is affected by the System.

The World and the Machine are associated with different types of events which we are going to describe and detail. World and the Machine are associated with different types of events which we are going to describe and detail.

### 1.3.1. World phenomena

Phenomena events that take place in the real world and that the machine cannot observe.

- [WP1]. Educator wants to create a tournament
- [WP2]. Educator wants to create a battle
- [WP3]. Student wants to join a tournament
- [WP4]. Student contacts peers participating in the tournament to form a team
- [WP5]. Students fork the GitHub repository
- [WP6]. Students and Educator wants to subscribe into the platform

### 1.3.2. Shared phenomena

- 1.3.2.1. Controlled by the machine and observable by the world
  - [SP1]. The platform assigns scores to groups to create a competition rank
  - [SP2]. Students are notified when a new tournament are creates
  - [SP3]. All student that are subscribed on a tournament are notified of all upcoming battles creation
  - [SP4]. The platform creates a GitHub repository containing the code Kata and then sends the link to all students who are members of subscribed teams
  - [SP5]. When the battle ends the system evaluates the student automatically
- 1.3.2.2. Controlled by the world and observable by the machine
  - [SP6]. Students join into a tournament
  - [SP7]. Educator creates a tournament
  - [SP8]. Educator creates a battle
  - [SP9]. Educator gives permission to other ones to create battle in the tournament
  - [SP10]. Group of student join into a battle
  - [SP11]. Groups deliver their solution to the platform
  - [SP12]. Students set up the automated workflow in GitHub so that informs the CKB as soon as students push a new commit.
  - [SP13]. Each push before the deadline triggers the CKB platform, which pulls the latest sources, analyzes them, and runs the tests on the corresponding executable to calculate and update the battle score of the team
  - [SP14]. At the end of the battle, optionally the educator evaluates the student by overwriting the result that the platform returned

### 1.4. Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

### 1.4.1. Definitions

- <u>Commit</u>: Commits are the core building block units of a Git project timeline.
   Commits can be thought of as snapshots or milestones along the timeline of a Git project. Commits are created with the 'git commit' command to capture the state of a project at that point in time.
- <u>Fork</u>: A fork is a new repository that shares code and visibility settings with the original "upstream" repository.
- <u>Code kata</u>: Code kata contains the description of a battle and the software project on which the student will have to work, including also test cases and build automation scripts
- <u>Test Case</u>: A test case is a singular set of actions or instructions that the Educator wants to perform to verify a specific aspect of the project pushed by the students. If the test fails, the result might be a software defect that students might haven't found.
- <u>Upload</u>: Upload in which the educator sends to the platform database the code kata for a specific battle.
- <u>Repository</u>: A Git repository is the .git/folder inside a project. This repository tracks all changes made to files in your project, building a history over time. Meaning, if you delete the .git/folder, then you delete your project's history.
- <u>Branch</u>: In Git, a branch is a new/separate version of the main repository. Branches allows users to work on different parts of a project without impacting the main branch. That main branch is the one seen as the default one.
- <u>Push</u>: The `git push` command is used to upload local repository content to a remote repository. Pushing is how you transfer commits from your local repository to a remote repo.
- <u>Pull</u>: The git pull command is used to fetch and download content from a remote repository and immediately update the local repository to match that content. Merging remote upstream changes into your local repository is a common task in Git-based collaboration work flows.

### 1.4.2. Acronyms

- CKB: CodeKataBattle
- CK: CodeKata
- GH: GitHub
- GHA: GitHub Action
- GDPR: General Data Protection Regulation

### 1.4.3. Abbreviations

- [Gn]: the n-th goal of the system
- [WPn]: the n-th world phenomena
- [SPn]: the n-th shared phenomena
- [Sn]: the n-th scenario
- [DAn]: the n-th domain assumption
- [Dn]: the n-th dependency
- [Cn]: the n-th constraint
- [Rn]: the n-th functional requirement
- [UCn]: the n-th use case
- [SDn]: the n-th sequence diagram
- Repo: Git repository

### 1.5. Revision history

### 1.6. Reference documents

This document is strictly based on:

- The specification of the RASD and DD assignment of the Software Engineering 2 course, held by professor Matteo Rossi, Elisabetta Di Nitto and Matteo Camilli at the Politecnico di Milano, A.Y 2022/2023.
- Slides of Software Engineering 2 course on WeBeep.
- Official link of CodeKata: http://codekata.com/.

