

Modified Bessel functions and their integrals

Alessandro Grassi

June 29, 2025

Contents

1	Zero energy	1
2	Integrals for the variational method	2
2.1	Asymptotic region integrals	2
2.2	Convergence of $I_{R',R}$ and $I_{I',R}$	2
2.3	Convergence for $I_{R',I}$	2

1 Zero energy

The Bessel function, for computational purposes at $E = 0$ are evaluated removing the $k = \sqrt{2mE}/\hbar$ dependence.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{j}_L(r) &\equiv \frac{(2L+1)!!}{k^L} j_L(kr), \\ \tilde{y}_L(r) &\equiv \frac{k^{L+1}}{(2L+1)!!} f_\epsilon(r) y_L(kr),\end{aligned}$$

where $f_\epsilon(r)$ is a function to regularise $y_L(kr)$ for $r \rightarrow 0$, defined as

$$f_\epsilon(r) \equiv (1 - e^{-\epsilon r})^{2L+1}.$$

Choosing in the code $\epsilon = 0.25 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ one gets that $(1 - f_\epsilon(20)) \sim 0.01$ for $L \sim 1$. For $r \rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f_\epsilon(r) \sim (\epsilon r)^{2L+1}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}j_L(x) &\simeq \frac{x^L}{(2L+1)!!}, \\ y_L(x) &\simeq -\frac{(2L-1)!!}{x^{L+1}},\end{aligned}$$

we have for very small $x = kr$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{j}_L(r) &= r^L, \\ \tilde{y}_L(r) &= -\frac{f_\epsilon(r)}{(2L+1)} \frac{1}{r^{L+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Specifically $x = 0$ since we chose $E = 0$ and this holds perfectly.

2 Integrals for the variational method

2.1 Asymptotic region integrals

The integrals needed for the asymptotic region are

$$\begin{aligned} I_{R',R} &\equiv \langle \tilde{j}_{L'}, \alpha' | H - E | j_L, \alpha \rangle, \\ I_{I',R} &\equiv \langle \tilde{y}_{L'}, \alpha' | H - E | j_L, \alpha \rangle, \\ I_{R',I} &\equiv \langle \tilde{j}_{L'}, \alpha' | H - E | j_L, \alpha \rangle, \\ I_{I',I} &\equiv \langle \tilde{y}_{L'}, \alpha' | H - E | y_L, \alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$I_{X,R} = A \langle X_{L'} | K - E + V | j_L \rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad V_{X,R} \equiv I_{X,R} = \langle X_{L'} | V | \tilde{j}_L \rangle.$$

Here we used the fact that y_L are eigenfunction of the kinetic energy K with eigenvalue E . Therefore we need to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} I_{R',R} &= V_{R',R}, \\ I_{I',R} &= V_{I',R}, \\ I_{R',I} &= K_{R',I} - E \langle R' | I \rangle + V_{R',I}, \\ I_{I',I} &= K_{I',I} - E \langle I' | I \rangle + V_{I',I}. \end{aligned}$$

(**question** why are not we using $\langle y_L | (K - E) = 0$ to write $I_{R',I} = V_{R',I}$??? Is it numerically different?)
Since $E = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{R',R} &= V_{R',R}, \\ I_{I',R} &= V_{I',R}, \\ I_{R',I} &= K_{R',I} + V_{R',I}, \\ I_{I',I} &= K_{I',I} + V_{I',I}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Convergence of $I_{R',R}$ and $I_{I',R}$

This is ensured by the fact that $V = V_N \rightarrow e^{-r^\alpha}$ for $r \rightarrow \inf$, in our case specifically

$$V \simeq p(r, R_{ST}) e^{-(r/R_{ST})^2},$$

where p is a polynomial in r and R_{ST} .

2.3 Convergence for $I_{R',I}$

The potential part converges for the reasons already stated above.

Finally let us focus on the kinetic part

$$K_{R',I} = \left\langle R' \left| -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \right| I \right\rangle$$

// CONTINUE