

Nucleon–Nucleon Scattering: R - and S -Matrix Formalism

Alessandro Grassi

June 16, 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The S-Matrix and R-Matrix: Definitions and Interpretations	1
2.1	The Scattering Matrix (S -Matrix)	1
2.2	The Reactance Matrix (R -Matrix)	2
3	From Schrödinger Equation to Scattering Matrices	2
3.1	Radial Schrödinger Equation	2
3.2	Definition of the S -Matrix	2
3.3	Definition of the R -Matrix	3
4	Matrix Structure: Uncoupled and Coupled Channels	3
4.1	Summary Table: Key Characteristics	3
4.2	Remarks	3
5	Variational code	3
5.1	BB phase shifts and mixing angle	3
5.2	Stapp phase shifts and mixing angle	4
6	Conclusion	4
A	Asymptotic expansion and scattering length	4
A.1	The scattering length	5
A.1.1	Eliminating the energy dependence from the spherical Bessel functions	5

1 Introduction

In quantum scattering theory, the evolution of an interacting two-body system is elegantly encoded in the S -matrix, while the R -matrix offers a numerically convenient alternative based on boundary matching. This document reviews the definitions, physical interpretations, and mathematical derivations of both quantities, particularly within the context of nucleon–nucleon scattering.

2 The S -Matrix and R -Matrix: Definitions and Interpretations

2.1 The Scattering Matrix (S -Matrix)

Definition: The S -matrix connects asymptotic incoming and outgoing states:

$$|\text{out}\rangle = S|\text{in}\rangle$$

Physical Role:

- Encodes all observable aspects of scattering: phase shifts, cross sections, and mixing.
- Ensures conservation of probability via unitarity: $S^\dagger S = I$.
- For uncoupled channels: $S_\ell = e^{2i\delta_\ell}$, where δ_ℓ is the phase shift.

2.2 The Reactance Matrix (R -Matrix)

Definition: Defined via the logarithmic derivative of the wavefunction at the boundary of the interaction region:

$$R_\ell(E) = \left. \frac{a u'_\ell(a)}{u_\ell(a)} \right|_{\text{internal}}$$

Physical Role:

- Arises from dividing configuration space into internal ($r < a$) and external ($r > a$) regions.
- Useful for resonance physics and numerical stability.
- Related to the S -matrix via:

$$S = \frac{1 + iR}{1 - iR}$$

3 From Schrödinger Equation to Scattering Matrices**3.1 Radial Schrödinger Equation**

Consider two nucleons interacting via a central potential $V(r)$. The time-independent Schrödinger equation reads:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \nabla^2 + V(r) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

Partial Wave Expansion: Using spherical symmetry:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\ell m} \frac{u_\ell(r)}{r} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r})$$

The radial equation becomes:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu r^2} + V(r) \right] u_\ell(r) = E u_\ell(r)$$

Asymptotic Behavior: For $r \rightarrow \infty$ (free motion), define the wave number $k = \sqrt{2\mu E}/\hbar$. Then:

$$u_\ell(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} \sin \left(kr - \frac{\ell\pi}{2} + \delta_\ell \right)$$

3.2 Definition of the S -Matrix

Rewriting the asymptotic form as a combination of incoming and outgoing spherical waves:

$$u_\ell(r) \sim \frac{1}{2i} \left[e^{-i(kr - \ell\pi/2)} - S_\ell e^{i(kr - \ell\pi/2)} \right]$$

This identifies $S_\ell = e^{2i\delta_\ell}$.

3.3 Definition of the R -Matrix

In the R -matrix framework:

- **Internal region:** $r < a$, where interactions occur.
- **External region:** $r > a$, free-particle motion.

In the external region, the general solution is:

$$\psi_\ell(r) = C_\ell [F_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell + G_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell] , \quad (1)$$

where C_ℓ is a normalization constant. Matching this with the internal solution at $r = a$, one derives [1]:

$$S_\ell = \frac{1 + iR_\ell}{1 - iR_\ell}$$

4 Matrix Structure: Uncoupled and Coupled Channels

4.1 Summary Table: Key Characteristics

Quantity	Uncoupled	Coupled (Stapp)	Coupled (BB)
S	$e^{2i\delta}$	$\text{diag}(e^{2i\delta_i}) O(\epsilon) \text{diag}(e^{2i\delta_i})$	$O^T(\epsilon) \text{diag}(e^{2i\delta_i}) O(\epsilon)$
R	$\tan \delta$	$\text{diag}(\tan \delta_i) O(\epsilon) \text{diag}(\tan \delta_i)$	$O^T(\epsilon) \text{diag}(\tan \delta_i) O(\epsilon)$
O	–	$O(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\epsilon & i \sin 2\epsilon \\ i \sin 2\epsilon & \cos 2\epsilon \end{pmatrix}$	$O(\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \epsilon & \sin \epsilon \\ -\sin \epsilon & \cos \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$

Table 1: Summary of S - and R -matrix structures in uncoupled and coupled cases, using Stapp [2] and Blatt–Biedenharn [3] conventions.