### 1.7. Documents Structure

The rest of the document is organized as follows:

- Overall Description (Section 2) contains an in-depth description of the domain under analysis, following the Jackson-Zave approach used in the Scope paragraph in Section 1. Class Diagrams will be hereby provided to formalize all the actors involved in the System and their relations, while their needs and scope as Stakeholders will be analyzed later in the section. Key functional requirements will then be listed, together with all the Domain Assumptions that can be used to entail each Goal.
- In the Specific Requirements (Section 3) functional requirements are associated to
  use cases and Sequence Diagrams to clarify processes and interactions between
  users and the CKB. Nonfunctional requirements are also included here, together with
  external constraints (mainly regulations) imposed on the System.
   Developers can also find requirements regarding External Interfaces, with a focus on
  Hardware and Software Interfaces. Finally, a brief overview of the properties that the
  CKB will have to guarantee is provided.
- Formal Analysis (Section 4) uses Alloy to generate a formal model of two distinct parts of CodeKataBattle, the identification and requests.

### 2. OVERALL DESCRIPTION

### 2.1. Product perspective

In this section we will explain in more detail the various World, Machine and shared phenomena. We will also provide some of the descriptions with State and Class Diagrams.

### 2.1.1. Scenarios

### [S1]. Educator creates a new tournament

Greg and Paul are two computer science teachers who want to assess their students' programming skills. While Greg was browsing the internet, he discovered that CKB platform could be suitable software for this purpose. As a result, he decided to register on the platform and asked Paul to do the same. To complete the registration, he had to fill out a form with his personal information and received a confirmation via email once the registration was completed.

Subsequently, Greg logged into the platform by entering the email address and password used during registration on the login page. After Greg logged into the application, he created a new tournament, granting Paul permission to create challenges within the newly created tournament.

Finally, Greg asked all his students to register on the platform as students and join in the tournament he had created.

### [S2]. Educator creates a battle

John has created a tournament and now wants to add a new battle to it. To do this, he has prepared a detailed description of the activities to be performed, has set up a code with some parts to be completed, established evaluation criteria, chosen the group size, and set the registration deadline. Now, John can access his profile to actually create the challenge on the platform. From the main page, he selected the tournament he just created and filled out the form with the previously prepared information. At this point, the platform will notify all the students registered for the tournament, and those interested have time until the deadline to form a group and register.

### [S3]. Student joins a tournament

Ted wants to improve his programming skills, and a friend suggests trying the CKB platform. Ted trusts his friend and decides to register as a student on the platform. Now that he has created his profile, he can access the platform and start searching for a tournament to join.

From his homepage, Ted can view and search for all the available and active tournaments. In case he doesn't find a tournament that meets his needs or there are no active tournaments, the platform will inform him by sending an email when a new tournament is created.

When Ted finds a tournament suitable for his needs, all he has to do is register before the established deadline, and he can start improving his programming skills.

### [S4]. Student joins a battle

Ann, along with her friends Liz and Eddie, received a notification from the CKB platform about the creation of a new battle in the tournament organized by Mr. Smith. They decided to join as a team, and Ann initiated the process. To do so, Ann logged into the platform and, from Mr. Smith's tournament page, found the newly created competition, registered, and invited her two friends to join her to form a group.

Once the registration deadline had passed, they received an email from the platform containing a link to their GitHub repository created specifically for

this battle. Eddie, being the first to view and open the email, accessed the GitHub repository and, following the instructions, forked the repository and modified the GitHub Actions so that every new commit made by her or her friends would be visible to the CKB evaluation platform.

### [S5]. Student push a commit in the main branch

Mary is participating in a battle, and after making a change to the project in a secondary branch and ensuring that everything works correctly, she decides to merge her branch with the main branch. After confirming that the branch merge was successful, Mary makes a commit that activates the GitHub Actions, allowing the CKB platform to evaluate the changes made. The proposed solution is assessed positively, and this enables Mary to achieve a score of 95/100. If she wants to further improve her score, she can make additional code changes by making additional commits before the battle's deadline.

### [S6]. Last battle ends and educator closes the tournament

Tom is an educator who has created a tournament on the CKB platform. He has already assigned six battles, and the seventh has just concluded.

All the students who participated in the seventh battle were automatically notified of its completion and can view a draft of their scores and the related ranking. However, Tom noticed that Alice used a highly maintainable solution by implementing some design patterns. He wishes to reward her with an extra 5 bonus points and proceeds to modify the scores. Once the interval for score modifications has ended, a new email is sent to all participants with their final scores and rankings.

At this point, the results of this battle are added to the overall results of the students in the tournament, thus affecting the general ranking.

Tom decides that seven battles are more than sufficient for this tournament and closes it, allowing the platform to automatically send an email to all registered students to inform them of the tournament's closure along with the final rankings and scores.

### 2.1.2. Domain class diagram

Figure 1 illustrates the High-Level Class Diagram associated with CKB. This diagram encompasses all the components within the system's domain and illustrates the interactions among these elements. The subsequent section provides detailed descriptions of the key components of the diagram to enhance comprehension of the domain.

- We identify the User class, which represents the users who interact with the application. This class is divided into two subclasses that delineate the two types of users in the system: the Student and the Educator.
- It can be noted that the Educator class is linked to the Tournament class because it is created by the educator, and the Student class is also connected as students actively participate by registering for the tournament.
- The Tournament class is associated with the Battle class since multiple battles between students take place within a tournament. Students enroll in battles as teams, hence the Team class depicted in the diagram.
- Given that each battle involves a task to be completed, code to be filled in, test cases to pass, and deadlines, all this information, entered by the educator who created the battle, is stored in the CodeKata class. This class is linked to the Battle class, highlighting the connection between the programming challenge specifications and the actual battle event.
- The evaluation of a student is stored in the Evaluation class, which is linked to the ongoing battle. Each time a new code is added for evaluation, the Battle class

retrieves the necessary information from the CodeKata class, assesses the student's work, and saves the evaluation in the Evaluation class.




Figura 1 Domain Class Diagram

### 2.1.3. Statecharts

### 2.1.3.1. Tournament state diagram

- a. *Registration*: In the registration phase, all the operations that occur from the moment the Educator begins entering the data to create the tournament until the deadline for student enrollment are included.
- b. *On Going*: The system enters this state if there is at least one participant in the tournament when the enrollment deadline has expired and it persists until a battle is initiated.
- c. Battle: This state handles the management of battles; from the moment an educator begins entering data for the first battle until the tournament is completed.
- d. *Ended*: This state is responsible for concluding the tournament, which can occur either because no student has enrolled or because an overseeing educator decides to close it.




Figura 2 Tournament State Diagram

### 2.1.3.2. Battle state diagram

- a. *Registration*: In the registration phase, all the operations that occur from the moment the Educator begins entering the data to create the battle until the deadline for student enrollment are included.
- b. *On Going*: The system enters this state if there is at least one participant in the battle when the enrollment deadline has expired and it persists until the battle is ended.
- c. *Ended*: This state is responsible for concluding the battle, which can occur either because no student has enrolled or because the battle deadline occurs.




Figura 3 Battle State Diagram

### 2.2. Product functions

This section is devoted to analyzing the Value Proposition that CodeKataBattle provide to its users. The presented functionalities are grouped according to the user they address.

### 2.2.1. Sign up and Login

These functions will be available to all users.

The registration functionality allows users to sign up for the system. Each user will need to choose whether to register as a student or an educator, and they will need to provide an email address, a password, and some personal information. Once the registration is completed, the system will send a confirmation email, officially enrolling the user in the CKB platform.

The login functionality allows registered users to access the platform and start using it.

### 2.2.2. Manage a tournament

One of the main functions of the platform is to comprehensively manage tournaments. The platform allows educators to create tournaments and involve their colleagues as collaborators for their management. After creating a tournament and setting the registration deadline, the platform will send an email notification to all registered students, informing them of the creation of a new tournament and offering them the opportunity to register.

Furthermore, when an educator creates a new battle or when the overall ranking is updated, the platform will send notifications to all participating students, keeping them informed about any changes that occur within the tournament to which they are registered.

### 2.2.3. Manage a battle

One of the main functions of the platform is to comprehensively manage all aspects of battles within a specific tournament. The platform allows educators to create a battle, including a detailed description of the exercise to be completed, a code with parts to be filled in by students, evaluation criteria, the group size, and a registration deadline. After creating the battle, the platform will send a notification to all students registered for the tournament, allowing them to enrol. When the deadline is exceeded, the platform will automatically create GitHub repositories containing the exercise to be completed. When a student activates the GitHub Actions, allowing the platform to receive all the commits made by them, it will evaluate the solutions according to the criteria chosen by the educator.