4.2 Remarks

- In coupled channels, the phase shifts δ_i and mixing angles ϵ fully characterize the scattering process.
- The two conventions differ in the placement of rotation matrices but yield the same observables.

5 Variational code

In the variational code the R -matrix is evaluated using Koön principle to second order. Then through the following steps one recovers the phase-shifts and mixing angles for both the Stapp and the Blatt–Biedenharn (BB) conventions.

5.1 BB phase shifts and mixing angle

Using Tab. 1 the R matrix can be written as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \tan \delta_1 \cos^2 \epsilon + \tan \delta_2 \sin^2 \epsilon & (\tan \delta_1 - \tan \delta_2) \sin \epsilon \cos \epsilon \\ (\tan \delta_1 - \tan \delta_2) \sin \epsilon \cos \epsilon & \tan \delta_1 \sin^2 \epsilon + \tan \delta_2 \cos^2 \epsilon \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2)$$

The combination $R_{11} - R_{22}$ is

$$R_{11} - R_{22} = \cos(2\epsilon) (\tan \delta_1 - \tan \delta_2) .$$

Therefore

$$\tan(4\epsilon) = \frac{2 R_{12}}{R_{11} - R_{22}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \text{atan} \left(\frac{2 R_{12}}{R_{11} - R_{22}} \right) .$$

Once ϵ is known, one can evaluate

$$\tan \delta_1 = \cos^2 \epsilon R_{11} + \sin^2 \epsilon R_{22} + 2 \cos \epsilon \sin \epsilon R_{12}$$

and

$$\tan \delta_2 = \sin^2 \epsilon R_{11} + \cos^2 \epsilon R_{22} - 2 \cos \epsilon \sin \epsilon R_{12}.$$

5.2 Stapp phase shifts and mixing angle

One can then use δ_1 , δ_2 and ϵ to evaluate the S -matrix, which is independent from the parametrization,

$$S = S_{\text{BB}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\delta_1} \cos^2 \epsilon + e^{2i\delta_2} \sin^2 \epsilon & (e^{2i\delta_1} - e^{2i\delta_2}) \sin \epsilon \cos \epsilon \\ (e^{2i\delta_1} - e^{2i\delta_2}) \sin \epsilon \cos \epsilon & e^{2i\delta_1} \sin^2 \epsilon + e^{2i\delta_2} \cos^2 \epsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is possible now to extract the phase shifts and mixing angle in the Stapp parametrization. In this parametrization

$$S = S_{\text{Stapp}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\delta_1} \cos(2\epsilon) & i e^{i(\delta_1+\delta_2)} \sin(2\epsilon) \\ i e^{i(\delta_1+\delta_2)} \sin(2\epsilon) & e^{2i\delta_2} \cos(2\epsilon) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant in this case is

$$\det S_{\text{Stapp}} = e^{2i(\delta_1+\delta_2)}$$

and therefore

$$\sin(2\epsilon) = \sqrt{-\frac{S_{12}^2}{\det S}}$$

and

$$\cos(2\epsilon) = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2(2\epsilon)}.$$

It is possible to evaluate

$$e^{i\delta_k} = \sqrt{\frac{S_{kk}}{\cos(2\epsilon)}} = \sqrt{e^{2i\delta_k}}.$$

Therefore

$$\delta_1 = \text{acos} \left[\text{Re} \left(\sqrt{\frac{S_{11}}{\cos(2\epsilon)}} \right) \right] \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{Im} \left(\sqrt{\frac{S_{11}}{\cos(2\epsilon)}} \right) \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{Im} \left(\sqrt{\frac{S_{11}}{\cos(2\epsilon)}} \right) < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \text{acos} \left[\text{Re} \left(\frac{S_{22}}{\cos(2\epsilon)} \right) \right] \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{Im} \left(\frac{S_{22}}{\cos(2\epsilon)} \right) \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{Im} \left(\frac{S_{22}}{\cos(2\epsilon)} \right) < 0 \end{cases}.$$

6 Conclusion

The S -matrix and R -matrix are central tools in analyzing nucleon–nucleon scattering. While the S -matrix encapsulates the observable content of the interaction, the R -matrix provides a convenient and often more numerically robust intermediate object, especially in resonance or coupled-channel analyses. Their connection through a Möbius transformation reflects deep structural links in scattering theory.