At the conclusion of the battle, the platform will generate a ranking based on the evaluations of all students and notify the results. Subsequently, within a new deadline, the educator will have the opportunity to modify the scores assigned to individual students. After the deadline for modifying grades has passed, the platform will add the results obtained in this battle to the scores from previous battles, altering the overall tournament leaderboard.

### 2.3. User characteristics

CKB addresses two types of users: Student and Educator.

### 2.3.1. Student

A Student is a user eager to hone his skills in software development and decides to enrich his education by registering on the CKB application.

After successfully registering on the application, the Student has the opportunity to immerse himself in challenging tournaments. Once registered in these tournaments, he can engage in battles against other Students equally eager to improve their skills. In this competitive environment, the user has the opportunity to compare his results with those of his peers, creating a dynamic and collaborative environment for learning and developing software development skills.

### 2.3.2. Educator

An Educator is a user who shares his or her skills in software development with other users eager to improve their skills.

After registering, the Educator has the ability to create tournaments, organising programming battles within them in which registered Students can participate to hone their skills. The Educator oversees the tournament by monitoring the codes submitted by the Students to the platform, providing additional evaluation in addition to the automatic evaluation. This process creates a collaborative environment where students can receive direct and targeted feedback to further improve their software development skills.

### 2.4. Assumption, Dependencies and Contraints

### 2.4.1. Domain Assumption

[DA1]. Users must have a valid email address

[DA2]. Students must have a GH profile

[DA3]. Students need to know how to access GitHub, how to fork a repository and how to enable GitHub Actions

[DA4]. Each user will create only 1 account

- [DA5]. Personal data given by User during the registration process are assumed true
- [DA6]. User must have an internet connection
- [DA7]. Educator must upload the correct CK

### 2.4.2. Dependency

- [D1]. The CKB will make use of the GHA service offered by GitHub user account
- [D2]. The CKB will access GitHub APIs to create new repositories and add Students

### 2.4.3. Constraint

- [C1]. The CKB must abide to the GDPR regulation with regards to the treatment of personal data.
- [C2]. The CKB must be implemented as a web site

### 3. SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1. External interface
  - 3.1.1. User interface
  - 3.1.2. Hardware interface
  - 3.1.3. Software interface
  - 3.1.4. Communication interface

### 3.2. Functional requirements

In the following are specified all the requirements that the system has to fulfill. In order to work properly, the system should:

- [R1]. System allows students to sign up
- [R2]. System allows educators to sign up
- [R3]. System allows students to login
- [R4]. System allows educators to login
- [R5]. System allows educator to create a new tournament and to add all the needed information
- [R6]. System allows educator to grant the permission to other colleagues to create battle in a specific tournament
- [R7]. System allows educator to create a battle inside a particular tournament by adding CK and the deadlines
- [R8]. System allows educator to see rankings of each tournament, which he created or in which he has been nominated collaborator
- [R9]. System allows educator to see ranking of a specific battle
- [R10]. System allows educator to modify the evaluation manually of a specific group in a battle...
- [R11]. System allows educator to close a tournament
- [R12]. System notifies students about the creation of a new tournament
- [R13]. System notifies students about the creation of a new battle in a specific tournament in which they have subscribed
- [R14]. System notify student about publication of final ranking of a specific battle
- [R15]. System notify student about publication of final ranking of a specific tournament...
- [R16]. System allow student to join a tournament
- [R17]. System allow student to join a battle
- [R18]. System allows students to see rankings of each tournament, which they are sub scripted
- [R19]. System allows students to see ranking of a specific battle
- [R20]. System, at end of the registration period of a battle, create a GH repo and send invitation to all member of the group
- [R21]. System automatically evaluates the code in the main branch of the repo after each commit in it
- [R22]. System allows students to search tournament by key words

### 3.2.1. Use cases diagram

### 3.2.2. Use cases

[UC1]. Sign in of a new student

Name	Sign in of a new student	
------	--------------------------	--

Actors	Student
Entry Condition	A student opens the site
Event Flow	Student opens the site
	System shows the home page
	3. Student clicks the "Sign in as a student"
	4. System shows the sign in page
	<ol><li>Student inserts their personal data</li></ol>
	6. Student press the "Sign in" button
	7. System checks if the credential inserted in
	the previous step are not already used by another account
	System sends a confirmation email to the user
	Student confirms the registration by clicking the link received by email
Exception	7. The username or the email are already used.
	The system comes back to point 3 adding an error
	message

# [UC2]. Sign in of a new educator

Name	Sign in of a new educator
Actors	Educator
Entry Condition	An educator opens the site
Event Flow	Educator opens the site
	<ol><li>System shows the home page</li></ol>
	3. Educator clicks the "Sign in as a educator"
	4. System shows the sign in page
	<ol><li>Educator inserts their personal data</li></ol>
	6. Educator press the "Sign in" button
	7. System checks if the credential inserted in
	the previous step are not already used by another account
	8. System sends a confirmation email to the user
	Student confirms the registration by clicking the link received by email
Exception	7. The username or the email are already used.
	The system comes back to point 3 adding an error
	message

# [UC3]. Login of a student

Name	Login of a student
Actors	Student
Entry Condition	A student opens the site
Event Flow	Student opens the site
	2. System shows the home page
	3. Student clicks the "Log in as a student" button
	4. System shows the login page
	<ol><li>Student inserts their credential</li></ol>
	6. Student press the "Login" button
	7. System checks the credentials

	System shows the student's homepage
Exception	7. Student inserted invalid credentials.
	The system comes back to point 3 adding an
	error message

# [UC4]. Login of an educator

Name	Login of an educator
Actors	Educator
Entry Condition	An educator opens the site
Event Flow	Educator opens the site
	<ol><li>System shows the home page</li></ol>
	3. Educator clicks the "Log in as an educator"
	button
	System shows the login page
	<ol><li>Educator inserts their credential</li></ol>
	6. Educator press the "Login" button
	7. System checks the credentials
	8. System shows the educator's home page
Exception	7. Educator inserted invalid credentials.
	The system comes back to point 3 adding an
	error message

# [UC5]. Creation of a tournament

oreation of a tournament			
Name	Creation of a tournament		
Actors	Educator and students		
Entry Condition	Educator opens the site		
Event Flow	Educators open the site		
	System shows the home page		
	3. Educator logs in		
	4. System shows the educator's home page		
	5. Educator fills the form for the creation of a		
	new tournament		
	6. Educator clicks the "Create a new		
	tournament" button		
	7. System saves the tournament		
	System shows the tournament page		
	9. System notifies all students subscribed to		
	CKB about the creation of the tournament		
	10. Educator clicks the "Adds another educator		
	as a collaborator" button		
	11. System shows a form to fill		
	12. Educator fills the form with the username of		
	the educator to add		
	13. System saves the modification at the		
	tournament		
Exception	<ol><li>If the educator does not want to add collaborators.</li></ol>		
	The steps 10 through 13 are skipped		

# [UC6]. Creation of a battle

-	Name	Creation of a battle
	Actors	Educator and students
	Entry Condition	An educator opens the site

Event Flow	Educator opens the site
	<ol><li>System shows the home page</li></ol>
	3. Educator logs in
	4. System shows the educator's home page
	5. Educator clicks on the tournament in which
	he wants to create a battle
	<ol><li>System shows the tournament page</li></ol>
	7. Educator press the "Create a battle" button
	<ol><li>System shows the page for creating the battle</li></ol>
	9. Educator fills the form
	10. Educator clicks the "Create" button
	11. System saves the battle
	12. System notifies all students that are
	subscribed to the tournament that a new battle has been created

# [UC7]. Student joins a tournament

-	
Name	Student joins a tournament
Actors	Student
Entry Condition	A student opens the site
Event Flow	Student opens the site
	<ol><li>System shows the home page</li></ol>
	3. Student logs in
	4. System shows the student's home page
	<ol><li>Student searches for a tournament they want to enter</li></ol>
	6. System shows corresponding tournament
	7. Student clicks on a specific tournament
	System shows tournament page
	9. Student click the "Join tournament" button

# [UC8]. Student joins a battle

. Student joins a battle	
Name	Student joins a battle
Actors	Student
Entry Condition	A student opens the site
Event Flow	Student opens the site
	<ol><li>System shows the home page</li></ol>
	3. Student logs in
	System shows the student's home page
	5. Student searches for a tournament in which
	they are registered
	Student clicks on specific tournament
	7. System shows the tournament page
	Student click on the battle which he is interested too
	System shows the battle page
	10. Student click the "Join battle" button
	11. Student selects if they participate alone or with a group
	12. Student invites all mates to the groups
	13. System waits until registration deadline expires

	<ul><li>14. System creates a repository for the group</li><li>15. Student forks the repository and activate GHA</li></ul>
Exception	<ol><li>If the deadline for tournament registration has passed.</li></ol>
	The steps 10 through 15 are skipped.
	<ol><li>12. If the student want participate alone.</li></ol>
	This step is skipped.