A Asymptotic expansion and scattering length

As seen in Eq. (1) it is possible to write for non-coupled channels

$$\psi_\ell(r) = A_\ell (F_\ell + \tan \delta_\ell G_\ell),$$

where $A_\ell \equiv C_\ell \cos \delta_\ell$. In this case $R_{\ell\ell} = \tan \delta_\ell$ and therefore

$$\psi_\ell(r) = A_\ell (F_\ell + R_{\ell\ell} G_\ell).$$

Here R_ℓ (G_ℓ) are the regular (irregular) solution to the Schrödinger equation and in the case if the potential is short-range

$$\begin{cases} F_\ell(kr) & \rightarrow j_\ell(kr), \\ G_\ell(kr) & \rightarrow y_\ell(kr), \end{cases}$$

where j_ℓ (y_ℓ) is the regular (irregular) spherical Bessel function.

In case of a long range potential of the type $\propto 1/r$

$$\begin{cases} F_\ell & \rightarrow F_\ell(\eta, kr), \\ G_\ell & \rightarrow G_\ell(\eta, kr), \end{cases}$$

where $F_\ell(\eta, kr)$ ($G_\ell(\eta, kr)$) is the regular (irregular) Coulomb function.

A.1 The scattering length

For small energies there are two observables of importance, which depend linearly on the energy, the scattering length a_ℓ and the effective range $r_{e,\ell}$, they are connected to the momentum k and the phase shift δ_ℓ by

$$k^{2\ell+1} \cot \delta_\ell = -\frac{1}{a_\ell} + \frac{1}{2} r_{e,\ell} k^2.$$

Specifically, for $E \rightarrow 0$

$$k^{2\ell+1} \cot \delta_\ell \rightarrow -\frac{1}{a_\ell}.$$

Since for uncoupled channels $R_{\ell\ell} = \tan \delta_\ell$ it is possible to write

$$R_{\ell\ell} \rightarrow -a_\ell k^{2\ell+1}.$$

A.1.1 Eliminating the energy dependence from the spherical Bessel functions

Focusing on the spherical Bessel function, the asymptotic limit for their argument going to zero is [4]

$$\begin{cases} F_\ell(kr) = j_\ell(x) & \rightarrow \frac{x^\ell}{(2\ell+1)!!}, \\ G_\ell(kr) = y_\ell(x) & \rightarrow -\frac{(2\ell-1)!!}{x^{\ell+1}}. \end{cases}$$

Using for $E \rightarrow 0$ the functions [code]

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{F}_\ell(r) = r^\ell, \\ \tilde{G}_\ell(r) = -\frac{1}{(2\ell+1)r^{\ell+1}} (1 - e^{-\epsilon r})^{2\ell+1}, \end{cases}$$

for $r \rightarrow \infty$ it is possible to write

$$\tilde{A}_\ell (\tilde{F}_\ell + R \tilde{G}_\ell) = \tilde{A}_\ell \left(r^\ell - \frac{\tilde{R}_{\ell\ell}}{2\ell+1} \frac{1}{r^{\ell+1}} \right)$$

and confronting it with the actual behavior

$$A_\ell (F_\ell(kr) + R_{\ell\ell} G_\ell(kr)) \simeq A_\ell \left(\frac{k^\ell r^\ell}{(2\ell+1)!!} - R_{\ell\ell} \frac{(2\ell-1)!!}{k^{\ell+1} r^{\ell+1}} \right)$$

it is possible to map

$$\frac{\tilde{R}_{\ell\ell}}{2\ell+1} = \frac{(2\ell+1)!! (2\ell-1)!!}{k^{2\ell+1}} R_{\ell\ell}$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{R}_{\ell\ell} = -[(2\ell+1)!!]^2 a_\ell.$$

Coupled case In the case of a coupled channel

$$\begin{cases} A_{\ell_1} (F_{\ell_1}(kr) + R_{\ell_1 \ell_1} G_{\ell_1}(kr) + R_{\ell_1(\ell_2)} G_{\ell_2}(kr)) , \\ A_{\ell_2} (F_{\ell_2}(kr) + R_{(\ell_2)\ell_1} G_{\ell_1}(kr) + R_{(\ell_2)(\ell_2)} G_{\ell_2}(kr)) \end{cases}$$

the choice for small energies brings to

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{A}_{\ell_1} \left(r^{\ell_1} - \frac{\tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_1}}{(2\ell_1 + 1)} \frac{1}{r^{\ell_1+1}} - \frac{\tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_2}}{(2\ell_2 + 1)} \frac{1}{r^{\ell_2+1}} \right) , \\ \tilde{A}_{\ell_2} \left(r^{\ell_2} - \frac{\tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_1}}{(2\ell_1 + 1)} \frac{1}{r^{\ell_1+1}} - \frac{\tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_2}}{(2\ell_2 + 1)} \frac{1}{r^{\ell_2+1}} \right) . \end{cases}$$