# [UC9]. Closing a tournament

Name	Closing a tournament
Actors	Educator and student
Entry Condition	And educator opens the site
Event Flow	Educator opens the site
	System shows the home page
	3. Educator logs in
	4. System shows the educator's home page
	<ol><li>Educators clicks on the tournament which he wants to close</li></ol>
	<ol><li>System shows the tournament page</li></ol>
	7. Educator clicks the "Close the tournament" button
	System publishes final ranking of the tournament
	<ol><li>System notifies all students subscribed in the tournament the closing of the tournament</li></ol>

# [UC10]. Evaluation of a code

Name	Evaluation of a code
Actors	Student, GHA and educator
Entry Condition	A student pushes a commit into the main branch of
	the repo
Event Flow	<ol> <li>Student pushes a commit into the main branch of the repo</li> <li>GHA notify the system about the push</li> <li>System clones the project in a protected environment</li> <li>System tests the code based on the test</li> </ol>
	case and the properties chosen by the educator 5. Educator manually evaluates the code and
	modifies the evaluation made by the system
Exception	<ol><li>This step may not happen because is an optional step.</li></ol>

# [UC11]. Check ranking of a tournament

Name	Check ranking of a tournament
Actors	User
Entry Condition	An user opens the site
Event Flow	User opens the site
	<ol><li>System shows the home page</li></ol>
	3. User logs in
	4. System shows the user's home page

5	5. User searches for the tournament he is
	interested
6	i. System shows the tournament page
7	. User clicks the "Ranking" button
8	8. System shows ranking of the tournament


[UC12]. Check ranking of a battle

Name	Check ranking of a battle
Actors	User
Entry Condition	An user opens the site
Event Flow	User opens the site
	<ol><li>System shows the home page</li></ol>
	3. User logs in
	<ol><li>System shows the user's home page</li></ol>
	<ol><li>User searches for the tournament he is interested</li></ol>
	<ol><li>User clicks the interested tournament</li></ol>
	7. System shows the tournament page
	8. User clicks the battle in the tournament that they are interested too
	<ol><li>System shows the battle page</li></ol>
	10. User click the "ranking" button
	11. System shows the ranking of the battle

# 3.2.3. Sequence diagram

[SD1]. Sign in of a new student


Sign In of a student



[SD2]. Sign in of a new educator


Pag. **18** a **33** 

### Sign In of an educator




Pag. **19** a **33** 

### Login of a student




[SD4]. Log in of an educator


### Login of an educator




### [SD5]. Creation of a tournament




[SD6]. Creation of a battle



[SD7]. Student joins a tournament Student joins a tournament



### Student joins a battle



[SD9]. Closing a tournament


# Closing a tournament User CKB EmailAPI Students openSite show(HomePage) getTournamentByKeyword(username, password) TournamentList showTournmentPage(tournamentId) show(TournmentPage) closeTournament(tournamentId) FinalRanking show(FinalRanking) notifyEndingTournament(tournamentId) notifyEndingTournament(tournamentId) [SD10]. Evaluation of a code Evaluation of a code Student GHA CKB System Educator commitOnMainBranch() "NewCommitOnMainBranch" newCommitOnMainBranch(linkRepo) evaluateCode(team, linkRepo) show(codeScore) modifyEvaluation(team,newScore)

[SD11]. Check ranking of a tournament

Nothing Happen


modifyEvaluation(team,newScore)

# See ranking of a tournament



Pag. **25** a **33** 

# See ranking of a battle



### 3.3. Performance requirements

Our application, designed to enhance software programming skills, commits to ensuring a timely and reliable response at every stage of the process. Notifications regarding the creation of new tournaments will be sent in real-time to all subscribers, while notifications related to battles will be limited to participants in the corresponding tournament. This selection will occur swiftly, allowing all users to have an ample time frame to register.

User interactions with rankings, tournament registrations, and battles will proceed seamlessly, even in high-load situations, ensuring an optimal user experience.

A crucial aspect is the efficient management of the creation of repositories on GitHub, occurring at the end of the registration period for a battle. The system must create these repositories swiftly and efficiently, adapting to simultaneously manage various battles without negative impacts on overall performance.

Commit monitoring and automatic code testing are critical phases in our system. It is essential to ensure that notifications are timely whenever a commit is made to the battle repositories and that code testing occurs swiftly and efficiently, providing immediate feedback to students.

During tournaments, the system will maintain high performance, simultaneously managing communications, rankings, and repository creation. The display of results and rankings for ongoing tournaments will occur with rapid response times.

Furthermore, our platform will guarantee high reliability and availability. During critical phases, such as repository creation and the execution of automatic tests, the system will minimize downtime. In case of spikes in participation or high loads, it will dynamically adapt to maintain optimal performance levels, preserving the quality of service offered to users.

### 3.4. Design constraints

### 3.4.1. Standards compliace

Regarding data privacy, the CKB adheres to the GDPR, a European Union regulation establishing rules for the protection of personal data and privacy for individuals within the European Union and the European Economic Area.

The system will be fully compliant with the D0-178C standard. This implies that all software tests will be conducted in accordance with specified requirements, enabling the association of each requirement with a dedicated test case used to verify whether it has been satisfied.

Additionally, the system must adopt international standards concerning the use and representation of date and time. This will ensure consistency and international compatibility in interpreting temporal information, contributing to a coherent and accurate management of temporal data within the system.

### 3.4.2. Hardware limitations

The system requires a robust hardware infrastructure to ensure reliable and timely performance during daily activities and potential usage spikes. The server's processing capacity must be sufficiently scaled to handle large volumes of data, ensuring smooth operations even during high-traffic situations. Resilience to peak requests is crucial to ensure the application can concurrently manage a significant number of users and activities without compromising performance.

Simultaneously, the server's storage capacity must be adequate to efficiently handle user data, including details related to tournaments and battles. Efficient data management necessitates a solid storage capacity to ensure the availability and accessibility of information at all times. This aspect is critical to support daily operations and provide a strong foundation for future expansion.

On the user's end, it is essential to use a browser enabled for JavaScript management. This technological dependency is necessary to fully leverage the interactive and dynamic features of the application, offering an optimal user experience. Ensuring that the user has a JavaScript-compatible browser is therefore a fundamental prerequisite for the proper functioning of the system and accessing all its advanced features.

### 3.5. Software system attributes

### 3.5.1. Reliability

To ensure high reliability, the system will implement advanced mechanisms for real-time error detection and management. Clear and contextualized alerts will be adopted, providing users with precise information in case of any issues. This approach ensures immediate and targeted intervention to resolve problems, preserving the integrity and reliability of operations.

Furthermore, the system's architecture will be designed with a distribution across multiple servers and the implementation of data replication strategies. This design aims to prevent single points of failure that could compromise the system's integrity as a whole. Distribution across multiple servers and data replication will contribute to ensuring operational continuity even in the presence of hardware failures or malfunctions.

To reduce the risk of errors during data-saving operations in the database, the system will use dedicated triggers for error management. These triggers will provide active control during critical phases, intervening promptly to correct any inconsistencies and ensure the coherence of stored data.

Additionally, if a comprehensive traceability of system activities and in-depth analysis of errors are desired, it will be possible to implement a logging system. This tool will allow for detailed recording of all operations, facilitating the understanding and resolution of any issues. Overall, this integrated strategy of active error management, distribution across multiple servers, data replication, and detailed logging of activities will contribute to ensuring high reliability of the application over time.

### 3.5.2. Availability

System availability is a fundamental requirement to ensure uninterrupted operation over time. To optimize availability and ensure operational continuity even in the face of failures, the server implementation will be designed with a distributed approach. This means that the system will be deployed across multiple machines that constitute the server, allowing load balancing and mitigating potential impacts from hardware failures or malfunctions in a single machine.

Data replication will be implemented to ensure redundancy of critical information. In the event of machine malfunctions or data loss, data replicas will be readily available, ensuring service continuity without significant interruptions. This approach will help minimize the risk of data loss and maintain high availability standards, even in scenarios of unforeseen failures.

Additionally, the system will be designed to automatically detect faults and respond accordingly, activating failover mechanisms to ensure that user requests can be handled without interruptions. Efficient management of distribution, replication, and data redundancy is essential to ensure high system availability, minimizing downtime, and overall enhancing user experience.