Comparing with the actual behavior

$$\begin{cases} A_{\ell_1} \left(\frac{k^{\ell_1} r^{\ell_1}}{(2\ell_1 + 1)!!} - \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_1} \frac{(2\ell_1 - 1)!!}{k^{\ell_1+1} r^{\ell_1+1}} - \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \frac{(2\ell_2 - 1)!!}{k^{\ell_2+1} r^{\ell_2+1}} \right) , \\ A_{\ell_2} \left(\frac{k^{\ell_2} r^{\ell_2}}{(2\ell_2 + 1)!!} - \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_1} \frac{(2\ell_1 - 1)!!}{k^{\ell_1+1} r^{\ell_1+1}} - \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_2} \frac{(2\ell_2 - 1)!!}{k^{\ell_2+1} r^{\ell_2+1}} \right) , \end{cases}$$

it is possible to extract

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_1} = [(2\ell_1 + 1)!!]^2 \frac{R_{\ell_1 \ell_1}}{k^{2\ell_1+1}} , \\ \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} = (2\ell_1 + 1)!! (2\ell_2 + 1)!! \frac{R_{\ell_1 \ell_2}}{k^{\ell_1+\ell_2+1}} , \\ \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_1} = (2\ell_1 + 1)!! (2\ell_2 + 1)!! \frac{R_{\ell_2 \ell_1}}{k^{\ell_1+\ell_2+1}} , \\ \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_2} = [(2\ell_2 + 1)!!]^2 \frac{R_{\ell_2 \ell_2}}{k^{2\ell_2+1}} \end{cases}$$

Since for coupled channels $\ell_2 = \ell + 2$ where $\ell \equiv \ell_1$ it is possible to use Eq. (2) and write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_1} & \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \\ \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_1} & \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [(2\ell + 1)!!]^2 \left(\frac{\tan \delta_1}{k^{2\ell+1}} \cos^2 \epsilon + \frac{\tan \delta_2}{k^{2\ell+1}} \sin^2 \epsilon \right) & (2\ell + 1)!!(2\ell + 5)!! \frac{\tan \delta_1 - \tan \delta_2}{k^{2\ell+3}} \sin \epsilon \cos \epsilon \\ (2\ell + 1)!!(2\ell + 5)!! \frac{\tan \delta_1 - \tan \delta_2}{k^{2\ell+3}} \sin \epsilon \cos \epsilon & [(2\ell + 5)!!]^2 \left(\frac{\tan \delta_1}{k^{2\ell+5}} \sin^2 \epsilon + \frac{\tan \delta_2}{k^{2\ell+5}} \cos^2 \epsilon \right) \end{pmatrix} .$$

Simplifying

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_1} & \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \\ \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_1} & \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_2} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} [(2\ell + 1)!!]^2 (a_1 \cos^2 \epsilon + a_2 k^4 \sin^2 \epsilon) & (2\ell + 1)!!(2\ell + 5)!! (a_1 - k^4 a_2) \frac{\sin 2\epsilon}{k^2} \\ (2\ell + 1)!!(2\ell + 5)!! (a_1 - k^4 a_2) \frac{\sin 2\epsilon}{k^2} & [(2\ell + 5)!!]^2 \left(a_1 \frac{\sin^2 \epsilon}{k^4} + a_2 \cos^2 \epsilon \right) \end{pmatrix} .$$

From this it is possible to infer that, in order for the R matrix to not diverge $\epsilon \simeq k^2$ at least, this is proven in Ref. [3]. Defining

$$e_J = \frac{\epsilon}{k^2}$$

the R matrix becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_1} & \tilde{R}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \\ \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_1} & \tilde{R}_{\ell_2 \ell_2} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} [(2\ell + 1)!!]^2 a_1 & 2(2\ell + 1)!!(2\ell + 5)!! a_1 e_1 \\ 2(2\ell + 1)!!(2\ell + 5)!! a_1 e_1 & [(2\ell + 5)!!]^2 (a_1 e_1^2 + a_2) \end{pmatrix} .$$

References

- [1] L. M. Delves, *Advances in Nuclear Physics*, vol. 5 (1972), Eds. M. Baranger, E. Vögt (Plenum Press, London, New York).

- [2] H. P. Stapp, T. Ypsilantis and N. Metropolis, Phys. Rev. **105**, 302 (1957).
- [3] J. M. Blatt and L. C. Biedenharn, Phys. Rev. **86**, 399 (1952).
- [4] M. Abramowitz, and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover Publications Inc., New York (1965), (page 437).