### 3.5.3. Security

In accordance with security practices, the system will take several measures to safeguard sensitive information and maintain data integrity. All communication between the client and the server, as well as with the database management system (DBMS), will be via HTTPS, so that there will be a secure, encrypted transfer of data. Passwords will be hashed before being stored in the database, thus reducing the risk associated with storing passwords in plain text. In addition, data transmission from client to server will be encrypted to protect against potential eavesdropping and unauthorized access. To further enhance data security, sensitive information will be encrypted before storage, minimizing the impact of potential data leaks. In addition, strict access controls will be implemented to prevent third parties from accessing sensitive data through group requests, strengthening the overall resilience of the system against unauthorized access and potential security breaches.

### 3.5.4. Maintainability

Code maintainability is a fundamental cornerstone in our approach to software development. To ensure a code structure that is easily manageable and adaptable over time, we will adopt well-known and established design patterns. The use of these patterns provides a coded architecture that reflects best practices and promotes an intuitive understanding of the code by developers. Simultaneously, our focus on maintainability is reflected in extensive documentation detailing the implemented functions. This documentation not only provides clarity on the behavior of functions but also on the broader context in which they are integrated into the system's architecture. Comprehensive documentation facilitates the work of developers making changes, aiding in understanding the relationships between different parts of the code and thus contributing to the long-term maintainability and improvement of our software.

### 3.5.5. Portability

The developed CKB application is designed to run on any operating system, ensuring significant flexibility in access across various platforms. The only required condition is the presence of a browser capable of running JavaScript. This design choice aims to maximize the accessibility of the application, enabling users to enjoy its features regardless of the operating system used. Thanks to this approach, users will experience a seamless and comprehensive interface, irrespective of their chosen device or operating system, ensuring greater adaptability and accessibility of the application.

### 4. FORMAL ANALYSIS USING ALLOY

### 4.1. Signatures

```
sig DateTime {}
   sig CodeKata {}
 abstract sig User {}
 sig Student extends User {}
 sig Educator extends User {}
 sig Tournament {
    registrationDeadline: one DateTime,
    students: some Student,
    educators: some Educator
sig Battle {
     registrationDeadline: one DateTime,
     submissionDeadline: one DateTime,
     tournament: one Tournament,
     code: one CodeKata
   } {
     registrationDeadline != submissionDeadline and
    registrationDeadline != tournament.registrationDeadline and
     submissionDeadline != tournament.registrationDeadline
   }
 sig Team {
    students: some Student,
     battle: one Battle
   }
 sig Evaluation {
    time: one DateTime,
    team: one Team,
    battle: one Battle.
    grade: one Int,
    code: one CodeKata
   }{
    time != battle.registrationDeadline and
    time != battle.submissionDeadline and
    time != battle.tournament.registrationDeadline and
    grade > 0
   }
```

### 4.2. Facts

fact EachBattleHasAnEvaluation {

```
all e1, e2: Evaluation |
                 (e1 != e2) implies ((e1.battle !=e2.battle) or (e1.team!= e2.team))

    fact EachTeamInABattleHasDifferentStudent {

                 all t1, t2: Team,
                 b: Battle |
                 (((t1 != t2) and (b in t1.battleT) and (b in t2.battleT)) implies
                 (all s: Student |
                 (s in t1.students and s not in t2.students) or (s not in t1.students and s
                 in t2.students) or (s not in t1.students and s not in t2.students)))
               }
             fact EachStudentInABattleIsInTheTournament {
                 all t:Team,
                 s:Student |
                 (s in t.students) implies (s in t.battleT.tournament.student)
             fact BattleAndEvaluationHasSomeCodeKata {
                 all e: Evaluation |
                 e.battle.code = e.code
               }

    fact TeamAndEvaluationHasSomeBattle {

                 all e: Evaluation |
                 e.team.battle = e.battle
               }
           fact EachTeamHasAnEvaluation{
                 all t:Team |
                 #team.t = 1

    fact EachBattleHasDifferentCodeKata{

                 all b1, b2: Battle |
                 (b1 != b2) implies (b1.code != b2.code)
               }
4.3. Predicate
               pred show {
                 #Battle = 2
                 \#Team = 4
                 #Student = 6
                 #Tournament = 2
               }
               run show for 8
```

# 5. EFFORT SPENT

# 6. REFERENCES